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## Spalding's Athletic Library


A. G. Spalding

Anticipating the present tendency of the American people toward a healthful method of living and enjoyment, Spalding's A thletic Library was established in 1892 for the purpose of encouraging athletics in every form, not only by publishing the official rules and records pertaining to the various pastimes, but also by instructing. until to-day Spalding's Athletic Library is unique in its own particular field and has been conceded the greatest educational series on athletic and physical training subjects that has ever been compiled.

The publication of a distinct series of books devoted to athletic sports and pastimes and designed to occupy the premier place in America in its class was an early idea of Mr. A. G. Spalding, who was one of the first in America to publish a handkook devoted to athletic sports, Spalding's Official Base Ball Guide being the initial number, which was followed at intervals with other handbooks on the sports prominent in the '70s.

Spalding's Athletic Library has had the advice and counsel of Mr. A. G. Spalding in all of its undertakings, and particularly in all books devoted to the national game. This applies especially to Spalding's Official Base Ball Guide and Spalding's Official Base Ball Record, both of which receive the personal attention of Mr. A. G. Spalding, owing to his early connection with the game as the leading pitcher of the champion Boston and Chicago teams of 1872-76. His interest does not stop, however, with matters pertaining to base ball; there is not a sport that Mr. Spalding does not make it his business to become familiar with, and that the Library will always maintain its premier place, with Mr. Spalding's able counsel at hand, goes without saying.

The entire series since the issue of the first number has been under the direct personal supervision of Mr. James E. Sullivan, President of the American Sports Publishing Company, and the total series of consecutive numbers reach an aggregate of considerably over three hundred, included in which are many "annuals," that really constitute the history of their particular sport in America year by year, back copies of which are even now eagerly sought for, constituting as they do the really first authentic records of events and official rules that have ever been consecutively compiled.

When Spalding's Athletic Library was founded, seventeen years ago, track and field athletics were practically unknown outside the larger colleges and a few athletic clubs in the leading cities, which gave occasional meets, when an entry list of 250 competitors was a subject of comment; golf was known only by a comparatively few persons; lawn tennis had some vogue and base ball was practically the only established field

## EDITORS OF SPALDING'S ATHLETIC LIBRARY

sport, and that in a professional way; basket ball had just been invented; athletics for the schoolboy-and schoolgirl-were almost unknown, and an advocate of class contests in athletics in the schools could not get a hearing. To-day we find the greatest body of athletes in the world is the Public Schools Athletic League of Greater New York, which has had an entry list at its annual games of over two thousand, and in whose "elementary series" in base ball last year 106 schools competed for the trophy emblematic of the championship.

While Spalding's Athletic Library cannot claim that the rapid growth of athletics in this country is due to it solely, the fact cannot be denied that the books have had a great deal to do with its encouragement, by printing the official rules and instructions for playing the various games at a nominal price, within the reach of everyone, with the sole object that its series might be complete and the one place where a person could look with absolute certainty for the particular book in which he might be interested.
In selecting the editors and writers for the various books, the leading authority in his particular line has been obtained, with the result that no collection of books on athletic subjects can compare with Spalding's Athletic Library for the prominence of the various authors and their ability to present their subjects in a thorough and practical manner.

A short sketch of a few of those who have edited some of the leading numbers of Spalding's Athletic Library is given herewith:


## JAMES E. SULLIVAN

President American Sports Publishing Company; entered the publishing house of Frank Leslie in 1878, and has been connected continuously with the publishing business since then and also as athletic editor of various New York papers; was a competing athlete; one of the organizers of the Amateur Athletic Union of the United States; has been actively on its board of governors since its organization until the present time, and President for two successive terms; has attended every championship meeting in America since 1879 and has officiated in some capacity in connection with American amateur championships track and field games for nearly twenty-five years; assistant American director Olympic Games, Paris, 1900; director Pan-American Exposition athletic department, 1901: chief department physical culture Louisiana Purchase Exposition, St. Louis, 1904; secretary American Committee Olympic Games, at Athens. 1906; honorary director of Athletics at Jamestown Exposition, 1907; secretary American Committee Olympic Games, at London, 1908; member of the Pastime A. C., New York; honorary member Missouri A.C., St. Louis; honorary member Olympic A. C., San Francisco; ex-president Pastime A. C., New Jersey A. C., Knickerbocker A. C.; president Metropolitan Association of the A. A. U. for fifteen years; president Outdoor Recreation League; with Dr. Luther H. Gulick organized the Public Schools Athletic League of New York, and is now chairman of its games committee and member executive committee; was a pioneer in playground work and one of the organizers of the Outdoor Recreation League of New York: appointed by President Roosevelt as special commissioner to the Olympic Games at Athens, 1906, and decorated by King George I. of the Hellenes (Greece) for his services in connection with the Olympic Games; appointed special commissioner by President Roosevelt to the Olympic Games at London, 1908; appointed by Mayor McClellan, 1908, as member of the Board of Education of Greater New York.


## WALTER CAMP

For quarter of a century Mr. Walter Camp of Yale has occupied a leading position in college athletics. It is immaterial what organization is suggested for college athletics, or for the betterment of conditions, insofar as college athletics is concerned, Mr. Camp has always played an important part in its conferences. and the great interest in and high plane of college sport to-day, are undoubtedly due more to Mr. Camp than to any other individual. Mr. Camp has probably written more on college athletics than any other writer and the leading papers and magazines of America are always anxious to secure his expert opinion on foot ball, track and field athletics, base ball and rowing. Mr. Camp has grown up with Yale athletics and is a part of Yale's remarkable athletic system. While he has been designated as the "Father of Foot Ball," it is a well known fact that during his college carcer Mr. Camp was regarded as one of the best players that ever represented Yale on the base ball field, so when we hear of Walter Camp as a foot ball expert we must also remember his remarkable knowledge of the game of base ball, of which he is a great admirer. Mr. Camp has edited Spalding's Official Foot Ball Guide since it was first published, and also the Spalding Athletic Library book on How to Play Foot Ball. There is certainly no man in American college life better qualified to write for Spalding's Athletic Library than Mr. Camp.


## DR. LUTHER HALSEY GULICK

The leading exponent of physical training in America; one who has worked hard to impress the value of physical training in the schools; when physical training was combined with education at the St. Louis Exposition in 1904 Dr. Gulick played an important part in that congress; he received several awards for his good work and had many honors conferred upon him; he is the author of a great many books on the subject; it was Dr. Gulick, who, acting on the suggestion of James E. Sullivan. organized the Public Schools Athletic League of Greater New York, and was its first Secretary; Dr. Gulick was also for several years Director of Physical Training in the public schools of Greater New York, resigning the position to assume the Presidency of the Playground Association of America. Dr. Gulick is an authority on all subjects pertaining to physical training and the study of the child.

## JOHN B. FOSTER

Successor to the late Henry Chadwick ("Father of Base Ball") as editor of Spalding's Official Base Ball Guide; sporting editor of the New York Evening Telegram; has been in the newspaper business for many years and is recognized throughout America as a leading writer on the national game; a staunch supporter of organized base ball, his pen sas always been used for the betterment of the game.


## GEORGE T, HEPBRON

Former Young Men's Christian Association director; for many years an official of the Athletic League of Young Men's Christian Associations of North America: was connected with Dr. Luther H. Gulick in Young Men's Christian Association work for over twelve years; became identified with basket ball when it was in its infancy and has followed it since, being recognized as the leading exponent of the official rules; succeeded Dr. Gulick as editor of the Official Basket Ball Guide and also editor of the Spalding Athletic Library book on How to Play Basket Ball.


## JAMES S. MITCHEL

Former champion weight thrower; holder of numerous records, and is the winner of more championships than any other individual in the history of sport; Mr. Mitchel is a close student of athletics and well qualified to write upon any topic connected with athletic sport; has been for years on the staff of the New York Sun.

## MICHAEL C. MURPHY



The world's most famous athletic trainer: the champion athletes that he has developed for track and field sports, foot ball and base ball fields, would run into thousands; he became famous when at Yale University and has been particularly successful in developing what might be termed championship teams; his rare good judgment has placed him in an enviable position in the athletic world; now with the University of Pennsylvania; during his career has trained only at two colleges and one athletic club, Yale and the University of Pennsylvania and Detrolt A thletic Club; his most recent triumph was that of training the famous American team of athletes that swept the field at the Olympic Games of 1908 at London.


## DR. C. WARD CRAMPTON

Succeeded Dr. Gulick as director of physical training in the schools of Greater New York: as secretary of the Public Schools Athletic League is at the head of the most remarkable organization of its kind in the world; is a practical athlete and gymnast himself, and has been for years connected with the physical training system in the schools of Greater New York, having had charge of the High School of Commerce.


## DR. GEORGE J. FISHER

Has been connected with Y. M. C. A. work for many years as physical director at Cincinnati and Brooklyn, where he made such a high reputation as organizer that he was chosen to succeed Dr. Luther H. Gulick as Secretary of the Athletic League of Y. M. C. A.'s of North America, when the latter resigned to take charge of the physical training in the Public Schools of Greater New York.


## DR. GEORGE ORTON

On athletics, college athletics, particularly track and field, foot ball, soccer foot ball. and training of the youth, it would be hard to find one better qualified than Dr. Orton; has had the necessary athletic experience and the ability to impart that experience intelligently to the youth of the land; for years was the American, British and Canadian champion runner.


## FREDERICK R. TOOMBS

A well known authority on skating, rowing. boxing, racquets, and other athletic sports; was sporting editor of American Press Association, New York; dramatic editor; is a lawyer and has served several terms as a member of Assembly of the Legislature of the State of New York; has written several novels and historical works.

## R. L. WELCH

A resident of Chicago; the popularity of indoor base ball is chiefly due to his efforts; a player himself of no mean ability; a firstclass organizer; he has followed the game of indoor base ball from its inception.

## DR. HENRY S. ANDERSON

Has been connected with Yale University for years and is a recognized authority on gymnastics; is admitted to be one of the leading authorities in America on gymnastic subjects; is the author of many books on physical training.

## CHARLES M. DANIELS

Just the man to write an authoritative book on swimming; the fastest swimmer the world has ever known; member New York Athletic Club swimming team and an Olympic champion at Athens in 1906 and London, 1908. In his book on Swimming, Champion Daniels describes just the methods one must use to become an expert swimmer.

## GUSTAVE BOJUS

Mr. Bojus is most thoroughly qualified to write intelligently on all subjects pertaining to gymnastics and athletics; in his day one of America's most famous amateur athletes; has competed successfully in gymnastics and many other sports for the New York Turn Verein; for twenty years he has been prominent in teaching gymnastics and athletics; was responsible for the famous gymnastic championship teams of Columbia University; now with the Jersey City high schools.

## CHARLES JACOBUS

Admitted to be the "Father of Roque;" one of America's most expert players, winning the Olympic Championship at St. Louis in 1904; an ardent supporter of the game and follows it minutely, and much of the success of roque is due to his untiring efforts; certainly there is no one better qualified to write on this subject than Mr. Jacobus.

## DR. E. B. WARMAN

Well known as a physical training expert; was probably one of the first to enter the feld and is the author of many books on the subject; lectures extensively each year all over the country.

## W. J. CROMIE

Now with the University of Pennsylvania; was formerly a Y. M. C. A. physical director; a keen student of all gymnastic matters; the author of many books on subjects pertaining to physical training.

## G. M. MARTIN

By profession a physical director of the Young Men's Christian Association; a close student of all things gymnastic, and games for the classes in the gymnasium or clubs.

## PROF. SENAC

A leader in the fencing world; has maintained a fencing school in New York for years and developed a great many champions; understands the science of fencing thoroughly and the benefits to be derived therefrom.

## SPALDING ATHLETIC LIBRARY

Giving the Titles of all Spalding Athletic Library Books now in print, grouped for ready reference


## GPOUP 1.

No. 1 Spaldino No. 1A OA. Dial Base Ball Guide Official Base Ball Record.
No. 1C Collegiate Base Ball Annual.
No. 202 How to Play Base Ball.
No. 223 How to Bat.
No. 232 How to Run Bases.
No. 230 How to Pitch.
No. 229 How to Catch.
No. 225 How to Play First Base.
No. 226 How to Play Second Base.
No. 227 How to Play Third Base.
No. 228 How to Play Shortstop.
No. 224 How to Play the Outfield.

> How to Organize a Base Ball League.

Club. How to Organize a Base Ball
No. How to Manage a Base Ball
231.

No. 219 Ready Reckoner of Base Ball Percentages.
No. 350 How to Score.
bASE BALL AUXILIARIES
No. 348 MinorLeague Base Ball Guide
No. 352 Official Book National League of Prof. Base Ball Clubs.
No. 340 Official Handbook National Playground Ball Assn.
GPOUp II.
F00t Ball
No. 2 Spalding's Official Foot Ball Guide
No. 344 ADigest of the Foot Ball Rules No. 324 How to Play Foot Ball.
No. 2A Spalding's Official Soccer Foot Ball Guide.
No. 286 How to Play Soccer.
No. 335 How to Play Rugby.

FOOT BALL AUXILIARY No. 343 Official RugbyFoot BallGuide. No. 332 Spalding's Official Canadian Group III. Foot Ball Guide. No. 3 Spalding's Official Cricket Guide. No. 277 Cricket and How to Play It.
Gpoup IV.
Lawn Tennis
No. 4 Spalding's Official Lawn Tennis Annual.
No. 157 How to Play Lawn Tennis.
No. 279 Strokes and Science of Lawn Tennis.
Group V.
Golf
No. 5 Spalding's Official Golf Guide No. 276 How to Play Golf.
Gpoup VI.
Hockey
No. 6 Spalding's Official Ice Hockey Guide.
No. 304 How to Play Ice Hockey.
No. 154 Field Hockey.
SLawn Hockey.
No. 188 Parlor Hockey.
No. 180 Ring Hockey.
HOCKEY AUXILIARY
No. 256 Official Handbook Ontario Hockey Association.
GPoup VII.
Basket Ball
No. 7 Spalding's Official Basket Ball Guide.
No. 7A Spalding's Official Women's Basket Ball Guide.
No. 193 How to Play Basket Ball.
BASKET BALL AUXILIARY
No. 323 Official Collegiate Basket Ball Handbook.

Group VIII.
lacposse
No. $\mathcal{E}$ Spalding's Official Lacrosse Guide.
No. 201 How to Play Lacrosse.
Group IX.
Indoor Base Ball
No. 9 Spalding's Official Indoor Base Ball Guide.
GPOUP X.
P010
No. 10 Spalding's Official Roller Polo Guide.
No. 129 Water Polo.
No. 199 Equestrian Polo.

## Group XI. Miscellaneous Games

No. 248 Archery.
No. 138 Croquet.
No. 271 Roque.
Racquets.
No. $194\left\{\begin{array}{l}\text { Squash-Racquets. } \\ \text { Court Tennis. }\end{array}\right.$
No. 13 Hand Ball.
No. 167 Quoits.
No. 170 Push Ball.
No. 14 Curling.
No. 207 Lawn Bowls.
No. 188 Lawn Games.
No. 189 Children's Games.
No. 341 How to Bowl.
Group XII.
Athlefics
No. 12 Spalding's Official Athletic Almanac.
No.12A Spalding's Official Athlctic Rules.
No. 27 College Athletics.
No. 182 All Around Athletics.
No. 156 Athletes' Guide.
No. 87 Athletic Primer.
No. 273 Olympic GamesatAthens, 1906
No. 252 How to Sprint.
No. 255 How to Run 100 Yards.
No. 174 Distance and Cross Country Running.
[Thrower.
No. 259 How to Become a Weight
No. 55 Official Sporting Rules.
No. 246 Athletic Training for School-
No. 317 Marathon Running. [boys.
No. 331 Schoolyard Athletics,
No. 342 Walking for Health and Competition.
ATHLETIC AUXILIARIES
No. 349 Intercollegiate Official Handbook.
No. 302 Y. M. C. A. Official Handkook. No. 313 Public Schools Athletic League Official Handbook.
No. 314 Girls' Athletics.
No. 308 Official Handbook New Yotk Interscholastic Athletic Association.

## Group XIII.

## Athlelic Accomplishments

No. 177 How to Swim.
No. 296 Speed Swimming.
No. 123 How to Row.
No. 209 How to Become a Skater.
No. 178 How to Train for Bicycling.
No. 23 Canoeing.
No. 282 Roller Skating Guide.
Group XIV.
Manty Sports
No. 18 Fencing. (By Breck.)
No. 162 Boxing.
No. 165 Fencing. (By Senac.)
No. 140 Wrestling
No. 236 How to Wrestle.
No. 102 Ground Tumbling.
No. 233 Jiu Jitsu.
No. 166 How to Swing Indian Clubs.
No. 200 Dumb Bell Exercises.
No. 143 Indian Clubs and Dumb Bells.
No. 262 Medicine Ball Exercises.
No. 29 Pulley Weight Exercises.
No. 191 How to Punch the Bag.
No. 289 Tumbling for Amateurs.
No. 326 Professional Wrestling.

## GP0up XV.

Gymnastics
No. 104 Grading of Gymnastic Exercises. [Dumb Bell Drills. No. 214 Graded Calisthenics and No. 254 Barnjum Bar Bell Drill. [Games No. 158 Indoor and Outdoor Gymnastic No. 124 How to Become a Gymnast.
No. 287 Fancy Dumb Bell and Marching Drills. [Apparatus
No. 327 Pyramid Building Without
No. 328 Exercises on the Parallel Bars.
No. 329 lyramid Building with Wands, Chairs and Ladders
GYMNASTIC AUXILIARY
No. 345 Official Handbook I. C. A. A. Gymnasts of America.

## Group XVI. <br> Physical Culture

No. 161 Ten Minutes' Exercise for Busy Men.
[giene.
No. 208 Physical Education and Hy-
No. 149 Scientific Physical Training and Care of the Body.
No. 142 Physical Training Simplified.
No. 185 Hints on Health.
No. 213285 Health Answers.
No. 233 Muscle Building.
[ning.
No. 234 School Tactics and Maze Run-
No. 261 Tensing Exercises. [nastics.
No. 285 Health by Muscular Gym-
No. 288 Indigestion Treated by Gym-
No. 290 Get Well: Keep Well. [nastics.
No. 325 Twenty-Minute Exercises.
No. 330 Physical Training for the School and Class Room.
No. 346 How to Live 100 Years.
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## Group I. Base Ball

No. 1-Spalding's official Base Ball Guide.


The leading Base Ball annual of the country, and the official authority of the game. Contains the official playing rules, with an explanatory index of the rules compiled by Mr. A. G. Spalding; pictures of all the teams in the National, American and minor leagues; reviews of the season; and a great deal of interesting information. Price 10 cents. No. 1A-Spalding゙s Ollicial Base Ball kecord.
Contains records of all kinds from the beginning of the National League and official averages of all professional organizations for past season. Illustrated with pictures of leading teams and players. Price 10 cents.
No. 1C-Spaldinges olticial Collegiate Base Hall Anпиal.
Contains matters of interest exclusively for the college player; pictures and records of all the leading colleges. Price 10 cents.
No. 2012. How to Play Base
Edited by Tim Murnane. New and revised edition. Illustrated with pictures showing how all the various curves and drops are thrown and portraits of leading players. Price 10 cents. No. 22:3-How to Bat.
There is no better way of becoming a proficient batter than by reading this book and practising the directions. Numerous illustrations. Price 10 cents. No. $2: 32-H$ How to Run the Bases.
This book gives clear and concise directions for excelling as a base runner; tells when to run and when not to do so; how and when to slide; team work on the bases; in fact, every point of the game is thoroughly explained. Illustrated. Price 10 cents.

## No. 230-How to Pitch.

A new, up-to-date book. Its contents are the practical teaching of men who have reached the top as pitchers, and who know how to impart a knowledge of their art. All the big leagues' pitchers are shown. Price 10 cents.

No. 229-How to Catch.
Every boy who has hopes of being a clever catcher should read how wellknown players cover their position. Pictures of all the noted catchers in the big leagues. Price 10 cents.
No. Base.
Illustrated with pictures of all the prominent first basemen. Price 10 cents. No. aza-How to lilay second Hase.
The ideas of the best second basemen have been incorporated in this book for the especial benefit of boys who want to know the fine points of play at this point of the diamond. Price 10 cents. No. 22z-How to Play Third Base.
Third base is, in some respects, the most important of the infield. All the points explained. Price 10 cents.
No. 22S-How to Play Shortstop.
Shortstop is one of the hardest positions on the infield to fill, and quick thoughtand quick action are necessary for a player who expects to make good as a shortstop. Illus. ?rice 10 cents. No. 2athow to play the Outheld.
An invaluable guide for the outfielder. Price 10 cents.
No. 2:31-Hovy to Coach; How to Captain a Team; How to Manage a Team; How to Umpire; How to Ormanize a leasue; Technieal Terms of Base Ball. A useful guide. Price 10 cents.
No 219-Ready Reckoner of Hase Hall Pereentages.
To supply a demand for a book which would show the percentage of clubs without recourse to the arduous work of figuring, the publishers had these tables compiled by an expert. Price 10 cents No. 350-How to score.
A practical text book for scorers of base ball games, both amateur and expert. The most complete book of instruction on the art of scoring that has yet been published, An appendix includes answers to numerous problems which arise in scoring a game and is of great value in deciding what course to pursue when an intricate point in the rules arises. Compiled by J. M. Cummings. Price 10 cents.

## SPALDING ATHLETIC LIBRARY

BASE BALI, AUXILIARIES. No. 34S-Minor League Base Ball Guide.
The minors' own guide. Edited by President T. H. Murnane, of the New England League. Price 10 cents.
No. s5:2-Ollicial Handbook of the National Learue of 1'rofessionall Base ball Clubs.
Contains the Constitution, By-Laws, Official Rules, Averages, and schedule of the National League for the current year, together with list of club officers and reports of the annual meetings of the League. Price 10 cents.
No. is:10-Oflicial Handloook National Playground lball Association.
This game is specially adapted for playgrounds, parks, etc.; is spreading rapidly. The book contains a description of the game, rules and list of officers. Price 10 cents.

## Group II. Foot Ball

No. 2-Spalding's Otlicial Foot lBall Guille


Edited by Walter Camp. Contains the new rules, with diagram of field; AllAmerica teams as selected by the leading authorities; reviews of the game from various sections of the country; scores; pictures. Price 10 cents.
No. :344-A Digest of the Foot Hanll Rulen.
This book is meant for the use of officials, to help them to refresh their memories before a game and to afford them a quick means of ascertaining a point during a game. It also gives a ready means of finding a rule in the Official Rule Book, and is of great help to a player in studying the Rules. Compiled by C. W. Short, Harvard, 1908. Price 10 cents.
No. :32t-how to Play Foot $13 a 11$.
Edited by Walter Camp, of Yale. Everything that a beginner wants to know and many points that an expert will be glad to learn. Snapshots of leading teams and players in action, with comments by Walter Camp. Price 10 cents.

## No. 2A-Spalding's Official

 Association soceer foot Hall Guide.A complete and up-todate guide to the "Soccer" game in the United States. Contains instructions for playing the game, official rules, and interesting news from all parts of the country. Illustrated. Price
 10 cents.
No. :3sf-How to l'lay succer.
How each position should be played, written by the best player in England in his respective position, and illustrated with full-page photographs of players in action. Price 10 cents.
No. $3: 35-110$ w to IPlay Hustoy。
Compiled in England by "Old International." Contains directions for playing the various positions, with diagrams and illustrations. Price 10 cents.

FOO' BALL AUMHIARIES. No. 332-Gpalding's Oflieial Canadian Foot BaIl cinide.
The official book of the game in Canada. Price 10 cents.
No. 3-1:B-OHficial Hugby Foot 13:11 Guide.
The official handbook of the Rugby game, containing the official playing rules, referee's decisions, articles on the game in the United States and pictures of leading teams. Price 10 cents.

## Group III.

No. :B-Spalding's

## Cricket

 Crichet Guide.

The most complete year book of the game that has ever been published in America. Reports of special matches, official rules and pictures of all the leading teams. Price 10 cents.
 to Play it.
By Prince Ranjitsinhji. The game described concisely and illustrated with full-page pictures posed especially for this book. Price 10 cents.

## SPALDING ATHLETIC LIBRARY

## Group IV.

No. 4-Spalding's Oflicial Lawn Tennis Annual.


Contents include reports of all important tournaments; official ranking from 1885 to date; laws of lawn tennis; instructions for handicapping; decisions on doubtful points; management of tournaments; directory of clubs; laying out and keeping a court. Illustrated. Price 10 cents.

No, 157-How to llay Lawn Tennis.

A complete description of lawn tennis; a lesson for beginners and directions telling how to make the most important strokes. Illustrated. Price 10 cents.

No. 279 -sitrokes and Science of Lawn rennis.
By P. A. Vaile, a leading authority on the game in Great Britain. Every stroke in the game is accurately illustrated and analyzed by the author. Price 10 cents.

## Group V.

No. T-Spalding's Golf Guide.
Contains records of all important tournaments, articles on the game in various sections of the country, pictures of prominent players,official playing rules and general items of interest. Price 10 cents.


No. 2f6-How to Play Golf.
By James Braid and Harry Vardon, the world's two greatest players tell how they play the game, with numerous full-page pictures of them taken on the links. Price 10 cents.

## Group VI. Hockey

No. G-Spalding's Official Ice Hockey Guide.


The official year book of the game. Contains the official rules, pictures of leading teams and players, records, review of the season, reports from different sections of the United States and Canada, Price 10 cents.
No. 304-How to Play Ice Hoclicey.
Contains a description of the duties of each player. Illustrated. Price 10 cents.

No. 154-Ficld Hockey.
Prominent in the sports at Vassar, Smith, Wellesley, Bryn Mawr and other leading colleges. Price 10 cents.

```
No. 1NS-1, a wn Hockey, Parlor Hocliey, Garden Hockey.
```

Containing the rules for each game. Illustrated. Price 10 cents.

No. 1SO-Ring Hockey.
A new game for the gymnasium. Exciting as basket ball. Price 10 cents.

## HOCIEE ALXILIARY.

No. :2.jti-Oflicial Handloook of the Ontario Hoekey Association.
Contains the official rules of the Association, constitution, rules of competition, list of officers, and pictures of leading players. Price 10 cents.

## Group VII.

## Basket Ball

No. T-Spalding's Official Hasket Ball Guide.
Edited by George T. Hepbron. Contains the revised official rules, decisions on disputed points, records of prominent teams, reports on the game from various parts of the country. Illustrated. Price 10 cents.


## SPALDING ATHLETIC LIBRARY

No. TA-Spriding's Oflicial Wonem's Hasket lball Guide.
Edited by Miss Senda Berenson, of Smith College. Contains the official playing rules and special articles on the game by prominent authorities. Illustrated. Price 10 cents.
No. 19:3-How to Play Basket Ball.
By G. N. Messer. The best book of instruction on the game yet published. Illustrated with numerous pictures and diagranss of plays. Price 10 cents.

BASEET BALL ACXILIARY.
No. is:23-Colleqiate Hasket Hall Handbook.
The official publication of the Collegiate Basket Ball Association. Contains the official rules, records, AllAmerica selections, reviews, and pictures. Edited by H. A. Fisher, of Columbia. Price 10 cents.

## Group VIII. Lacrosse

No. S-Spalding's Oflicial Lacrosse Guide.
Contains the constitution, by-laws, playing rules, list of officers and records of the U.S. Inter-Collegiate Lacrosse League. Price 10 cents.
No. :口OI-How to Play Laerosse.

Every position is thoroughly explained in a most simple and concise manner, rendering it the best manual of the game ever published. Illustrated with numerous snapshots of important plays. Price 10 cents.

## Group IX.

Indoor Base Ball

## Group X. <br> Polo

No. 10-Spalding's Official Roller Polo Guide.
Edited by A. W. Keane. A full description of the game; official rules, records; pictures of prominent players. Price 10 cents.


No. 129-Water Polo.
The contents of this book treat of every detail, the individual work of the players, the practice of the team, how to throw the ball, with illustrations and many valuable hints. Price 10 cents.

## No. 19:)-Hquestrian Polo.

Compiled by H. L. Fitzpatrick of the New York Sun. Illustrated with portraits of leading players, and contains most useful information for polo players. Price 10 cents.

## Group XI. <br> Miscellaneous Games

No. 271-Spalding's Official Horne Guile.
The official publication of the Na tional Roque Association of America. Contains a description of the courts and their construction, diagrams, illustrations, rules and valuable information. Price 10 cents.

## No. 13S-Spalding's Official Croruet Guide

Contains directions for playing, diagrams of important strokes, description of grounds, instructions for the beginner, terms used in the game, and the official playing rules. Price 10 cents.

## No. B41-How to Bowl.

The contents include: diagrams of effective deliveries: hints to beginners; how to score; official rules; spares, how they are mdae; rules for cocked hat, quintet, cocked hat and feather, battle game, etc. Price 10 cents.

## SPALDING ATHLETIC LIBRARY

## No. 24S-Archery.

A new and up-to-date book on this fascinating pastime. The several varieties of archery; instructions for shooting; how to select implements; how to score; and a great deal of interesting information. Illustrated. Price 10 cents.

No. 194-Racquets. SquashRacquets and Court 'Tennis.
How to play each game is thoroughly explained, and all the difficult strokes shown by special photographs taken especially for this book. Contains the official rules for each game. Price 10 cents.

## No. 16\%-Quoits.

Contains a description of the plays used by experts and the official rules. Illustrated. Price 10 cents.

## No. 17e-Push Ball.

This book contains the official rules and a sketch of the game; illustrated. Price 10 cents.

## No. 13-How to Play Mand <br> Ball.

By the world's champion. Michael Egan. Every play is thoroughly explained by text and diagram. Illustrated. Price 10 cents.

## No. 14-Curling.

A short history of this famous Scottish pastime, with instructions for play, rules of the game, definitions of terms and diagrams of different shots. Price 10 cents.

## No. 207-Bowling on the Green; or, Lavin Bowls.

How to construct a green; how to play the game, and the official rules of the Scottish Bowling Association. Illustrated. Price 10 cents.

## No. 1S9-Children's Games.

These games are intended for use at recesses, and all but the team games have been adapted to large classes. Suitable for children from three to eight years, and include a great variety. Price 10 cents.

## No. 18S-Lavn Games.

Lawn Hockey, Garden Hockey, Hand Tennis, Tether Tennis; also Volley Ball, Parlor Hockey, Badminton, Basket Goal. Price 10 cents.

## Group XII. Athletics

## No. 12-Spaldinw official

 Athictic Almanac.Compiled by J. E. Sullivan, President of the Amateur Athletic Union. The only annual publication now issued that contains a complete list of amateur best-on-records; intercollegiate, swimming, inter-
 scholastic, English, Irish, Scotch, Swedish, Continental, South African, Australasian; numerous photos of individual athletes and leading athletic teams. Price 10 cents.

## No. 121-Spalding's Official Athletic Rules.

The A. A. U. is the governing body of athletes in the United States of America, and all games must be held under its rules, which are exclusively published in this handbook, and a copy should be in the hands of every athlete and every club officer in America. Price 10 cents.

## No. 2б̈-College Athletics.

M. C. Murphy, the well-known athletic trainer, now with Pennsylvania, the author of this book, has written it especially for the schoolboy and college man, but it is invaluable for the athlete who wishes to excel in any branch of athletic sport: profusely illustrated. Price 10 cents.
No. 182-A11-Around Ath-
Gives in full the method of scoring the All-Around Championship; how to train for the All-Around Championship. Illustrated. Price 10 cents.

## No. 156 Athlete's Guide.

Full instructions for the beginner, telling how to sprint, hurdle. jump and throw weights, general hints on training; valuable advice to beginners and important A. A. U. rules and their explanations, while the pictures comprise many scenes of champions in action. Price 10 cents.

## SPALDING ATHLETIC LIBRARY

No. 27: - The Olympic Grmes nt Ithens.
A complete account of the Olympic Games of 1906, at Athens, the greatest International Athletic Contest ever held. Compiled by J. E. Sullivan, Special United States Commissioner to the Olympic Games. Price 10 cents.

## No. sï-Ithletie Primer.

Edited by J. E. Sullivan, SecretaryTreasurer of the Amateur Athletic Union. Tells how to organize an athletic club, how to conduct an athletic meeting, and gives rules for the government of athletic meetings; contents also include directions for laying out athleticgrounds، and a very instructive article on training. Price 10 cents.
No. arsillow to Run 100
By J. W. Morton, the noted British champion. Many of Mr. Morton's methods of training are novel to American athletes, but his success is the best tribute to their worth. Illustrated. Price 10 cents.
No. 174-Distance nnd CrossConntry Running.
By George Orton, the famous University of Pennsylvania runner. The quarter, half, mile, the longer distances, and cross-country running and steeplechasing, with instructions for training; pictures of leading athletes in action, with comments by the editors Price 10 cents.
No. 259-Weight 'lhroxing.
Probably no other man in the world has had the varied and long experience of James S. Mitchel, the author, in the weight throwing department of athletics. The book gives valuable information not only for the novice, but for the expert as well. Price 10 cents.
No. 2:4f-Athletic Training for Schoolboys.
By Geo. W. Orton. Each event in the intercollegiate programme is treated of separately. Price 10 cents.

## No. $\mathbf{5 \pi}$-Official Sporting Rules.

Contains rules not found in other publications for the government of many sports; rules for wrestling, shuffleboard, snowshoeing. professional racing, pigeon shooting, dog racing, pistol and revolver shooting, British water polo rules, Rugby foot ball rules. Price 10 cents.

No. :25: How to Sprint.
Every athlete who aspires to be a sprinter can study this book to advantage. Price 10 cents.
No. 331-Schoolyard Ath-
letics.
By J.E.Sullivan, Secretary-Treasurer Amateur Athletic Union and member of Board of Education of Greater New York. An invaluable handbook for the teacher and the pupil. Gives a systematic plan for conducting school athletic contests and instructs how to prepare for the various events. Illustrated. Price 10 cents.

No. 317-Marathon kumulng.
A new and up-to-date book on this popular pastime. Contains pictures of the leading Marathon runners, methods of training, and best times made in various Marathon events. Price 10 cents.

No. 342ーWの1king: for llealth and Competition.
Contains a great deal of useful and interesting information for the pedestrian, giving the best methods of walking for recreation or competition, by leading authorities. A history of the famous Fresh Air Club of New York is also included, with specimen tours, rules for competitive walking, records and numerous illustrations. Price 10 cents.

## A'THLE'IIC AUXHLIARIES.

No. :34!-Onlicial Intercollegiate A.A.A.A. Handbook.
Contains constitution, by-laws, and laws of athletics; records from 1876 to date. Price 10 cents.

## No. 30S-Oflicial IIandbook New York Interscholantic Athletic Association.

Contains the Association's records, constitution and by-laws and other information. Price 10 cents.
No. 302-Official Y,M.C.A. Handbook.
Contains the official rules governing all sports under the jurisdiction of the Y. M. C. A., official Y. M. C. A. scoring tables, pentathlon rules, pictures of leading Y. M. C. A. athletes. Price 10 cents.

## SPALDING ATHLETIC LIBRARY

No. 313-Official Handbook of the Pablic Schools Athletic liensue.
Contains complete list of records, constitution and general review of the season in the Public Schools Athletic League of Greater New York. Illustrated. Price 10 cents,

No. 314-"Girls" Athleties." Official Handbook of the Girls' Branch of the Piblie Schools Athletie League.
The official publication. Contains: constitution and by-laws, list of officers, donors, founders, life and annual members, reports and illustrations, schoolroom games. Edited by Miss Jessie H. Bancroft. Price 10 cents.

## Group XIII. Athletic Accomplishments

No. 17\%-How to Swim.

Will interest the expert as well as the novice; the illustrations were made from photographs especially posed, showing the swimmer in clear water: a valuable feature is the series of "land drill" exercises for the beginner. Price 10 cents.
No. $296-S p e e d$ Swimming.
By Champion C. M. Daniels of the New York Athletic Club team, holder of numerous American records, and the best swimmer in America qualified to write on the subject. Any boy should be able to increase his speed in the water after reading Champion Daniels' instructions on the subject. Price 10 cents.
No. 12S-How to Row.
By E. J. Giannini, of the New York Athletic Club, one of America's most famous amateur oarsmen and champions. Shows how to hold the oars, the finish of the stroke and other valuable information. Price 10 cents.

## No. 23-Canoeing.

Paddling, sailing, cruising and racing canoes and their uses; with hints on rig and management; the choice of a canoe; sailing canoes, racing regulations; canoeing and camping. Fully illustrated. Price 10 cents.

No. 209-How to Become a. Skater.
Contains advice for beginners; how to become a figure skater, showing how to do all the different tricks of the best figure skaters. Pictures of prominent skaters and numerous diagrams. Price 10 cents.

No. 2sa-Official IRoller Skating Guide.
Directions for becoming a fancy and trick roller skater, and rules for roller skating. Pictures of prominent trick skaters in action. Price 10 cents.

No. 17S-How to Train for bieycling.
Gives methods of the best riders when training for long or short distance races; hints on training. Revised and up-to-date in every particular. Price: 10 cents.

## Group XIV.

## Manly Sports

No. 140-Wrestling.
Catch-as-catch-can style. Seventy illustrations of the different holds, photographed especially and so described that anybody can with little effort learn every one. Price 10 cents.

## No. 1S-Fencing.

By Dr. Edward Breck, of Boston, editor of The Swordsman, a prominent amateur fencer. A book that has stood the test of time, and is universally acknowledged to be a standard work. Illustrated. Price 10 cents.

## No. 162-Boxing Guide.

Contains over 70 pages of illustrations showing all the latest blows, posed especially for this book under the supervision of a well-known instructor of boxing, who makes a specialty of teaching and knows how to impart his knowledge. Price 10 cents.

No. 165-The Art of Fencing
By Regis and Louis Senac, of New York, famous instructors and leading authorities on the subject. Gives in detail how every move should be made. Price 10 cents.

## SPALDING ATHLETIC LIBRARY

No. 236-How to Wrentle.
The most complete and up-to-date book on wrestling ever published. Edited by F. R. Toombs, and devoted principally to special poses and illustrations by George Hackenschmidt, the "Russian Lion." Price 10 cents.

No. 102-Ground Tumbling.
Any boy, by reading this book and following the instructions, can become proficient. Price 10 cents.

## No. ayฯ-'Tumbling for Amateurs.

Specially compiled for amateurs by Dr.James T. Gwathmey. Every variety of the pastime explained by text and pictures, over 100 different positions being shown. Price 10 cents.

## No. 191-How to Punch the Bag.

The best treatise on bag punching that has ever been printed. Every variety of blow used in training is shown and explained, with a chapter on fancy bag punching by a well-known theatrical bag puncher. Price 10 cents,

## No. 200-Dumb-Bells.

The best work on dumb-bells that has ever been offered. By Prof. G. Bojus, of New York. Contains 200 photographs. Should be in the hands of every teacher and pupil of physical culture, and is invaluable for home exercise. Price 10 cents.

## No. 1-43-Indian Clubs and Dumb-Hells.

By America's amateur champion club swinger, J. H. Dougherty. It is clearly illustrated, by which any novice can become an expert. Price 10 cents.

## No. 262-Medicine Ball Exercises.

A series of plain and practical exercises with the medicine ball, suitable for boys and girls, business and professional men, in and out of gymnasium. Price 10 certs.

No. 29-Pulley Weight Exercises.
By Dr. Henry S. Anderson, instructor in heavy gymnastics Yale gymnasium. In conjunction with a chest machine anyone with this book can become perfectly developed. Price 10 cents.

## No. 233-Jin Jitsu.

Each move thoroughly explained and illustrated with numerous full-page pictures of Messrs. A. Minami and K. Koyama, two of the most famous exponents of the art of Jiu Jitsu, who posed especially for this book. Price 10 cents.

## No. 166-How to Swing Indian Clubs.

By Prof. E. B. Warman. By following the directions carefully anyone can become an expert. Price 10 cents.

No. 326-1rofessional Wrestling.
A book devoted to the catch-as-catchcan style; illustrated with half-tone pictures showing the different holds used by Frank Gotch, champion catch-as-catch-can wrestler of the world. Posed by Dr. Roller and Charles Postl. By Ed. W. Smith, Sporting Editor of the Chicago American. Price 10 cents.

## Group XV. Gymnastics

No. 104-The Grading of Gyminastic Exercises.
By G. M. Martin. A book that should be in the hands of every physical director of the Y. M. C. A., school. club, college, etc. Price 10 cents.

No. 214-Graded Calisthenics and Dumb-Bell Drills.
For years it has been the custom in most gymnasiums of memorizing a set drill, which was never varied. Consequently the beginner was given the same kind and amount as the older member. With a view to giving uniformity the present treatise is attempted. Price 10 cents.

## SPALDING ATHLETIC LIBRARY

## No. 254-Barnjum Bar Bell Drill.

Edited by Dr. R. Tait McKenzie, Director Physical Training, University of Pennsylvania. Profuselyillustrated. Price 10 cents.

No. 15S-Indoor and Outdoor Gymuastic Games.
A book that will prove valuable to indoor and outdoor gymnasiums, schools, outings and gatherings where there are a number to be amused. Price 10 cents.

No. 12t-How to Become a Gyminast.
By Robert Stoll, of the New York A. C., the American champion on the flying rings from 1885 to 1892. Any boy can easily become proficient with a little practice. Price 10 cents.

No. 2st-Fancy Dumb Bell and Marching Drills.
All concede that games and recreative exercises during the adolescent period are preferable to set drills and monotonous movements. These drills, while designed primarily for boys, can be used successfully with girls and men and women. Profusely illustrated. Price 10 cents.

No. 3: Without Apparatus.
By W. J. Cromie, Instructor of Gymnastics, University of Pennsylvania. With illustrations showing many different combinations. This book should be in the hands of all gymnasium instructors. Price 10 Cents.

## No. $3: 2 \leq-E x e r c i s e s$ on the <br> Parallel Bars.

By W. J. Cromie. Every gymnast should procure a copy of this book. Illustrated with cuts showing many novel exercises. Price 10 cents.

No. :329-l'yramid Building with Chairs, Wands and Ladders.
By W. J. Cromie. Illustrated with half-tone photopraphs showing many interesting combinations. Price 10 cents.

GYMNASTIC AUXILIARY. No. 345—Official Handbook Inter-Collegiate Association Amateur Gymmasts of America.
Edited by P. R. Carpenter, Physical Instructor Amherst College. Contains pictures of leading teams and individual champions, official rules governing contests, records. Price 10 cents.

## Group XVI. <br> Physical Culture

No. 161-Ten Minutes' Exercise for Busy Men.
By Dr. Luther Halsey Gulick, Director of Physical Training in the New York Public Schools. A concise and complete course of physical education. Price 10 cents.
No. 20S-I'Iysieal Education and Hygienc.
This is the fifth of the Physical Training series, by Prof. E. B. Warman (see Nos. 142, 149, 166, 185, 213, 261, 290.) Price 10 cents.
No. 149-The Careof the Body.
A book that all who value health should read and follow its instructions. By Prof. E. B. Warman, the well-known lecturer and authority on physical culture. Price 10 cents.

## No. 142-Physical Training

 Simplified.By Prof. E. B. Warman. A complete, thorough and practical book where the whole man is considered-brain and body. Price 10 cents.
No. 261-Tensing Exercises. By Prof. E. B. Warman. The "Tensing" or "Resisting" system of muscular exercises is the most thorough, the most complete, the most satisfactory, and the most fascinating of systems. Price 10 cents.
No. 346-How to Live 100 Years.
By Prof. E. B. Warman. Helpful and healthful suggestions for attaining a vigorous and happy "old age," with numerous instances of longevity and the methods and habits pursued by those who lived beyond the allotted span of life. Written in Prof. Warman's best style. Price 10 cents.

## SPALDING ATHLETIC LIBRARY

## No. 1SJ-Health Hints.

By Prof. E. B. Warman. Health influenced by insulation; health influenced by underwear; health influenced by color; exercise. Price 10 cents.

No. 213-2s. Health Answers.
By Prof. E. B. Warman. Contents: ventilating a bedroom; ventilating a house; how to obtain pure air; bathing: salt water baths at home; a substitute for ice water; to cure insomnia, etc.. etc. Price 10 cents.

No. 23S-Musele Building.
By Dr. L. H. Gulick. A complete treatise on the correct method of acquiring strength. Illustrated. Price 10 cents.

No. 234-School Taeties and Maze Nunning.
A series of drills for the use of schools. Edited by Dr. Luther Halsey Gulick. Price 10 cents.

No. $3: 5$-'Twenty Minute Exercises.
By Prof. E. B. Warman, with chapters on "How to Avoid Growing Old." and "Fasting ; Its Objects and Benefits." Price 10 cents.

No. :̈SすーHealtif by MuscuIar Gymmasties.
With hints on right living. By W. J. Cromie. If one will practice the exercises and observe the hints therein contained, he will be amply repaid for so doing. Price 10 cents.

No. 2s®-Indigestion Treated by Gymmastics
By W. J. Cromie. If the hints therein contained are observed and the exercises faithfully performed great relief will be experienced. Price 10 cents.

No. 290 -Get Well; K e ep Well.
By Prof. E. B. Warman author of a number of books in the Spalding Athletic Library on physical training. Price 10 cents.

No. $3: 30$-l'lysical Training for the school and Class Hoom.
Edited by G. R. Borden, Physical Director of the Y. M. C. A., Easton, Pa. A book that is for practical work in the school room. Illustrated. Price 10 cents.
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## The National Championships

On August 15 the thirty-first annual championship of the United States began on the Casino courts at Newport. One hundred and eighty-one names were drawn, seventeen more than the record year of 1909. In many respects the event was similar to the previous season's, but one feature was pre-eminent. It was the remarkable strength of the Pacific Coast men, who until a year ago were unrecognized and unknown quantities in the world of Eastern tennis. It was one of these, T. C. Bundy of Los Angeles, who came through the tournament and gave champion $W$. A. Larned the hardest fight in the challenge round he had experienced since winning the title for the third time in 1907.

It was a great five-set battle, played on August 25, in intense heat, which affected both men to a great degree and which, before the match ended, aided materially in accomplishing the sturdy little Westerner's downfall.

Unusually bad weather made much of the early play in the tournament most unsatisfactory, and it was not until the fifth day of play that the courts recovered from the drenching they had received early in the week. During the time of inclement weather conditions, the process of eliminating the weaker men, many of whom were completely outclassed, progressed fairly, and it was not until Friday that the brackets brought together the stronger contenders. Only one match of exceptional interest was played in the earlier rounds, and that was in the first, where W. F. Johnson was beaten by T. R. Pell in straight sets. Johnson's game, although by no means the best of which he is capable of playing, was not the kind to overcome Pell's strength at the net, and the latter, whose confidence increased as the match continued, had complete control of the situation toward its end.

In the fourth round McLoughlin, runner-up to W. J. Clothier in 1909, met and won from R. H. I'almer in four rather easy sets; J. D. E. Jones beat F. J. Sulloway after a long-winded fray and B. C. Wright eliminated R. A. Holden. The latter match was the featured one of the day and Holden, though beaten in three straight, played a clever, fast game. The lower half provided by far the better matches of the round, in one of which Dean Mathey disposed of C. M. Bull, Jr., providing the first form reversal of the tournament. F. C. Inman won from T. Hendrick, and C. R. Gardner of California, playing in his first championship, put out P . Siverd of Pittsburg after four fairly even sets. The ultimate tournament winner, Bundy, had an easy match which placed him against W. B. Cragin, Jr., the latter having taken the measure of J. O. Ames.

The match of the third round was that played between N. W. Niles and B. C. Wright. It extended over four sets, the men dividing the first two. Niles was in good form, but Wright was at the top of his game, and combined speed and judgment with a wonderful degree of accuracy, against which Niles' best efforts were useless.

In the same round Pell faced McLoughlin and played an unusually strong game. He was several times within a point of the second set, but after losing it ultimately at $10 / 8$, fell off decidedly in the third. In the afternoon Hendrick played W. M. Washburn and the boy forced the doubles challenger to five long sets.

Most important in every sense in the next round was the match between Gardner and F. C. Colston. The former started splendidly, but after annexing the opening set, $6 / 2$, became very erratic, and Colston ran out the second and third, $6 / 2,6 / 3$. The fourth was but half over when rain ended play for the day. Starting the following morning with a lead of $3 / 1$ against him Gardner won three games in a row, but here again he

Bundy.
Larned.
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faltered on several easy shots, and, due to his errors more than any other cause, Colston took the set, $6 / 4$. The first big upset was commenced on the championships court immediately after the Colston-Gardner match ended, when Mathey took the court against F. C. Inman. Inman, considered much the stronger of the two, was badly beaten by the young Princetonian in straight sets. The latter played a splendid game and used both fore and backward drives with deadly effect. He made few errors and was strong on all of his strokes. Inman, on the other hand, started poorly and ultimately became completely disconcerted by his opponent's persistent steadiness.

The men to reach the semi-finals were Whitney, Wright, Colston and Bundy. In the upper half Whitney had an easy time winning from Fulton Cutting, while Bundy did not have great difficulty in disposing of Cragin. The latter's steadiness and habit of trying for everything won for him the opening set, but thereafter Bundy, by coming to the net, won with plenty of margin to spare. Colston's win from Mathey was expected, but the Baltimorean had to exert his best efforts to accomplish it. The remaining match was, from a point of importance, second only to the final and challenge rounds, for the winner of the all-comers was confidently anticipated in the person of the victor. It was between Wright and McLoughlin, and though disappointingly one-sided, proved what many considered to be the best tennis of the meeting. Probably with the memory of his Longwood defeat at McLoughlin's hands as an incentive to bring out the best that nas in him, Wright played as he had not played for several seasons, but no such inspiration served his young rival, who seemed oppressed by the importance of this second meeting. The latter played far below his best form and, strange as it may seem, hit with less pace than his famous opponent. Wright, however, played as one possessed. He was all over the court, hitting with wonderful speed and deadly accuracy and anticipating McLoughlin's every shot with a certainty amazing. At the very outset he blocked McLoughlin's fastest shots and changed the pace and depth of his own in a manner which threw his opponent hopelessly off. The match had not progressed far before the Californian had deserted entirely the freedom and speed of his strokes, so essential to the success of his game, in his effort to steady himself and play carcfully. That such a change against Wright was a fatal mistake was immediately proved, and the Bostonian, with increased aggressiveness, held the upper hand throughout the remainder of play. McLoughlin tried everything to change the outcome, but at the net or remaining back it was with the same result. Wright's returns of service were directed at the Californian's feet and the slow rising volleys which necessarily resulted were quite useless and ineffective. There were many short, sharp and brilliant rallies, but the great majority resulted in favor of the ex-champion. The latter made remarkably few errors, whereas McLoughlin frequently misplayed shots of the easiest nature. The full score was $6 / 3,6 / 3,6 / 2$, and the match, though a failure from the standpoint of a contest, was interesting because of Wright's excellence.

Both semi-final matches ran true to form, but by what a narrow margin may be judged from the score of the Wright-Whitney engagement of $4 / 6$, $7 / 5,4 / 6,6 / 2,7 / 5$. Whitney, the interscholastic champion, was once within a point of victory, and in the minds of many spectators lost through youthful impetuosity when he volleyed a hard chop which, had it continued on its course, threatened to find a resting place far beyond the base line. Whitney won the first set, $6 / 4$, and lost the second only after the score was tied at 5 -all. He won the third, $6 / 4$, but Wright had all the better of the fourth at $6 / 2$. The fifth was nip-and-tuck until Whitney led at $5 / 4$ and $40 / 30$. He netted the next play and fell heavily in the one following. The mishaps slowed him up greatly and Wright won the game and set at 7/5. It was a great contest, but the winner seemed suffering from a reaction of his brilliant performance of the day before. His game was very

soft, and on the whole lacked the accuracy for which it is noted. The other semi-final ended in favor of Bundy, after four fast sets, during which Colston was played to a standstill. The Baltimorean, by steady, accurate driving, won the first set at $8 / 6$. He was quite as effective as his rival at the net and a little surer off the ground. But with the beginning of the second set Bundy decided on a plan of campaign against which Colston was practically helpless. With splendid control he resorted to change of pace that the straighter, harder game of his opponent was of little use against. He lobbed, drove and chopped his returns off short in such a fashion that Colston was constantly diving and rushing about the court and in the latter half of the match was physically unable to go through more than the formality of playing out the finish. It was a piece of unexcelled generalship, for which the winner was warmly applauded.

The final was played on August 24 and the result, when considered in view of the circumstances of the previous day's play, was less surprising than many at first imagined it to be.

Wright was obviously off and slow in starting, while Bundy struck his stride immediately. Sliarp but rather careful play gave the Westerner the opening set in little time at $6 / 3$, but there was general astonishment when he continued his winning streak with so little serious opposition, until the second set reached $2 / 1$ in his favor. Wright got a game at that point, but Bundy took the seventh at love and his second set a moment later.

Knowing Wright's proclivity for pulling matches out from apparently hopeless positions, the spectators waited expectantly for the Bostonian to take command, hut Bundy, throwing caution to the winds, played all around his opponent until he led at $5 / 1$. Wright won the succeeding game and it was Bundy's service at $5 / 2$. Several times was the Californian within a stroke of winning, but each time Wright pulled out and then began a run of brilliant, desperate play that is well-nigh impossible of description. Taking every chance, the internationalist hammered out game after game, until he led at $6 / 5$. Bundy evened the score then, but Wright killed lob after lob which his opponent kept sending up and took the set, $8 / 6$. It was a really wonderful pull-up and the enthusiasm of the enormous crowd was boundless. The fourth set was bitterly contested, and was for the most part a battle of services. Wright led up to $8 / 7$, at which point Bundy succeeded in breaking through for his own service, followed by Wright's. The next and last game was easily the Californian's, and the match ended when he smashed beautifully a high deep lob.

Unusual heat heralded in the day of the challenge match and continued to increase as time went on. Long before the contestants appeared the crowd commenced to assemble, and by the time play was called nearly four thousand had arranged themselves around the court.

Larned served first and took the game at love on three nets and an out. Bundy followed by winning at ${ }^{15}$. The champion seemed perplexed by the way in which the ball shot off his racket. He made one point on a weak return, which Bundy flubbed. At that point the latter seemed to lose his control and Larned, playing faster with each stroke, lost no time in running out five games. The second set was just the reverse of its predecessor. Bundy steadied and Larned slackened his pace a trifle, due probably to the extreme humidity. The latter played more from the base line, but the Californian, realizing the futility of a similar course, rushed the net whenever it was possible. The games were all close, but at last at $5 / 4$ Bundy broke through the title holder's delivery for the set. The third set started with Larned wearing a blue jockey cap, head covering he seldom resorts to, and Bundy discarding the hat which he had jammed on at the beginning of the second set. Evidently the latter felt unequal to the strain required of him at that time, for after a game of apparent deliberation which he lost, he made little effort for the remainder of the set, which the champion won at $6 / 0$.

With the beginning of the fourth set Larned, for the second time during
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the match, started poorly. Bundy was determined, and after winning his service, quickly ran up to $3 / 1$, largely due to Larned's errors. The latter then steadied and by faultless driving evened the score, allowing "Little Nap," as Bundy's enthusiastic friends are wont to term him, but one point. From then on it was very even, neither man being able to do much with the other's service. Bundy won his own to make the score $7 / 6$, running in and cutting off two hard side line drives for perfect stop volleys. At 30 -all in the following game Larned smashed a short lob out and Bundy made a beautiful backhand drive cross court for the set point.

Starting on an even basis at two sets all Bundy won his service for the opening game and thereby took the lead for the first and last time in the match. Larned won his own delivery, and then followed one of the fiercest rallies of the set for the advantage game. Larned finally won it and its loss spelled defeat for the stocky little challenger. Only once after that did he again fight it out with the American master. That was in the fifth game, when he succeeded in bringing the score to deuce. The rest of the set took but a few minutes. Bundy was in great distress, actually staggering and with all sense of judgment gone. Twice he struck wildly at balls that were yards from his racket and once he watched a ball, in a dazed manner, which with but a step forward, he might easily have reached. Larned, too, was thoroughly exhausted, but because he refused to play at the net and thereby covered only a small part of the ground Bundy did, his condition was much better than the challenger's when the end came.

First round-C. D. Jones defeated J. T. Crookston, by default; J. L. Cote, Jr., defeated Harry Seymour, by default; R. II. Palmer defeated Thomas Slidell, 6/2, $3 / 6,6 / 2,6 / 2$; J. H. L. Todd, Jr., defeated J. N. Du Barry, 3d, $6 / 2,6 / 3,6 / 3$; C. L. Cole defeated Richard Gambrill, 9/7, $6 / 2,6 / 0 ;$ R. M. Hoerr defeated N. M. Vose, $6 / 3,6 / 3,3 / 6,6 / 2$; F. J. Sulloway defeated R. M. McLoud, 6/r, $6 / 1,6 / 1 ;$. B. Lapsley defeated T. W. Potter, by default; W. Schroeder defeated G. C. Keeler, 3/6, 6/3, $6 / 4,6 / 3$; W. T. Bull defeated C. K. F. Andrews, by default; J. 1). E. Jones defeated H. P. Cross, $6 / 3,3 / 6,6 / 2,6 / 1 ;$ M. S. Clark defeated S. W. Merrihew, by default; N. W. Niles defeated F. S. Bain, by default; Beals C. Wright defeated Wm. Rosenbaum, 6/3, 6/ı, 6/2; G. M. Church defeated F. 13. Washburn, $6 / 4,6 / 2,6 / 1 ;$ R. A. Holden, Jr., defeated S. H. Yoshell, $6 / 2,2 / 6,6 / 1,6 / 4$; Ewing Stille defeated D. W. Dilworth, $6 / 2,6 / 3,6 / 4 ;$ E. L. Frailey defeated J. F. Talmidge, by default; E. S. N. Pendergast defeated R. B. MacIlvaine, by default; Paulding Fosdick defeated Vincent Astor, $6 / 4,6 / 4,2 / 6,6 / 3 ;$ F. T. Frelinghuysen defeated R. R. Dieter, by default; F. W. Paul, Jr., defeated W. F. Manice, by default; Ezra Gould defeated C. W. Lippitt, Jr., 6/3, 6/2, 6/1; H. H. Knight defeated N. P. Hutchinson, by default; E. T. Gross defeated G. S. Groesbeck, $6 / 3,6 / 1,6 / 1 ;$ R. Richardson defeated C. E. Sands, by default; M. G. Chace defeated C. D. Richardson, 6/0, 6/o, 5/o; Norman Johnson defeated W. P. Burden, by default; E. M. Sheppard defeated G. F. Parrish, $6 / 1,6 / 8,6 / 1,6 / 1 ;$ F. C. Inman defeated Walter L. Pate, $6 / 4,10 / 8,6 / 4$; A. S. Dabney, Jr., defeated S. J. Wagstaff, 6/2, 6/3, 6/1; C. L. Cooke defeated E. F. Torrey, Jr., 6/1, 6/1, 6/3; W. M. Washburn defeated Goodwin Hobbs, 6/2, $6 / 0,6 / 2$; T. W. Hendrick defeated C. L. Sherman, $6 / 1,6 / 0,6 / 1 ;$ G. S. Hinckley defeated R. R. Livingston, by default; Russell Perkins defeated Alex. Yarnel, by default; Dean Mathey defeated P. A. Degener, by default; T. S. Blumer defeated A. T. Baker, $6 / 1,6 / 3,6 / 1 ;$ F. H. Burr defeated G. F. Touchard, by default; C. M. Bull, Jr., defeated P. B. Hawk, by default; H. A. Mackinney defeated W. E. Heyl, Jr., 6/1, 6/2, 6/1; A. N. Riggio defeated Wm. D. Bourne, $6 / 4,6 / 2,5 / 7,6 / 4 ;$ G. T. Adee defeated R. W. Thomas, by default; J. S. Cushman defeated F. F. Derham, by default; G. R. Gardner defeated J. P. Jackson, by default; W. T. Cooke, Jr., defeated W. F. Symington, $6 / 2,6 / 3,6 / 2$; S. L. Beals defeated Wm. McCreath, by default; F. M.


Watrous defeated Chas. Garland, $6 / 4,2 / 6,6 / 3,6 / 2$; Alfred Codman defeated II. E. Colton, $6 / 2,8 / 6,10 / 8 ;$ P. D. Siverd defeated F. T. Thomas, $6 / 4,6 / 1,6 / 4$; Gardner Beals defeated Thomas Jay, 6/0, 6/2, 6/1; Walter Roberts defeated Douglas Campbell, by default; Edgar F. Leo defeated L. N. Thomas.

Second round-C. E. Cutting defeated D. F. Appleton, 6/2, 6/2, 6/2; F. P. Fox defeated Thos. Ridgeway, by default; L. II. Hobbs defeated F. B. Reeves, $6 / 4,6 / 3,6 / 1$; J. II. Kyte defeated John Thomas, by default; A. L. Sands defeated C. H. King, $3 / 6,7 / 5,4 / 6,6 / 3,6 / 1 ;$ J. S. Billings, Jr., defeated W. S. Brown, by default; King Smith defeated F. Cutting, by default; A. Stillman, 2d, defeated Roland Hazard, by default ; A. G. Thacher defeated E. P. Grosvenor, by default; F. H. Harris defeated Basil Wagner, by default; E. L. Winston defeated J. S. Brown, Jr., $6 / 2,6 / 2,8 / 6$; A. L. Hammett defeated F. M. Edgill, by default; E. H. Whitney defeated R. Brooks, by default; Shaw Mckean defeated J. C. Tomlinson, by default; F. P. Williams defeated M. D. Champlin, by default; A. D. Champlin defeated G. A. Thompson, by default; T. R. Pell defeated W. F. Johnson, $7 / 5,8 / 6,6 / 4 ;$ M. E. McLoughlin defeated B. M. Grant, 6/2, 6/1, 6/o; Jones defeated F. L. Conrad, by default; Palmer defeated Cote, $6 / 1,6 / 4,6 / 3$; Cole defeated Todd, $2 / 6,6 / 3,6 / 8,6 / 4$, $6 / 3$; Sulloway defeated Hoerr, $9 / 7,6 / 2,6 / 4$; Lapsley defeated Schroeder, $6 / 2,6 / 3,6 / 4$; Jones defeated Bull, $6 / 1,6 / 2,6 / 1$; Niles defeated Clark, $6 / 2,6 / 3,6 / 3$; Wright defeated Church, 6/4, 6/2, 6/1; Holden defeated Stille, 6/3, 6/0, 7/5; Pendergast defeated Frailey, 6/2, 6/2, 6/1; Fosdick defeated Freylinghuysen, $6 / 1,6 / 8,6 / 3,4 / 6,6 / 2$; Paul defeated Gould, $4 / 6,4 / 6,8 / 6,6 / 4,7 / 5$; Gross defeated Knight, 6/o, 6/o, 6/ı; Chace defeated Richardson, $6 / 0,6 / 1,6 / 2$; Johnson defeated Sheppard, 6/4, 2/6, 3/6, 6/3, 7/5; Inman defeated Dabney, 6/4, 6/4, 9/7; Washburn defeated Cooke, 6/3, 6/3, 6/4; Hendrick defeated Hickley, 6/3, 6/2, 6/3; Mathey defeated Perkins, $6 / 0,6 / 1,6 / 0$; Burr defeated Blumer, $6 / 2,2 / 6,6 / 2,6 / 1$; Bull defeated Mackinney, $8 / 6,11 / 9,6 / 2$; Reggio defeated Adee, 6/3, 4/6, $8 / 5,6 / 3$; Gardner defeated Cushman, $6 / 2,6 / 2,6 / 3$; Beals defeated Cooke, $6 / 0,6 / 3,6 / 2$; Watrous defeated Codman, $6 / 0,6 / 2,1 / 6,6 / 3$; Siverd defeated Beals, $7 / 9,9 / 7,6 / 4,6 / 4$; Leo defeated Roberts, by default; F. C. Colston defeated F. T. McAuslan, 6/2, 6/2, 6/1; L. H. Roper defeated R. C. Black, $6 / 4,6 / 2,6 / 8,2 / 6,6 / 3$; M. S. Charlock defeated Acton Griscom, $6 / 1,8 / 6,6 / 3 ; \mathrm{R}$. Wilson defeated R. Griswold, $6 / 3,6 / 2,6 / 3$; G. T. Thomas, Jr., defeated H. L. Williamson, by default: F. B. Hoffman, Jr., defeated T. J. Mullin, by default; C. Amory defeated Robert S. Taylor, by default; J. M. Holcombe, Jr., defeated J. H. Taylor, 6/r, 6/1, $6 / 3$; H. Nickerson defeated R. W. Grant, by default: T. C. Bundy defeated P. S. P. Rondolph, $6 / 0,6 / 0,6 / 0$; Stanley Henshaw defeated G. A. Lyons, Jr., by default; W. B. Cragin, Jr., defeated H. W. MacVickar, 6/o, 6/0, 6/0; M. A. Colton defeated C. A. Gammell, 3/6, 7/5, 8/8, 3/6, $6 / 4$; J. O. Ames defeated Colket Caner, 6/2, $13 / 11,6 / 0$; Ewing Taylor defeated Louis N. Noel, by default; F. W. Prichett defeated C. D. Winslow, by default; Craig Biddle defeated Dorr Newton, by default; H. W. Slocum defeated H. G. Simmons, 6/2, 7/5, 6/1; H. W. Stiness. defeated M. R. Kernochan, by default.

Third round-Cutting defeated Fox, 6/4, 4/6, 5/7, 7/5, 9/7; Kyte defeated Hobbs, 6/4, 6/4, 6/3; Sands defeated Billings, 7/5, 1/6, 6/3, 6/4; Stillman defeated Smith, 6/3, 6/2, 4/6, 7/5; Harris defeated Thacher, 6/0, $6 / 1,6 / 0$; Hammett defeated Winston, $6 / 4,6 / 1,6 / 1$; Whitney defeated McKean, $6 / 4,6 / 0,3 / 6,6 / 0$; Champlin defeated Williams, 6/8, 4/6, 7/5, $6 / 3,8 / 6$; McLoughlin defeated Pell, 6/3, $10 / 8,6 / 3$; Palmer defeated Jones, $6 / 1,6 / 3,1 / 6,6 / 2$; Sulloway defeated Cole, by default; Jones defeated Lapsley, $6 / 1,6 / 2,6 / 1$; Wright defeated Niles, $6 / 4,3 / 6,6 / 3,6 / 3$; Holden defeated Pendergast, 6/1, 7/9, 6/3, 6/2; Fosdick defeated Paul, 6/4, 4/6, $6 / 2,6 / 2$; Chace defeated Gross, $6 / 2,5 / 7,1 / 6,6 / 4,6 / 4$; Inman defeated Johnson, $8 / 6,6 / 2,6 / 1$; Hendrick defeated Washburn, $5 / 7,6 / 3,6 / 0,4 / 6$,


6/4; Mathey defeated Burr, 3/6, 6/4, 8/6, 6/3; Bull defeated Reggio, $6 / 8,3 / 6,6 / 2,4 / 6,7 / 5$; Gardner defeated Beals, $6 / 2,6 / 2,6 / 1$; Siverd defeated Watrous, $6 / 4,6 / 4,6 / 1$; Colston defeated Leo, 6/3, 6/1, 6/1; Charlock defeated Roper, $6 / 2,6 / 3,3 / 6,6 / 2$; Thomas defeated Wilson, $6 / 3,7 / 5,6 / 2$; Hoffman defeated Amory, by default; Nickerson defeated Holcombe, $7 / 9,7 / 9,6 / 2,6 / 4,6 / 3$; Bundy defeated Henshaw, 6/2, 6/4, 6/1; Cragin defeated Colton, $6 / 0,6 / 2,6 / 0$; Ames defeated Taylor, $3 / 6,6 / 2$, $6 / 2,6 / 3$; Biddle defeated Prichett, $6 / 3,6 / 2,6 / 1$; Slocum defeated Stiness, $6 / 4,6 / 3,6 / 1$.

Fourth round-Cutting defeated Kyte, 6/2, 8/6, 6/o; Stillman defeated Sands, $6 / 4,6 / 3,6 / 4$; Harris defeated Hammett, $6 / 3,6 / 3,6 / 3$; Whitney defeated Champlin, 6/2, 6/2, 6/1; McLoughlin defeated Palmer, 6/1, 2/6, 6/3, $6 / 2$; Jones defeated Sulloway, $3 / 6,6 / 2,10 / 8,6 / 1$; Wright defeated Holden, 6/3, 7/5, 9/7; Chace defeated Fosdick, 6/1, 6/1, 6/2; Inman defeated Hendrick, $6 / 3,6 / 4,4 / 6,6 / 3$; Mathey defeated Bull, $6 / 4,9 / 7,4 / 6,6 / 4$; Gardner defeated Siverd, 6/4, 6/2, 4/6, 6/4; Colston defeated Charlock, $2 / 6,6 / 1,6 / 3,6 / 4$; Thomas defeated Hoffman, 6/o, 6/2, 6/2; Bundy defeated Nickerson, 6/4, 6/2, 6/4; Cragin defeated Ames, 7/5, 6/3, 8/6; Biddle defeated Slocum, 6/2, 6/3, 6/8, 5/7, 6/4.

Fifth round-Cutting defeated Stillman, $8 / 10,6 / 4,3 / 6,6 / 4,6 / 2$; Whitney defeated Harris, 6/1, 4/6, 2/6, 6/1, 6/3; McLoughlin defeated Jones, 7/5, 6/4, 6/4; Wright defeated Chace, 6/1, 6/2, 6/3; Mathey defeated Inman, $6 / 3,6 / 2,6 / 3$; Colston defeated Gardner, $2 / 6,6 / 2,6 / 3,6 / 4$; Bundy defeated Thomas, $6 / 3,6 / 3,6 / 4$; Cragin defeated Biddle, $1 / 6,6 / 1,6 / 2,6 / 4$.

Sixth round-Whitney defeated Cutting, 6/2, 6/3, 7/5; Wright defeated McLoughlin, 6/3, 6/3, 6/2; Colston defeated Mathey, 6/4, 8/6, 6/4; Bundy defeated Cragin, $4 / 6,6 / 4,6 / 3,6 / 3$.

Semi-final round-Wright defeated Whitney, 4/6, 7/5, 4/6, 6/2, 7/5; Bundy defeated Colston, $6 / 8,6 / 1,6 / 3,6 / 3$.

Final round-Bundy defeated Wright, $6 / 3,6 / 3,6 / 8,10 / 8$.
Challenge round-W. A. Larned (holder) defeated T. C. Bundy (challenger), $6 / 1,5 / 7,6 / 0,6 / 8,6 / 1$.

## The Doubles Championship.

For the second time a team of Pacific Coast players succeeded in winring the preliminary doubles and the right to challenge F. B. Alexander and II. II. Hackett at Newport, the team who, since they first annexed the National Championship four years ago, have reigned supreme with many brilliant victories and not a single defeat to mar a faultless record.

The men who essayed to accomplish what not a few are beginning to believe impossible were T. C. Bundy and Trow Hendrick, the Californians who upset Western tennis calculation by disposing of the young American representatives to Australia of two years ago, M. E. McLoughlin and M. H. Long, in the Pacific Coast Doubles Championship.

The match was played on Tuesday, August 16, under fair conditions and before a crowd of several thousand. Hackett served first, and Alexander and he lost no time in getting under way. Both were in great form, particularly Alexander, whose topped drive rarely missed and was equally rarely returned. The challengers, on the other hand, were very slow in coming round, and during the first set, in which their lot was but a single game, their play for the most part was ridiculously weak. Probably underestimating the strength of the team opposing them, the champions took things rather easily in the beginning of the second set, and in almost no time the score stood at $4 / 1$ against them. As a result some of the prettiest and fastest tennis of the match came in the following three games. Hackett and Alexander, who had hitherto played an aggressive, if somewhat erratic game, found a worthy occasion to display a defense through which nothing could pass, a defense impregnable, with not one ball in ten
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terminating its flight on their side of the net. So it was that, not very long after they were threatened with a lost set, the score was called at 4 -all. It was Bundy's service in the ninth game and the terrific twist he succeeded in imparting to it made the ball slide off at various angles from his opponents' rackets for an easy game. Alexander followed, and the challengers, for the first and only time in the match, were within a point of a set. At $15 / 40$, a service ace, followed by a netted return and two kills by Hackett at the net, evened the score again, and the set finally ended at $8 / 6$. The third and final set was short-lived. With two sets won the title holders evidently felt they could well afford the liberty of 8 little recklessness and the result was an attack which, for its severity, has rarely been equalled in any important match. Alexander's forehand fairly whistled as it flew by and occasionally at his luckless opponents. The latter were compelled to remain entirely on the defensive, and though their constant lobbing, high and deep as it was, saved what otherwise would have been an earlier defeat, it, too, in the end proved of little avail, for Hackett's smashing was faultless. His peculiar stroke, made with a flat racket and his last ounce behind it, never varied, and the result was likewise unchangeable, for the ball never came back.

The score of the match was $6 / 1,8 / 6,6 / 3$.


MISS HAZEL HOTCHKISS,
National Champion, 1909 and 1910.

## Women's National Championship

Both in play and in class of entries the Twenty-fifth Annual Women's Championship, which was begun on June 20 on the courts of the Philadelphia Cricket Club, fell below the standard of a year ago. Miss Hazel Hotchkiss, the holder, came on from San Francisco to defend the title which she won a year earlier, and although her challenger, Miss Louise E. Hammond, put up a much stronger game than had Mrs. Barger Wallach in 1909, Miss IIotchkiss' play was decidedly more erratic than it had been on the former occasion. Unusual heat was a disagreeable feature of the week, and it was not until Friday that a cool wave alleviated this disagreeable condition. Among the names missing in the entry list were those of Mrs. Barger Wallach and Miss Evelyn Sears, champions of 1907 and 1908, respectively, and there were others from New York and Boston, who, though less notable, had added much to the interest of the tournament in past seasons.

In the third round Miss Lois Moyes of Toronto and Miss Green of Philadelphia were eliminated. Miss Wildey was the vanquisher of Miss Moyes, and although the winner was conceded only a slight chance when the match began, her play was of the caliber which promised great things in future. Miss Moyes failed to score a set, and was defeated, 6/3, 6/4. Miss Browning was the cause of Miss Green's troubles in this round, and although the latter managed to win the first set she fell off badly in the second and third.

On Thursday the feature match was that in which Miss Hammond faced Miss Edith Rotch, of Boston, in the upper semi-final bracket. Miss Hammond, however, proved far too strong and steady a player for her Eastern rival, and the match was won in straight sets, $7 / 5,6 / 2$. The remaining match of the day was a closely fought battle between Miss Browning and Miss Wildey. Miss Browning won the first set in short order at $6 / 1$, Miss Wildey during that period apparently being unable to get any sort of control of her strokes. In the second set, after running into a lead of $3 / 0$ and later of $4 / 1$, Miss Browning eased her game considerably, and Miss Wildey evened the score at 4 -all. The former then renewed the speed of her shots, and in the last two games Miss Wildey was forced on the defensive, at which she could hardly hope for a favorable result.

The final was a one-sided affair with fortune always on Miss Ifammond's side of the net. Miss Browning's weak backhand was made the target of the majority of Miss Hammond's stinging drives, and there was never a moment of doubt as to the final outcome. Throughout the two sets Miss Hammond played consistently, and placed so well that she did comparatively little running. In the second set Miss Browning, because of Miss Hammond's determination not to exert herself unnecessarily, got to $4 / 5$, but it was her last stand of the match.

The challenge round, played on Saturday afternoon, June 25, found conditions for tennis ideal. The heat had lessened perceptibly, and a recordbreaking crowd took the trip to St. Martin's to see what effect the admittedly best racket wielder of the East would make on the remarkable California girl's game. It was shortly after three o'clock when play began, and the service fell to Miss Hammond's lot. This fact did not prove an advantage to the challenger, for Miss Hotchkiss, by deep driving to her opponent's backhand, won the game. The tide changed at this point, when through a series of outs and nets by the Californian, Miss. Hammond was enabled to jump into a lead of $3 / 1$. Miss Hotchkiss got going better then, and by hard, deep driving, soon had Miss Hammond on the run. The former came into the net oftener, and with this style of play the lead
changed to Miss Hotchkiss at $4 / 3$. The eighth game was very close. Miss Hammond got to $30 / 40$ on Miss Hotchkiss' serve, but the latter saved it by a fast drive to Miss Hammond's forehand. Two successive shots to the latter's backhand resulted in short lobs, and Miss Hotchkiss killed both, thereby making the score in her favor $5 / 3$. Miss Hammond won her service in the next game, and even got an advantage in the tenth, but here again the champion rose to the occasion, and, by taking a net position, won three successive points and the first set, $6 / 4$.

The second set was not as close as its predecessor. Miss Hotchkiss took the net oftener, and by splendid smashing soon ran the score up to $4 / 1$ in her favor. In the next game she made faulty returns of Miss Hammond's service, and the latter took advantage of it for her second game in the set. The last two games were played in whirlwind fashion by the titleholder. For the first time her challenger was really outclassed, and a series of sharp drives to Miss Hammond's backhand decided the championship for the second time in favor of Miss Hotchkiss.

Miss Hotchkiss paired with Miss Rotch in the doubles, and here again she was successful, although in the first round she and her partner struck Tarters in Miss Moyes and Miss Green. It was the best contest of the tournament, and was only won by the close score of $10 / 8,6 / 4$. In the final the winners faced Miss Browning and Miss Wildey, and the score in their favor went in two sets, each at 6/4.
J. R. Carpenter, Jr., and Miss Motchkiss proved the best of the mixed doubles teams entered, and none of their opponents were successful in winning a set from them. In the final they disposed of Miss Wildey and H. M. Tilden at $6 / 2,6 / 2$.

In the men's event, which is always run in conjunction with the women's championship, Tilden and Carpenter came together in the final round, and, while the match produced really excellent tennis, Tilden throughout the three sets held the upper hand. The summaries:

## WOMEN'S SINGLES.

First round-Miss Louise Hammond d. Miss M. Sattersfield, 6/2, 6/1; Mrs. W. H. Pouch d. Mrs. WV. Fischer; Mrs. Sullivan d. Miss Lillie Cheston, $60,6 / 3$; Miss Lucy Disston d. Miss Caroline Stokes, 6/1, 6/1; Miss E. Rotch d. Miss Dorothy Disston, 6/2, 62 ; Miss E. G. Ostheimer d. Miss Meredith, 6 4, 6/o; Miss Clara Chase d. Miss M. Merrick, 7/5, 6/3; Miss Edna Wildey d. Miss H. E. Mills, 6/2, 6/1; Mrs. C. K. Oliphant d. Miss B. Chanveau, 6/2, 6/2; Miss Lois Moyes d. Miss N. Ostheimer, 6/2, 6/2; Mrs. Drexel Paul d. Miss M. Pilling, 6/1, 6/0; Miss Browning d. Miss B. Morgan, 6/3, 6/o.

Second round-Miss C. Neely d. Miss C. Cassels, 6/1, 6/1; Miss Hammond d. Mrs. Pouch, $6 / 1,63$; Mrs. Sullivan d. Miss Lucy Disston, 6/2, 4/6, 6/0; Miss Rotch d. Miss E. G. Ostheimer, 6/2, 6/2; Miss Wildey d. Miss Chase, by default; Miss Moyes d. Mrs. Oliphant, 6/o, 6/2; Miss Browning d. Mrs. Paul, 7/5, 6/2; Miss Dorothy Green d. Miss H. D. Alexander, $6 / 2,6 / 4$.

Third round-Miss Hammond d. Miss Neely, 6/1, 8/6; Miss Rotch d. Mrs. Sullivan, 6/2, 6/4; Miss Wildey d. Miss Moyes, 6/3, 6/4; Miss Browning d. Miss Green, 3/6, 6/3, 6/2.

Seni-final round-Miss Hammond d. Miss Rotch, 7/5, 6/2; Miss Browning d. Miss Wildey, 6/ı, 6/4.

Final rotund-Miss Hammond d. Miss Browning. 6/2, 6/4.
Challenge round-Miss Hazel Hotchkiss (holder) d. Miss Louise Hammond, $6 / 4,6 / 2$.

WOMEN'S DOUBLES.
First round-Miss Moyes and Miss Green d. Miss M. Disston and Miss H. A. Rodgers, 6/r, 6/4; Mrs. D. Paul and Miss C. A. Biddle d. Miss C. Cassel and Miss N. Ostheimer, $1 / 6,6 / 2,6 / 2$; Miss Merrick and Miss Ross
d. Miss Anita Phipps and Mrs. D. Griscom, 6/3, 6/1; Mrs. Sullivan and Miss Meredith d. Miss Weiner and Miss Sattersfield, 6/3, 6/3; Miss Browning and Miss Wildey d. Miss E. G. Ostheimer and Miss Alexander, $6 / 3,6 / 3$.

Second round-Miss Rotch and Miss H. Hotchkiss d. Miss Moyes and Miss Green, $10 / 8,5 / 4$; Mrs. Paul and Miss Biddle d. Miss Merrick and Miss Ross, 6/2, 6/4; Miss Browning and Miss Wildey d. Mrs. Sullivan and Miss Meredith, $60,6 / 2$; Mrs. W. H. Pouch and Miss C. B. Neely d. Miss L. Disston and Miss 1). Disston, 6/4, 6/3.

Semi-final round-Miss Rotch and Miss Hotchkiss d. Mrs. Paul and Miss Biddle, 6 2, $6_{4}$; Miss Browning and Miss Wildey d. Mrs. Pouch and Miss Neely, 6/4, 0/6, 6/2.

Final round-Miss Rotch and Miss Hotchkiss d. Miss Browning and Miss Wildey, 6/4, 6/4.

## MIXED DOUBLES.

Preliminary round-Mrs. W. H. Pouch and R. Thayer, Jr., d. Miss D. Disston and C. B. Fraley, $7 / 5,9 / 7$; Miss Edith Rotch and W. F. Johnson d. Miss M. Jisston and F. E. D. Newbold, 6/2, 6/4.

First round-Miss Green and C. S. Rodgers d. Miss Oliphant and E. Townsend, $62,6 / 1$; Miss Neely and L. S. Oliver d. Miss Sayers and W. M. Stewart, 3it, 6/2, 6/1; Miss Moyes and A. Thayer d. Miss Hammond and II. J). Kiley, $5 / 7,6 / 2,6 / 4$; Miss Hotchkiss and J. R. Carpenter, Jr., d. Mrs. Pouch and R. Thayer, 6/2, 6/2; Miss Rotch and Johnson d. Miss Henry and M. W. Stroud, Jr., by default; Miss Wildey and H. M. Tilden d. Miss Browning and W. Jordon, 6/4, 3/6, 6/3; Mrs. Sullivan and J. C. Neely d. Miss Cassel and F. H. Gibbs, by default; Miss Biddle and F. E. Dixon d. Miss Kinsler and C. L. Elliott, 6/2, 5/7, 6/3.

Second round-Miss Neely and Oliver d. Miss Green and Rodgers, 6/3, $6 / 8,6 / 4$; Miss Hotchkiss and Carpenter d. Miss Moyes and A. Thayer, $6 / 1,8 / 6$; Miss Wildey and Tilden d. Miss Rotch and Johnson, 3/6, 6/1, 12/10; Mrs. Sullivan and Neely d. Miss Biddle and Dixon, 4/6, 7/5, 6/4.

Semi-firal round-Miss Hotchkiss and Carpenter d. Miss Neely and Oliver, $6 / 2,6 / 2$; Miss Wildey and Tilden d. Mrs. Sullivan and Neely, $6 / 3,6 / 1$.

Final round-Miss Hotchkiss and Carpenter d. Miss Wildey and Tilden, $6 / 2,6 / 2$.

## MEN'S SINGLES.

Preliminary round-J. Thayer d. O. H. P. Pepper, 6/8, 6/1, 6/1; William Jordan d. M. L. Harris, 6/1, 7/5.

First round-P. B. Hawk d. L. S. Oliver, 6/1, 6/3; J. M. Wistar d. R. Thayer, $6 / 3,7 / 5$; W. H. Trotter d. F. E. Dixon, $6 / 4,7 / 5$; E. Thayer d. R. N. Landreth, $6 / 3,8 / 6$; H. D. Riley d. P. S. Mills, $6 / 1,6 / 2 ;$ J. R. Carpenter, Jr., d. C. S. Rodgers, 6/4, 6/4; Van Horn d. C. L. Elliott, $6 / 2,6 / 3 ;$ J. Thayer d. S. M. Peacock, $6 / 3,5 / 3$, defaulted; William Jordan d. J. Strawbridge, $6 / 2,6 / 1$; A. Thayer d. J. W. Gibbons, 6/8, 6/4; W. L. Landreth d. William M. Stewart, $6 / 0,6 / 1$; E. Townsend d. W. B. Dixon, $6 / 1,6 / 8,6 / 3$; H. M. Tilden d. F. H. Gibbs, $6 / 4,6 / 1$; H. J. Lawrence, Jr., d. E. Stille, 6/2, 4/6, 6/2; Neely d. F. E. D. Newbold, 6/3, 6/1; S. W. Pearson d. W. D. Disston, 6/1, 3/6, 6/1.

Second round-Hawk d. Wistar, 6/1, 6/3; Trotter d. E. Thayer, 6/3, $6 / 4$; Carpenter d. Riley, 6/2, 6/4; Van Horn d. J. Thayer, 6/2, 6/4; Jordan d. A. Thayer, $6 / 2,6 / 4$; Landreth d . Townsend, 6/2, 4/6, 6/4; Tilden d. Lawrence, 4/6, 6/1, 6/ı; Pearson d. Neely, 6/4, 4/6, 6/4.

Third round-Hawk d. Trotter, 6/2, 6/3; Carpenter d. Van Horn, 6/3, $3 / 6,6 / 1$; Jordan d. Landreth, $1 / 6,6 / 1,6 / 3$; Tilden d. Pearson, 6/4, 1/6, 6/2.

Semi-final round-Carpenter d. Hawk, $2 / 6,6 / 2,6 / 3$; Tilden d. Jordan, $6 / 3,6 / 1$.

Final round-Tilden d. Carpenter, 6/3, 6/4, 10/8.

## Preliminary National Doubles

On August 2 the first match to decide the challengers of $F$. B. Alexander and H. H. Hackett, the doubles champions, was played on the Onwentsia Club's courts at Chicago. Again, as was the case last year, four sections sent teams to compete for this honor. Two of these were the result of most remarkable form reversals in their respective tournaments. T. C. Bundy and T. W. Hendrick, from California, journeyed to Chicago after having upset all calculations in the Pacific Coast Doubles Championship by disposing of the young international team, M. E. McLoughlin and M. H. Long. The other unexpected combination came from Longwood, where the Eastern Doubles Tournament had produced one upset after the other. With such a team as Wright and Little competing at Longwood it seemed strange indeed to have a youthful pair in the persons of E. H. Whitney and $k$. Bishop represent the strongest temnis section of the country. Paul Gardner and L. H. Waidner were the Western representativs, while from the South came the Doyle brothers, C. B. and H. E. The first match began at 2 o'clock, under ideal weather conditions. It brought together Waidner and Gardner and the Doyles. The latter have played together for many years and their chances of success were considered rather better than Waidner's and Gardner's. However, their play was very disappointing, being at times brilliant but, for the most part, woefully weak. They were very erratic, and, on their ground strokes, no match for their opponents. The latters' steadiness and excellent lobbing compelled them to remain much of the time in the back court, and, probably due to the fact that they could not reach the net, they were defeated in straight sets, $6 / 3$, $6 / 4,6 / 3$. As soon as that match had been concluded the one between Hendrick and Bundy and Whitney and lishop was begun. The latter started well and by very steady play ran out the first set, 6/4. Their win caused very general surprise, for no one conceded them much of a chance against their older rivals. The second set was quite close, but at $5 / 4$ Bundy succeeded in winning a love game with his sharp twisting service. The third set was the fastest of the five played. The Californians started in to rush, and by taking the net constantly, soon had the Eastern champions entirely on the defensive. The only game they won in the set was on Bishop's service, when, by clever placing, he forced Bundy and Hendrick to pass up weak returns to Whitney at the net. The fourth set was a great reversal for the Western combination. Whitney and Bishop started in at a terrific clip, and, in less time than it takes to tell, ran the score up to $4 / 0$. Hendrick won his service at that point, but the next game went to the Longwood winners, giving them a lead of $5 / 1$. The Coast players then made a great stand, and after forcing the next three games, lost the tenth on Whitney's service and the set, 6/4. Bundy's service started the fifth set, and he won it easily. Whitney's came next, but after serving two double faults, he dropped his game. Hendrick increased the lead to $3 / 0$, and from that point on there was little doubt as to the ultimate conclusion of the match. Bishop won his game, making the score $4 / 1$, and a few minutes later Whitney did likewise. The final score was 6/2. A high wind made playing conditions rather unfavorable the following day, when the final match was played between Bundy and Hendrick and Gardner and Waidner. It took some time for the men to gauge the strength of their shots, and in the first few games, many balls, which, under ordinary conditions would have dropped in, were carried out of the court by the wind. The first set was very even. At $5 / 4$ the Westerners were twice within a point of winning the set, but a brilliant smash of Bundy's evened the score. Waidner's serve followed, and aided by a double fault from Bundy, together with two weak returns by Hendrick, the Westerners took the first
set, 7/5. With their own service to start the second set, the Chicagoans' chance seemed a very good one, but Gardner lost his service and, when Bundy won his, the lead thereby afforded the Coast men was never overcome, and the latter evened the set score by winning the second, $6 / 4$. The third set was very close up to 3 -all, but at that point the Californians tried many shots, all of which were successful, and jumped into the lead at $5 / 3$. Waidner won his service again then, but Bundy's was equally strong, and the third set ended exactly as had the second. The fourth set was all the Californians', for after 2 -all Waidner and Gardner were forced to play a defensive game, which, against Bundy's splendid overhead work and Hendrick's steadiness, was little better than useless. The ninth game of the set was very closely contested, but, after getting to deuce, Gardner volleyed into the net and wound up the match with a double fault.


First National Clay Court Champion and No. 5 in Ranking.

## First Clay Court Championship

A new and most important event was inaugurated in 1910, when, largely through the efforts of Dr. P. B. Hawk and other Western experts, a clay court championship was established. This tournament was begun on August 1 on the courts of the Omaha Field Club. An entry list in excess of 100 crowned the efforts of the management of this tournament, and prominent men both from the East and Pacific Slope were guests of the club during the week. From every standpoint this first championship was successful. The crowds estimated on the day of the finals of singles and doubles were in the neighborhood of 5,000 , and at no event played throughout the year was a greater or more general interest shown. There was a good deal of speculation on the degree of success those interested in this event would attain, but when all was over the general consensus of opinion was that no tournament had proved a more popular or, from the player's standpoint, a more successful one. It so happened that the draw was very evenly divided, so far as the strength of the better men was concerned, although it was a foregone conclusion that Melville H. Long, who had traveled from San Francisco, would in all likelihood win the championship. Long was in the lower half, together with the veteran, F. G. Anderson, and in the upper half were W. M. Hall, of New York; W. T. Hayes, one of Chicago's foremost players, and the president of the new Clay Court Association, Ir. P. B. Hawk.

There were no matches of great importance played in the first round, Anderson, Hall, Hawk, Barton and Long all coming through without any difficulty. In the second round Drummond Jones of St. Louis disposed of J. T. Bailey of Oklahoma in what proved to be the most interesting match of that bracket. Bailey played very well under the circumstances, but after capturing the second set, $6 / 4$, was unequal to the strain required of him and lost the deciding one, $6 / 3$. The first unexpected result of the tournament was when John Barton of Sioux Falls defeated Dr. Hawk in a very close match, but Hawk, after coming close to a win in the second set, dropped it, $7 / 5$, and thereafter trailed Barton for the remainder of the match. In the same round Anderson defeated Scribner in a rather close match, while Hall experienced considerable difficulty in disposing of the promising young Westerner, Armstrong, in three fast sets. Long met and won from C. Potter with the same ease with which he had won his previous matches. In the fourth round the matches were three out of five sets. In the upper half Hayes' speed proved too much for Barton and the former won in straight sets. Hall was the other winner in the upper half, while in the lower Jones played a great five-set match with Branson. Branson was outclassed in the first set and failed to win a game. In the second, Jones, possibly over-confident, was outsteadied, Iranson winning at $6 / 4$ : In the third set Jones again won without the loss of a game, and after coming within a point of the fourth was finally deprived of it because of Branson's accuracy after twenty-two games had been played. The fifth set was very even, but Jones lasted better, winning finally at $7 / 5$.

What proved the most interesting match of the tournament was that in which Long vanquished F. G. Anderson, thereby winning his place in the lower semi-final. Anderson's great experience, strength and steadiness were supposed to concede him at least a chance with his remarkably brilliant young opponent. From the standpoint of a contest, however, it was ridiculously one-sided. Anderson was steady enough and his ground strokes fast and accurate, but Long played a really wonderful game. At the net or in the back court he played a better game than the Easterner, while his generalship was infinitely better. Anderson relied wholly upon

his driving, but his service was so weak that he failed to follow it in, and in the majority of instances the point ended on Long's first return. Long, on the other hand, had a splendid delivery, which he followed to the net. It was so fast that Anderson's returns were often very weak and Long volleyed or smashed for a kill. The entire match consumed exactly nineteen minutes, Long winning by the one-sided score of $6 / 3,6 / 0,6 / 1$. In the semi-finals Hall ended the aspirations of Hayes after four fairly close sets. The latter's forehand drive was very good and Hall apparently could not keep the ball from the Westerner's reach. The latter won the first set, 6/4. In the second set the New Yorker directed his efforts principally to Hayes' backhand, which was quite weak. By playing it deep in the corners and running in, Hall had innumerable opportunities at the net, and, making the most of them, ran out the following three sets, $6 / 3$, $6 / 4,6 / 2$. This match was considered by many to be in the nature of an upset, but it was really the result of Hall's better generalship. In the lower half Jones succeeded in making the best stand against Long of any of the latter's opponents. Long was not quite as severe in this match as in his others, but nevertheless maintained a safe lead throughout the three sets. The score was $6 / 4,6 / 4,6 / 3$. While disappointing in many respects, the final between Long and Hall was well worth seeing, if for no other reason than witnessing Long's wonderful skill. Hall was hopelessly outclassed, and, in the three sets, succeeded in winning but two games. During the match, which was but little longer lived than the Long-Anderson one, Hall tried everything he knew to change the tide of battle. It was all useless, however, for 110 matter what he tried Long more than equalled him on any sort of a shot. The principal difference was the speed which Long succeeded in attaining. His returns, all lightning fast, were surer even than Hall's slower shots. Toward the end of the match the Easterner resorted to high lobs, but these had as little effect as any other stroke he attempted, and the last five points of the match were earned by Long on terrific smashes. The score was $6 / 0,6 / 1,6 / 1$.

There was considerably more competition in the doubles than in the singles, no team being as superior in this event as Long was to the rest of the field in singles. Again the draw was a very even one, the two strongest teams being the Anderson and Hayes combination and Long and Scribner. True to form, they came through their respective halves, and this time the spectators were treated to a fight which lasted the full five sets. In the first two, Long and Scribner had all the better of it, winning at $6 / 2,6 / 3$. In the third, however, Hayes and Anderson ran six consecutive games. They continued their winning streak in the fourth set, in which they led safely throughout, and in the fifth they again took command and won, $6 / 2$. Long was decidedly off in this match, and did not approach the form he displayed throughout the singles. Scribner more than upheld his end, while Anderson and Hayes, though by no means a brilliant combination, played a steady, accurate game. The match was played immediately after the final singles, and Long's poor game may be attributed to a reaction from his match with Hall. Anderson proved the most resourceful and cleverest of the four. He could be depended on for all his returns, and with an occasional brilliant streak from his partner, Hayes, was more than a match for the losers. To demonstrate the interest taken in this match, it is worthy of note that when play began 6,000 people were on hand to witness it. The summaries:

## MEN'S SINGLES.

Preliminary round-J. Barton d. A. Gordon, by default; W. T. Hayes d. H. Maxwell, $6 / 3,6 / 4$; H. McCune d. F. Pollard, $6 / 1,6 / 2$; L. W. Webber d. S. Doherty, $7 / 5,3 / 6,6 / 1$; R. Dosh d. E. Brinker, by default; W. M. Hall d. O. Throckmorton, $6 / 3,6 / 2$; R. Rainey d. II. Davis, by default; E. Meyer d. A. Frederick, 6/o, 6/o; W. Stewart Gilman d. C. Powell, by default; F. Lynn d. W. E. Schnorr, by default; J. Armstrong d. C. Norton,
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6/1, 6/2; G. Williams d. II. Sternberg, 6/2, 6/1; C. Spiece d. M. Buck, $6 / 0,6 / 2 ;$ H. Gifford d. J. Adams, 6/2, 6/2; F. Duffrene d. C. Metz, by default; F. Potter d. Lee Van Camp, by default; R. Hoerr d. J. Hughes, $7 / 5,6 / 3$; S. S. Caldwell d. R. Howe, $6 / 1,6 / 1$; J. Whitmer d. W. H. Weisen, $1 / 6,6 / 2,6 / 4$; J. Cannon d. E. T. Robinson, $7 / 5,6 / 1$; F. G. Anderson d. C. B. Abbott, 6/t, 6/1; L. S. McKenzie d. H. F. Reed, 6/2, 6/2; D. Doherty d. R. Beard, by default; C. A. Meyer d. C. II. Young, by default; L. R. Robinson d. H. Simpson, 6/4, 6/3; A. II. Scribner d. Sam Burns, $6 / 4,6 / 3$; C. F. Schaeffer d. E. J. Van Wie, 8/6, 6/4; E. M. Durlinger d. E. Buck, by default; C. Potter d. M. G. Colpetzer, 7/5, 6/3; B. Smythe d. P. Wehrner, by default; W. F. Hicks d. L. L. Kuntze, $6 / 3,6 / 2$; II. Davis d. (. A. McMichaels, 6/4, 6.3: M. Swartz d. P. leaton, by default; A. E. Kull d. A. Negley, by default; M. II. Long d. L. S. McConnell, 6/r, 6/1; R. O. Funkhouser d. G. Wallersteadt, 6/2, 6/3; Drummond Jones d. Harry Koch, 6/3, 6/ı; P. Davis d. C. Utz, by default; J. T. Bailey d. H. Kohn, 6/2, 6/2.

First round-P. B. Hawk d. J. Webster, 6/3, 6/3; O. J. Sweet d. K. Hatch, $6 / 2,6 / 2$; W. S. Warfield d. F. L. Stebbins, 6/0, 6/4; John Barton d. Will M. Wood, 6/1, 6/1; A. I. l)ickinson d. Rev. F. D. Tyner, 6/1, 6/4; R. Farrell d. E. E. Shackelford, 6/2, 6/4; Hayes d. J. Barton, by default; Webber d. McCune, by default; Ilall d. Dosh, 6/1, 6/1; Meyer d. Rainey, $6 / 3,6 / 2$; Gilman d. Lynn, 6/o, 6/1; Armstrong d. Williams, 6/3, 7/5; Spiece d. Gilford, 6/4, 7/5; F. Potter d. Duffrene, 6/4, 6/2; Hoerr d. Caldwell, 6/3, $9 / 7$; Cannon d. Whitmer, 6/3, $9 / 7$; Anderson d. L. McKenzie, 6/o, $6 / 1$; Meyer d. Doherty, 6/4, 1/6, 6/4; Scribner d. Robinson, by default; Durlinger d. Schaeffer, $4 / 6,6 / 2,6 / 0$; C. Potter d. Smythe, 6/4, 6/3; Hicks d. Davis, $6 / 3,6 / 1$; Kull d. Swariz, 6/4, 3/6, 6/3; Long d. Funkhouser, $6 / 1,6 / 1$; Jones d. P. Davis, 6/3, 6/1; Bailey d. G. Rasmussen, by default; H. Driscoll d. J. Ward, 6/r, 3/6, 6/2; R. L. Simmons d. J. L. Richey, $6 / 3,6 / 1 ;$ T. F. Kennedy d. C. H. Gardner, 6/3, 6/o; L. H. Taylor d. Lieut. W. N. Haskell, by default; Ray Branson d. H. Farrell, 6/2, 7/5; Don Gould d. J. T. Haynie, by default.

Second round-Hawk d. Sweet, 6/o, 6/3; Barton d. Warfield, 6/3, 6/2; Dickinson d. Farrell, 6/1, 6/o; Hayes d. Webber, 6/1, 6/2; 1Iall d. Meyer, $6 / 1,6 / 2$; Armstrong d. Gilman, 6/1, 6/4; Spiece d. F. Potter, 6/3, 6/4; Iloerr d. Cannon, $9 / 7,6 / 3$; Anderson d. C. A. Meyer, 6/1, 6/ı; Scribner d. Durlinger, 6/2, 6/2; C. Potter d. Hicks, 6/4, 6/2; Long d. Kull, 6/o, 6/1 ; Jones d. Bailey, $6 / 2,4 / 6,6 / 3$; Simmons d. Driscoll, 6/3, 6/4; Kennedy d. Taylor, $6 / 1$, $6 / 1$; Branson d. Gould, by default.

Third round-Barton d. Hawk, $4 / 6,7 / 5,6 / 3$; Hayes d. Dickinson, 6/1, 6/2; Hall d. Armstrong, 7/5, 2/6, 6/2; Hoerr d. Spiece, 5/7, 6/1, 6/2; Anderson d. Scribner $8 / 6,6 / 3$; Long d. C. Potter, $6 / 1,6 / 1$; Jones $d$. Simmons, 6/2, 6/4; Granson d. Kennedy, 6/4, 8/6.

Fourth round-Hayes d. Barton, 6/4, 8/6, 6/2; Hall d. Hoerr, 5/7, 6/o, $6 / 2,6 / 0$; Long d. Anderson, 6/3, 6/0, 6/1; Jones d. Branson, 6/o, 4/6, $6 / 0,10 / 12,7 / 5$.

Semi-final round-Hall d. Hayes, $4 / 6,6 / 3,6 / 4,6 / 2$; Long d. Jones, $6 / 4,6 / 4,6 / 3$.

Final round-M. H. Long d. W. M. Hall, 6/o, 6/1, 6/1.

## MEN'S DOUBLES.

Preliminary round-R. Hoerr and D. Jones d. Doherty and Doherty, 6/ı, 6/о.

First round-Kennedy and Burns d. Whitmer and Whitmer, 5/o, 3/6, 6/3; J. Webster and M. Buck d. Caldwell and Rasmussen, 6/4, 6/3; Bailey and Spiece d. Gilman and Hicks, 4/6, 6/4, 6/2; Warfield and F. Gifford d. Reynolds and Dunning, 6/o, 6/o; Potter and H. Koch d. Dickenson and Potter, 7/5, 6/ı; Olin Sweet and Dosh d. Gardner and McKenzie, 6/0, 6/I;
F. G. Anderson and Walter T. Hayes d. Durlinger and Shackelford, by default; R. Hoerr and D. Jones d. R. R. Rainey and M. T. Swartz, 6/2, 6/3; M. Long and A. W. Scribner d. Taylor and Kull, 6/1, 6/o; Throckmorton and Sternberg d. Price and Bender, $6 / 2,6 / 4$; E. Meyer and Williams d. Lake and Thompson, 6/1, 7/5; Joseph Armstrong and Jack Cannon d. Duffrene and McKune, $6 / 2,6 / 3$; Hawk and Hall d O'Keefe and Taylor, 6/1, 6/i; Funkhouser and C. A. Meyer d. Howe and Stebbens, 6/4, 6/3; Branson and Carton d. Smythe and Farrell, by default; Colpetzer and McConnell d. McMichaels and partner, by default.

Second round-Webster and Buck d. Kennedy and Burns, 7/5, 6/4; Bailey and Spiece d. Warfield and Gifford, 7/5, 6/o; Potter and Koch d. Sweet and Dosh, 6/3, 6/2, 7/5; Anderson and Hayes d. Hoerr and Jones, 2/6, 6/8, 6/2; Long and Scribner d. Throckmorton and Sternberg, 6/r, 6/3; Armstrong and Cannon d. Meyer and Williams, 2/6, 6/1, 6/4; Hawk and Hall d. Funkhouser and C. A. Meyer, 6/3, 6/o; Branson and Barton d. Colpetzer and McConnell, 6/2, 6/i.

Third round-Bailey and Spiece d. Webster and Buck, 6/2, 6/3, 4/6, 6/4; Anderson and Hayes d. Potter and Koch, 6/4, 7/5, 6/2; Long and Scribner d. Armstrong and Cannon, 7/9, 6/2, 6/1, 9/5; Branson and Barton d. Hawk and Hall, 6/1, 4/6, 8/ı0, 6/2, 6/ı.

Semi-final round-Anderson and Hayes d. Bailey and Spiece, 8/6, 6/2, 6/4; Long and Scribner d. Branson and Barton, 6/4, 6/4, 6/o.

Final round-F. G. Anderson and W. T. Hayes d. M. H. Long and $\Lambda$. Scribner, $2 / 6,3 / 6,6 / 1,6 / 3,6 / 3$.

## Intercollegiate Championship

R. A. Holden, Jr. of Yale won the thirtieth intercollegiate tennis championship tournament, which was held on the courts of the Merion Cricket Club on September 7 and following days.

In the first round the greatest surprise of the tournament occurred, when Sweetser of Harvard defeated Dean Mathey of Princeton in an interesting four-set match. Neither of the men played a fast game and Mathey was more or less erratic. The score was $6 / 4,3 / 6,6 / 1,6 / 2$.

The final match, between Holden and Sweetser, was a good exhibition of fast play and headwork. In the end Holden won by his clever tactics, by the score of $3 / 6,6 / 3,6 / 2,8 / 6$.

Dean Mathey and B. N. Dell of Princeton won the doubles championship, defeating Evans and Goodhue of Yale in the final round. Although the match only lasted three sets, it was much closer than the score indicates. The summaries:

## MEN'S SINGLES.

Preliminary round-S. Raleigh (Princeton) d. M. Conger (Williams), 6/o, 7/5, 6/4; W. Frazier-Campbell (Harvard) d. R. B. McClave (Cornell), 7/5, 7/5, 6/4; F. H. Gates (Yale) d. R. B. Jamison (Pennsylvania), $6 / 2,6 / 2,6 / 1$.

First round-R. A. Holden, Jr. (Yale) d. E. Thayer (Pennsylvania), $6 / 4,6 / 3,6 / 3 ;$ G. C. Thomas (1'rinceton) d. H. Nickson (Harvard), 5/7, $6 / 2,75,6 / 4$; H. Evans (Pennsylvania) d. J. M. Holcombe (Yale), 6/3, $6 / 2,4 / 6,6 / 1$; Raleigh d. Frazier-Campbell, 6/8, 6/1, 6/3, 6/3; Sweetser d. Gates, $8 / 6,6 / 3,6 / 2$; Dean Mathey (Princeton) d. J. C. Hoagland (Cornell), 6/1, 6/2, 6/2; J. Cote (lale) d. A. D. Thayer (Pennsylvania), $12 / 10,6 / 4,2 / 6,6 / 3 ; 13 . N$. Dell (P'rinceton) d. C. S. Cutting (Harvard), $5 / 7,46,6 / 3,11 / 9,6 / 1$.

Second round-IIolden d. Thomas, 6/3, 6/4, 8/6; Raleigh d. Evans, $6 / 3,6 / 4,6 / 3$; Sweetser d. Mathey, 6/4, 3/6, 6/1, 6/2; Dell d. Cote, I/6, $6 / 1,6 / 0,6 / 3$.

Semi-final round-Holden d. Raleigh, 9/7, 6/4, 7/5; Sweetser d. Dell. 4/6, 12/10, 6/3, 9/7.

Final round-Holden (Yale) d. Sweetser (Harvard), 3/6, 6/3, 6/2, 8/6.

## MEN'S DOUBLES.

Preliminary round-R. Evans, Jr., and E. Goodhue (Yale) d. H. Nickerson and C. S. Cutting (Harvard), 11/9, 6/1; A. H. Sweetser and W. Frazier-Campbell (Harvard) d. J. C. Hoagland and R. B. McClave (Cornell), 6/s, 6/4.

First round-S. Raleigh and G. C. Thomas (Princeton) d. H. Evans and R. B. Jamison (Pennsylvania), 6/4, 6/4; Evans and Goodhue d. M. Conger and C. Cobb (Cornell), 6/2, 7/5; Sweetser and Frazier-Campbell d. R. A. Holden and F. Gates (Yale), 2/6, 6/4, 6/4; Dean Mathey and B. N. Dell (Princeton) d. A. and E. Thayer (Pennsylvvania), 6/4, 6/2.

Semi-final round-Evans and Goodhue d. Raleigh and Thomas, 6/4, 6/4; Mathey and Dell d. Sweetser and Frazier-Campbell, 6/3, 8/6.

Final round-Mathey and Dell d. Evans and Goodhue, 6/1, 6/4, 6/4.

## Previous Intercollegiate Champions.

SINGLES.

In 1883 and 1884 the championships were held at Hartford; 1885 to 1899, inclusive, at New Haven; since 1900, at Philadelphia.

1883 (Spring)-J. S. Clark (Harvard); (Fall)-H. A. Taylor (Harvard). 1884-W. P. Knapp (Yale). 1885-W. P. Knapp (Yale). 1886-

R. D. Little.

G. M. Brinley (Trinity). 1887 -P. S. Sears (Harvard). 1888-P. S. Sears (Harvard). 1889-R. P. Huntington, Jr. (Yale). 1890-F. H1. Hovey (Harvard). 189 r -F. H. Hovey (Harvard). 1892-W. A. Larned (Cornell). 1893-M. G. Chace (Brown). $1894-\mathrm{M}$. G. Chace (Yale). 1895-M. G. Chace (Yale). 1896-M. D. Whitman (IIarvard). 1897-S. G. Thomson (Princeton). 1898-L. E. Ware (Harvard). 1899-D. F. Davis (Harvard). 1900-R. D. Little (Princeton). 1901-F. B. Alexander (Princeton). 1902-W. J. Clothier (Harvard). 1903-E. B. Dewhurst (University of Pennsylvania). 1904-R. LeRoy (Columbia). 1905-E. B. Dewhurst (University of Pennsylvania). 1906-R. LeRoy (Columbia). 1907-G. P. Gardner (Harvard). 1908-N. W. Niles (Harvard). 1909W. F. Johnson (University of Pennsylvania). 1910-R. A. Holden, Jr. (Yale).

DOUBLES.
1883 (Spring) - J. S. Clark and II. A. Taylor (Harvard); (Fall) H. A. Taylor and P. E. Presbrey (1larvard). 1884-W. P. Knapp and W. B. S. Thorne (Yale). 1885 -W. P. Knapp and A. L. Shipman (Yale). 1886 -W. P. Knapp and W. L. Thatcher (Yale). 1887 -P. S. Sears and Q. A. Shaw, Jr. (Harvard). 1888 - V. G. Hall and O. S. Campbell (CoIumbia). $1889-$ O. S. Campbell and A. E. Wright (Columbia). 1890Q. A. Shaw, Jr., and S. T. Chase (Ifarvard). 1891 -F. H. Hovey and R. D. Wrenn (Harvard). 1892-R. D. Wrenn and F. B. Winslow (Harvard). 1893-M. G. Chace and C. R. Budlong (Brown). 1894-M. G. Chace and A. E. Foote (Yale). 1895 -M. (i. Chace and A. E. Foote (Yale). 1896-L. E. Ware and W. M. Scudder (Harvard). 1897-L. E. Ware and M. D. Whitman (Harvard). 1898 -L. E. Ware and M. I. Whitman (Harvard). 1899-Holcomb Ward and I). F. Davis (Harvard). 1900-F. B. Alexander and R. D. Little (Princeton). 1901-H. A. Plummer and S. L. Kussell (Yale). 1902-W. J. Clothier and E. W. Leonard (Harvard). 1903-B. Colston and E. Clapp (Yale). 1904-Behr and Bodman (Yale). 1905-1)ewhurst and Register (Pennsylvania). 1906Wells and Spaulding (Yale). 1907-N. W. Niles and A. Dabney (Harvard). 1908-H. M. Tilden and A. Thayer (Pennsylvania). 1909-W. F. Johnson and A. Thayer (Pennsylvania). 1910-D. Mathey and B. N. Dell (Princeton).

## Previous Interscholastic Champions.

The championships in 1891 and 1892 were played at Cambridge; since 1893 at Newport, when they are held during the week of the National Championships.

1891 -R. D. Wrenn (Harvard), Cambridge Latin. 1892-M. G. Chace (Harvard), University Grammar, Providence, R. I. 1893-C. R. Budlong (Harvard), High, Providence. 1894-W. C. Parker (Columbia), Tutor, New York. 1895-L. E. Ware (Harvard), Roxbury, Latin. 1896-Rex Fincke (Yale), Hotchkiss, Lakeville, Conn. 1897 -Rex Fincke (Yale), Hotchkiss, Lakeville, Conn. 1898-B. C. Wright (Harvard), Hopkinson School, Boston. 1899-B. C. Wright (Harvard), Hopkinson School, Boston. 1900-I. C. Wright (Harvard), Hopkinson School, Boston. 1901-E. P. Larned (Princeton), Chatham Prep. School. 1902H. H. Whitman (IIarvard), Volkmann's School, Boston. 1903-K. II. Behr (Princeton), Lawrenceville School. 1904-N. W. Niles (Harvard). 1905-N. W. Niles (Harvard). 1906-J. Allan Ross (University of Chicago). 1907-W. F. Johnson (University of Pennsylvania). 1908Dean Mathey (Princeton). 1909-M. E. McLoughlin (California), Lowell High. 19ro-E. H. Whitney (Harvard).


|  | McLoughlin. |  |  |
| ---: | ---: | ---: | :--- |
| LARNED AND McLOUGHLIN. TAKEN JUST BEFORE THEIR MATCH IN THE CHALLENGE ROUND, |  |  |  |
| AT LAWcett, Photo. |  |  |  |

## Longwood Singles and Eastern Doubles

Longwood, for many years the scene of the greatest tournament of the season, with the exception of the championship, has never in its thirty-one years of play had a more interesting tournament than the one which began on Monday, July 18, or for that matter, one in which the unexpected and unlooked-for proved so dominating a factor. For the first time a Californian representative took part. M. E. McLoughlin arrived from the Coast the day before the tournament started, and provided the most sensational tennis during the week of any that had up to that time been played in the East. In wretched form when the event began, he gradually rounded into shape, until he had reached a point which he at no time approached during the remainder of his stay in this section of the country. As usual, Longwood attracted all the better men with the exception of Long, Bundy and Alexander, who were unable to make the trip, and W. J. Clothier, who, through illness, remained out of competition throughout the season.

Unlike many big events, Longwood is never seeded, and in consequence the possibility of an uneven draw materialized with a vengeance. In the upper half were 13. C. Wright, McLoughlin, N. W. Niles, C. R. Gardner, G. F. Touchard and R. H. Palmer, while in the lower Dean Mathey, W. F. Johnson and R. D. Little comprised the strength of their section. In the first round there were several good matches, the best being that between R. H. Palmer and F. C. Inman. Both men are left-handed, and both play with great speed. Errors were numerous and so were aces, so that the match was decidedly interesting. Palmer proved a little better than Inman, however, and won in four sets. In the third round, by far the best match was that played between Niles and Wright. Each man was at the top of his game, but Niles took considerably longer to swing into his stride. Wright, by harder, headier play, ran out the first two sets, both at $6 / 3$, but in the third Niles braced remarkably, and, despite Wright's accuracy and best efforts, ran out the set, 6/4. The fourth started badly for the ex-intercollegiate champion and Wright soon piled up a lead of $5 / 1$. At this point he became a little careless, and Niles, taking full advantage of his opponent's over-confidence, ran four successive games. Wright served then, winning his game and coming four times within a point of the next. On each occasion Niles volleyed for an ace and ultimately took the game on a backhand drive, which beat Wright by yards as the latter tried to come in. It was Niles' last stand, however, for after making several costly errors Wright passed him twice for the points necessary to win the match. In the following round Wright easily won from Palmer in straight sets, and McLoughlin beat his old team-mate, C. R. Gardner, after the latter had come twice within a point of winning the match in straight sets. Gardner played very well during the first two sets. His service was very severe and once in each set he succeeded in breaking through McLoughlin's. In the third set he broke through McLoughlin's service twice, thereby taking the lead of $5 / 3$, but with his own service to follow and the match apparently in hand, he weakened badly. A double, followed by a net court stroke, gave McLoughlin his chance and he was quick to take advantage of it. He won his own service, and finally the set, 10/8. Gardner made little effort in the fourth, which McLoughlin won at love. And in the fifth the "latter was going so strongly that Gardner, who had gradually been easing his stroke, was never dangerous. The final set was $6 / 3$.

In the same round the greatest surprise in the tournament was Little's defeat at the hands of Dean Mathey. Little played very badly. He was unsteady and showed his lack of practice, while on the other hand the
young Princetonian outdid himself. Throughout the match long rallies preceded each point and usually ended with an error by Little. The score was very close, Mathey winning, $6 / 0,6 / 8,6 / 4,10 / 8$. The fifth round, for one so advanced in the tournament, produced very little in the way of good tennis. In the upper half Beals Wright had little difficulty in winning in straight sets from F. J. Sulloway, and McLoughlin put out G. F. Touchard with equal ease. In the lower half W. F. Johnson won in four sets from G. M. Church, and, to the surprise of every one, A. S. Dabney, Jr., put Mathey out of the running. The semi-finals were decided the following day. Johnson, as anticipated, won from Dabney, but in spite of all calculations and reasons advanced for Wright's success against McLoughlin, the latter outplayed the ex-champion and beat him in four fast sets. Wright struck his gait first, and by chopping low, hard balls to McLoughlin's backhand, ran out the opening set, $6 / 4$. In the second the Bostonian held his own until the set was nearly over, when unexpectedly he dropped his service and thereby gave McLoughlin an advantage of one game. The latter was winning his service with great ease, while against Wright's he was gradually accomplishing a little better results as play progressed. At 5 -all he won his own service and then Wright's for the set. The third was a repetition of the second. Neither man had any advantage until the score reached 6 -all, when, for the second time, McLoughlin won his own delivery and broke through Wright's. At that stage in the match his condition was very much better than Wright's, and it was obvious that the latter could not last throughout five sets. McLoughlin realizing this, too, added to the speed of his game and had Wright running around the court continuously. The end came at 4 -all, when McLoughlin succeeded in keeping Wright back from the net on the latter's service and taking it himself. Throughout the match McLoughlin played a magnificent game. He was fast as lightning on service and overhead, and volleyed with a certainty and speed which Wright at his best never excelled. In only one point was the latter superior to the Californian, and that was on ground strokes. McLoughlin was a little unsteady on return of service, but Wright's chop, which he has developed to a remarkable degree, was as accurate as usual.

The challenge round was played on Monday, July 25, in intense heat and before a crowd numbering 3,000 . The warming up was short-lived and in a very few minutes Larned served and the match was on. McLoughlin took chances in the first game and made three successive aces, winning the game at 15. He followed it up by taking his own service with three clean service aces. It was a spurt, however, which lasted only for two games, for Larned, warming rapidly, reeled off game after game until the set ended in his favor at $6 / 2$. The latter had become very strong and served more aces than McLoughlin, as well as outplaying him in every other department of the game. In the second set McLoughlin started better, and at 2 -all, broke through Larned's delivery. He followed it by winning his own and thereby taking a lead which Larned was unable to overcome. McLoughlin won the set, $6 / 4$. The third set took but a few minutes. Larned, realizing the strength of his young opponent, played with such speed that McLoughlin was completely overwhelmed. Try as he would McLoughlin could do nothing to stem Larned's onslaught, and succeeded in winning but a single game, the second, on his own service. In that set Larned remained the greater part of the time in the back court and allowed McLoughlin to take the net whenever he chose. Once there the Californian was almost invariably passed, and in the entire set Larned made but five errors. At the completion of the third set a thunder shower, which had been threatening, broke forth, and an interval of more than an hour elapsed before play was again resumed. This unusual rest, however, did not help McLoughlin to any great extent, although he put up a much better fight than earlier in the match. Larned, too, was much fresher, and came oftener to the net than he had in the earlier stages of the match. It
was an even thing up to 3 －all，each man winning his service．Larned won the seventh gami on his own delivery and suddenly cut forth a series of shots which completely smothered the San Franciscan＇s defense．In the last three games the latter failed to score a point，and in the last two Larned made a total of 7 aces， 6 on drives，which McLoughlin could not even get near，and the seventh on a volley，which just rolled over after striking the net－cord．The summaries：

## MEN＇S SINGLES．

First round－T．B．Plimpton d．A．Fox，9／7，6／2，9／7；C．G．Plimpton d．D．L．Pickman，Jr．，6／4，4／6，7／5，7／5；R．C．Seaver d．S．L．Beals，6／3， $6 / 1,6 / 2$ ；G．S．Groesbeck d．I）．S．Niles， $6 / 4,8 / 6,4 / 6,6 / 3 ;$ G．C．Caner d．E．A．Lowther，by default；H．A．Mackinney d．N．Johnson，6／3，6／3，8／6． Second round－W．Rosenbaum d．W．M．Whiting，Jr．，7／5，6／4，2／6，6／1； A．Ingraham d．E．Taylor，by default；F．J．Sulloway d．F．II．Danker， $6 / 0,6 / 1,6 / 3$ ；J．H．Holcombe，Jr．，d．E．F．Leo，by default；R．H．Palmer d．F．C．Inman， $2 / 6,6 / 4,6 / 3,6 / 4 ;$ A．N．Reggio d．R．Manning，6／2，6／2， $6 / 3$ ；N．W．Niles d．P．Haskell，by default；B．C．Wright d．F．C．Good－ ridge， $6 / 0,6 / 1,6 / 2 ;$ C．R．Gardner d．$\Lambda$ ．Codman， $6 / 2,6 / 1,6 / 2$ ；E．H． Whitney d．Q．A．S．McKean， $6 / 3,6 / 0,4 / 6,6 / 3 ;$ M．E．McLoughlin d． J．O．Ames，6／4，6／2，6／3；H．Voshell d．E．H．Woods，4／6，8／6，6／1，6／1； S．Minot d．H．B．Irwin， $4 / 6,6 / 4,8 / 6,8 / 6 ;$ F．C．Baggs d．R．Lyman， $6 / 1,6 / 3,6 / 1 ; G . F$ ．Touchard d．T．B．Plimpton，6／0，6／3，9／7；R．C． Seaver d．C．G．Plimpton， $64,6 / 0,6 / 3$ ；G．S．Groesbeck d．G．C．Caner， $6 / 2,2 / 6,8 / 6,6 / 2$ ；H．A．Mackinney d．R．C．Bray，6／8，4／6，6／2，7／5， $6 / 2$ ；W．F．Johnson d．A．Sweeter， $7 / 5,6 / 3,6 / 2 ;$ G．G．Wolkins d．H． McLane，by default；W．Martin d．T．O．Fulton，by default；G．M．Church d．W．O．Bradford， $6 / 2,6 / 2,6 / 3$ ；R．Bishop d．R．A．Holden，Jr．， $6 / 3,2 / 6$ ， $6 / 3,7 / 5 ; \mathrm{H}$ ．Nickerson d．C．B．Wilbar， $6 / 4,2 / 6,6 / 4,6 / 4$ ；G．A．Pike d． E．M．Pickman， $6 / 3,3 / 6,6 / 3,3 / 6,6 / 3 ;$ H．L．Davenport d．F．M．Wat－ rous， $6 / 4,6 / 3,6 / 2$ ，A．S．Dabney，Jr．，d．R．Bidwell，6／2，5／7，6／3，6／0； S．Henshaw d．F．Minot，by default；R．D．Little d．D．P．Rhodes，7／5， 7／5，6／o；W．H．Abbott d．W．A．Carl，6／2，6／1，2／6，6／2；C．S．Cutting d．F．Alexander，by default；D．Mathey d．F．J．Ross，6／4，6／3，6／1．

Third round－Rosenbaum d．Ingraham， $0 / 6,2 / 6,6 / 4,6 / 2,6 / 0$ ；Sulloway d．Holcombe，6／1，6／1，6／r；Palmer d．Reggio，6／3，6／4，6／i；Wright d． Niles， $6 / 3,6 / 3,4 / 6,8 / 6$ ；Gardner d．Whitney， $6 / 1,6 / 3,6 / 2$ ；McLoughlin d．Voshel， $6 / 2,8 / 6,6 / 4$ ；Baggs d．Minot， $6 / 4,6 / 2,6 / 2$ ；Touchard d．Seaver， $6 / 4,6 / 4,6 / 8,7 / 5$ ；Mackinney d．Groesbeck，6／8，8／6，6／2，6／2；Johnson d． Wolkins， $6 / \mathrm{r}, 6 / 2,6 / 0$ ；Church d．Martin，by default；Bishop d．Nickerson， 6／o，6／3，6／ı；Davenport d．Pike，8／6，6／0，7／5；Dabney d．Henshaw，6／1， $6 / o, 6 / 8,6 / 2$ ；Little d．Abbott，6／3，6／2，6／2；Mathey d．Cutting，6／2，5／7， 6／8，6／0，7／5．

Fourth round－Sulloway d．Rosenbaum，6／3，6／o，6／2；Wright d．Palmer， $6 / 2,6 / 4,8 / 6 ;$ McLoughlin d．Gardner， $4 / 6,4 / 6,10 / 8,6 / 0,6 / 3$ ；Touchard d．Baggs，6／4，6／4，5／7，6／4；Johnson d．Mackinney，6／3，7／5，6／3；Church d．Bishop， $4 / 6,6 / 2,7 / 5,3 / 6,6 / 3$ ；Dabney d．Davenport， $6 / 2,6 / 8,7 / 9$ ， 7／5，6／0；Mathey d．Little，6／0，6／8，6／4，10／8．

Fifth round－Wright d．Sulloway，6／2，8／6，6／4；McLouglilin d．Touchard， $6 / 4,6 / 2,6 / 3$ ；Johnson d．Church， $6 / 2,2 / 6,7 / 5,6 / 3$ ；Dabney d．Mathey， 6／2，7／5，2／6，8／6．

Semi－final round－McLoughlin d．Wright，4／6，7／5，8／6，8／4；Johnson d． Dabney，4／6，8／6，6／2，6／3．

Final round－McLoughlin d．Johnson，6／4，6／2，6／3．
Championship round－W．A．Larned（holder）d．M．E．McLoughlin （challenger）， $6 / 2,4 / 6,6 / 1,6 / 3$ ．

The doubles，representative always，was no exception this year，as many strong teams competed．In the first round the only match of importance was that played between H．J．Holt and H．I．Foster against C．R．


MISS MAY SUTTON.

Gardner and G. F. Touchard. The former team were far below the standard which they had reached a year previous, and lost in three straight sets. A rather unfortunate position in the draw brought together what everyone considered the two strongest teams in the first round-Beals $C$. Wright and R. D. Little, and W. A. Larned and G. L. Wrenn, Jr. The match, however, proved extremely interesting. Wright, who had just arrived from England, was far from being at the top of his game, but Little, for the first time in the season, played as everyone knows him to be capable of playing. This fact, combined with more or less of uncertainty in Larned's work, determined the result. Wrenn's defensive game without Larned's aggressive play to back it up, was ineffective against the opposing pair and Little and Wright won in straight sets at $9 / 7,7 / 5,6 / 4$. In the same round Dabney and Niles met rather unusually strong resistance from W. A. Carl and W. F. Rice, and Touchard and Gardner just managed to pull out a five-set match from R. C. Seaver and G. T. Putnam, the last set of the match going to $7 / 5$. There was only one straight set match in the third round, all the rest being more or less even. In the top half E. H. Whitney and R. Bishop played five hard sets with G. Beals and A. Sweetser. R. A. Holden and F. N1. Watrous on the lower side of the draw had a warm engagement with G. F. Wales and W. E. Porter, and an unexpected result came in the defeat of A. S. Dabney, Jr., and N. W. Niles at the hands of S. P. Ware and N. B. Hallowell. The losers were decidedly off, and their team-work, which has invariably been so potent a factor of their success, was on this occasion wretched. Niles particularly was unsteady and the constant high lobbing of the winners ultimately threw him completely off in his overhead play. It was in this round that a remarkable upset came about when R. D. Little and B. C. Wright went down after five sets before Touchard and Gardner. The latter played a remarkable game and the rather careless way in which Wright and Little started gave them an opportunity of which they took full advantage. Neither of the internationalists reached the form in which they usually play, while on the other hand it was very generally conceded that the winners struck a streak away above their heads. The first set was very close, but at $4 / 3$ Touchard dropped his service game and a moment later Gardner did likewise. In the second set the ex-Californian tried and succeeded in getting away with many difficult chances. The same thing was true of the following set, but after 2 -all in the fourth Little and Wright forced the pace and won four successive games. The deciding set was very fast all through, but in nearly every instance luck favored the younger team and they ran into a lead of $5 / 2$. Touchard then lost his serve, but Little, after getting to $40 / 15$ on his, did likewise and the match ended. The following round resulted in a default to Whitney and Bishop and an easy win for Touchard and Gardner in the upper half. In the lower Holden and Watrous proved too strong a combination for Wale and Hallowell, and the easiest win of the round was scored by C. G. Plimpton and R. H. Palmer against R. Manning and A. Ingram. The fifth round brought forth another surprise, when Whitney and Bishop defeated Touchard and Gardner. The latter, after jumping into a lead of $5 / 1$ in the first set, lost ten straight games. In the second they succeeded in winning two; in the third it was only won by them after twenty-four games had been played. At this point the court was changed, but instead of helping Touchard and Gardner it resulted in a love set in favor of their younger opponents. In the lower half Holden and Watrous won an easy straight set victory from Palmer and Plimpton, which was helped along by Palmer's wild streak.

The final was played on the championship court immediately after the final singles, and attracted very little attention. It was fairly even tennis with neither team playing up to the form of which they were capable. Whitney and Bishop won the first two sets by a good margin. Holden and Watrous took the third, $6 / 2$, and in the fourth forced the score to 5 -all. At that point Whitney, by very fast net work and Bishop's good lobbing,. ran out the match with the loss of but one more point. The summaries:

## MEN'S DOUBLES.

First round-G. F. Touchard and C. R. Gardner d. H. J. Holt and H. I. Foster, $7 / 5,6 / 3,6 / 2$; R. C. Seaver and G. T. Putnam d. G. S. Groesbeck and E. A. Lowther, by default; H. Nickerson and W. M. Whiting, Jr., d. E. M. and D. L. Pickman, Jr., $6 / 2,7 / 5,6 / 4$; R. A. Holden, Jr., and F. M. Watrous, Jr., d. H. A. Mackinney and S. Henshaw, 8/6, 6/2, 2/6, 7/5.

Second round-F. J. Sulloway and H. C. Johnson d. W. Rosenbaum and H. L. Davenport, 6/0, 6/8, 3/6, 7/5, 6/2; S. L. Beals and H. R. Scott d. A. Whittier and J. R. Post, $6 / 2,6 / 3,6 / 0$; E. H. Whitney and R. Bishop d. J. Nowell and I. R. Kent, 7/5, 6/2, 4/6, 6/2; G. Beals and A. Sweetser d. F. C. Baggs and H. Voshell, $6 / 1,6 / 2,6 / 2 ;$ E. V. Page and C. R. Currier d. G. C. Caner and Q. A. S. McKean, 7/5, 6/o, 6/4; W. H. Abbott and J. O'Shea d. R. S. Potter and C. S. Cutting, $4 / 6,6 / 3,6 / 2,6 / 3 ;$ B. C. Wright and R. D. Little d. W. A. Larned and G. L. Wrenn, Jr., 9/7, 7/5, $6 / 4$; Touchard and Gardner d. Seaver and Putnam, 6/3, 3/6, 8/6, 3/6, 7/5; Holden and Watrous d. Nickerson and Whiting, 6/2, 6/1, 7/5; G. F. Wales and W. E. Porter d. J. M. Holcombe, Jr., and R. Lyman, 6/4, 6/2, 8/6; A. S. Dabney, Tr., and N. W. Niles d. W. A. Carl and W. F. Rice, 6/2, 6/8, $6 / 3,6 / 4$; S. P. Ware and N. P. Hallowell d. H. Miller and F. B. Taylor, $6 / 0,6 / 4,6 / 3$; W. M. Gallagher and R. M. Currier d. T. B. Plimpton and G. A. Pike, $8 / 6,6 / 4,5 / 7,6 / 1 ;$ R. Manning and A. Ingraham d. H. McLane and F. Alexander, by default; C. G. Plimpton and R. H. Palmer d. Dean Mathey and G. M. Church, 6/3, 6/4, $9 / 7$; C. H. Collester and C. T. Porter d. G. C. Walworth and R. C. Bray, 6/3, 6/8, 2/6, 6/4, 6/2.

Third round-Sulloway and Johnson d. Beals and Scott, 6/1, 6/4, 6/4; Whitney and Bishop d. Beals and Sweetser, 3, 6, 6, 4, $7 / 5,2 / 6,7 / 5$; Page and Currier d. Abbott and O'Shea, 6/2, 6/2, 6/4; Touchard and Gardner d. Wright and Little, $3 / 6,6 / 2,6 / 4,2 / 6,6 / 3$; IIolden and Watrous d. Wales and Porter, $6 / 3,4 / 6,6 / 3,4 / 6,6 / 0$; Ware and Hallowell d. Dabney and Niles, $8 / 6,3 / 6,2 / 6,6 / 4,6 / 3$; Manning and Ingraham d. Gallagher and Currier, $6 / 3,4 / 6,6 / 1,4 / 6,6 / 4$; Plimpton and Palmer d. Collester and Porter, 2/6, 6/r, 3/6, 7/5, 6/3.

Fourth round-Whitney and Bishop d. Sulloway and Johnson, by default ; Touchard and Gardner d. Page and Currier, 6/4, 6/2, 10/8; Holden and Watrous d. Ware and Hallowell, 6/2, 6/4, 1/6, 6/3; Plimpton and Palmer d. Manning and Ingraham, 6/2, 6/1, 6/4.

Semi-final round-Whitney and Bishop d. Touchard and Gardner, 7/5, 6/2, 11/13, 6/0; Holden and Watrous d. Plimpton and Palmer, 6/2, 6/4, 6/3.

Final round-Whitney and Bishop d. Holden and Watrous, 6/3, 6/2, 2/6, 7/5.

# Sectional Championships 

## Western Championship.

For the second time the title of Western Champion was won by a man from the Pacific Coast, when T. C. Bundy came through the tournament with no great resistance of any kind and annexed the title through the default of the holder, M. H. Long. Ilay was begun on July 23 on the courts of the Onwentsia Club of Lake Forest, Ill. In the men's singles not one of his opponents seriously threatened Bundy's flight through the tournament, with the single exception of W. T. Hayes of Chicago. In that match Hayes won the first set, $6 / 3$, and succeeded in obtaining a lead of $4 / 2$ in the second; but it was as near as he got to a win. Bundy played with much greater speed from that point on, and in the deciding set Hayes won only two of the eight games played. In the semi-final round, L. H. Waidner made practically no impression on the little Californian, getting in three sets but five games, while in the final round A. Ludke went through the formality of playing out his match only to go down to defeat by a score of $6 / 2,6 / 1,6 / 0$. In justice to the latter, however, it may be said that he did not approach the form he exhibited in his earlier matches, when he won in succession from C. S. Yeters, Trow Mendrick, Bundy's partner, and H. H. Whitman. The latter forced him to the limit in the semi-final round, but facled completely in the fifth set.

The two strongest teams in doubles were, fortunately, on opposite halves of the draw, and a very close match was played in the final round. In this Waidner and Paul Gardner were opposed to J. C. Neely and H. H. Whitney, and the former won at $6 / 2,4 / 6,9 / 7,6 / 3$. Throughout the match Waidner and Gardner were the aggressors, and though at times erratic, held a safe lead all through. Neely and Whitman drove well, but their net play was decidedly ineffective, and, as a result, they were forced to remain in the back court almost constantly. The high lobs which they sent up only delayed an inevitable defeat, for Waidner and Gardner both smashed well. The third set was the best of the match. The games alternated up to 7 -all, at which point Gardner and Waidner, by coming in and volleying splendidly off their opponents' drives, ran out the necessary two.

Unusual interest was manifested in the women's event, in which Miss Gwendolyn Rees and Miss Marguerite Davis came through their respective halves. Miss Rees proved much the stronger of the two in the final round, and won easily in straight sets. The challenge match between Miss Rees and the holder, Miss Carrie B. Neely, produced a splendid contest. It was a battle of services to 5 -all in the first set, when Miss Neely, by clever driving to her opponent's backhand, broke through the latter's service and followed it by winning her own. In the second set the challenger's chop improved both in speed and direction, and Miss Neely was defeated, 6/3, $6 / 2$. The latter got a good start in the deciding set and led at $3 / 1$ before Miss Rees began to overhaul her. At 5 -all the challenger won a love game and annexed the championship with the next, but not till ten points had been found necessary to decide it. The summaries:

## MEN'S SINGLES.

First round-Paul E. Gardner d. Fred G. Appel, by default; Cyrus G. Hill d. A. B. Francis, by defauit; A. Hazelhurst d. J. M. Flliott, 7/5, $6 / 1$; D. R. Richberg d. J. C. Belden, $7 / 5,6 / 2$; J. J. Forstall d. Herbert Kennedy, $6 / 4,6 / 1$; W. S. Warfield d. C. D. Roberts, by default; H. H. Whitman d. J. J. Armstrong, $6 / 1,6 / 2$; W. S. Miller d. C. B. Pike, 6/I,


Loren Kennedy and A. M. Washburn of Duluth, Zenith City Champions. Miss Gwendolyn Rees, a St. Paul girl, who won the Western Championship, 1910.
$6 / 3$; C. S. Peters d. J. L. Cote, 6/2, 7/9, 6/3; S. W. Howland d. F. Stellwagen, by default; A. Ludke d. Nelson Peebles, 8/6, 7/5; J. C. Neely, Jr., d. W. M. Scudder, by default; L. H. Northrup d. F. H. Merbert, by default; T. W. Hendrick d. R. E. Foster, 6/r, 6/o; R. H. Burdick d. W. C. Larned, Jr., by default; Ebor Morley d. G. R. Watson, by default; R. W. Means d. R. S. Vail, $2 / 6,6 / 3,6 / 3$; Halstead lireeman d. Anthony Hunt, $6 / 3,6 / 2$; Harold S. Wallace d. C. B. Doyle, by default; John Towne d. T. C. Trask, by default; L. H. Waidner d. John Borden, by default; E. Oelsner d. N. H. Mundy, 6/2, 6/4; Ward C. Burton d. J. R. McKay, by default; Stuart Canby d. K. L. Inderson, by default; M. T. Arthur d. John W. Adams, by default; John G. Coulter d. Chauncey McCormick, by default; C. G. Stevens d. W. C. Graves, by default; F. Gardner d. F. II. Montgomery, by default; T. C. Bundy d. S. A. Watrous, by default; W. H. Wood d. H. E. Doyle, by default; W. T. Hayes d. T. B. Goodbody, 6/4, 6/1.

Second round-Paul E. Gardner d. Hill, 6/1, 6/2; Hazelhurst d. Richberg, $6 / 4,6 / 4$; Forstall d. Warfield, by default; Whitman d. Miller, 6/4, $4 / 6,6 / 2$; Peters d. Larned, 6/0, 4/6, 6/2; Ludke d. Howland, by default; Neely d. Northrup, by default; Hendrick d. Burdick, by default; Means d. Morley, by default; Freeman d. Wallace, by default; Waidner d. Towne, by default; Oelsner d. Burton, $5 / 7,7 / 5,6 / 4$; Canby d. Arthur, by default; Stevens d. Coulter, by default; Bundy d. F. Gardner, by default; Hayes d. Wood, by default.

Third round-Paul E. Gardner d. Hazelhurst, 6/1, 6/2; Whitman d. Forstall, $6 / 2,7 / 5$; Ludke d. Peters, $6 / 2,8 / 6$; Hendrick d. Neely, 6/4, 7/5; Means d. Freeman, by default; Waidner d. Oelsner, 6/2, 6/1; Canby d. Stevens, by default; Bundy d. Hayes. 3/6, 6/4, 6/2.

Fourth round-Whitman d. Paul E. Gardner, $6 / 1,6 / 1$; Ludke d. Hendrick, $7 / 5,6 / 3$; Waidner d. Means, by default; Bundy d. Canby, 6/o, 6/o.

Semi-final round-Ludke d. Whitman, 7 5, $1 / 6,6 / 8,6 / 3,6 / 1$; Bundy d. Waidner, $6 / 2,6 / 2,6 / 1$.

Final round-Bundy d. Ludke, 6/3, 6/1, 6/o.

## MEN'S DOU'BLES.

Preliminary round-W. T. Hayes and C. S. Peters d. W. S. Warfield and partner, by default; R. E. Foster and partner d. C. G. Stevens and A. Hazelhurst, by default; L. H. Waidner and P. E. Gardner d. J. L. Cote and Cyrus G. Hill, 6/4, 6/4, 6/2; W. M. Scudder and partner d. J. W. Adams and partner, by default; R. I. Richberg and Winston d. N. H. Mundy and partner, by default; T. P. Goodbody and R. H. Keith d. Carl Kresl and Hugh Butler, $1 / 6,6 / 4,6 / 1,6 / 2 ;$ P. B. Beach and partner d. F. G. Appel and T. C. Trask, by default; R. W. Means and partner d. A. B. Francis and C. D. Roberts, by default; W. C. Burton and J. J. Armstrong d. Albrecht Ludke and E. Oelsner, $6 / 4,6 / 4,6 / 4 ;$ S. W. Howland and M. T. Newhall d. R. H. Burdick and partner, by default; J. C. Neely, Jr., and H. H. Whitman d. F. E. Herbert and G. R. Watson, by default; Stuart Canby and Herbert Kennedy d. K. L. Anderson and W. II. Wood, by default.

First round-J. C. Beldon and W. S. Miller d. J. I. B. Larned and partner, by default; Hayes and Peters d. Foster and partner, by default; Waidner and Gardner d. Scudder and partner, by default; Richberg and Winston d. Goodbody and Keith, 6/4, 6/4, $0 / 6,6 / 2 ;$ Means and partner d. Beach and partner, by default; Burton and Armstrong d. Howland and Newhall, by default; Neely and Whitman d. Canby and Kennedy, by default; J. J. Forstall and J. I. B. Larned d. Morley and Helmholz, by default.

Second round-Hayes and Peters d. Belden and Miller, 6/1, 6/3, 6/4; Waidner and Gardner d. Richberg and Winston, $6 / 3,6 / 2,6 / 2$; Burton and Armstrong d. Means and partner, by default; Neely and Whitman d. Forstall and Larned, 10/8, 7/5, 4/6, 10/8.

Semi-final round-Waidner and Gardner d. Hayes and Peters, 5/7, 9/7, $6 / 3,6 / 0$; Neely and Whitman d. Burton and Armstrong, 6/4, 7/5, 9/7.

Final round-Waidner and Gardner d. Neely and Whitman, 6/2, 4/6, $9 / 7,6 / 3$.

## LADIES' SINGLES.

Preliminary round-Miss Marion Butler d. Miss Lois Johnson, by default; Mrs. Harold Crane d. Miss Katherine Waldo, $7 / 5,6 / 4$; Miss Margaret Davis d. Miss Ethel Wilson, by default; Mrs. Edward Brewer d. Mrs. Hayden Smith, 6/o, 6/2.

First round-Miss Jean Whiting d. Mrs. George Massey, 6/4, 6/3; Miss Gwendolyn Rees d. Miss Genevieve Burnham, 6, 1, 6/2; Miss Ethel Murray d. Miss N. Ulrey, by default; Mrs. Crane d. Miss Butler, by default; Miss Davis d. Mrs. Brewer, i 6,6 3, 64 : Mrs. G. Edgar Barnes d. Miss Marjorie Barrows, by default; Miss Barbara Young d. Miss C. Ulrey, by default: Miss Louis F. Bearse d. Miss Edith Hinz, by default.

Second round-Miss Rees d. Miss Whiting, 6/4, 7/5; Mrs. Crane d. Miss Murray, by default: Miss Davis d. Mrs. Barnes, 6/3, 6/2; Miss Bearse d. Miss Young, 6/3. 6/2.

Semi-final round-Miss Rees d. Mrs. Crane, 6/1, 6/1; Miss Davis d. Miss Bearse, 6/1, 6/1.

Final round-Miss Rees d. Miss Davis, 6/1, 6/3.

## LADIES' DOUBLES.

Preliminary round-Miss Rees and Miss Murray d. Mrs. IH. Smith and Mrs. Parnes, $0 / 1$, 5/2: Miss Waldo and Miss Burnham d. Mrs. Massey and Miss Butler, by default; Miss Minz and Mrs. Shaw d. Miss Davis and partner, by default; Miss Johnson and partner d. Miss N. Ulrey and Miss C. Ulrey, by default.

First round-Mrs. Lirewer and Miss Whiting d. Miss Young and partner, by default; Miss Rees and Miss Murray d. Miss Waldo and Miss Burnham. 8/6, 6/2; Miss Hinz and Mrs. Shaw d. Miss Johnson and partner, by default; Miss Neely and Miss Davis d. Miss Burnham and partner, by default.

Semi-final round-Mrs. Brewer and Miss Whiting d. Miss Rees and Miss Murray, 2/6. 7/5, 6/1; Miss Neely and Miss Davis d. Miss Minz and Mrs. Shaw, 6/0, 6/1.

Final round-Miss Neely and Miss Davis d. Mrs. Brewer and Miss Whiting, 6/3, 7/5.

## Northwestern Championship.

The week commencing July 18 was that in which the Nineteenth Annual Championship of the Northwest was played on the Minnetonka Yacht Club's courts at Deephaven, Minn. Conditions under which this tournament was played were ideal, for nowhere are there finer courts or circumstances better fitted to accommodate the enormous crowd which turns out annually to watch the tournament than there. Throughout the week there was an average number of spectators in attendance of from 2,000 to 3,000 , and luckily the play was of a class which warranted the appreciation of those who saw it. In the third round Waidner of Chicago created a surprise by the easy manner in which he defeated Ray Bronson. He took the first nine games of the match, lost the next three, and then ran out his second set with three successive games. The W. C. Burton-Armstrong match was another interesting one of the round, although the Minnesota State champion proved rather too good for Burton at critical times, winning in straight sets, both at $7 / 5$. . In the semi-final W. G. Graves was badly outclassed by Waidner. The former depends largely on his driving, while the latter places his confidence in his powers at the net. Waidner
had one of his good days at his favorite game and Graves' best drives were treated with little respect. Waidner got everything, and combined steadiness with a sharpness of volleying that was remarkable. The score was $6 / 0,7 / 5,6 / 0$. In the other half one of the closest matches of the tournament resulted when Armstrong and Paul Gardner met. The latter struck his stride at the very commencement of play and quickly ran out the first set, $6 / 1$. In the second Armstrong, who had steadily improved in the handling of Gardner's service, held his rival down to 9 -all, when the latter made several costly errors which evened the set score. The third was Gardner's at $6 / 3$, but his advantage was short-lived, for the fourth went to his opponent at $6 / 2$. In the fifth set Gardner again came to the front, and, by forcing his younger rival to the net, led safely throughout the set, winning at $6 / 3$.

The final between Waidner and Gardner was defaulted by the latter after Waidner led at two sets to one. The veteran had much the better of the argument while it lasted, winning invariably when he chose to come in to the net. In the second set he remained back and gave Gardner an opportunity to square the match, but the third set found the veteran again cutting them off at sharp angles and chasing his doubles partner all over his court.

No team was in a class with Waidner and Gardner in the doubles event. In their first round they outclassed Thurston and Sutherland and in the second Gill and Douglass were able to win but three games in their match against them. The nearest approach to a contest with these two was the match in which they faced Bronson and Smith in the semi-final. The latter, though never within striking distance of a set, kept the Chicagoans on the jump and forced them to play their best throughout the match. Adams and Armstrong did likewise in the final, but their inexperience and indifferent team work proved too great a handicap for them to overcome. Waidner and Gardner won the match at $6 / 4,6 / 1,6 / 2$. The summaries:

## MEN'S SINGLES.

Preliminary round-Ray Bronson d. L. H. Northrop, 6/2, 6/2; W. S. Lafans d. J. F. Sutherland, 6/2, 6/2.

First round-lonald Moorhead d. M. H. Strothman, 2/6, 7/5, 6/1; W. G. Graves d. G. N. Northrop, $5 / 7,6 / 3,7 / 5 ;$ A. E. Rhame d. T. K. Thurston, $6 / 3,1 / 6,8 / 6$; C. P. Wagner d. T. N. Jayne, by default; F. H. Gill d. W. K. Earle, $6 / 4,6 / 2$; L. H. Waidner d. P. Cobb, by default; F. A. Douglas d. D. S. Chatfield, $6 / 2,8 / 10,6 / 4$; Ray Bronson d. W. S. Lafans, $6 / 3,7 / 5$; J. J. Armstrong d. H. Williams, 6/2, 6/2; T. Thompson d. L. H. 'Wheeler, $6 / 3,6 / 2$; H. M. Jones d. F. T. Poehler, $9 / 11,6 / 1,6 / 1$; W. C. Burton d. G. W. Robertson, 6/o, 6/3; P. E. Gardner d. L. L. Gullivan, $6 / 1,6 / 2$; J. W. Wheeler d. Roy Smith, $6 / 0,6 / 3 ;$ J. W. Adams d. K. H. Adams, 6/1, 6/2; S. Stellwagen d. S. Gardner, 6/2, 6/o.

Second round-Graves d. Moorhead, 6/1, 6/2; Wagner d. Rhame, 5/7, 6/o, 6/2; Waidner d. Gill, 6/3, 6/1; Bronson d. Douglas, 6/2, 7/5; Armstrong d. Thompson, $6 / 2,6 / 1$; Burton d. Jones, $8 / 6,6 / 4$; P. E. Gardner d. Wheeler, $6 / 2,6 / 4$; Stellwagen d. J. W. Adams, $10 / 8,6 / 4$.

Third round-Graves d. Wagner, $6 / 3,6 / 1$; Waidner d. Bronson, 6/o, $6 / 3$; Armstrong d. Burton, $7 / 5,7 / 5$; Gardner d. Stellwagen, 6/o, 6/3.

Semi-final round-Waidner d. Graves, $6 / 0,7 / 5,6 / 0$; P. E. Gardner d. Armstrong, $6 / 1,9 / 11,6 / 3,2 / 6,6 / 3$.

Final round-L. H. Waidner d. P. E. Gardner, 6/1, 2/6, 6/2, by default fourth set.

## MEN'S DOUBLES.

First round-Adams and Armstrong d. Lafans and Jones, 7/5, 6/2, 6/2; Tayne and Wheeler d. Earle and Stellwagen, 6/3, 6/2, 6/2; Burton and Northrop d. Strothman and Poehler, by default;'S. Gardner and G. N. Northrop d. L. H. Wheeler and Gullivan, $6 / 3,6 / 2,6 / 4$; Waidner and $P$.

F. Gardner d. Thurston and Sutherland, 6/1, 6/1, 6/o; Gill and Doug. lass, bye.

Second round-Adams and Armstrong d. Jayne and Wheeler, 6/1, 2/6, $6 / 2,6 / 3$; Burton and Northrop d. S. Gardner and G. N. Northrop, 6, 4, $6 / 4,6 / 3$; Waidner and P. E. Gardner d. Gill and Douglas, 6/1, 6/1, 6/1; Bronson and Smith d. Rhame brothers, $6 / 3,6 / 3,6 / 3$.

Semi-final round-Adams and Armstrong d. Burton and L. H. Northrop, $3 / 6,6 / 3,6 / 4,86$; Waidner and P. E. Gardner d. Bronson and Smith, 6/3, 6/2, 6/4.

Final round-Waidner and Gardner d. Adams and Armstrong, 6/4, $6 / 1,6 / 3$.

## New England Championship.

For the fourth successive year Theodore Roosevelt Pell earned the title of New England champion. This time he defended it against a promising Yale player, R. A. Holden, Jr., who had come through the tournament in impressive style, winning all his matches easily, and at no time being in danger of dropping a set. There were fewer out-of-town players this year than last, and on form IIolden should have done what he accomplished. His hardest match was that played against F. W. Cole of Hartford, whom he beat in straight sets, $6 / 3,6 / 4$. On the other side of the draw F. M. Watrous, Holden's doubles partner, had repeated narrow escapes in coming through his section. Watrous faced Gib Plimpton in his first match and won the third set, $6 / 4$. In the third round he was within one point of losing to I. Terry and in the semi-final R. H. Cole won the first set, 6/2, and led at $5 / 2$ in the second. Watrous won the set, $7 / 5$, and the third by a similar score. Against Holden, Watrous could accomplish very little. Probably it was due to the fact that the former knew the latter's game so well that the score was so one-sided, for Holden won at $6 / 3,6 / 1,6 / 1$. In the challenge round Pell proved to be in splendid form. He combined accuracy with great speed, and in this latter respect was far too good for his younger rival. Holden played a steady, clever game, but his shots lacked the sting of Pell's and he rarely beat the former on speed alone. Another great stumbling block for the Yale captain was Pell's backhand, for try as he would he could not keep away from that dangerous stroke. Had Holden's drives been faster Pell would have been unable to get around them as he did, but in nine cases out of ten a shot which would ordinarily have gone to the champion's forehand, somehow or other would reach the other side of his racket.

Holden and Watrous had things pretty much their own way in the doubles, as for the first time in years, no other high-class teams competed. Pell doubled up with F. C. Baggs of New York, and in their second match faced the winners of the tournament. Baggs, however, had an off day, and Pell. in his anxiety to relieve his partner of unnecessary work, went off decidedly before the match ended. Holden and Watrous won the match, $6 / 4,6 / 3$.

In the final the Yale champions faced Bacon and Holton and, taking things easily, had little difficulty in winning in straight sets. The summaries:

## MEN'S SINGLES.

First round-D. C. Graves d. F. E. Howard, $6 / 1,6 / 2$; R. H. Cole d. G. C. Walworth, $6 / 2,6 / 1 ;$ Z. Sargent d. F. Cruger, 6/o, 6/3; A. L. Hoskins d. W. O. Taylor, 6/4, 6/3; J. S. Eaton d. M. Gray, 4/6, 6/r; James Terry d. R. J. Goodman, 6/I, 6/I ; J. M. Holcomb, Jr., d. Philip Roberts, $2 / 6,6 / 4,6 / 0 ;$ F. M. Watrous d. T. B. Plimpton, 6/1, 2/6, 6/4; R. A. Holden, Jr., d. W. B. Allen, $6 / 0,6 / 4$; E. W. Peaslee, 'Jr., d. C. Carpen-
ter, $2 / 6,6 / 2,6 / 1$; G. W. Pike d. H. J. Burgwin, 6/1, 6/1; HI. C. Fenn d. E. Lewis, $6 / 3,6 / 4$; F. W. Cole d. J. II. Drake, $6 / 1,6 / 2$; C. E. Bacon d. A. S. Dabney, by default; R. Lyman d. W. McK. Dunn, by default; W. Roberts d. G. P. Gardner, by default.

Second round-R. H. Cole d. Graves, 6/4, 6/4: Sargent d. Hoskins, 6/3, ${ }^{\text {: }}$ 6.4 ; Terry d. Eaton, $6 / 4,9 / 7$; Watrous d. Holcomb, $62,6 / 2$; Holden d. Peaslee, 6/o, 6/3; Pike d. Fenn, 6/o, 6/2; F. W. Cole d. Bacon, 7/5, 7/5; Roberts d. Lyman, 6/1, 6/o.

Third round-R. H. Cole d. Sargent, 6/4, 6/2; Watrous d. Terry, 3/6, $8 / 6,6 / 4$; Holden d. Pike, 6/3, 6/2; F.'W. Cole d. Roberts, 6/4, 6/o.

Semi-final round-Watrous d. R. 1I. Cole, 3/6, $7 / 5,7 / 5$; Holden d. F. W. Cole, 6/3, 6/4.

Final round-Holden d. Watrous, 6/3, 6/1, 6/1.
Challenge round-T. R. Pell (holder) d. Holden, Jr., 6/4, 6/4, 6/3.

## MEN'S DOUBLES.

First round-H. C. Fenn and E. B. Morris d. E. Lewis and F. Cruger, by default; T. K. I'ell and F. C. Baggs d. A. 11. Merrill and F. F. Lawrence. $6 / 1,6 / 1$; R. A. Holden, Jr., and F. M. Watrous d. J. H. Drake and G. W. Pike, 6/2, 4/1, defaulted; C. E. Bacon and W. B. Holton, Jr., d. I. Terry and F. E. Howard, $4 / 6,6 / 3,6 / 2 ;$ R. H. Cole and F. WV. Cole d. J). C. Graves and E. Q. Jackson, 6/3, $4 / 6,6 / 2$; W. Roberts and J. M. Holcomb, Jr., d. R. Lyman and W. Peaslee, Jr., 6/1, 6/2.

Second round-Eaton and Gray d. Fenn and Morris, 6/8, 6/3, 9/7; Holden and Watrous d. Pell and Baggs, $6 / 3,6 / 4$; Bacon and Holton d. Cole and Cole, $5 / 7,6 / 3,7 / 5$; W. Roberts and IIolcomb d. P. Roberts and partner, by default.

Semi-final round-Holden and Watrous d. Eaton and Gray, 6/2, 6/2; Bacon and Holton d. W. Roberts and Holcomb, 6 2. 6, 2.

Final round-Holden and Watrous d. Bacon and Holton, 6/4, 7/5, 6/2.

## North Pacific Annual Meeting.

The Spokane Country Club was the scene of the North Pacific International Lawn Tennis Association's tournament, which began on July 4 . As usual, Schwengers of Victoria proved his superiority to the rest of the field, but it was not without a narrow escape from Fitz of Seattle. The latter won the first set, $7 / 5$, and continued to hold his own in the second until the games were even at ro-all. He was unable to continue the pace, and Schwengers won the set and the third rather easily at $6 / 2$. In the final match Wickersham, who had previously defeated Russell and Tyler, two of the strongest competitors in the tournament, was outplayed by Schwengers, failing to win a set, and in every way falling short of the anticipation of his followers.

In the doubles Wickersham was more fortunate, for, paired with Gorrill, he won the tournament, defeating in the final Russell and Fitz, 6/4, $6 / 1,7 / 5$. The summaries:

## MEN'S SINGLES.

First round-Fitz d. Ewing, 6/4, 4/6, 6/3; Schwengers d. Wildes, 6/3, 6/4: Montgomery d. Richards, 6/1, 6/1; Gorrill d. Lyon, 6/4, 6/o; Russell d. Pritchett, 7/5, 6/3; Wickersham d. Cardinal, 6/3, 6/r; Andrews d. Canbie, 2/6, 7/5, 6/2; Tyler d. Pulford, 6/1, 8/6.

Second round-Schwengers d. Fitz, $7 / 5,12 / 10,6 / 2$; Gorrill d. Montgomery, 6/4, 6/2; Wickersham d. Russell, $5 / 7$ 7/5, 6/4; Tyler d. Andrews, $6 / 1,6 / 2$.

Semi-final round-Schwengers d. Gorrill, 6/3, 6/4; Wickersham d. Tyler, $8 / 6,6 / 1$.

Final round-Schwengers d. Wickersham, 6/3, 6/4, 6/2.

## MEN'S DOUBLES.

First round-Russell and Fitz d. Schwengers and Cambie, 7/5, 6/3: Gilliat and Jukes d. Andrews and Ewing, $9 / 11,6 / 4,6 / 4$; Pulford and Lyon d. Tyler and Richards, 8/6, 6/2; Gorrill and Wickersham d. Wildes and Pritchett, 7/5, 6/4.

Semi-final round-Russell and Fitz d. Cilliat and Jukes, 2/6, 8/6, 6/1; Gorrill and Wickersham d. Pulford and Lyon, 6/2, 6/4.

Final round-Gorrill and Wickersham d. Russell and Fitz, 6/x, 6/4, 7/5.

## Southern Championship.

When play began for the Southern Championship on the Atlantic Athletic Club courts on July 4 there were fifty-four entries, all eager to annex the title. For the second time the Doyles, C. B. and H. L., journeyed from Washington to compete in this tournament, and this time Conny accomplished his desire. Several other men of prominence made the trip, among them being W. B. Cragin, Jr., and W. M. Hall of New York, and James H. Winston of Norfolk, Va. After playing in the earlier rounds Hall, who, with the exception of Doyle, was the strongest visiting player, defaulted to Hugh Whitehead, but Cragin was defeated by II. E. Doyle. Nat Thornton, the ex-Southern champion, was among those to go down before Hall's superior skill, and the latter's default threw quite a damper on the enthusiasm which prevailed throughout the tournament.

The final was played between C. B. Doyle and James II. Winston, and although the former won in straight sets, the match produced some really excellentutennis. In the first set Doyle played like a-fiend, being invincible on his service and overhead. In the second his pace slackened considerably, and Winston forced the score to 8 -all betore the Washingtonian ran out two successive games. The third set was the fastest of the three, for Doyle set a pace which Winston was unable to maintain after four or five games. The score of that set was $6 / 4$.

## MEN'S SINGLES.

First round-C. B. Doyle d. Winship Nunnally, 6/2, 6/1; E. V. Carter, Jr., d. H. L. Ashe, 6/o, 6/4; C. A. Howell d. Theo. Roeh1, 6/4, 3/6, 7/5; Louis Hardy d. Louis Blair, 6/2, 6/4; Nat Thornton d. F. D. Cluis, 6/2, 6/ı; Merrill Hall d. Jack Stewart, 6/0, 6/1; R. E. Daniel d. C. G. Marsh, $6 / 4,6 / 4 ;$ C. C. Glover d. A. V. Gude, Jr., $6 / 3,6 / 4 ;$ H. McGovern d. Charles M. Rodgers, by default; Erskine Buford d. Val Dawson, 6/r , 6/o; H. G. Whitehead d. Albert Haas, $6 / 1,6 / 1$; W. B. Cragin d. J. S. Fleet, $6 / 1,6 / 2$; C. P. Street d. Saxe Crawford, $6 / 4,6 / 4 ;$ B. M. Grant d. I. S. Chandler, Jr., 6/3, 6/2; Clarence Angier d. H. E. Avery, 7/5, 6/4; Leroy Cooper d. Frank Van Gilder, $6 / 1,6 / 4 ;$ H. E. Doyle d. T. M. Wilson, $6 / 0,6 / 1 ;$ E. Mansfield d. W. R. Harper 6/4. 6/2; William Gary d. G. Porter, 6/4, 7/9, 6/4; Esmond Phelps d. E. W. Ramspeck, 6/4, $7 / 5$; Forrest Adair, Jr., d. Louis Holliday, 6/3, 6/4; James H. Winston d. Arthur Clarke, 6/2, 6/4.

Second round-C. F. McIntosh d. Chauncey Smith, 6/o, 6/2; G. Middlebrook d. C. E. Harrold, $6 / 4,6 / 4$; C. B. Doyle d. S. C. Williams, 6/z, 6/2; Carter d. Howell, by default; Thornton d. Hardy, $4 / 6,6 / 4,6 / 4$; Hall d. Daniel, $6 / 0,6 / 2$; Glover d. McGovern, 6/o, 6/3; Whitehead d. Buford, $6 / 2,6 / 2$; Cragin d. Street, $6 / 1,6 / 1$; Grant d. Angier, 6/2, 6/2; H. E. Doyle d. Cooper, 6/o, 6/1; Mansfield d. Gary, 7/5, 3/6, 7/5; Phelps d. Adair, $6 / 3,3 / 6,6 / 4$ : Winston d. Delmonico, 6/3, 6/ı; C. Y. Smith d. R. B. Scott, 6/r, 6/4; C. M. Rodgers d. Frarik Spratling, 6/4, 6/2.

Third round-McIntosh d. Middlebrooks, $6 / 4,6 / 2 ;$ C. B. Doyle;d. Carter, $6 / 0,6 / 4$; Hall d. Thornton, $6 / 3,6 / 3$; Whitehead d. Glover, 6/2, 6/1:


[^0]Cragin d. Grant, 6/4, 6/3; H. E. Doyle d. Mansfield, 8/6, 6/3; Winston d. Phelps, 6/4, 8/6; C. Y. Smith d. C. M. Rodgers, 6/1, 1/6, 6/2.

Fourth round-C. B. Doyle d. T. McIntosh, 6/o, 6/2; Whitehead d. Walter Merrill Hall, by default; H. E. Doyle d. Cragin, 6/1, 2/6, 6/3; Winston d. Smith, 6/2, 6/4.

Semi-final round-C. B. Doyle d. Hugh Whitehead, 6/1, 4/6, 6/0: Winston d. H. E. Doyle, 6/3, 6/3. Final round-C. B. Doyle d. James H. Winston, 6/4, 10/8, 6/4. Challenge round-C. B. Doyle d. T. R. Pell (holder), by default.

## THE DOUBLES.

Twenty teams comprised the entry list which competed for the right to represent the South in the preliminary National doubles in Chicago. By far the best of these were the Doyle brothers and Cragin and Hall. Unfortunately, these two teams were drawn on the same side, and met in the semi-final round. A very close, interestng match was played between them, but the long experience of the brothers proved too much for the New York pair, and the match was won by the former, 6 4. $14 / 12,6 / 2$. In the other semi-final B. M. Grant and N. Thornton, ex-Southern champions, were at the height of their games when they faced Whitehead and Winston, winning at $6 / 3,6 / 2,5 / 7,6 / 3$.

The final brought together two pairs who have played long together and against each other on more than one occasion. For three sets it was a toss up, but after losing the third Grant and Thornton were swept off their feet in the fourth. The first set went to the Doyles at $6 / 4$. They were so much faster than their rivals that the latter, after several unsuccessful attempts to assume the net, were forced to remain in the back of the court. Both the Washingtonians, however, were particularly strong in their volleying and smashing, and, although the games were close, there was but little doubt as to the outcome. In the second set Thornton suddenly loomed in front. His driving was accurate and his smashing, though not severe, was splendidly consistent. Grant played a steady game and could be relied upon at all times, with the result that during that time of the match they outplayed their opponents.

The third, as both teams recognized, was the turning point in the play. Neither had minch advantage over the other until the games reached 8 -all. Then Conny Doyle, by splendid volleying and faultless serving, did more than his share of the work in winning twe straight games. The fourth was short and one-sided. The Doyles increased their speed, and forcing their advantage against the apparently discouraged ex-champions, took the set with the loss of but one game.

The closest kind of a match resulted in the final of the mixed doubles, when Thornton and Mrs. Willard Sullivan won in three sets from Miss Hildegarde Turle and W. B. Cragin, Jr.

Miss Turle, however, had her revenge when she and Mrs. Sullivan came together in the challenge round of the ladies' singles. In the third set of this match Mrs. Sullivan was within one point of winning, but a clever pass by Miss Turle evened the score and the latter ran out the necessary games to win at $7 / 5$. The summaries:

## MEN'S DOUBLES.

First round-Hugh G. Whitehead and James H. Winston d. Delmonico and Haas, $6 / 1,6 / 1,6 / 0$; C. Y. Smith and G. A. Howell d. E. Phelps and Theodore Roehl, $6 / 4,6 / 2,6 / 3$; T. H. McIntosh and Frank Spratling d. William Gary and Val Dawson, $6 / 3,6 / 3,6 / 8,6 / 2$; Merrill Hall and W. B. Cragin d. Arthur Clarke and Winship Nunnally, $6 / 1,6 / 0,6 / 1$; B. M. Grant and Nat Thornton d. Charles Rodgers and Frank Van Gilder, 6/2, $6 / 2,6 / 2$; E. V. Carter, Jr., and G. Middlebrookes d. Lewis Blair and Erskine Buford, $6 / 0,6 / 3,6 / 4$; S. C. Williams and C. Angier, Jr., d. J.

Chandler and R. B. Scott, $6 / 3,6 / 1,6 / 3 ;$ Whitehead and Winstor d. McIntosh and Spratling, 6/4, 6/3, 6/3; Hall and Cragin d. Smith and Howell, 6/4, 6/2, 6/3; Cooper and Hardy d. Avery and Glover, 6/2, 6/o, 6/4; H. E. Doyle and Conrad Doyle d. C. Smith and H. M. Ashe, 6/2, 6/2, 6/0; Forrest Adair, Jr., and Estein Mansfield d. Ramspeck and Harper, 6/0, 6/1, 6/2.

Second round-Grant and Thornton d. Carter and Middlebrookes, 6/8, $9 / 7,6 / 3,6 / 4$; Whitehead and Winston d. Clarence Angier and Williams, 1/6, 6/2, 6/3, 6/2; Hall and Cragin d. Cooper and Hardy, 6/4, 4/6, 6/2, 6/4; Doyle brothers d. Adair and Mansfield, 6/o, 6/3, 6/4.

Semi-final round-Grant and Thornton d. Whitehead and Winston, 6/3, $6 / 2,5 / 7,6 / 3$; Doyle brothers d. Hall and Cragin, 6/4, 14/12, 6/2.

Final round-Doyle brothers $d$. Grant and Thornton, $6 / 4,3 / 6,10 / 8,6 / \mathrm{s}$.

## Middle States Championship.

As in past years the Middle States championship, played in Orange during the last week in June, attracted quite a large number of first-class men, but owing to intense heat and the early date the form exhibited was not of the best.

The men to win their way through their respective haloes in singles were W. F. Johnson and N. W. Niles, and a very even fight resulted when they met. Neither one was very steady, however, first one showing in front, then the other. Niles won the opening set, $7 / 5$, Johnson took the second, $9 / 7$, and the third went to Niles rather easily at $6 / 3$. The fourth was close, but Niles again proved the stronger after the score reached 5 -all. On the whole the evenness was the only redeeming feature of the contest, for Niles was wild and Jolinson lacked sting to all of his strokes, netting constantly but rarely chopping out.

There were several decided upsets and one or two narrowly averted ones during the early rounds of play. Dean Mathey played very well, defeating L. E. Mahan before losing to Niles. L. H. Waidner came within a point of disposing of C. R. Gardner, and the surprise of the tournament came with F. C. Inman's defeat by G. M. Church. The latter played very cleverly and Inman was too unsteady to check his fall. Niles won from Church in the next round, while Johnson, in coming through, defeated both Gardner and Palmer.
H. H. Hackett and F. B. Alexander met with no serious opposition with the exception of their match with C. M. Bull, Jr., and H. C. Martin. The Crescent pair ran out the first set, $6 / 2$, and remained on even terms with the champions until the score stood at 4 -all in the second. The titleholders took the following two games and the third set in short order at $6 / \mathrm{r}$.

In the final they faced P. Gardner and L. H. Waidner, who, on their way through the tournament, won from Niles and Dabney in three hard-fought sets. Against Hackett and Alexander the Westerners could do little, and the title was won in straight sets at $6 / 2,6 / 2,6 / 1$.

## Gulf States Championship.

The tenth annual tournament for the championship of the Gulf States was held on June 6 and following days on the courts of the New Orlearis Lawn Tennis Club. Paul D. MacQuiston won the tournament, only losing one set (and that in the final round), and earning the right to challenge Esmond Phelps, the title holder.

Logan defeated Cooper in the final round, at the rather peculiar score of $6 / 0,0 / 6,6 / 4$, while MacQuiston easily won from Norton, $6 / \mathbf{1}, 6 / 0$.

Logan put up a good fight in the final round, but was unable to stand the pace. MacQuiston won at $7 / 5,9 / 11,6 / 2,6 / 3$.

The challenge round went the limit of five sets, MacQuiston finally defeating Phelps. The latter seemed to be unable to stand the strain after the second set.

Carter and Mansfield won the doubles event unexpectedly, defeating MacQuiston and Pipes in the final round. The match was full of fast playing and clever placing.

In the challenge round Carter and Mansfield defeated Logan and Phelps. The latter team was not playing well, although Carter and Mansfield were better than they had been earlier in the tournament. The summaries:

MEN'S SINGLES.
First round-P. D. MacQuiston d. H. M. MacQuiston, by default; Leverich d. Levy, $6 / 2,6 / 2$; Norton d. Jones, by default; Goldstein d. King, by default: Hudson d. Godchaux, 6/4, 6/t; Legendre d. Stouse, 6/o, 6/3; Schwarbacher d. Teed, 6/2, 3/6, 6/3; Feibleman d. Grant, by default; Seguin d. Rembert, 6/2, 6/2; McConnell d. Iyman, 6/4, 6/4; Carter d. schneidau, by default; Maginnis d. Worms, $6 / 2,6 / 2 ;$ McCallum d. Beach, $6 / 2,6 / 3$; Pipes d. Favrot, 6/1, 6/1; Cooper d. Smith, 6/0, 10/8; Woodbury d. McCall. 6/o, 6/2; Richardson d. Field, by default; Worms d. Van Wart, by default; Grima d. DeBuys, 6/2, $6 / 2$; Sanders d. Smith, 6/2, 6/1. Second round-Roehl d. Mansfield, 6/3, 6/4; Richardson d. Krauss, by default; Worms d. Roberts, by default; MacQuiston d. Leverich, 6/2, 6/3 : Norton d. Goldstein, 6/r, 6/1; IIudson d. Legendre, 6/4, 6/1; Schwarbacher d. Feibleman, 6/4. 7/5; McConnell d. Segpin, 6/4, 8/6; Carter d. Maginnis, $6 / 3,3 / 6,6 / 4$; Pipes d. McCallum, $6 / 2,6 / 1$; Cooper d. Woodbury, $6 / 4,5 / 7,6 / 2$; Worms d. Richardson, $7 / 5,7 / 5$; Grima d. Sanders, 6/o, 6/2; Thompson d. Henderson, 4/6, 6/3, 6/3; Sanders d. Janvier, 6/o, $6 / 1$; Logan d. Soule, 6/1, 4/6, 6/4.

Third rcund-Roehl d. Kichardscn, 6/3, 6/3; MacQuiston d. Worms, $6 / 1,6 / 0$; Norton d. Hudson, 6/4, 6/o; McConnell d. Schwarbacher, 6/2, $6 / 4$; Carter d. Pipes, $10 / 8,5 / 7,6 / 0$; Cooper d. Worms, 6/2, 6/3; Grima d. Thompson, 6/0, 6/1; Logan d. Sanders, 6/1, 6/2.

Fourth round-MacQuiston d. Koehl, 6/1, 6/4; Norton d. McConnell, $6 / 1,6 / 3$; Cooper d. Carter, $4 / 6,3 / 6,6 / 3$; Logan d. Grima, 6/1, 4/6, 7/5. Semi-final round-MacQuiston d. Norton, 6/ı, 6/o; Logan d. Cooper, 6/o, o/6, 6/4.

Final round-MacQuiston d. Logan, 7/5, 9/11, 6/2, 6/3. 6/3, 6/1.
Challenge round-MacQuiston d. Phelps, 7/9, $6 / 8,6 / 1,6 / 3,6 / 1$. MEN'S DOUBLES.
First round-Godchaux and Weis vs. Moore and Derby, double default; Worms and Levy d. Dwyer and Beach, 6/2, 6/1; Maginnis and Roehl d. Feibleman and Worms, 6/1, 4/6, $6 / 2$. DeBuys and Richardson, 3/6, 6/1, 6/4; Carter and Mansfield d. Leverich and Seguin, 7/5, 6/3; Rembert and McCallum d. Pipes and partner, by default; Worms and Levy won by double default; Maginnis and Roehl d. Favrot and Watters, 6/1, 6/2; MacQuiston and Pipes d. Woodbury and McCall, 6/o, 6/3; Norton and Cooper d. McConnell and Thompson, 6/4, 6/o; Grima and Sanders d. Soule and Henderson, 6/4, 6/r.

Third round-Carter and Mansfield d. Worms and Blum, 6/4, 6/2; Worms and Levy d. Rembert and McCallum, 6/2, 6/0; MacQuiston and Pipes d. Maginnis and Roehl, 6/4, 3/6, 8/6; Norton and Cooper d. Grima and Sanders, 6/4, 6/2.

Semi-final round-Carter and Mansfield d. Worms and Levy, 9/7, 6/4; MacQuiston and Pipes d. Norton and Cooper, 9/7, 6/3.

Final round-Carter and Mansfield d. MacQuiston and Pipes, 6/4, 4/6, 6/8, 9/7, 6/3.

Challenge round-Carter and Mansfield d. Logan and Phelps, 4/6, 6/3, $6 / 3,9 / 7$.

C. R. GARDNER, SAN FRANCISCO, Who ranked 10 after a season's play in the East.
F. C. COLSTON, Baltimore's Premier Exponent of the game; Colston ranked 9 .

## Pacific Coast Championship.

With McLoughlin and Bundy playing in Eastern tournaments, M. H. Long had an easy time in winning the twenty-second championship of the Pacific Coast, which was played at Del Monte September 5. Although the above mentioned players' absence was greatly regretted, the draw with that exception was an excellent one, with several clever newcomers in the list.

Long defeated successively, each in straight sets, W. Johnston, R. Gorrill, B. Batkin and Percy Murdock, while Gerald Young did almost as well in the opposite half. The only man to win a set from him was W. Bacon. In the final, Young made an excellent stand against his renowned rival. Long won the opening set, but carelessness, combined with a decided brace by his rival, cost him the second. He went for the third and fourth with a vengeance, however, and Young was unable to annex more than a single game in each.

In the challenge round Long made short work of the title holder, George Janes, defeating him at $6 / 0,6 / 3,6 / 1$. Against a total of eighty-five points for the former, Janes won but forty-one.

Long and Sinsabaugh won the doubles, but were forced to their best by Dacon and Young in the final. The score of that match was $108,7 / 5,6 / 3$.

The feature of the tournament came with the meeting of Miss Sutton and Miss Motchkiss in the final of the ladies' singles. A bad start gave Miss Sutton an advantage of three games, but Miss Motchkiss braced splendidly and reduced the score at five-all. The effort was too much, however, and Miss Sutton took the following two. By wonderfull allround play Miss Hotchkiss ran the score up to $5 / 1$ in the second set. I)uring that time her famous opponent was outclassed, although she won three more games before dropping the set. The deciding set was very even up to four-all, at which point Miss Sutton cut loose and banged out the necessary points to win. In the challenge round the holder, Miss Florence Sutton, went down before her sister's aggressive game rather easily at $61,6 / 2$. The summaries:

## MEN'S SINGLES.

First round-B. Batkin d. S. Sinsabaugh, $8 / 6,7 / 5,6 / 3 ;$ B. Nourse d. D. Grant, 6/o, 6/2; M. Long d. W. Johnston, 6/2, 6/2, 6/3; R. Gorrill d. W. Hunter, $1 / 6,3 / 6,6 / 1,6 / 0,9 / 7$; E. Fottrell d. W. Davis, 6/1, 6/o, 7/5; R. Hunt d. M. Redmayne, $6 / 0,6 / 1$; P. Murdock d. W. Havens by default; H. Hotchkiss d. S. Busch by default; Dr. W. R. Lovegrove d. J. A. Code, 6/0, 6/1, 6/4; W H. Pyburn d. J. Ball, 6/o, 6/o, 6/o; V. Sheldon d. J. Edwards, $7 / 5,8 / 6,7 / 5$; W. Bacon d. E. Weller, 6/o, 6/3. $6 / 4$; G. Young d. R. Holland, $6 / 0,6 / 4,6 / 3$; E. Rogers d. E. Galusha, $6 / 3,7 / 5,6 / 3$; W. Knowlton d. E. La Montague, 6/2, 6/1, 6/1; E. P. Finigan d. A. Crosby, 6/2, 6/2, 6/2.

Second round-Batkin d. Nourse, $10 / 8,6 / 3,9 / 7$; Long d. Gorrill, 6/2. $6 / 1,6 / 3$; Hunt d. Fottrell, 8/6, 6/2, 9/7; Murdock d. Hotchkiss, 6/1, 6/2, 6/o; Lovegrove d. Pyburn, 6/1, 6/3, 6/o; Bacon d. Sheldon, 4/6, 6/4, 6/3, $6 / 2$ : loung d. Rogers, 6/1, 6/o, 6/1; Knowlton d. Finigan, 6/3, 7/5, 6/3.
Third round-Long d. Batkin, 4/1, 6/3, 6/2; Murdock d. Hunt, o/6, $9 / 7,6 / 1,3 / 6,6 / 4$; Bacon d. Lovegrove, $5 / 7,6 / 3,6 / 4,6 / 1$; Young d. Knowlton, $6 / 3,6 / 1,6 / 3$.
Semi-final round-Long d. Murdock, $6 / 2,6 / 0,6 / 1$; Young d. Bacon. 4/6, 6/I, 6/4, 6/I.

Final round-Long d. Young, $6 / 3,4 / 6,6 / 1,6 / 1$.
Challenge round-Long d. G. J. Janes, $6 / 0,6 / 3,6 / 1$.

## MEN'S DOUBLES.

First round-Long and Sinsabaugh d. Ball and Rogers, 6/0, 6/r; Lovegroye and Nourse d. Redmayne and Holland, 6/3, 6/1; Murdock and


MISS MARIE WAGNER,
National Indoor Champion.
MRS. DR. JANE CRAVEN, Champion of Western Pennsylvania.

Hunt d. Fottrell and Batkin, 6/4, 6/3; Gorrill and Hunter d. Code and Davis, 6/3, 8/6.

Second round-Hotchkiss and Finigan d. Pyburn and Sheldon, 6/2, 6/4 : Long and Sinsabaugh d. Lovegrove and Nourse, 6/o, 6/3; Murdock and Hunt d. Gorrill and Hunter, 8/6, 6/4; Bacon and Young d. Knowlton and Johnston, 6/4, 6/3.

Semi-final round-Long and Sinsabaugh d. Finigan and Hotchkiss, 6/3, $3 / 6,6 / 3$; Pacon and Young. d. Murdock and Hunt, 6/3, 6/4.

Final round-Long and Sinsabaugh d. Bacon and Young, $10 / 8,7 / 5,6 / 3$.

## LADIES' SIN゙GLES.

First round-Miss May Sutton d. Mrs. H. Kearne, 6/r, 6/o; Mrs. 13. O. Bruce d. Mrs. G. Coulter, 6/o, 6/1; Miss G. Myer d. Miss M. Browne, $6 / 3,3 / 6,6 / 3$.

Second round-Miss Sutton d. Mrs. Bruce, 6/3, 6/2; Miss Hotchkiss d. Miss Myer, 6/2, 6/2.

Final round-Miss Sutton d. Miss Hotchkiss, 7/5, 4/6, 6/4.
Challenge round-Miss M. Sutton d. Miss F. Sutton, 6/1, 6/2.

## MIXED DOUBBLES.

First round-Miss N. Brewer and R. Splivalo d. Miss B. Vallian and P. Murdock, 6/4, 6/4.

Second round-Miss Hotchkiss and Long d. Miss Brown and Sinsabaugh, 6/4, 6/3; Miss Myer and Janes d. Miss Brewer and Splivalo, 6/4, $3 / 6,6 / 4$; Miss F. Sutton and Hunt d. Mrs. Kearne and Redmayne, 6/1, $6 / 1$; Miss M. Sutton and loung d. Mrs. Bruce and Dr. Hardy, 4/6, 6/1, 6/1.

Semi-final round-Miss Hotchkiss and Long d. Miss Myer and Janes, $9 / 7,7 / 5$; Miss M. Sutton and loung d. Niss F. Sutton and Hunt, $6 / 3,6 / 3$.

Final round-Miss M. Sutton and G. Voung d. Miss H. Hotchkiss and. Melville Long, 6/4, 8/6.

## Pacific Coast Doubles.

Played at Long Beach, beginning July . ${ }^{\text {, the tournament for the Doubles. }}$ Championship of the P'acific Coast furnished one of the biggest surprises. of the season when M. E. McLoughlin and M. H. Long, playing together for the first time, were defeated in the final round by T. C. Bundy and T. Hendrick. The young internationalists expected to prove the national title holders' worthy opponents. The match was a great one and was won and lost time and again by both teams before Long fell down in the last game of the fifth heart-breaking set. In brief, the match progressed as follows: Bundy and Ilendrick won the first set, taking alternate games until the fifth, when they broke through McLoughlin's service. They lost that advantage on the eighth game, however, when Hendrick dropped his serve, evening the score to four-all. It was Long who lost his serve in the eleventh, and the set ended, 7/5. The second, another deuce set, went to the Northerners at 8 , due to their breaking into Bundy's service, in the fourteenth game. In the third set, McLoughlin played brilliantly, killing many lobs, and using his forehand drive most advantageously, but Long deteriorated, and the Los Angeles pair won at $6 / 2$. The fourth set reversed the performance, going to Long and McLoughlin at $6 / 3$. The fifth set found Bundy the only one of the quartette able to increase his speed or better his game. Hendrick was badly fatigued, while McLoughlin had slowed down and Long was deplorably "off." At $5 / 3$, with Bundy's service to follow, it looked to be all over, but McLoughlin braced finely, making four brillant shots and winning his service to make

DR. P. B. HAWK, CONRAD YOUNG AND J. T. BAILEY,
The men responsjble for the success of the first Clay Court Championship.
it five-all. It was a dying effort, however, for Hendrick's delivery gave his side the advantage, and Long went to pieces in the following game, serving a double and otherwise showing distress signals. The victory of the Southerners was a great surprise, but they earned their right to compete in the preliminary play-off at Chicago. The summaries:

## MEN'S DOU'BLES.

Preliminary round-Crawford and Salisbury d. Sheldon and Feishans, $6 / 4,6 / 4,6 / 4 ;$ Freeman and Holmes d. Dawson and Hopper, 6/4, 9/5, 6/2. First round-Mace and Noble d. Kobbe and Newell, 6/2, 6/2, 6/4; Browne and Sinsabaugh d. Bacon and Young, 6/4, 6/8, 6/4, $6 / 1$; Braly and Duncan d. Goodrich and Knox, $6 / 1,6 / 2,6 / 3$; Bundy and Hendrick $d$. Crawford and Salisbury, 6/1, 6/3, 6/o; McLoughlin and Long d. Johnson and Harnett, $6 / 1,6 / 1,6 / 0$; Wayne and Variel d. Weller and Robb, 6/2, $6 / 2,6 / 1$; Rogers and Rogers d. Overton and Bumiller, 6/2, 7/5, 6/4.
Second round-lirowne and Sinsabaugh d. Mace and Noble, 4/6, 6/2, $6 / 2,2 / 6,6 / 2$; Pundy and Hendrick d. Braly and 1)uncan, 6/2, 3/6, $8 / 10$, $6 / 1,7 / 5$; McLoughlin and Long d. Freeman and Holmes, 6/o, 6/3, 4/6, $6 / 3$; Rogers and Rogers d. Wayne and Variel, 8/6, 2/6, 6/8, 6/3, 6/2.

Semi-final round-Bundy and Hendrick d. Browne and Sinsabaugh, 4/6, $6 / 4,6 / 4,6 / 1$; Long and McLoughlin $d$. Rogers and Rogers, 6/3, 3/6, 6/3, Final round-Bundy and Hendrick d. McLoughlin and Long, 7/5, 6/8, $6 / 2,3 / 6,7 / 5$.

## WOMEN'S SIN゙GLES.

First round-Miss Hazel Hotchkiss d. Mrs. Whiddison, by default; Mrs. B. O. Bruce d. Miss Nlice Scott, 6.3, 0 2; Miss May Sutton d. Miss Florence Allen, $60,6 / 0$; Miss Florence Sutton d. Miss Golda Myer, by default.

Semi-final round-Mrs. Bruce d. Miss Hotchkiss, 6/4, 6/4; Miss May Sutton d. Miss Florence Sutton, 6/0, 6/1.

Final round-Miss May Sutton d. Mrs. Bruce, 6/0, 6/1.

## Middle Atlantic Championship.

Witin two large and successful tournaments and numerous inter-city matches played on the courts of the Bachelors' Lawn Tennis Club, the season of 1910 in Washington was a very successful one.

The Middle Atlantic Tournament beginning May 23 added another to the long list of Middle Atlantic honors won by Harold E. Doyle, whose victory in this tournament placed his name for the third successive time upon the handsome trophy presented by the Bachelors' Lawn Tennis Club, which trophy became his absolute property.

The finals in the ladies' singles between Miss Marie Wimer of Washington and Miss ILildegarde Turle of Brooklyn resulted in a match replete with skillful temis and which is regarded as the finest exhibition of tennis ever played by ladies in Washington. The contestants were finely matched and the play was thoroughly enjoyed by a large gathering of tennis enthusiasts. The long struggle was finally brought to an end by the victory of Miss Wimer hy the score of $6 / 4,6 / 4$.

## State Championships

## California State Championship.

Melville Long won the tenth championship of California, held on the courts of the Lniversity of California, in Berkeley, August 24 and following days. In the semi-final round Long won an easy victory over B. Batkin, and E. Fottrell defeated J. Rohlfs, in a fairly good match. Long completely outclassed Fottrell in the final round.

Reuben Hunt was expected to come through to the final round and make it interesting for Long, but he was defeated in the second by W. G. Knowlton, $7 / 5,1 / 6,6 / 4$, not having been up to his usual form. Long had an easy path io victory, not having lost a set, and winning at least one love set in every match he played.

Long and W. Dawson won the doubles championship, defeating Fottrell and Patkin in the final round, $6 / 1,7 / 5,6 / 2$. It must be said, in fairness to Fottrell and Batkin, that they were slightly off their games, although Long and Dawson are a much stronger combination.

Miss Hazel Hotchkiss won the ladies' singles championship, defeating Miss Golda Myer in the finai round, $6 / 2,6 / 4$. The match was an excellent one, many of the points going back and forth innumerable times before they were finally decided. Both Miss Hotchkiss and Miss Myer reached the final round without losing a set. The summaries:

## MEN'S SINGLES.

First round-H. Buckingham d. B. Loyd, 6/o, 6/o; M. Frees d. R. Stringham, 6/0, 6/1; M. Long d. H. Comstock, 6/0, 6/1; Lieut. Ward d. S. Burke, 7/5, 6/4; B. Nourse d. J. Evans, 6/4, 6/2; Dr. Lovegrove d. A. Wilder, $6 / 0,6 / 2 ;$ B. Batkin d. S. F. Dane, $6 / 2,6 / 1$; E. Finigan d. C. Rogers, $6 / 2,6 / 3 ;$ H. Rogers d. R. McClue, $6 / 3,6 / 2$; W. Dawson d. N. Ambrose, $6 / 4,6 / 4$; E. Fottrell d. A. Shields, by default; R. Hunt d. W. Murray, by default; W. G. Knowlton d. C. Skaggs, 7/5, 6/4; M. Cheney d. J. Code, by default; J. Rohlfs d. I. Powell, by default.

Second round-Frees d. Buckingham. 6/2, $6 / 1$; Long d. Ward, 6/o, 6/o; Lovegrove d. Nourse, 7 5, 7/5; Batkin d. Finigan, 6/3, 6/3; Dawson d. H. Rogers, 6/4, 6/1; Fottrell d. McSwain, by default; Knowlton d. Hunt, 7/5, 1/6, 6/4; Rohlfs d. Cheney, 7/5, 6/2.

Third round-Long d. Frees, 6/0, 6/2; Batkin d. Lovegrove, 6/3, 3/6, $7 / 5$; Fottrell d. Dawson, 2/6, 6/3, 7/5; Rohlfs d. Knowlton, 1/6, 6/2, 6/3.

Semi-final round-Long d. Batkin, 6/o, 6/2; Fottrell d. Rohlfs, 6/4, 6/2.
Final round-Long d. Fottrell, 6/o, 6/1, 6/3.

## WOMEN'S SINGGES.

First round-Mrs. Neimeyer d. Mrs. Fletcher, by default.
Second round-Miss Hotchkiss d. Miss Upham, $6 / 2,6 / 1$; Mrs. Coulter d. Miss Rickard, $6 / 1,6 / 0$; Mrs. Neimeyer d. Miss Greenberg, 6/1, 8/10, $6 / 3$; Miss Myer d. Miss Howlett, 6/1, 6/1.

Third round-Miss Hotchkiss d. Mrs. Neimeyer, 6/1, 6/0; Miss Myer d. Mrs. Coulter, 6/4, 6/1.

Final round-Miss Hotchkiss d. Miss Myer, 6/2, 6/4.

## MEN'S DOUBLES.

First round-H. and C. Rogers d. Ambrose and Hotchkiss, $13 / 11,2 / 6$, 8, 6; Long and Dawson d. Murdock and Hunt, 6/2, 1/6, 6/3; Rohlfs and

Young d. Frees and Beckett, 6/4, 7/5; Fottrell and Batkin d. Buckingham and Rideout, 6/1, 6/3. and Dawson d. Rogers and Rogers, 6/3, 6/2; Second round-Long and Dawson d. Rogers a Fottrell and Batkin d. Rohlfs and loung, o/1, $6 / 3$.
Final round-Long and Dawson $d$. Fottrell and Batkin, 6/1, 7/5, 6/2.

## Championship of the Carolinas.

The third annual tournament for the Championship of the Carolinas was played during the week of June 6 at the Sans Souci Country Club, near Greenville, South Carolina. The weather was particularly satisfactory, being cloudy and rather cool.
The feature of the tournament was the playing of Miss Hildegarde E. Turle of Brooklyn, N. Y., this being her first appearance on the local courts. She played in perfect form, especially in ground strokes, and covered the court with remarkable ease. She won the cup in the ladies' singles, while she and her partner, R. C. Reed, Jr., of Charlotte, N. C., won the cup in the mixed doubles.

The cup in the men's doubles was won for the second time by Prof. Reed Smith of Columbia, S. C., and R. C. Reed, Jr. These two also met in the finals in men's singles, Prof. Smith winning the cup. His improvement during the past two years has been marked.

The surprise of the meet was the remarkable fight put up by Messrs. M. L. Marchant and P. A. Bennett of Greer, S. C., against Messrs. Smith and Reed in the preliminaries. The score was $6 / 8,6 / 2,7 / 5$, and the playing was fast from start to finish. If the Greer team had not had the misfortune to draw the champions in the preliminaries, they would most likely have been in the finals. The other team from Greer, Dr. W. T. Brockman and 11. B. Posey, had the distinction of playing the longest three-set match ever heard of in this part of the world. Their score against Wilson Glover and L. O. Patterson was $10 / 8,12 / 14,6 / 8$, a total of fifty-eight games in one three-set match. The teams were most evenly balanced, and many of the games were deuce games.

The most promising material locally developed is V. M. Manning. He is young and inexperienced, but exceedingly active, and promises well. The summaries:

## MEN'S SINGLES.

First round-M. B. Prevost d. H. C. Bridgers of Tarboro, N. C., by default; O. P. Mills, Jr., d. J. Mills Newton of Thomasville, N. C.. by default; R. C. Reed, Jr., d. L. O. Patterson, 6/2, 6/3: W. A. Colwell of Spartanburg, S. C., d. Wilson Glover, 6/3, 6/o; L. A. Mills d. F. Pickens Bacon of Tryon, N. C.. by default; L. \. Cothran d. V. M. Manning, 6/3. 6/2; R. M. Cleveland, Tr.. d. Hamlin Beattie, Jr., 6/o, 6/1; Reed Smith d. W. C. Cothran, by default.

Second round-Prevost d. O. I'. Alills, Jr., 7/5, 6/2: Reed d. Colwell, $6 / 4,6 / 8,6 / 0$; L. A. Cothran d. L. A. Mills, $6 / 3,6 / 1$; Smith d. Cleveland, $6 / 1,6 / 1$. 6 -Reed d. Prevost, 6/1, 6/4; Smith d. Cothran, 2/6,

Third $6 / 3,6 / 1$.

Final round-Smith d. Reed, 6/1, 1/6, 6/4, 7/5.

## MEN'S DOUBLES.

First round-R. M. Cleveland, Jr., and R. W. Arlington, Jr., won a bye; A. L. and L. A. Mills won a bye; W. A. Colwell and L. A. Cothran won a bye: M. B. Prevost and V. M. Manning d. F. Pickens Bacon and J. Mills Newton, by default; Glover and Patterson d. Brockman and Posey, $8 / 10,14 / 12,8 / 6$; Smith and Reed d. Marchant and


Bennett, 6/8, 6/2, 7/5; O. P. Mills, Jr., and A. McI. Griffin d. Hewlett Sullivan and J. R. Moseley of Anderson, S. C., by default.

Second round-Mills and Mills d. Cleveland and Arrington, 6/4, 6/4; Colwell and Cothran d. Prevost and Manning, 6/2, 6/2; Glover and Patterson won a bye, Smith and Reed d. Mills and Griffin, 7/5, 6/3.

Third round-Colwell and Cothran d. Mills and Mills, 6/o, 6/1; Smith and Reed d. Glover and Patterson, 6/3, 6/2.

Final round-Smith and Reed d. Colwell and Cothran, 6/3, 6/4, 6/4.

## LADIES' SINGLES.

First round-Miss Ellen Perry d. Miss Lillian Perry, 6/2, 6/r; Miss Hildegarde E. Turle d. Miss Mary Sloan, 6/2, 6/4.

Final round-Miss Turle d. Miss Ellen Perry, 6/2, 6/4.

## MIXED DOUBLES.

First round-Miss Turle and R. C. Reed, Jr., d. Miss Ellen Perry and W. A. Colwell, by default; Miss Sloan and L. A. Cothran d. Miss Lillian Perry and Professor Reed Smith, 6/2, 6/0.

Final round-Miss Turle and Reed d. Miss Sloan and Cothran, 9/7. 4/6, 6/2.

## Colorado State Championship.

On the Denver Country Club's courts, C. P. Dodge of Colorado won the State Championship. He played Donald Harker in the challenge round on September 3 and won in straight sets.

The tournament was successful in every sense, forty entries being drawn in singles and twenty competing for the doubles title. Champion Harker's defeat in singles proved the big surprise, but his play was far below his standard of a season ago.

The doubles honors were won by the title holders, Graham and Morey, from Scribner and Hutchinson. The challengers were outplayed from beginning to end and were defeated in straight sets. The final doubles was interesting but disappointing, because of the default of Dodge and Scribner. For four sets the men played on even terms, but at love-three in the fifth set Scribner and Dodge withdrew. It was quite dark and Dodge, who had previously played his singles match, was greatly fatigued, so that in all probability the result, had they continued, would have been the same.

## Connecticut State Championship.

A much higher class entry than had ever before competed was drawn for the sixth annual tournament for the State title at the Litchfield Club on July 12. Dr. Hawk, the holder; F. C. Inman, L. D. Woodbury, F. M. Watrous and R. $\Lambda$. Holden all took part, and there was much excellent play. Inman had his hands full when he faced Dr. Hawk in the semi-final. The latter was as steady as usual and had besides a streak of fast driving which forced his left-handed opponent to extend himself to the utmost. The first set was very close, but in the second Inman increased his speed and held the upper hand at all stages. His service was faultless and drives fast and at impossible angles. In the final, Woodbury, who had previously upset calculations by disposing of Fred Harris in two fast sets, was far below his true form. Inman completely out classed him, winning without much effort at $5 / 2,6 / 2,6 / 2$.

Hawk and Harris had things their own way in the doubles．In the final they won from Sanford and Jackson at $6 / 2,6 / 3,4 / 6,6 / 4$ ．The summaries：

## MEN＇S SINGLES．

First round－Archie Richards（Litchfield）d．Robert Deming（New Haven），by default；Macklin Cunningham（Litchfield）d．T．A．Larrimore （Yale）， $6 / 4,6 / 2 ;$ F．C．Inman（New York）d．R．A．Holden（Yale），by default；Lewis Wiggin（Litchfield）d．F．M．Watrous（Yale），by default； Harrison Sanford（Litchfield）d．Charles A．Lindley（New York），6／3， $2 / 6,6 / 1$ ；Bertram Lewis（Philadelphia）d．Ludlow Bull（Litchfield），by default；Dr．P．B．Hawk（Urbana，Ill．）d．H．Bonzal，by default；Edward H．Coy（New Haven）d．James P．Woodruff（Litchfield），6／2，6／3；N．W． Busk（Staten Island）d．Robert Strange（Orange，N．J．），by default：Fred H．Harris（Brattleboro，Vt．）d．A．H．Man，Jr．（Yale），6／3，6／2；Hallet Johnson（Orange，N．J．）d．Reginald Sanford（Litchfield），6／o，6／2；Lewis Perry（Williamstown）d．E．G．Jackson（Middletown），by default；Law－ rence Woodbury（New York）d．R．S．Lymann（Hartford），6／o，6／4；John N．Holcomb，Jr．（Hartford）d．Donald R．Hart（New Britain），6／4，6／0； Walter Roberts（Hartford）d．L．Holt（New York），6／1，6／o；E．W．Sey－ mour（Litchfield）d．Robert Monroe（Litchfield），6／o，6／o．

Second round－Richards d．Cunningham， $6 / 4,5 / 7,6 / 4$ ；Inman d．Wig－ gins， $6 / 0,6 / 1$ ；Sanford d．Bull，6／2，7／5；Hawk d．Coy，6／1，6／4；Harris d．Busk，6／o，6／1；Jackson d．Johnson，6／1，6／4；Woodbury d．Holcomb， $8 / 6,7 / 5$ ；Roberts d．Seymour，6／3，12／10．

Third round－Inman d．Richards，6／2，6／3；Hawk d．Sanford，6／3，6／4； Harris d．Jackson，6／2，6／4；Woodbury d．Roberts，6／4，6／3．

Semi－final round－Inman d．Hawk，7／5，6／4；Woodbury d．Harris， $6 / 4,6 / 2$ ．

Final round－Inman d．Woodbury，6／2，6／2，6／2．

## MEN＇S DOUBLES．

First round－Man and Lyman d．Roberts and Holcomb，6／3，6／4； H．Sanford and Jackson d．Richards and Cunningham，6／1，6／4；Seymour and R．Sanford d．Holt and Monroe，6／o，6／2；Woodbury and Lindley d． Inman and Johnson，8／6，6／3．

Second round－Bull and Busk d．Holden and Watrous，by default；II． Sanford and Jackson d．Man and Lyman，6／2，6／4：Woodhury and Ludley d．Seymour and R．Sanford，6／2，6／2；Hawk and Harris d．Lewis and Larrimore，6／2，6／0．

Semi－final round－Sanford and Jackson d．Bull and Busk，6／2，6／3；Hawk and Harris d．Woodbury and Lindley，6／2，6／4．

Final round－Hawk and Harris d．Sanford and Jackson，6／2，6／3， 4／6，6／4．

## Delaware State Championship．

On Tuly 9 the annual tournament for the championship of Delaware was begun at the Wilmington Country Club．The best players entered came from Philadelphia，and these included H．J．Rendall，H．M．and W． M．Tilden，J．R．Carpenter，Jr．，and A．Thayer．The draw was an unfor－ tunate one，however，for the Tildens drew each other in the first round． H．M．won and had little trouble until he faced Carpenter．The latior played splendidly for two sets，but in the deciding one went to pieces badly．winning but one game．

Rendall and Thayer worked their way through the lower side of the draw and faced each other in the semi－final．Rendall was too steady and －zst for his opponent and won rather easily in two sets．

The final, between Tilden and Rendall, was close, and much cleverness was displayed by both men. The first set was a question of service alone for ten games. Rendall at that point tried three fast drives and each was perfect. He took the game and set on service. The second and third sets were like the first, Rendall playing an aggressive but withal remarkably steady game, while Tilden was erratic and inclined to slumps. In the challenge round Wallace Johnson, the holder, was too clever for his rival and won easily at $6 / 4,6 / 2,6 / 4$. His chops were fast and well directed. Rendall's net work was of little use and from the back court he was outclassed.

The doubles brought out a big upset when Dewhurst and Carpenter went down in the final in straight sets to Rendall and Rogers. The losers played brilliantly at times, but at others went off badly. Rendall was, as usual, steady as a clock, and Rogers played almost as well. Tilden and Thayer, however, were too fast a combination for their challengers, and although the first two sets were close, the result was never in doubt. The summaries:

## MEN'S SIN゙GLES.

First round-Carpenter d. Mills, 6/1, 6/ı; Tatnall d. DuPont, 6/3, 6/3; H. M. Tilden d. W. M. Tilden, $9 / 7,6 / 1$; Satterthwaite d. McCave, 8/6, $6 / 4$; Dixon d. Dodd, $6 / \mathrm{t}, 6 / 2$; Rogers d. Gause, 6/1, 6/o; Oliver d. Sheppard, by default; Johnston d. Slocum, 3/6, $7 / 5$, default; Aitkin d. Rush, 6/o, 6/o; Carpenter d. Capelle, 6/1, 6/1; E. Thayer d. Tatnall, 6/3, 6/4; Cadwallader d. Hoskins, by default; A. D. Thayer d. Harvey, 6/2, 6/1; Alexander d. Grant, by default; Hathaway d. Caldwell, 6/3, 6/0; Garland d. Jermyn, 6/o, 6/o; Rev. H. J. Rendall d. Gibbons, 6/1, 7/5; Merrihew d. Townsend, 7/5, 6/3.

Second round-Tilden d. Satterthwaite, 6/2, 6/r; Oliver d. Johnson, 6/o, 6/2; Carpenter d. Aitkin, 6/2, 6/3; de Cazenove d. E. Thayer, 8/6, $3 / 6,6 / 2$; Thayer d. Alexander, $6 / 1,6 / 0$; Hathaway d. Garland, 6/2, 3/6, 7/5; Rendall đै. Merrihew, 6/1, 6/2.

Third round-Tilden d. Dixon, $6 / 2,2 / 6,6 / 3$; Carpenter d. Oliver, 6/1, 6/0; A. Thayer d. de Cazenove, 4/6, 7/5, 6/3; Rendall d. Hathaway, $6 / 4,6 / 2$.

Semi-final round-Tilden d. Carpenter, $4 / 6,6 / 3,3 / 6$; Rendall d. Thayer, $6 / 2,6 / 4$.

Final round-Rendall d. Tilden, 7/5, 6/4, 6/4.
Challenge round-Wallace Johnson (holder) d. Rev. H. J. Rendall (challenger), $6 / 4,6 / 2,6 / 4$.

## MEN'S DOUBLES.

First round-Tilden and Dixon d. Townsend and Gause, 6/1, 6/2; de Cazenove and Johnston d. Garland and Canby, by default; Carpenter and Dewhurst d. Tatnall and Wilson, 6/1, 6/1; Oliver and Levis d. J. H. Rendall and Gibbons, $6 / 1,6 / 4$; Hoskins and Wright d. Dodd and Bush, $6 / 0,6 / 1$; Biddle and Thayer d. King and Chandler, 6/2, 6/ı; Rev. H. J. Rendall and Rogers d. Satterthwaite and Harvey, 6/2, 6/3; Douglas and Hill d. Bates and Collum, by default.

Second round-Tilden and Dixon d. de Cazenove and Johnson, 6/r, 7/5; Dewhurst and Carpenter d. Oliver and Levis, 6/2, 6/3; Hoskins and Wright d. Biddle and E. Thayer, 6/o, 6/3; Rendall and Rodgers d. Douglass and Hill, 6/1, 6/2.

Third round-Dewhurst and Carpenter d. Tilden and Dixon, 6/3, 6/3; Rendall and Rodgers d. Hoskins and Wright, $7 / 5,6 \%$.

Semi-final round-Rendall and Rogers $d$. Dixon and Carpenter, 6/4, $11 / 9,6 / 2$; Dewhurst and Carpenter d. Tilden and Dixon, 6/3, 6/3.

Final round-Rendall and Rogers d. Dewhurst and Carpenter, 6/4. $11 / 9,6 / 2$.

Challenge round-H. M. Tilden and A. D. Thayer, Jr. (holders) d. II J. Rendall and C. S. Rogers, $9 / 7,7 / 5,1 / 6,6 /$. .

H. D. KIRKOVER OF BUFFALO.
E. FOTTRELL,

Runner-up to M. H. Long in California Championship.

## Idaho State Championship．

## Held Jine j－io，igio．

The 1910 Idaho State Tennis Championships were the most successful that have been given thus far．Twenty－one beautiful silver cups were given away as first，second and runner－up prizes．The Lewiston Chal－ lenge Cup，which，by the way，is the handsomest trophy offered by any tennis club in the Northwest，was won by Joe Tyler of Spokane，the 1909 Northwest tennis champion．

The following clubs were represented：Spokane Country Glub，Y．M． C．A．，Spokane；University of Idaho，Walla Walla Tennis Club，Boise Y．M．C．A．，Troy Tennis Club，Pullman Tennis Club，Bellingham Tennis Club，Lewiston Tennis Club and the Idaho Tennis Club．The summaries：

Men＇s singles－41 entries；final round，Tyler d．Axtell， 6 1，6／3，6／2． I）oubles－11 teams entered；final round，Axtell and Kroesch d．Wright and Somerville．Men＇s Consolation－ 22 entries；final round，F．Ketten－ bach d．Willits， $6 / 2,6 / 3$ ．Ladies＇singles－ 19 entries；final round，Miss Ruth Crapo d．Miss Mabel Anderson，8／6， 64 ．Ladies＇doubles－4 teams entered；final round，Misses Fix and Crapo d．Misses Palmer and Anderson， $7 / 5,5 / 7,6 / 2$ ．Ladies＇consolation－10 entries；winner，Miss Lucile Griffith．Mixed doubles－ 10 teams entered；final round，Miss Fix and Wright d．Miss Crapo and Hibbard，6／4，2／6，7／5．

## Indiana State Championship．

On August 20 the fourth annual tournament for the championships of Indiana began on the Indianapolis Tennis Association＇s courts．Several out－of－town men，as well as all the local experts，participated．Imong the visitors were such well－known cracks as Nat Thointon of Atlanta，Frank Marty，the Ohio champion，and W．A．Fleet of Culver，Ind．

Nat Thernton，as expected，won the tournament，but the feat was not accomplished without difficulty．In the semi－final round Appel，who for years has been the best local man，gave Thornton the fight of his life． Losing the first set， $6 / 4$ ，he waded in and so far outplayed Thornton that the latter won but two games in the next two sets．The effort proved his undoing，for with the beginning of the fourth set he faded and Thornton won at $6 / 4,2 / 6,0 / 6,6 / 3,6 / 1$ ．

In the final round J．McKay was unable to do anything against Thorn－ ton＇s over－night improvement．The latter was fast and accurate and won handily， $6 / 1,6 / 2,6 / 1$ ．

The challenge round found champion R．A．IIolden in great form and from the outset he had Thornton on the defensive．The former drove well，but his net game was faultless．He blocked perfectly and his volleys were at impossible angles；Thornton was practically helpless against the intercollegiate title holder＇s machine－like gane and won but seven games in the match．The score was $6 / 3,6 / 1,6 / 3$ ．

Thornton played with Marty in the doubles and they so far outclassed the field that no team succeeded in winning a set from them．In the final they made short work of their opponents，Trask and Appel，winning at $6 / 1,6 / 3,6 / 3$ ．The summaries：

## MEN＇S SINGLES．

Preliminary round－Yule d．Faıber，by default；Wilder d．Pfar，ty default；Orme d．Darnel！，6／4，6／3；Trask d．Tomlinson，6／1，6／3；Nichols d．Cunius，8／6，8／6；Anthony d．Koons， $6 / 2,6 / 8,7 / 5$ ；Bixby d．Lock－ bridge，6／4，6／2；Thomas d．Fleet，by default；Brickert d．Anderson，by default；Kipp d．Emerich，6／o，6／o；Wentzell d．Pratt，by default；Appel d．Hume，6／o，6／3．

First round-Marty d. Hendricks, by default; Foster d. Sheehan, by default; Shebusqua d. Paddock, 6/3, 6/2; Mchay d. Barrett, 6/2, 6/2; Varin d. Davis, $9 / 7,6 / 3$; Wilder d. Yule, 7/5, 9/7; Trask d. Orms, 6/r, $6 / 2$; Anthony d. Nichols, 6/2, 6/4; Thomas d. Bixby, 6/o, 6/3; Kipp d. Brickert, $6 / 1,6 / o$; Appel d. Wentzell, by default; McNagny d. Coombs, $6 / 1,6 / 0$; Zuck d. Shepard, 6/3, 6/3; Rice d. Baker, by default; Maxwell d. Lockhorn, by default; Thornton d. Ketchum, 6/1, 6/4.

Second round-Marty d. Foster, 6/o, 6/4; McKay d. Shebusqua, 6/2, 6/0; Vain d. Wilder, $5 / 7,7 / 5,6 / 4$; Trask d. Anthony, 5/7, 6/1, 8/6; Thomas d. Kipp, $10 / 8,6 / 3$; Appel d. McNagny, 8/6, 6/2; Rice d. Buck, $6 / 4,6 / 3$; Thornton d. Maxwell, 6/1, 6/2.

Third round-McKay d. Marty, 6/2, 4/6, 6/1; Trask d. Varin, 6/1, $6 / 2$; Appel d. Thomas, 6/3, 6/2; Thornton d. Rice, 6/1, 6/3.

Semi-final round-McKay d. Trask, $6 / 3,4 / 6,6 / 4,6 / 0$; Thornton d. Appel, 6/4, 2/6, 0/6, 6/3, 6/1.

Final round-Thornton d. McKay, 6/1, 6/2, 6/1.
Challenge round-R. A. Holden d. Nat. Thornton, 6/3, 6/1, 6/3.

## MEN'S DOUBLES.

First round-Rice and Hapgood d. Barrett and Foster, 6/r, 6/1, 4/6, $6 / 2$; Thomas and Mchay d. l'ratt and Pratt, by default; Zuck and Yule d. Lockridge and Igowa, $6 / 2,4 / 6,1 / 6,6 / 4,6 / 3$; Thowiton and Marty $d$. Ashton and Anthony, $6 / 3,6 / 4,6 / 2$; Nichols and IIume d. Coombs and Tomlinson, 6/1, 6/0, 6/4; Trask and Appel d. Brickert and Paddock, 6/1, $6 / 1,6 / 1$; Orme and Kipp d. Coons and Darnall, 6/0, 6/3, 7/5; Fleet and McNagny d. Wentzell and Lockhorn, by default.

Second round-Thomas and McKay d. Rice and Mapgood, 6/3, 6o'r, $6 / 1$; Thornton and Marty d. Zuck and Yule, 6/2, 6/3, 6/3; Trask and Appel d. Nichols and Hume, 6/o, 6/o, 6/3; Fleet and McNagny d. Orme and Kipp, 7/5, 6/2, 6/o.

Semi-final round-Thornton and Marty d. Thomas and McKay, 6/3, 6/2, 14/12; Trask and Appel d. Fleet and McNagny, by default.

Final round-Thornton and Marty d. Trask and Appel, 6/1, 6/3, 6/3.
LADIES' SINGLES.
First round-Miss Skinner d. Miss Hutchinson, by default; Miss Stilson d. Miss Hanson, by default; Miss Potter d. Miss Barry, 6/3, 7/5; Mrs. Pugh d. Miss Piel, 6/1, 6/1.

Semi-final round-Miss Stilson d. Miss Skinner, 6/1, 6/0; Miss Potter d. Mrs. Pugh, 6/3, 4/6, 6/4.

Final round-Miss Stilson d. Miss Potter, 7/9, 6/4, 6/2.

## Kansas State Championship.

The fourth annual tournament of the Kansas Open State Lawn Tennis Association was held on the Wichita Country Club courts, four in number, July 18 to 23. Large, enthusiastic galleries were in attendance the entire week. The weather was ideal, and some very fine teminis was developed before the first round was completed. Gordon Parker, the winner, who will be remembered by some as having been one of the first ten in 1894, was never in danger, losing only two sets in the entire week.

Much to the disappointment of the gallery, no challenge rounds were played. Robert H. Bradford, Kansas singles champion, and Otto Dubach, who, with Mr. Bradford, held the doubles championship, were not present, therefore both matches were defaulted, the singles to Gordon Parker, Wichita, Kan., and the doubles to W. A. Penley and H. E. Uncapher, Augusta, Kan. The summaries:

## MEN'S SINGLES.

Preliminary round-Gordon Parker d. W. A. Penley, 6/0, 6/2; Harry Southard d. Alex Hyde, 6/3, 6/o: A. B. Ashley d. W. H. Comley, 6/4. $6 / 4$; Otis Unruh d. Roy Buckingham, 6/2, 6/2; D. K. Campbell d. D. P. Golden, $6 / 1$, $6 / 1$; Fred McCune d. Hugh Gill, $6 / 2,6 / 1$; A. A. Poteet d. K. Cassiday, $5 / 7,6 / 4,6 / 3 ;$ R. A. Vose d. Pud Pratt, 6/o, 6/2; H. E. Uncapher d. C. B. Gosch, 6/o, 6/4; Roy DeVasher d. J. C. Foulks, 6/s, 3/6, 6/r; S. II. Hale d. C. G. Roseberry, 7/5, 6/2; W. G. Shields d. Oak Throckmorton, 6/2, 2/6, 6/1; L. S. Woolington d. W. F. Lindley, 6/4, $6 / 3$; W. S. Pettit d. George Rice, 2,6,6/2, 6/0; W. L. Goodley, bye; Otis Crove, bye; Kenits L'hls, bye; A. II. Dickinson, bye; A. Sternberg. bye; R. E. Conger, bye; Don Cooper, bye; C. Griffith, bye; Paul Wiley, bye.

First round-Strother d. Pierce, $7 / 5,3 / 6,6 / 1$; Allred d. Reed, 7/5, 3/6, 6/3; Larimer d. McDaniels, 6/3, 6/5; Smith d. Downing, 9/7, 3/6, $6 / 2$; Parker d. Cooper, 6/ı, 6/3; Ishley d. Southard, 6/4, 6/3; Unrulh d. Campbell, $6 / 3,6 / 1$; Poteet d. McCune, $8 / 10,6 / 3,6 / 2$; Uncapher d. Vose, $8 / 6,6 / 3$; DeVasher d. Hale, 6 t. 6 ' 4 ; Shiclds d. Woolington, 6/4, 3/6, 7/5; Pettit d. Godley, 6/1, 6/1; Chls d. Grove, by default; Dickinson d. Sternberg, 6/3, 6/1; Conger d. Cooper, by default; Wiley d. Griffith, 4/6, $6 / 4,6 / 0$.

Second round-. Nllred 1. Strother, 5/7, 6/r, 7/5; Larimer d. Smith, 6/4, 6/3; Parker d. Ashley, 6 3, 6 2; U'nruh d. Potcet, 6/2, 7/5; Uncapher d. DeV Vasher, $8 / 10,6 / 1,63$; Pettit d. Shields, $10 / 8,6 / 2$; Dickinson d. Uhls, $6 / 2,6 / 4$; Conger d. Wiley, $6 / 1,6 / 1$.

Third round-Mllred d. Larimer, 6 r, 6/4; Parker d. Unrulı, 6/o, 6/2; Uncapher $d$. Pettit, 6/2, 1'6, 6/2; Conger $d$. Dickinson, 6/3, $6^{\prime} 4$.

Semi-final round-Parker d. Allred, $1 / 6,6 / 0,3 / 6,6 / 3,6 / o$; Conger d. Uncapher, 6/1, 8/6, 7/5.

Final round-Gordon Farker (Wichita) d. R. E. Conger (Oklahoma City, Okla.), 6/1, 6/o, 6/3.

Challenge round-Defaulted to Gordon Parker, Wichita.

## MEN'S DOUBLES.

Preliminary round-Dickinson and Throckmorton, bye; Conger and Vose, bye; Cooper and Cooper, bye; Buckingham and Coleman d. Golden and Comley, $1 / 6,8 / 6,7 / 5$; Woolington and Southard d . Reed and Uhls, $9 / 7,7 / 9,10 / 8$; Pettit and Wiley d. DeV Vasher and Sternberg, 8/6, 6/0; Penley and Uncapher d. Roseberry and Strother, 6/4, 6/2; Hart and Unruh d. Snedeker and Rise, 6/4, 1/6, 6/1; Lindley and Downing d. Vickers and McGill, 6/o, 3/6, 7/5; Yarker and Cooper d. Godley and Griffith, 6/2, 6/4; Campbell and Poteet d. Foulks and Cooper, 8/6, 7/5; Smith and Shields d. Pierce and Gill, 6/4, 6/1; Cassiday and Cassiday d. Sternberg and partner, by defattt; Hale and Ashley, bye; Larimer and Hyde, bye; Hoyt and Davis, bye.

First round-Conger and Vose d. Dickinson and Throckmorton, 6/4, 8/6: Buckingham and Coleman d. Cooper brothers, by default; Woolington and Southard d. Pettit and Wiley, 2/6, 9/7, 6/4; Penley and Uncapher d. Hart and Unruh, $6 / 0,6 / 2$; Parker and Cooper d. Lindley and Downing, $6 / 3,6 / 3$; Smith and Shield d. Campbeil and Poteet, 1/6, 6/2, 6/4; Male and Ashley d. Cassiday and Cassiday, 6/4, 6/o; Larimer and llyde d. Hoyt and Davis, 6/2, 6/o.

Second round-Conger and Vose d. Buckingham and Coleman, 6/0, 6/1; Penley and Uncapher d. Woolington and Southard, 6/1, 6/1; Parker and Cooper d. Smith and Shields, 6/4, 6/4; Hale and Ashley d. Larimer and Hyde, 6/r, 6/1.

Semi-final round-Penley and Uncapher d. Conger and Vose, 6/4, 4/6, $6 / 4,6 / 3$; Hale and Ashley d. Parker and Cooper, 6/1, 6/3, 6/2.

Final round-Penley and Uncapher d. Ilale and Ashley, 3/6, 6/2, 6/2, $3 / 6,6 / 3$.
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PERCY SIVERD,
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CLINTON L. CHILDS, A Pittsburg Expert.

Challenge round-Penley and Uncapher (Augusta, Kan.) d. Bradford and Dubach, by default.

CONSOLATION SINGLES.
Won by W. A. Penley, Augusta, Kan.
CONSOLATION DOUBLES.
Won by A. H. Dickinson and O. L. Throckmorton, Wichita, Kan.

## Kentucky Bi-State Event.

A new fixture was inaugurated when, on September 6, the first Bi-State was played on the Audubon Country Club's courts, of Louisville, Ky. The name Bi-State was decided on because the tournament involved the titles of Kentucky and Ohio.

Clifford Lockhorn of Cincinnati, a young player with apparently great possibilities, won the singles. He all but lost to Frank Marty in his second match, but made a fine recovery when Marty led at $4 / 1$ in the deciding set. In the final he faced a fellow townsman, John Wentzel, who had previously defeated Dr. P. B. Hawk, and beat him in a sensational five-set match, in which Wentzel led at one time by two sets.

Ellwood I'fau and Allen Andrew's won the doubles in clever style from Day and McNair of Louisville. The summaries:

## MEN'S SINGLES.

Preliminary round-Frank Marty d. H. Rockenbach, 2,6, 6/o, 6/0; Clifford Lockhorn $d$. Lee Robinson, $0 / 2,3 / 6,6 / 4$; Nelson Peebles d. Allen Dabney, by default; George Fager d. Allen Andrews, 6/4, 6/3; John Wentzel d. Henry Bedinger, $6 / 1,6 / 1$; J. Gessing d. William Tyler, 6/2, $6 / 3$; R. Neihaus d. Herbert Bonnie, $8 / 10,6 / 3,6 / 3$; Dr. P. B. Hawk d. Tom Tuley, 6/o, 6/2.

First round-W. F. Day d. H. T. Ashton, by default; Russell Houston d. C. O. Benton, by default; C. S. Bixby d. H. C. Barnes, 6/o, 6/3; C. Arterburn d. Hence Orme, by default; C. W. Barrett d. Richard Marfield, $0 / 6,7 / 5,6 / 4$; Phil O'Bryan d. S. Anthony, by default; Lockhorn d. Marty, $6 / 3,3 / 6,6 / 4$; Peebles d. Fager, $6 / 4,6 / 3$; Wentzel d. Gessing, $6 / 2,6 / 1$; Hawk d. Neihaus, 6/1, 6/3; F. G. Vardenburg d. Young Allison, Jr., 7/5, 6/2; Eustace Williams d. L. W. Schildering, 6/o, 6/1; F. W. Holtzman d. K. Bixby, $6 / 0,6 / 1$; Robert Richardson d. W. I. McNair, by default; S. Arterburn d. E. T. Pfau, 6/4, 6/4; Roy Whayne d. F. Du Relle, 6/4, 8/6.

Second round-Day d. Houston, 6/1, 6/2; C. Arterburn d. Bixby, 6/2, 6/1; O'Bryan d. Barrett, 6/3, 9/7; Lockhorn d. Peebles, 9/7, 6/1; Wentzel d. Hawk, 8/6, 7/5; Williams d. Vardenburg, 6/2, 6/2; Iloltzman d. Hichardson, $6 / 2,6 / 2$; S. Arterburn d. Whayne, 6/o, 6/2.

Third round-Day d. C. Arterburn, 6/1, 6/1; Lockhorn d. O'Bryan, $6 / 4,6 / 3$; Wentzel d. Williams, 6/4, 3/6, 6/3; S. Arterburn d. Holtzman, $6 / 2,3 / 6,6 / 4$.

Semi-final round-Lockhorn d. Day, 6/3, 6/o, 4/6, 6/2; Wentzel d. S. Arterburn, 7/9, 6/3, 7/5, 6/2.

Final round-Lockhorn d. Wentzel, 8/ı, 1/6, 6/3, 10/8, 6/4.

## MEN'S DOUBLES.

First round-Bedinger and Williams d. Ashton and partner, by default; Robinson and O'Bryan d. Benton and partner, by default; Day and McNail d. Barrett and Barnes, 6/o, 6/o; Bixby and Bixby d. Orme and partner, by default; Hawk and Marty d. Rockenbach and Rockenbach,

F. H. GATES,
Yale Tennis Team


LeRoy cooper and lew hardy,
Tennessee Doubles Champions.

6,3. 6/4; Arterburn brothers d. Richardson and Du Relle, 6/1, (/2; Lockhorn and Wentzel d. Vardenburg and Schildering, 6/r, 6/2: Andrews and Pfau d . Houston and Eager, 4/6, 11/9, 6/4; Tuley and Barfield d. Johnson and Palmer, by default; Whayne and IIoltzman d. Gessing and Peebles, $6 / 3,6 / 2$; Curry and Allison d. Tyler and Neihaus. 6/2, 6/o.

Second round-Day and McNair d. Robinson and O’Bryan, by default; Hawk and Marty d. Bixby and Bixby, 6/1, 6/3; Lockhorn and Wentzel d. Arterburn brothers, $5 / 7,6 / 4,6 / 3$; Andrews and I'fau d. Tuley and Barfield, $6 / 4,3 / 6,6 / 4$; Whayne and Holtzman d. Curry and Allison, $6 / 1,6 / 2$.

Third round-Day and McNair d. Bedinger and Williams, 6/r, 6/r; Hawk and Marty d. Lockhorn and Wentzel, by default; Andrews and Pfau d. Whayne and Holtzman, 2/6, 6/4, 6/2.

Semi-final round-Day and McNair d. Hawk and Marty, 5/7, 6/4, 6/3. Final round-Andrews and Pfau d. Day and McNair, 7/5, 4/6, 6/3, 4. $6,6 / 4$.

LADIES' DOUBLES.
First round-Miss Fairbairn and Miss McLoughlin d. Miss Shan and Miss Erin, 6/1, 6/4; Miss Blackman and Miss Brener d. Miss Scholtz and Miss O'Brien, $6 / 2,6 / 0$; Miss Steever and Mrs. Beard d. Miss Simpson and Miss Vance, by default.

Semi-final round-Miss Fairbairn and Miss McLoughlin d. Miss Bakrow and Miss Bernheim, by default; Miss Steever and Mrs. Beard d. Miss Blackman and Miss Brener, 6/2, 6/1.

Final round-Miss Fairbairn and Miss McLoughlin d. Miss Steever and Mrs. Beard, 6/4, 2/6, 7/5.

## Maryland Closed Championship.

The annual tournament for the championships of Maryland, open only to residents of the State, began on June 14 at the Catonsville Country Club.

It so happened that Clarence. Fisher, a young and fast improving player, who won the title, met his worthiest opponent in the first round when he opposed II. B. Davis. Davis, by steady, consistent play, won the first set after Fisher had led, at $5 / 2$. The next two were both close, but Fisher was steady and far more aggressive.

The men to reach the semi-final and face each other there were Fisher and C. G. Brooke in one half and J. S. Kerr and B. Harvey. Brooke was no match for Fisher, but largely due to the latter's carelessness he forced the second set to $8 / 6$. Against Harvey, Kerr put up a stiff argument for two sets, but after winning the second at iI' 9 , he faded and made but little attempt to stop his fresher rival.

In the final Fisher outplayed Ha:vey, in every way for two sets. In the third overconfidence again got the better of his judgment, but when within a point of losing he braced and ultimately won the set at $10 / 8$.

Fisher and I. B. Davis, and Kerr, playing with J. R. White, met in the final round of doubles. For two sets it was a toss-up, but White collapsed suddenly early in the third and it was some time before he revived sufficiently to continue. He was far from his true game when play was resumed, and Fisher and Davis won as they chose. The summaries:

## MEN'S SINGLES.

Preliminary round-A. V. Morton d. J. G. McAllister, by default; H. Taylor d. R. Knowles, 6/o, $6 / \mathrm{I}$; E. B. Reese d. M. P. Morfit, by default; F. P. Whitcraft d. H. S. Sturdy, 6/4, 8/6; Clarence Fisher d. H. B. Davis, $5 / 7,6 / 3,6 / 4$; J. R. White d. Edward Schenkel, 6/1, 6/o; Warren Magruder d. M. DeGrange, $6 / 3.6 / 3$; B. Harvey d. B. C. Hawkins, 6/o, 6/o: C. R. Drury d. W. Z. White, 6/i, 6/o.

First round-F. M. Taylor d. G. W. Phillips, by default; C. G. Brooke d. W. M. Gieske, $6 / 3,6 / 3$; I. S. George d. A. M. Knapp, $6 / 4,6 / 3$; W. W. Hopkins d. R. F. Naylor, by default; H. G. Taylor d. J. T. Elsroad, $6 / 4,6 / 0$; Morton d. John Baer, by default; H. Taylor d. Reese, 6/o, 6/1; Fisher d. Whitcraft $6 / 3,6 / 1$; Magruder d. White, $6 / 4,6 / 4$; Drury d. B. Harvey, 6/2, 6/1; C. S. Brown d. J. France, by default; Curran Harvey d. T. K. Putsche, $6 / 2,6 / 3 ;$ B. N. Stevenson d. C. A. Bigelow, by default; A. C. Montell, Jr., d. C. S. Catherman, $10 / 8,6 / 2$; R. R. MacGill d. C. B. Easter, $7 / 5,6 / 3$; John S. Kerr d. B. Whitely, by default.

Second round-Brooke d. Taylor, 6/2, 6/3; George d. Hopkins, 6/2, $6 / 4$; Taylor d. Morton, 6/2, 6/2; Fisher d. Taylor, 6/o, 6/1; Magruder d. Drewry, $5 / 7,6 / 2,8 / 6$; Harvey d. Brown, 3/6, 6/4, 6/4; Montell d. Stevenson. by default; Kerr d. MacGill, 6/3, 6/1.

Third round-Brooke d. George $7 / 5,6 / 4$; Fisher d. Taylor, 6/o, 6/1; Harvey d. Magruder, 4/6, 6/o, 6/3; Kerr d. Montell, 6/3, 6/3.

Semi-final round-Fisher d. Brooke, 6/1, 8/6; Harvey d. Kerr, 6/1, 9/11, 6/i.

Final round-Fisher d. Marvey, 6/3, 6/3, 10/8.

## MEN'S DOUBLES.

Preliminary round-Knapp and Knapp d. Hopkins and partner, by default; George and Magruder d. Ensor and MacGill, 6/3, 6/ı; White and Whitcraft d. Taylor and Jacobson, by default.

First round-White and Kerr d. Easter and Beers, 6/1, 6/3; Knapp and Knapp d. I'utsche and partuer, by default; George and Magruder d. White and Whitcraft, $6 / 4,6 / 4$; Fisher and Davis d. Harvey and Drewry, 3/6, $6 / 4,6 / 4$.

Semi-final round-White and Kerr d. Knapp and Knapp, 4/6, 7/5, 8/6; Fisher and Davis d. George and Magruder, o/3, 6/3.

Final round-Fisher and Davis d. White and Kerr, 9/11, 10/8, 6/2, 6/1.

## CONSOLATION SINGLES.

Final round-Davis d. Putsche, 3/6, 3/6, 6/3, 6/3, 6/3.

## Minnesota State Tennis Tournament.

The Minnesota State tennis tournament of 1910 opened at the Town and Country Club, on the banks of the Mississippi River at St. Paul, August 20, and continued for ten days. The location of the club being between St. Paul and Minneapolis, the largest crowds in the long history of Minnesota State tournaments attended. The surprise of the tournament was the remarkable showing made by John Adams of St. Paul. Though he had not engaged in tourney play for over a year, the University of Minnesota star fought his way up through the early rounds until he met and defeated J. H. Wheeler in the finals, $2 / 6,6 / 2,6 / 2,6 / 2$, and won the right to meet the challenger, Joe Armstrong, also of St. Paul, who won the tourney and challenge round in 1909. Wheeler, who the week before had won the St. Paul city title, downing a large field, fully came up to expectations, but Adams, by greater skill at the net, overcame his older opponent, who is often called the "Father of Tennis in Minnesota." Wheeler, essentially a base-line player, had wonderful control, but Adams' volleying and cross shots were the delight of the spectators.

August 29 was the date set for the challenge round and Adams annexed the title, defeating Armstrong, $2 / 6,6 / 3,6 / 3,7 / 9,6 / 3$, the result being in doubt till the last point was made. The fourth set went to Armstrong, after great play, and the set score was 2 up . It took 106 points to decide the fourth set, of which Adams took 55. Four of the sixteen games went to deuce for long variations. Adams made the game score

4/I in his favor, but lost control, and Armstrong took four straight before he was checked. With the set score 2 -all, Adams was irresistible. His tremendous speed puzzled his opponent, who drove the ball out of the court many times, and Adams annexed the Gopher title, for which sixty experts competed in the singles, with a 6/3 game.

The women's events were scarcely less interesting and were featured by the work of Miss Gwendolyn Rees. She won the tournament play, $6 / 2,6 / 1$, and followed it up by defeating the challenger. Miss Margurite Davis, 6/2, 6/2.

It was soon apparent that with her terrific side stroke, Miss Davis was no match for an opponent who entered in many of the women's championships of the Mid-West and stood high wherever she played. Miss Rees, a young woman, is expected this year to gain great laurels in the larger tennis championships. She is without a peer in Minnesota and the Northwest.

In the men's doubles, J. H. Wheeler of St. Paul and Trafford Payne of Minneapolis lost to the stellar pair, Adams and Armstrong of St. Paul, $6 / 3,6 / 4,4 / 6,6 / 1$. In the women's doubles Miss Rees and Miss Barrow of St. Paul won from Miss Davis of St. Paul and Miss Murray of Minneapolis. The mixed doubles found stars playing the finals. Miss Margurite Davis and Joe Armstrong of St. Paul, the ex-champions, met Miss Rees and John Adams, present champions, and lost to the "champs," who were deadiy accurate and easily took the play with splendid short drives and good lobs, 6/2, 6/3.

Duluth players, who entered fourteen strong, were eliminated after the first seven days, but they went home with the honor of holding the $191 t$ tourney in the 7.enith City. I. II. Wheeler of St. Paul was elected president of the Minnesota Tennis Association.

## New York State Championship.

No tournament of the season attracted a wider interest or produced such unexpected results as the Annual State Championship held at the Crescent Club's courts in New York, beginning June 27. In the first round of play the unexpected developed when W. L. Pate disposed of G. M. Church, and W. M. Hall all but beat the wonderful Coast player, McLoughlin. In the second round W. F. Johnson put T. R. Pell out of the running in a threeset match, and astonishment was unbounded when C. M. Bull, Jr., had the better of his argument with McLoughlin. In the latter match the first set was fairly close until 5 -all, when McLoughlin won two successive games. The second and third were both scored by Bull with surprising ease at $6 / 2,6 / 3$. Throughout the three sets McLoughlin failed to improve over the form he showed the previous day against Hall, and his own weak game was largely responsible for his defeat at the Crescent Club man's hands. Bull played very steadily, but seldom with such severity that McLoughlin did not have the necessary opportunities to score. The latter, however, was totally unable to take advantage of the chances afforded him. In the same round L. E. Mahan won in straight sets from W. B. Cragin, Jr., and F. C. Inman had little difficulty in defeating S. H. Voshell. Bull continued his victorious path the following day when he faced R. H. Palmer. The two sets played were very close, but in both Bull's steadiness gave him at critical times the necessary points. Inman upset calculations by winning from Johnson. The remaining two to reach the semi-finals were Gardner and Mahan.

The following day Gardner disposed of Mahan in three straight sets with comparatively little trouble, and Inman proved altogether too clever and hard-hitting a player for Bull. The latter was defeated $6 / 3,6 / 4,6 / 2$. The final between Inman and Gardner might also come under the head of a

LENGE ROUND IN ENGLISH CHAMPIONSHIP. PLAYED AT WIMBLEDON, JUNE 30, 1910.
A. F. Wilding, Challenger, in foreground, vs. A. W. Gore, Holder. Wilding won in four sets.
form reversal, for with the exception of the second set, Inman had the match in hand. The latter's service was remarkably effective. Gardner could do little with it, while on the other hand, his own delivery proved much less a barrier to lnman. The latter drove surely and at very sharp angles, rarely placing the balls to deep court, and on all his returns he succeeded in gaining position at the net. Once there, he volleyed so accurately and sharply that Gardner was kept constantly on the defensive, and in view of the game played by the men the result, in favor of Inman, at $6 / 3,3 / 6,6 / 4,6 / 3$, was not so surprising. The summaries:

## MEN'S SINGLES.

First round-C. M. Bull d. E. C. Conlin, 6/2, 6/0; A. M. Collens d. H. D. Bulkley, $4 / 6,6 / 4 ; 6 / 4 ; \mathrm{H}$. Mollenhauer d. (i. Clark, by default; B. M. Phillips d. R. W. Seabury, 7/5, 6/2; M. E. McLoughlin d. E. E. Perry, $6 / 1,6 / 3$; W. M. Hall d. M. S. Hager, $6 / 0,6 / 1$; M. S. Charlock d. T. C. Trask, by default; J. C. Tomlinson, Jr., d. A. S. Dabney, Jr., by default; G. M. Church d. E. G. H. Prendergast, 6/4, 8 6; W. L. Pate d. G. F. Touchard, by default: W. 1). Bourne d. H. Swain, $6 / 4,6 / 3$; S. C. Millett d. W. H. Connell, by default; II. W. Slocum d. F. 1). 1)oubleday, 8/6, $6 / 1$; J. S. Billings, Jr., d. A. Fox, by default; H. N. Tifft, Jr., d. R. A. Semon, 6/0, 6/3.

Second round-W. F. Johnson d. E. A. Lowther, 6/i, 6/2; E. Taylor d. E. F. Leo, 6/o, 3/6, 6/4; C. R. Leonard d. N. Johnson, 6/1, 6/1; T. R. Pell d. L. Brooks, 6/1, 6/o; A. Amend d. F. B. Washburn, 6/3, 4/6, 6/1; F. C. Inman d. W. A. Campbell, $6 / 3,7 / 5$; II. D. Chater d. C. L. Cleveland, $8 / 6,0 / 6,6 / 2$; S. II. Voshell d. C. A. Lindsley, 6/o, $4 / 2$, defaulted; R. H. Palmer d. G. A. L. Dionne, $6 / 2,8 / 6 ;$ J. S. Cushman d. W. L. Voshell, 6/o; 6/4; R. Cassamajor d. C. G. I'limpton, by default; G. S. Groesbeck d. S. Russ, by default; Bull d. Collens, 6/1, 6/1; Phillips d. Clark, $7 / 5,6 / 1$; McLoughlin d. Ilall, $46,64,63$; Charlock d. Tomlinson, 6/4, 6/4; I'ate d. Church, 6/2, 6/4; Millett d. Bourne, 6/2, 6/3; Slocum d. Billings, 6/2, 6/1; 1I. N. Tifft, Ir., d. F. C. Baggs, 2/6, 8/6, $7 / 5$; C. H. Bissell d. A. Stillman, 6/1, 6/2; W. M. Washburn d. T. Pyne, $6 / 4,6 / 0$; O. Hinck d. W. Rosenbaum, 6/4, 6/4; C. R. Gardner d. W. D. Hadsell, $6 / 1,6 / 2$; L. M. Brigham d. S. A. Westfall, by default; J. Gill d. N. W. Niles, by default; N. B. Beecher d. F. A. Falls, 6/2, 3/6, 6/2; P. B. Hawk d. F. O. Affeld, Jr., by default; L. E. Mahan d. D. D. Chapin, $6 / 0,6 / 1$; M. H. Bissell d. C. C. Cresson, Jr., by default; E. P. Fischer d. T. C. Fulton, 6/2, 6/2; W. B. Cragin, Jr., d. S. W. Merrihew, 6/2, 6/2.

Third round-Johnson d. Taylor, 6/3, 6/3; Pell d. Leonard, 6/2, 6/2; Inman d. Amend, 6/2, 6/2; Voshell d. Chater, 6/3, 6/2; Palmer d. Cushman, $7 / 5,6 / 2$; Cassamajor d , Groesbeck, $6 / 3,6 / 4$; Bull d. Phillips, $2 / 6,6 / 4$, 6/1; McLoughlin d. Charlock, 9/7, 6/3; Millett d. Pate, 4/6, 6/2, 6/3; Slocum d. Tifft, 6/1, 6/1; Stillman d. Washburn, 7/5, 6/3; Gardner d. Hinck, $6 / 4,6 / 3$; Gill d. Brigham, by default; Beecher d. Hawk, $1 / 6,4 / 5$. default; Mahan d. Bissell, 6/o, 6/1; Cragin d. Fischer, 8/6, 3/6, 6/4.

Fourth round-Johnson d. Pell, 6/8, 6/2, 6/2; Inman d. Voshell, 6/4, 6/1; Palmer d. Cassamajor, 6/1, 6/2; Bull d. McLoughlin, 5/7, 6/2, 6/3; Millett d. Slocum, $6 / 2,6 / 4$; Gardner d. Stillman, $6 / 2,6 / 4$; Beecher d. Gill, $6 / 2,3 / 6,6 / 0$; Mahan d. Cragin, 6/4, 6/2.

Fifth round-Inman d. Johnson, 6/4. 6/4; Bull d. Palmer, 9/7, 8/6; Gardner d. Millett, 6/2, 6/4; Mahan d. Beecher, 6/4, 6/3.

Semi-final round-Inman d. Bull, 6/3, 6/4, 6/2; Gardner d. Mahan, $6 / 2,6 / 3,6 / 3$.

Final round-Inman d. Gardner, 6/3, 3/6, 6/4, 6/3.
The nearest to defeat in more than one sense that Hackett and Alexander ever came since they won the championship four years ago took place when, in the semi-final round of doubles, they met McLoughlin and Gardner.


DOROTHY BALLENTYNE,
Women's Doubles Champion, Western Pennsylvania, 1910.

It was a wonderful five-set match with little to choose between the two teams. None of the first four sets, however, produced tennis to even approach that played in the fifth. The California combination played faultlessly then, and sacceeded in running the score up to $4 / 1$, with Hackett to serve on the sixth game. At that stage it looked to be all over for the title-holders, for the San Franciscans came within a point of making the score $5 / \mathrm{t}$. The difference between the men at this stage was very apparent, for Hackett and Alexander both showed signs of fatigue much greater than that of their younger opponents. It 4.2 , McLoughlin serving, Hackett and Alexander started what was probably the greatest rally of their tennis careers, for by furious driving and taking desperate chances they banged out game after game in an unending string, until they had landed the set in their favor at $6 ; 4$. Hackett served the last game of the match and got to 40 30, when an opportunity was given McLoughlin to tie the score; however, in his effort to smash for an ace the Californian sent the ball wide of the side lines. Never in the history of the game around New York did a contest evole the enthusiasm that this one did, and the sympathy of the enormous crowd was all with the youngsters, who so narrowly missed accomplishing what no team has since Alexander and Hackett won the American Championship.

In the final round Bull and Martin could make but little progress against their opponents and were defeated in straight sets at $6 / 3,8 / 6,6 / 2$. The summaries:

## MEN'S DOU'BLES.

First round-Palmer and Plimpton d. Tifft and partner, by default; Pell and Prentice 1. (iroesbeck and Lowther, 6/2, 6/2; Little and Niles d. Mahan and Bulkley, 6 3, 7'5; Rosenbaum and Prendergast d. Amend and partner, by default; Ilinck and lBatten d. Voshell and Voshell, 6/x, 6/3; Conlin and French d. Skehen and Cleveland, 6/1, 6/4.

Second round-Dlagden and DeRham $d$. Torrey and Sheppard, by default; Taylor and Stillman d. Cennert and partner, by default; Campbell and Affeld d. Mollenhauer and Westfall, by default; Hackett and Alexander d . Tomlinson and Leo, 6,3,6/4; Larned and Wrenn d. Chaplin and Cleveland, by default; McLoughlin and Gardner d. Blythe and Ross, $6 / 2,6 / 3$; Palmer and Plimpton d. Chambers and Webb, by default; Little and Niles d. Pell and Prentice, 6 2, 6/2; Hinck and Batten d. Rosenbaum and Prendergast, by default; Babbs and Washburn d. Conlin and French, $6 / 2,6 / 2$; Beecher and l)ionne d. Noble and Blumenschein, 9/7, 6/2; Ely and Sears d. Seabury and Middlebrook, 5 7, 6/3, 6/1; Leonard and Clark d. Pate and Doubleday, by default; Bull and Martin d. Russ and Cresson, by default: Cullman and Shamberg d. Hadsell and Sargent, 3/6, 8/6, $6 / 3$; Church and Fischer d. Charlock and Cragin, Jr., 5/7, 6/2, 6/2.

Third round-Taylor and Stillman d. Blagden and DeRham, by default; Hackett and Alexander d. Campbell and Affeld, by default; McLoughlin and Gardner d. Larned and Wrenn, 5/7, 6/2, 6/4; Little and Niles d. Palmer and Plimpton, 6/4, 6/4; Hinck and Batten d. Baggs and Washburn, 6/3, $9 / 7$; Beecher and Dionne d. Ely and Sears, 7/5, 6/3; Bull and Martin d. Leonard and Clark, 6/3, 6/3; Church and Fischer d. Cullman and Shamberg, $6 / 0,6 / 2$.

Fourth round-Hackett and Alexander d. Taylor and Stillman, 6/2, 6/4; McLoughlin and Gardner d. Little and Niles, 6/2, 6/4; ILinck and Batten d. Beecher and Dionne, 6/3, 6/2; Bull and Martin d. Church and Fischer, 7/5, 6/2.

Semi-final round-Hackett and Alexander d. McLoughlin and Gardner, 3/6, 6/4, $7 / 5,6 / 8,6 / 4$; Pull and Martin d. Hinck and Batten, 8/6, 4/6, $6 / 4,6 / \mathrm{t}$.

Final round-Hackett and Alexander d. Bull and Martin, 6/3, 8/6, 6/2.


## Oregon State Championship.

Nat Emerson, formerly of Cincinnati, but a fruit grower of North lakima now, outclassed a field of seventy players who competed for the championships of Oregon, which began July 18 under the auspices of the Multnomah Athletic Club of Portland.

Until he reached the final round, where he was opposed by Ralph Gorrill, not one of his opponents were able to extend the ex-Western champion. Gorrill, however, played remarkably well and was only beaten after three sets, in which every point was closely contested, at $12{ }^{\prime} 10,6 / 4,6 / 3$.

The challenge romnd was one-sided, Wickersham, the holder, being no match for the more experienced Emerson. The latter won easily at 6 , 6/i, $7 / 5$.

Wickersham, paired with Gorrill, had his revenge in the doubles, for he won a close five-set match from Emerson and Indrews.

Miss Hazel Hotchkiss, who made her third trip to this tournament, was supreme in the ladies' events, outclassing completely her challenger in singles, Miss l'itts, and winning easily with Miss Leadbetter in doubles. She also annexed the mixed events' honors with Gorrill. The summaries:

## MEN゙S SIN゙GLES.

Preliminary round-1Iarrigan d. Anderson, 6/0, 6/1; Pease d. Snow, by default; 1'. W. Lewis d. Fleming, 6.2, 6 I; Mc. \1pin d. Northrup, 6,0, 6/o; Finck d. Low, by default; Judd d. I'ulford, 7/5, 6/4; Stewart d. Mitchell, 6/4. 6 4.

First round-Ridgeway d. Kiehle, by default; I)uBois d. Wilbur. 6/1, 6/4; Riley d. Reynuld, 6 o, 6 o; Ewing d. N. 1). Smith. 6/0, 6/1; C. Lewis d. Alexander, 6/i, 6/1; Starr d. Beryee, 6/1, 6/4; Thorne d. Ellsworth, 6'4, 6 2; Gilbert d. C. 13. Starr, by default; Emerson d. Ames, by default; E. H. Smith d. Frohman, 4/6, 6/2, 6/ $\ddagger$; Ilumphrey d. Hibbard, by default: Andrews d. Wentworth, 6/1, 6/1; Rosenfeld d. West, 6/2, 6/o: Shives d. Warinner, by default; Harrigan d. Pease, 6/4, 6/4; McAlpin d. 1. W. Lewis, 7/5, 3 6, 8/6; Judd d. Finck, 6/2, 6/2; Stewart d. Stabler, by default; Chenery d. Tooker, by default; Ladd d. Eastham, $6 / 3,4 / 6,75$; Corbett d. Morton, by default; Herdman d. Munger, 6 + 3/6, 8/6; W. W. Morse d. Campbell, 6/1, 6, 4; Gorrill d. Gammie, 6/o, $6 / 1$; Brewer d. Winch, 3/6, $6 / 1,6 / 2:$ Edgar d. Wilder, by default; Van Loan d. E. W. Morse, by default; Black d. Knight, 6/o, 6/o; Cooke d. Bond, 6/1, 6/0; Wakeman d. Cookingham, 6/2, 6/3; Katz d. Jordan, 6/3, $6 / 3$; K. Smith d. Dunne, by default.

Second round-Ridgeway d. InlBois, $4^{\prime} 6,6 / 4,6 / 1$; Ewing d. Riley, by default; C. Lewis d. Starr, 6/2, 3/6, 8/0; Thorne d. Gilbert, 6/3, 6/2; Emerson d. E. II. Smith, 6/4, 6/0; Andrews d. Humphrey, 6/4, 6/2; Rosenfeld d. Shives, $46,6 / 2,6 / 0$; Harrigan d. McAlpin, 6/3, 6/2; Judd d. Stewart, $6 / 1,6 / 2$, Ladd d. Chenery, $6 / 3,6 / 4$; Herdman d. Corbett, by default; Gorrill d. W. W. Morse, 7/5, 6 3: Edgar d. Brewer, 6/3, 7/5: Black d. Van Loan, 6/1, 6/3; Wakeman d. Cooke, 6/3, 4/6, 6/4; K. Smith d. Katz, 6/1, 10/8.

Third round-Ewing d. Ridgeway, $6 / 3,6 / 3$; C. Lewis d. Thorne, 6/o, 6/1; Emerson d. Andrews, 6/3, 6/I; Harrigan d. Rosenfeld, 6/3, 6/3; Judd d. Ladd, 6/2, 6/o; Gorrill d. Herdman, 6/r, 6/r; Black d. Edgar, 6/2, 4/6, 6/i; Wakeman d. K. Smith, 6/2, 4/6. 6/4.

Fourth round-Ewing d. Lewis, 6,3, 1/6, 6/2; Emerson d. Harrigan, $6 / 3,6 / 2$; Gorrill d. Judd, iI/ 3 , 6/1, 6/o; Wakeman d. Black, 3/6, 8/6, 6/4.

Semi-final round-Emerson d. Ewing, $6 / 3,6 / 3$; Gorrill d. Wakeman, $6 / 3,6 / 2$.

Final round-Emerson d. Gorrill, $12 / 10,6 / 4,6 / 3$.
Challenge round-Emerson d. Wickersham, 6/1, 6/1, 7/5.

## MEN'S DOU゙BLES.

Preliminary round-DuBois and Munger d. Wilbur and Cooke, 8/6, 4 '6, $6 / 1$; Bond and K. Smith d. West and Finck, $6 / 1,6 / 1$; Gilbert and Katz d. Humphrey and Blair, 6/4, $26,6 / 8$; Brewer and Pulford d. Ellsworth and P. Lewis, 6/4, 6/3; Ewing and Herdman d. Campbell and Mitchell, 6 о. 6/o, 6,' 1.

First round-Cookingham and Winch d. Duryee and Ridgeway, 6/3. 61 ; Reynolds and Morse d. Ilibbard and Smith, by default; Gorrill and Wickersham d. DuBois and Munger, 61, ${ }^{6} 2$; Gilbert and Katz d. Bond and K. Smith, $64.57,61$; Ewing and Herdman d. Brewer and Pulford. 6 1, 6'4: Emerson and Andrews d. Riley and Thorne, 6/1, 6'4; Judd and C. Lewis d. Shives and Rosenfeld, 61,63 ; Starr and Edgar d. Stewart and Wentworth, $6,3,86$.

Second round-Cookingham and Winch d. Reynolds and Morse, 6/4 6 4: Gorrill and Wickersham d. Katz and Gilbert, 6/2, 7/5: Emerson and Andrews d. Ewing and IIerdman, 6 2, 6 4; Judd and Lewis d. Starr and Edgar, 6/1. 62.

Semi-final round-Gorrill and Wickersham d. Cookingham and Winch, $61.6 / 1$; Emerson and Andrews d. Judd and Lewis, 7/5, 0/6, 6/3.

Final round-Gorrill and Wickersham d. Emerson and Andrews, 4/6, $8,6,68,6 / 3,6 / 4$.

## LADIES' SINGLES.

Preliminary round-Miss Pitts d. Miss Campbell, 6/4, 6/1: Mrs. Northrup d. Mrs. Judge, 6 3, 6,'1; Miss Fording d. Miss Hume, 6/o, 6/o. First round-Miss Leadbetter $d$. Miss Fox, $4 / 6,6 / 2,6 / 4$; Miss Pitts $d$. Mrs. Northrup, 6/2. 6/2; Miss Fording d. Mrs. Charters, 6/1, 6/1; Mrs. Fouilhoux d. Miss Koehler, 6/o, 6/o.

Semi-final round-Miss Pitts d. Miss Leadbetter, 7/5, 6/2; Mrs. Fouilhoux d. Miss Fording, 6/o, 5/7, 6/0.

Final round-Miss Pitts d. Mrs. Fouilhoux, 6/1, 6/2.
Challenge round-Miss Hotchkiss d. Miss litts, 6/1, 6/o.

## Pennsylvania State Championship.

With a record entry of fifty-two players, the annual Pennsylvania State Championships began on June 6 at the Merion Cricket Club of Philadelphia. New York, Washington and Pittsburg, were represented by F. C. Inman, Homer Deis and P. Siverd, respectively, and the outlook indicated some excellent tennis.

The draw was not a well divided one, however, for in the first round F. G. Anderson and I. C. Carpenter. Jr.. drew, with H. J. Rendall waiting to play the winner. The Anderson-Carpenter match was a good one, with the former showing his usual accuracy from the back court. Carpenter's early sallies to the net were disastrous for him and he was forced to remain back after the uselessness of trying to handle "Andy's" drives was impressed upon him. It was close at that. Anderson winning at $6 / 4,5 / 7,6 / 4$. In the next round Anderson and Rendall had a great battle, which was all but lost by the former when Rendall led at $5 / 2$ and $3 / 0$. Rendall was very fast and accurate at the net and Anderson found it impossible at times to pass him. When within two points of defeat the latter tried a series of daring shots, which fortunately went true and he ultimately won the decidng set at $10 / 8$.

The third round proved H. M. Tilden's undoing. Considered to have had a better chance than any one, with Inma'n's exception, he went down hefore the remarkable steadiness and clever headwork of Dr. P. B. Hawk, in straight sets at $7 / 5.6 / 2$. Siverd had his first hard matcl in that round when he faced W. II. Trotter. The !atter, after winning a set
and leading at $3 / 0$ in the second, slowed down and gave Siverd a chance which lost him the match. The fourth round produced a big surprise when Craig Biddle outplayed Anderson. Biddle's service was excellent and, combined with deep drives to Anderson's back hand, proved too heavy odds for the ex-Canadian champion. Siverd earned his place in the semi-final by winning from Dr. Hawk. The latter was not quite up to form and Siverd won at $6 / 4,6.4$. Inman and W. M. Tilden had easy matches and the quartette was completed when Biddie put Inderson out of the running. The day the semi-finals were to have been played found the courts in wretched shape after an all-night downpour, but Inman and Siverd decided to play their match off anyway. The result was another reversal of form, for Inman slipped and slid around the court absolutely unable to keep his feet. Siverd, however, was apparently oblivious of the court's condition and played a fast, sure game. He won at $11 / 9$ and $6 / 3$. The soft court proved a great handicap to Biddle also, but in a different way from Inman, for it offset his fast service and the speed of his drives. Tilden played a placing game entirely and won at $6 / 4,8 / 6$.

The final was played on Monday on a court still heavy from rain. For two sets Siverd was outplayed and beaten at every angle. Tilden was faster, hit harder and used better generalship. But the tide changed with the beginning of the third. Siverd forced Tilden out of court with sharp cross court drives, which he followed into the net. He rarely misplayed his shots and showed an ability to get impossible plays second only to "Rab" Seavers. Tilden tired rapidly after his early efforts and Siverd finally won at $6 / 8,2 / 6,6 / 2,7 / 5,6 / 2$.

The challenge round was played the following day and lasted less than an hour. Wallace Johnson, the defending champion, had a good day with his famous chop and Siverd was beaten to a standstill. Johnson's control was faultless and he shot the hall through openings which were so small as to pass unnoticed. His lobhing was excellent also and by way of variety he would draw Siverd in with a gentle drop and catch him on the second stroke with a low fast lob. The score was $6 / 2$, $6 / 2,6 / 3$.

The doubles brought the two best teams together in the final. Johnson and Inman and Rendall paired with IIerbert Tilden. Neither Inman nor Rendall were quite up to the mark, but Johnson and Tilden played splendid tennis. The match was as close as it could possibly be, but at four-all in the fifth set Tilden cut loose with a burst of speed which was irresistible. The total score was $4 / 6,6 / 4,6 / 4,4 / 6,6 / 4$.

The challenge round found Tilden suffering from a reaction of his play of the previous day and Rendall but a shade better. The holders, Dewhurst and Carpenter, played well together and were never in danger of defeat. They won at $7 / 5,6 / 2,4 / 6,6 / 3$. The summaries:

## MEN'S SINGLES.

Preliminary round-F. H. Gibbs d. D. Munyon, by default; E. M. Sheppard d. A. Evans, Jr., 6/3, 6/3; Craig Biddle d. R. L. James, 6/1, $6 / 1$; H. D. Riley d. H. W. Page, 6/2, 7/5; F. S. Bain d. H. B. Register, $6 / 3,6 / 2$; Leon Slack d. C. C. Rutledge, $6 / 2,6 / 3 ;$ F. G. Anderson d. J. R. Carpenter, $6 / 4,5 / 7,6 / 4$; H. J. Rendall d. S. Field, Jr., 9/7, 6/1; L. B. Brown d. E. Townsend, $5 / 7,6 / o, 6 / 1 ;$ F. E. Dixon d. D. M. Lay, $6 / 4,6 / 2$; W. L. Landreth d. Ewing Stille, 6/2, 6/o; Percy Siverd d. G. E. Stephenson, 6/o, 6/o; W. H. Trotter d. R. J. Crozier, $2 / 6,9 / 7,7 / 5$; A. P. Simmonds d. II. J. Lawrence, Jr., $6 / 3,6 / 4$; P. B. Hawk d. J. H. Dies, $6 / 1,6 / 3 ;$ S. W. Pearson d. W. M. Stewart, III, $6 / 0,6 / 3$; Paul W. Gibbons d. Norman Johnson, $6 / 4,0 / 6,10 / 8$; H. M. Tilden d. M. L. Harris. 6/o, 6/1; D. B. Edmunds d. Charles S. Lee, 6/3, 6/4; F. C. Inman d. J. W. Lawrence, 6/0, 6/2.

First round-Kelly d. McCloud, 6/4, 6/4; Atkins d. Rogers, 6/1, 3/6,
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6/4; W. M. Tilden d. Mills; Gibbs d. Sheppard, I3/ir, I2/10; Biddle d. Riley, $6 / 2,6 / 4$; Bain d. Slack, $6 / 3,6 / 3$; Anderson d. Rendall, $6 / 8,6 / 3$, 10/8; Brown d. Dixon, $6 / 3,6 / 3$; Siverd d. Landreth, $6 / 1,6 / 1$; Trotter d. Simmonds, $6 / 2,6 / 2$; Hawk d. Pearson, $6 / 3,6 / 2 ;$ H. M. Tilden d. Gibbons, $6,1,7 / 5 ;$ Inman d. Edmunds, 8/6,7/5; Von Wiedner d. Harker, by default; Van Horn d. Davis, 6/1, 6/3; Willing d. Plillips, Jr., 6/1, 6/4.

Second round-. Itkins d. Kelly, 6/0, 6/0; W. M. Tilden d. Gibbs, 6/2, $6 / 2$; Biddle d. Bain, $6 / 2,6 / 2$ : Anderson d. Brown, $6^{\prime} 1,5 / 7,6 / 0$; Siverd d. Trotter, $3 / 6,10 / 8,6 / 4$; Hawk d. Tilden, 7 5, 6 2; Inman d. Von Wiedner, $6 / 2,6 / 1$; Van Horn d. Willing, 3/6, 7/5, $7 / 5$.

Third round-W. M. Tilden d. Atkins, $6 / 1,6+$ : Biddle d. Anderson, $7 / 5,6.3$; Siverd d. Hawk, $6 / 4,64$; Inman d. Van Horn, 6/4, 6/4.

Semi-final round-W. M. Tilden d. Biddle, 64,86 ; siverd d. Inman, $11 / 9,6.3$.

Final round-Siverd d. Tilden, 6/8, 2/6, 6/2, 7/5, 6/2.
Challenge round-Wallace $F$. Johnson (holder) d. P. Siverd (chalJenger), $6 / 2,6 / 2,6,3$.

## MEN'S DOUBLES.

Preliminary round-Crozier and Siverd d. Rogers and Simmonds, 6/4, 6/1; Kennedy and Kennedy d. Hawk and Dies, 6 o, 6 ; Inman and Johnson d. Townsend and Slack, 6/2, 6/ı.

First round-Evans and l'ain d. Sheppard and Hoskins, by default; Riley and Biddle d. Landreth and Dixon, 6, 1, 6/1; Tilden and Rendall d. Bates and Cullom, $6 / 1,16,62$; Crozier and Siverd d. Kennedy and Kennedy, 6 2, 6 3; Inman and Iohnson d. Register and Willing, 6/3, 6, +; Trotter and Fraley d. Buch and partner, by default: Tilden and James d. Gibbs and Lawrence, 6 3, 26,62 ; Mather and Clothier d. McCloud and Davis. 6/3, 6, 2 .

Second round-Riley and Biddle d. Evans and Bain, 6 2, 6/3; Tilden and Rendall $d$. Crozier and Siverd, $6 / 4,6 / 4 ;$ Inman and Johnson d. Trotter and Fraley, 6 2, 97 : Mather and Clothier d. Tilden and James, by default.

Semi-final round-Tilden and Rendall d. Riley and Biddle, 4/6, 6/4, $6 / 3$ : Inman and Johnson d. Mather and Clothier, by default.

Final round-Tilden and Rendall d. Inman and Johnson, 4/6, 6/4, 6.' $4 / 6,6,4$.

## Rhode Island State Championship.

For the third successive year J. D. E. Jones carried off the honors of the Rhode Island Championship when he defeated lis challenger, R. N. Dana, in straight sets. The tournament began on September is at the Agawam Hunt Club.

The draw was well divided and in the semi-final round the matches produced some high class tennis. Dana opposed E. T. Gross. It was the fourth meeting of the pair in that tournament and Dana's string of victories was increased to four straight. It was a liard-fought struggle, lasting nearly two hours, but Dana was the more versatile and, with a sudden change of attack, ran out the deciding set, $6 \%$. The other match was between Stanley Henshaw and J. O. Ames. Henshaw was erratic, however, and could make but little headway against his plodding opponent. Ames was very steady and sound on all his shots.

Dana outclassed Ames in the final. His driving was splendid and his tactics beyond criticism. Ames tried for everything and usually got the ball back if it was at all playable, but he lacked a good killing stroke and was too much on the defensive.

In the challenge round Jones played a remarkable game. He was steadiness personified, but not at the cost of speed. His volleying was sharp and his forehand drive under perfect control. Dana was a shade
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off in the early part of the match, but rapidly grew worse. Jones was never extended to the limit and won at $6 / 3,6 / 3,6 / 1$.

In the doubles Jones and llenshaw joined forces and proved too fast: for the holders of the title, Gross and Dana. It was fairly even for two sets, but the challengers swamped their rivals in third and fourth. The summaries:

## MEN'S SIN゙GLES.

First round-H. A. Mackinney d. A. D. Champlin, 6/o, 6 o; R. N. Dana d. F. W. Jones, $6 / 2,6 / 1$; E. T. Gross d. A. Ilarkness, 6/0, 6/1; W. W. Weeden d. H. Andrews, $6 / 1,7 / 5 ;$ F. T. McAuslan d. A. 13landing, 6/3, 6 I; II. W. Stiness d. T. B. Ballou, $6 / 1,6 / 3$; N. M. Vose d. G. B. Pullock. 6.2, 6/2; E. F. McCartney d. F. II. Howland, by default; R. G. Ostby d. M. G. Champlin, by default; F. R. Budlong d. H. Y. Stites, 6 4. $6 / 0:$ II. G. Nelson d. W. E. Braman, $6 / 2,3 / 6,6 / 4$; J. O. Ames d. C. O. Cooke, 6/4, 6/1; S. Henshaw d. A. Ingraham, $5 / 7,6 / 1,6 / 3$ : A. A. Barrows d. R. Wilson, 6/3, $11 / 9$; W. II. Preston d. R. Hazard, by default; A. Winsor d. II. D. Ilay, by default.

Second round-Ames d. Nelson, $62,6 / 2$; Vose d. McCartney, 6/0, 6 3: Gross d. Budlong, 6/3, 6/1: Ostby d. Preston, 6/4, 8/6; Dana d. Winsor, $6 / 0,6 / 1$; Henshaw d. Barrows, $6 / 4,4 / 6,6 / 3$; Stiness d. McAuslan, $6 / 8,7 / 5,6 / 2$; Mackinney $d$. Weeden, $6^{\prime} 1,6 / 4$.

Third round-Ames d. Stiness, 6 1, 6/1; Henshaw d. Mackinney, 7/5, $26,6 / 2$; Gross d. Vose, $6 / 4,6 / 2$; Dana d. Ostby, 6/4, 3/o, default.

Semi-final round-l)ana d. Gross, $4^{/ 6,6 / 3,6 / 4 ; ~ A m e s ~ d . ~ H e n s h a w, ~}$ $6_{1}^{\prime} 2,6 / 3$.

Final round-Dana d. Ames, 6/4, 6/1, 6/3.
Challenge round-I. J). E. Jones (holder) d. Dana (challenger), 6/3, $6 / 3,6 / 1$.

## MEN'S DOUBLES.

First round-W. W. White, Jr.. and II. . . Mackinney d. J. O. Ames and A. Ingraham, $6 / 4,6 / 3 ; N$. M. Vose and F. T. McAuslan d. W. L. Mauran and W. W. Weeden, by default; F. R. Budlong and R. G. Osthy d. G. B. Bullock and F. W. Jones, 6/2, 64 H. Y. Stites and C. O. Cooke d. A. Blanding and L. Tingley, 6/2, 8/6.

Second round-W. H. Preston and R. Wilson d. A. Harkness and II. W. Stiness, $5 / 7,6 / 2,6 / 1$; Vose and McAuslan d. Stites and Cooke, $6 / 4,6 / 3$; White and Mackinney d. Budlong and Ostby, 6/2, 6/4; J. I). E. Jones and S. Henshaw d. A. D. Champlin and R. Hazard, by default.

Semi-final round-White and Mackinney d. Preston and Wilson, 8/6, 6/o; Jones and Henshaw d. Yose and McAuslan, 6/2, 6/4.

Final round-Jones and Henshaw d. White and Mackinney, 6/1, $6 / 4,6 / 2$.

Challenge round-Iones and Henshaw (challengers) d. E. T. Gross and R. N. Dana (holders), $7 / 5,1 / 6,6 / 3,6 / 1$.

## Tri-State Championship.

After repeated but unsuccessful assaults on the Tri-- State championship title (Ohio, Kentucky and Indiana), R. H. Palmer finally succeeded in accomplishing his desire when, on September 6, he defeated W. F. Johnson in the final round. As Robert LeRoy did not appear to defend the honors he won in 1910, Palmer took the title by default.

The huge number of ninety-two entries were diawn for the singles, but nevertheless the class of play which was provided when Little, Collins, LeRoy and other cracks used to compete was absent, and there were but few really good men in the list.

There was little excitement in the process of eliminating the weaker men, which continued for two rounds, but in the third there were several good matches. The veteran Ohlahoma champion, John T. Bailey, gave Trux Emerson the fight of his life. Emerson pulled out the deciding set finally
at II, 9. Bailey was four times within a point of victory, but could not put over the finishing shot. R. Bishop was in great shape and disposed of Dr. Hawk in short order at 6/2, 6/2.

The only interesting feature of the fourth round was the unexpected defeat of A. S. Sweetser by John Wentzell, but the fifth produced several splendid matches and two form reversals. Bishop in that round won as he chose from Nat Thornton. The Bostonian's drives were excellent, and Thornton's net game was badly broken up. Wentzell, who had attracted attention by his defeat of Sweetser, gave R. A. Holden a good fight and won the first two sets. His steady driving was too much for the Yale champion and the latter was forced into repeated errors by constant running in. Holden, after two sets had slipped away, changed his game. Playing from the back court and dropping short shots just over, he soon had Wentzell on the run and came through the remaining three sets with plenty of margin to spare. Iractically the same thing happened in the W. F. Johnson-W. M. Hall match. For two sets IIall had all the better of the argument, but his constant net work wore him down, and he was never dangerous after that. Johnson's wonderfully controlled chop slid past him with clock-like regularity and Hall lacked the speed and endurance necessary to cope with it. In the remaining match Palmer outclassed C. (). Benton.

The semi-final was a great disappointment to everyone for, unfortunately, R. A. Holden was forced to withdraw in order to reach the scene of the intercollegiate tournament on time. His match with Johnson was looked forward to as the feature of the event and his default caused keen regret. The remaining match proved thrilling enough for anyone, however, although Palmer was conceded a win by everyone. Bishop put up a remarkable fight.

Palmer struck his gait immediately, and by fast driving took the first set, 6/3. Bishop, however, was improving with every stroke, and with the second set had his shots working splendidly. 1)riving deep, fast balls to Palmer's backhand, he won game after game. The set went to him at $6 / 2$ and he led at $4 / 1$ in the third. Palmer came like a steam engine then, taking four games and the lead, but Bishop was too steady and won three games in succession. The fourth set was very even, and three times Bishop was within a point of taking it, but Palmer pulled it out with clever drives and finally evened the score by winning at $8 / 6$. Bishop's shots lacked sting in the fifth set and Palmer had it safely all through.

The final was a great contest from beginning to end. Jchnson started well and ran up a lead of 5 I and $40 / 0$ before Palmer found himself. Then the left-hander began to overhaul the Philadelphian, and to the surprise of the immense gallery pulled the set out at 11/9. The second was very close, but Palmer was a little better, winning at $6 / 4$. Johnson tried everything in the thisd and did succeed in taking the lead at $3 / 2$, but at that point Palmer started another burst of speed and Johnson was forced down slowly but surely. The match went to the southpaw at $11 / 9,6 / 4,6 / 3$. Johnson was very good for one set, but his game fell off as Palmer's improved and he was unable to steady himself at any time.

The doubles provided much gocd tennis but little excitement, for the superiority of Johnson and Palmer in one half and Bishop and Sweetser in the other was generally conceded. As expected, neither team came close to defeat, although Sweetser and Bishop were led in their match with Thornton and Marty by two sets to one.

The final was a corker. Johnson and Palmer had a little the better of the first two sets, winning them at $8 / 6$ and $6 / 4$. The pinch came in the fourth, when with Sweetser serving, the score stecd at $4 / 5$ and $0 / 40$. But several long chances came off as hoped for and the Boston pair took the set at 8,6 . The fifth set was even at three-all, but Sweetser gave out suddenly, and Bishop, too, seemed on the verge of collapse. Palmer and Johnson were in better shape by far, and had little trouble in running out the balance. The summaries:

## MEN'S SINGLES.

First round-Guido Gores d. Harold Beckman, 4,6,6/4, 6/3; Dr. P. B. Hawk d. 1. Stuart, $6 / 2,6$; Charles II. Farber d. Ralph Pappenheimer, $6 / 3,6 / 1$; C. P. Trask d. L. W. Houston, $5 / 7,6 / 3,6 / 3$; J. Floyd Day d. George Eaton, 6/2, 6/o; A. F. Zimmerman d. Robert Niehaus, 6/3, 6/4; Charles Lush d. Pettee, by default; John T. Bailey d. J. Gessing, 6/o, $6 / 2$; H. T. Emerson d. Ralph Jones, $6 / 2,6 / 2$; R. A. Johnson d. V. E. Montgomery, 6/2, 6/2; Harrison Smith d. Francis Fox, 6/1, 6/1; Nat Thornton d. Phil O’Bryan, 6/2, 6/4; Clifford Lockhorn d. Frank M. Sanders, $6 / 2,7 / 5$; E. T. Cappel d. T. R. Smith, by default; C. Rodgers d. Frank Sage, 6/2, $9 / 7$; T. O. Dunlop d. Harry Armstrong, 6/2, 6/4; Roy Phillips d. Koland Hoerr, by default; S. Smith d. Robert Black, 1/6, 6/4, $6 / 2 ;$ R. A. Holden, Jr., d. Taylor Stanley, $6 / 4,6 / 3 ;$ F. G. Appel d. II. E. IIannaford, Jr., $6 / 1,6 / 1 ;$. Indrews d. E. G. Vordenberg, $6 / 3,1 / 6$, $6 / 2$; C. Bowen d. Drummond Jones, by default; El. I'fau d. John Schaaf, $6.2,3 / 6,7 / 5$; Cieorge Bailey d. E. 1I. Condit, ly default; Conrad Doyle d. W. B. Carroll, by default; J. Wentzell d. O. ' ${ }^{\text {. . Sharman, 6/1, 6/3; D. }}$ W. Rodgers d. Hence Orme, by default; E. Middleton d. W. A. Roudebush, $3 / 6,6.4,7 / 5$.
second round-C. O. Benton d. McKay, by default; C. M. Cowan d. Harry Wick, by default; C. Cassils d. W. Geier, 6/0, 6/4; W. D. Breed d. E. Stearns, $6^{\prime} 0,6 / 0$; L. D. Woodrough d. Hapgood, by default; R. H. Palmer d. N. O. Brown, by default; C. N. Beard d. (. Sohl, 6/2, 6/4; P. DeCamp d. C. Murdock, 6/1, 4/6, 6/3; R. Hishop d. B. C. DeCamp, 6/2, 6/o; Dr. P. B. Ilawk d. Guido (iores, by default; C. Farber d. C. P. Trask, 6/o, 4/6, 6/o; J. Floyd Day d. A. F. Zimmerman, 6/1, 6/4; John T. Bailie d. Charles Lush, by default; IH. T. Emerson d. R. A. Johnson, $6 / 1,6 / 3$; Nat Thornton d. Harrison Smith, 6/3, 6/o; C. Lockhorn d. E. T. Cappel, 6/1, 6/o; T. O. Dunlop d. C. Rodgers, 6/4, 6/o; S. Smith d. Roy Phillips, 6/i, 5 7, 3/3 (default); R. A. Holden, Jr., d. F. G. Appel, 9. $7,6 / 0$; Allen Andrews d. C. Bowen, 6/3, 6/4; Elwood Pfau d. George Bailey, $6 / 2,6 / 2$; J. Wentzell d. Conrad Woyle, by default; E. Middleton d. D. W. Rodgers, $\Xi / 7,6 / 1,6 / 2 ;$ A. Sweetser d. Dr. Fuerste, 6/3, 6/4; W. P. Hunt d. Wentworth Baker, $6 / 3,6 / 1$; C. B. Stansbury d. J. Drewry, $6 / 2,7 / 5$; Wallace Johnson d. W. G. Oehmig, 6/2, 6/3; W. M. Tyler d. E. N. Wirthlin, 6/1, 8/6; Frank Marty d. Royen, by default; Roy Miller d. E. O. Barnet, $6 / 4,7 / 5$; H. T. Ashton d. George Thompson, 6/2, 6/ı; W. Merrill Hall d. C. S. Ridgeway, 6/0, 6/1.

Third round-lienton d. Cowan, 6/2, 6/3; Breed d. Cassils, 6/1, 6/1; Palmer d. Woodrough, by default; Beard d. DeCamp, 6/2, $11 / 9$; Bishop d. Hawk, $6 / 2,6 / 2$; llay d. Farber, 6/2, 4/6, 6/1; Emerson d. Bailie, 5/7, 6/2, 11/9: Thornton d. Locl:horn, 6/o, 6/3; Hunlop d. Starbuck Smith, $6 / 1,4 / 6,6 / 3$; Holden d. Andrews, 6/1, 6/1; Wentzell d. Pfau, 7/5, 6/2; Sweetser d. Middleton, $6 / 1,6 / 1$; Hunt d. Stansbury, 6/1, 6/3; Johnson d. Tyler, $6 / 1,6 / 3$; Marty d. Miller, 6/4, 6/2; Hall d. Ashton, 7/5, 6/4.

Fourth round-Benton d. Breed, 8/6, 3/6, 6/2; Palmer d. Beard, 6/2, $6 / 2$; Bishop d. Day, $6 / 3,3 / 6,6 / 4$; Thornton d. Emerson, 6/3, 6 2; Holden d. Dunlop, 6/3, $7 / 5$; Wentzell d. Sweetser, $2 / 6,7 / 5,7 / 5$; Johnson d. Hunt, 6/2, 6/1; Hall d. Marty, 6/o, 9/7.

Fifth round-Palmer d. Benton, $8 / 6,6 / 2,6 / 2$; Bishop d. Thornton, 7/5, $6 / 1,6 / 2$; Holden d. Wentzell, $5 / 7,7 / 9,6 / 3,6 / 2,6 / 2$; Johnson d. Hall, 10/12, 2/6, 6/2, 6/3, 6/o.

Semi-final round--Palmer d. Bishop, 6/3, 5/7, 2/6, 8/6, 6/3; Johnson d. Holden, by default.

Final round-Palmer d. Jchnson, 11/9, 6/3, 6/4.

## MEN'S DOUBLES.

First round-Dunlop and Miller d. Stearns and Houston, 6/2, 6/4, 6/r.
Second round-Hannaford and Koehler d. DeCamp and Sage, 7/9, 6/1, $4 / 6,6 / 2,6 / 4$; Schaaf and Lockhorn d. Rodgers and Cowan, 6/3, 7 '5, 8/6;


MISS ELSIE LITTLE.


MISS H. TURLE,
Winner Chevy Chase Tournament.


MISS LOIS MOYES,
Canadian Champion.


MISS ELEONORA SEARS, Of Boston.

Hall and Hunt d．Fuerste and Stewart， 6 t，6．0， $6^{\prime} 1$ ；Farber and Middle－ ton d．Bailey and Cassils，by default：Palmer and Johnson d．Bowen and Armstrong 6／1，6／0， 62 ；Day and O＇liryan d．Nichaus and Gassing，6／2， $6 / 3,6 / 2$ ：Wirthlin and Lush d．Black and Smith，2 6，6／2，5／7，6／4，6／4； Breed and Hawk d．Dunlop and Miller， 7 ＇s． $6,3,6,3$ ；Beard and Benton d．Sohl and Johnson， 6 3， 6 3． 8 6：．Ippel and Trask d．Poor and Mitchell． $6 / 3,6 / 2,36,6,4$ ；Bishop and Sweetser $d$ ．Faton and Stanley，by default； Mills and Gores $d$ ．Tyler and Condit，by default；Thornton and Marty $d$ ． Ridgeway and Coombe， 6 3， 64,$62 ;$ Ashton and Bailie d．Pfau and Andrews， $60,7 / 5,62$ Emerson and Holden d．Stansbury and Mont－ gomery， $61,6 / 1,6 / 1$ ；Denman and Spencer d．Koehler and Baker，6／o， $6.2,16.1=$

Third round－Schaaf and Lockhorn d．Hannaford and Koehler，by default：Hall and Hunt d．Farber and Middleton， 6 1． 6 1， 6 i：Palmer and Johnson d．Day and O＇Bryan， $75,5 / 7,63,64$ ；Breed and Hawk d． Wirthlin and Lush， $6.3,63.6$ ；lieard and Benton d．Appel and Trask， $6 / 0,63,60:$ Bishop and sweetser d．Mills and Gores，6／2，6／1，6， 4 ： Thornton and Marty d．Ashton and liailie， $62,63,5$ 7， 64 ；Emerson and IInlden d．Denman and Spencer，6／2， 6 1， 60 ．

Fourth round－llall and llunt d．Schaaf and Lockhorn， 6 ＇ $8,6 / 1,6 / 2$ ， 4／6，6，4；P＇almer and Johnson d．I＇reed and llawk．62， 6 3． 6 3：Bishop and Sweetser d．Beard and Benton， $6 / 0,60,64$ ；Thornton and Marty d． Emerson and IIolden，4．＂，6，0，6，4， 62.

Semi－final round－P＇almer and Johnson d．Itall and llunt， 6 2， $62,4^{\prime} 6$ ， $6 / 3$ ；Bishop and Sweetser d．Thornton and Marty， $6 / 0,7$ 9，$, ~ 8,62,6$ ．

Final round－lalmer and Johnson d．Bishop and Sweetser， $86,6 / 4$ ， $4^{\prime} 6,6$＇ $8,6.3$.

## L． ADIES SIN゙GLES．

First round－Mis Emily Woodall d．Miss Louise Kohlsaat，by default； Miss Rhea Fairbairn d．Miss Ruth Kinsey，60，6，3；Miss Helen Ratter－ man d．Miss Dorothy Matthews，6／3， 6 4；Miss Rutl Blair d．Miss Louise Koot，by default；Mrs．C．N．Meard d．Mrs．Eshelby Lunken，6／0， 6 2； Miss Marion Ilunt d．Miss Polly James， 6 I， 6 1；Miss Ruth Sanders d． Miss Lois Moyes，by default；Miss Edith Egan d．Miss Irna Breuer，8，6， $6 / 2$ ；Miss Martha Kinsey d．Miss Mabel Ayres，6，2， 6 3；Miss Vera Van Ness d．Miss Kate Bradley，6／3， 6 4；1）r．Jane Craven d．Miss Marie 13． Hattersley， $6 \mathrm{o}, 6 \mathrm{o}$ ；Miss Marie Aiken d．Miss Koehler，by default．

Second round－Miss Helen McLaughlin d．Miss Louise Pugh，6／2，6／3； Miss Fairbairn d．Miss Woodall，6／2，6／o；Miss Ratterman d．Miss Blair， $7 / 5,6 / 4$ ：irs．C．N．Beard d．Miss Hunt， $10 / 8,6 / 1$ ；Miss Sanders $\mathbb{C}$ ． Miss Eginn． 6 2， 6 ＇ ；Miss Kinsey d．Miss Van Ness，6／1，6／2；1）r．Jane Craven d．Miss Miken， 62,63 ；Miss Miriam Steever d．Mrs．Fred Schaefer， 6／i， 6 1．

Third round－Miss Fairbairn d．Miss McLaughlin，6／3，6／4；Mrs．C．N． Beard d．Miss Katterman， 6 o， 9 11． $6 / 2$ ，Miss Kinsey d．Miss Sanders， $62,6 / 1$ ；Miss Steever d．Ir．Jane Craven，6． $1,6 / 1$ ．

Semi－final round－Miss Fairbairn d．Mrs．C．N．Beard，6／1，6／2；Miss Steever d．Miss Kinsey，2／6，75，6／2．

Final round－Miss Miriam Steever d ．Miss Rhea Fairbairn，4／6，8／6，8／o．

## MIXED DOUBLES．

First round－Miss Rhea Fairbairn and Frank Marty d．Miss Irma Breuer and I．Floyd Day， $6 / 3,3 / 6,60$ ；Miss Martha Kinsey and Mr．Mitchell d．Miss lera Van Ness and George Thompson，6／3，6／4；Miss Marion Hunt and Richard Bishop d．Mrs．C．N．Beard and C．N．Beard，6／4，6／4； Miss Helen McLaughlin and Nat Thornton d．Mrs．Scallon and E．N． Wirthlin， $6^{\prime} 3,6 / 4$ ：Miss Marian Aiken and R．II．Palmer d．Miss Miriam Steever and A．Sweetser． $57,62,64$ ；Dr．Jane Craven and II．T． Emerson d．W．Merill Hall and Miss Polly James， 2 ， 2 （default）．
second round-Miss Sanders and Mr. Tyler d. Miss Fairbairn and mr. Marty, 2/6, 6,2, 7/5; Miss Kinsey and Mr. Mitchell d. Miss Hunt and Mr. Bishop, $2 / 6,75,6 / 2$; Miss McLaughlin and Mr. Thornton d. Miss Aiken and Mr. Ialmer, 4 6, $1210,6,3 ;$ Dr. Jane Craven and Mr. Emerson d. Miss Louise Koot and John Schaaf, 6 1. ( o.

Semi-final round-Miss Kinsey and Mr. Mitchell d. Miss Sanders and Mr. Tyler, $2 / 6,6$ 3, 97 : Dr. Jane Craven and Mr. Emerson d. Miss McLaughlin and Mr. Thornton, $64,36,6 / 1$.

Final round-1)r. Jane Craven and II. T. Emerson d. Miss Martha Kinsey and Mr. Mitchell, 6/1, 6/3.

## L. 1 DIES' DOUBLES.

First round-Miss Louise Root and Miss 11. Ratterman d. Miss Polly James and Miss Kate Bradley, $60,6,4, M 1$. Louise Pugh and Miss Irma Breuer d. Miss Edith Egan and Miss Ruth Blair, by defanlt: Miss Mardi Hunt and Miss Louise Neff d. Mrs. Fred Schatfer and Miss Dorothy Kellogg, $02, z_{5}$; Miss Martha Kinsey and Miss 11. Mclaughlin d. Mrs. Frank M. Nelson and Mrs. Jack Wilshire. 75:0, 1: Miss steever and Dr. Jane Craven d. Mrs. Stanley Ramsey and Miss Louise Ayres, 6/3, 6/3; Miss Kuth sanders and Miss Lillian Werk d. Miss Marian diken and Miss Vera Van Ness, $6,3,1410,6,2 ;$ Mrs. ( N.. Beard and Miss Rhea Fairbairn d. Miss Marie Krchbiel and Miss. Nice Stephens, 6/o, 6/o.

Second round-Miss Root and Miss Ratterman d. Mrs. Pugh and Miss Brener, 2/6, $6,2,75$; Miss Kinsey and Miss McLaughlin d. Miss Hunt and Miss Neff, 63.64 ; Miss Steever and 1)r. lane Craven d. Miss sanders and Miss Wierk, 6 o, $6+$ : Mrs. leard and Miss Fairbairn d. Miss Horothy Matthews and Mrs. Eshelby, 6 o, 61.

Semi-final round-Miss Kinsey and Miss McLaughlin d. Miss Root and Miss Ratterman, 6 3, 6.2; Miss Steever and 1)r. Jane (raven d. Mrs. lieard and Miss Fairbairn, 7 5, 2/6, 86.

Final round-Miss Martha Kinsey and Miss II. McLaughlin d. Miss Miriam Steever and Dr. Jane Craven, 1/6, 6/4, $0 / 3$.

## Virginia State Championship.

On June 20, 1910, at the Country Club. Norfolk, Va., the tenth annual tournament for the championship of the State was begun.

There were few visiting cracks, but the presence of Nat Thornton, ex-Southern champion, made up for that deficiency.
H. G. Whitehead, playing splendidly, came through one side of the draw, winning successively from W. I. Cooke, Jr., C. F. McIntosh, and B. M. Grant. He dropped a set to McIntosh, had hard work with Cooke and against Grant, supposedly his worthiest opponent, won at $6 / 2,6 / 1$.

Thornton had little difficulty in winning his way through the other side, but after splitting the first two sets with Whitehead was outclassed in the third and fourth. Whitehead's driving was fast and steady and overhead he was deadly.

A stiff breeze hampered the work of both Whitehead and J. H. Winston, the title holder, in the challenge match. Winston was in great form, however, and set a pace so fast that Whithead was killed of after two sets. The challenger won the second at $6 / 3$, bat Winston was irresistible and took the third and fourth by wider margins.

The feature of the tournament was the meeting in the doubles of the ex-Southern champions. Thornton and Grant, and Winston and Whitehead. These teams outclassed the rest of the field and their match in the final
was a great exhibition. The Atlanta pair started well, winning the first two sets at $7 / 5$, but by brillant play the V'irginians annexed the third and fourth. The fifth set was lard fought, but the latter pair held a one-game lead to $6 / 4$. The tenth game was fast, but an error of Grant's and a terrific cross-court drive of Winston's settled the match. The summaries:

## MEN'S SINGLES.

Preliminary round-II. G. Whitehead d. W. T. Cooke, Jr., ro/8, 6/4; 11. 11. Riddleberger d. J. F. Marshall, Jr.. 9/7, 6/2; Nat Thornton (Atlanta, Ga.) d. Washington Taylor, 6/1, 6/3.

First round-C. F. McIntosh d. George Zinn (Orange, Va.), 6/1, 6/3; C. Angler d. Hugh Murray, 6/2, 6/2; Wm. A. Fleet (Culver, Ind.) d. C. G. Hunter, $6 / 0,6 / 2 ;$ W. B. Collier, Jr., d. II. Johnston, $6 / 2,6 / 1 ;$ A. M. Agelesto d. Riddleberger, $3 / 6,6 / 3,6 / 3$; R. M. Tomlin d. Robert Eppes, $6 / 2,6 / 1$.

Second round-Angler d. Fleet, 7/9. 6/1, 6/4; Grant d. Collier, Jr., 7/5,6/3; Thornton d. Tomlin, 6, ${ }^{1}$, 6/o.

Third round-Whitehead d. McIntosh, 6/1, 4/6, 6/ı; Giant d. Angler, 6/0, 6/2; Thornton d. Wrenn, 6/4, 6/0; Washington Reed d. M. A. Agelesto, 6/4, 6/3.

Semi-final round-Whitehead d. Grant, 6/2, 6/1; Thornton d. Reed, $6 / 4,6 / 3$.

Final round-Whitehead d. Thornton, $6 / 4,3 / 6,6 / 3,6 / 0$.
Challenge round-J. Horner Winston (champion) d. II. G. Whitehead (challenger), $6 / 0,3 / 6,6 / 3,6 / 2$.

## LADIES' SINGGES.

First round-Miss Turle (Brooklyn) d. Miss Holt (Hampton, Va.), by default; Miss Iiana Walke d. Miss Lydia Weld (Newport News, Va.), $6 / 2,6 / 3$; Miss Fannie M. Walcott (Hampton) d. Miss Maria Tucker, $3 / 6,6 / 3,6,4$; Miss Truxton d. Miss Dorothy Walke, 4/6, 6/2, 6/1.
second round-Miss Turle d. Miss Diana Walke, $6 / 3,6 / \perp$; Miss Truxton d. Miss Walcott, $8 / 6,6 / 2$.

Final round-Miss Turle d. Miss Truxton, 6/1, 6/1.

## MEN'S DOUBLES.

First round-Dobie and Eppes d. Wrenn and Hunter, 6/1, 4/6, 6/3, $7 / 5$; Winston and Whitehead d . Cooke and Garrison, 6/2, 6/1, 6/3; W. H. Taylor and J. Saunders Taylor d. M. A. and A. M. Agelesto, 6/o, $6 / 1,6 / 3$; Thornton and Grant (Atlanta, Ga.) d. Dobie and Eppes, 6/2, $6 / 3,6 / 2$; Winston and Whitehead d. William Fleet and George Zinn, 6/o, 6/0, 6/1; McIntosh and Collier d. Riddleberger and Parks, 6/r, $6 / 0,6 / 4$.

Final round-Thornton and Grant d. Taylor brothers, 9/11, 6/2, 7/5, 6/3; Winston and Whitehead d. McIntosh and Collier, 7/9, 6/o, 6/1, 6/3.

Challenge round-Winston and Whitehead d. Thornton and Grant, 5/7, $5 / 7,6 / 2,6 / 4,6 / 4$.

## MIXED DOUBLES.

First round-Miss Maria Tucker and C. McIntosh d. Miss Dorothy Walke and Rufus Parks. 6/3, 6/1.

Second round-Miss Turle and J. Saunders Taylor d. Miss Tucker and McIntosh. 6/3, 6/o; Miss Truxton and Winston d. Miss Diana Walke and II. Johnson. 5/7, 6/1, 7/5.

Final round-Miss Truxton and Winston d. Miss Turle and Taylor, $6 / 4,3 / 6,6 / 2$.

## CONSOLATION SINGLES.

Final round-Dobie d. Garrison, 6/2, 6/3.

## West Virginia State Championship.

The fifth annual invitation tennis tournament for the championship of West Virginia was held on the courts of the Parkersburg Country Club, August 22, 23 and 24, 1910.

Ideal weather conditions and perfect courts, coupled with a splendid entry list and sterling tennis, brought out large galleries of enthusiastic spectators.

The visiting players were entertained on the evening of the second day with a sumptuous banquet, and the tournament was brought to a close with a brilliant dance given in honor of the players on the evening of the third day.

By clever play in their respective halves, R. E. Bowie of Wheeling and Ed Hanlon of Sistersville met in the final round, but, owing to illness, Hanlon was compelled to default. This gave Bowie the right to challenge Evetett Irennen of Fairmont. W. Va.. holder of the cup, but the challenge round was a disappointment to a great many, as Bowie seemed to be unable to get going, and Drennen, who was right on his game, won in straight sets, $6 / 3,63,61$, thus giving him his third win and permanent possession of the challenge cup.

The doubles event was won by Everett Dremnen and J. O. Watson of Fairmont against R. A. Johnson and J. A. Shrewsbury of Parkersburg in the final round score, $7 / 5,6,2,6.1$.

Handsome racket cases were presented to R. E. Bowie and Ed Hanlon, the winner and runner-up, respectively, in the singles, and beautiful cups and gold watch fobs to Everett 1)remen, J. (). Watson, R. . . Johnson and J. A. Shrewsbury, winners and runners-up in the doubles. Silver flasks were presented to Messrs. Cummins, winner of the consolation singles, and to Kennedy and Ilanlon, wimners of the consolation doubles.

The officers of the Parkersburg Country Club are: W. S. Smith, president; Dr. Rolla Camden, vice-president; E. R. Kingsley, secretary, and W. E. Davis, treasurer. The summaries:

## MEN'S SINGLES.

First round-Fleming d. Morris, 4/6, $6^{\prime}+$, 6/0; Beatty d. Lord, 4/6, $6 / 3,6 / 4$; Kennedy d. Cummins, $6,3,2,6,6 / 2 ;$ J. A. Shrewsbury d. Garrett, $6 / 0,6$ 2; Armbruster d. Ilawkins, $9 / 7,6 / 2$; Bowie d. Wallace, $6 / 3,6 / \mathrm{F}$; Swartz d. Kincaid. by default; Johnson d. Burt, $63,7^{\prime} 5$; Hugus d. Watson, $97,6 / 4$; Hanlon d. White, 6/1, 4/6, 6/3; M. Shrewsbury d. Thaw, 6/3, 6/1.

Second round-L. Morris d. Vetter, 6/3, 7/5; Fleming d. Beatty, 6/3, $9 / 7$; J. A. Shrewsbury d. Kennedy, $4 / 6,7^{\prime} 5,6 / 3$; Bowie d. Armbruster, $6 / 3,6 / 2$; Johnson d. Swartz, $60,7 / 5$; Hanlon d. Hugus, 8/6, 6/3; Haymond d. M. Shrewsbury, $36,6 / 2,64$ : Kingsley d. Russell, by default.

Third round-L. Morris d. Fleming, 3 6, 6/4, 6/4; Bowie d. J. A. Shrewsbury, 4/6, 8/6, 6/4; Hanlon d. Johnson, 6/3, 7/5; Haymond d. Kingsley 6/ı, 6/1.

Semi-final round-Bowie d. L. Morris, 6/1, 6/2; Hanlon d. Haymond, 4/6. 6/2, 6/2.

Challenge round-Drennen (holder) d. Bowie (challenger), 6/3, 6/3, 6/1.

## MEN'S DOUBLES.

First round-Morris and Morris d. Garrett and Lord. 5/7, 6/1, 6/4; Cummins and Bowie d. Hawkins and Shrewsbury, 6/1, 4/6, 6/1; Watson and Drennen d. Kennedy and Hanlon, 6/2, 6/2; Johnson and Shrewsbury d. Burt and Hugus, 6, 3, 62 ; White and Beatty d. Wallace and Vetter, $4 / 6,6 / 3,6 / 3$.

Second round-Morris and Morris d. Kingsley and Swartz, 6/3, 6/4; Watson and Drennen d. Cummins and Bowie, 6/3, 6/3; Johnson and

Shrewsbury d. White and Beatty. $6 / 1,6 / 2$; Haymond and Fleming d. Armbruster and Thaw, 8, 6, 1 6, 6 t.

Semi-final round-Watson and Drennen d. Morris and Morris, 5/7, 6/3, $6 / 1$; Johnson and Shrewsbury d. Haymond and Fleming, 6/3, 6/1.

Final round-Watson and Drennen d. Johnson and Shrewsbury, 7/5, $6 / 2,6 / 1$.

CON゙SOLATION SINGGES.
Final round-Cummins d. Vetter, 5/7, 8/6, 6/2.
CONSOLATION DOUBLES.
Final round-Kennedy and Hanlon d. Burt and Hugus, 6/2, 6/3.

## Massachusetts State Championship.

After repeated but vain attempts to annex the Massachusetts State title, N. W. Niles finally succeeded in adding that to his numerous laurels when he went through the tournament played at Longwood early in June and dislodged the title holder, R. C. Seaver, from the position he had so well retained for five years.

The field was practically the same that has competed for several years, but the play was productive of numerous upsets and men conceded a chance for the title went down before others who received but little consideration. The first round brought out the most notable of these form reversals when Arthur Sweetser defeated T. J. Sulloway. The latter had the match won, but, when within a point of losing. Sweetser braced and finally pulled out at $4^{\prime} 6$, I I 9,97 . A. S. Dabney defeated C. F. Johnson in the same round, and in the one following H. C. Johnson upset calculations by winning from Harry IIolt. The latter was unable to drive Johnson's chops and, with his forehand out of commission, Holt had much the worst of it.

Wick Bishop was the next unsuccessful opponent of Johnson, and the best match of the tournament followed between Niles and Inabney. The men both were in such splendid form that it was the prettiest kind of tennis, but Dabney's old weakness, unsteadiness, was in evidence, and Niles won in straight sets.

The semi-finals brought together S. L. Reals, who had struck Sweetser in wretched form, and Niles, while Johnson bracketed with H. Nickerson. Niles made short work of Beals, but Johnson was slow in starting against the Harvard player. The latter played a fast, sure net game, and it was not until Johnson changed his shots to lobs and short drops that Nickerson weakened. The change in pace proved very effective, and until Johnson decided to try it his opponent had much the better of it.

The final between Niles and Johnson was not so one-sided as the score of $6^{\prime} 3,6 \%, 63$ would indicate. Johnson played his usual steady, sure game but Niles was never in better stroke. His speed alone was too much for his opponent. although rather numerous errors gave Johnson's point scor: a good boost. Niles was all over the court and, in view of the pace t.e established, misplayed surprisingly few shots.

The challenge round resulted as it did because of two things-Niles' splendid delivery and Seaver's weak one. Only once did Seaver break through, and that was in a game in which Niles made six errors. The champion was continually on the defensive, and his remarkable ability to get almost anything was of little value. Niles did not play a safe game, yet he succeeded in getting away with most sensational shots. That streak reached its height in the middle of the match, when Niles ran eleven successive games with a point score of 44 to Seaver's 14. The score was a duplicate of that made in the final, $6 / 3,6 / 0,6 / 3$.


## Massachusetts State Doubles.

N. W. Niles and A. S. Dabney added another victory to their long list when, on June 1, they won the Massachusetts doubles championship on the Brae Burn Country Club courts of West Newton.

As Beals Wright, the holder, with F. J. Sulloway, was in Europe, there was no challenge match, but Sulloway paired with D. 1. Rhodes and came through to the final.

The match was close and extremely interesting, but the quality of the tennis played was of a low order. Not one of the four was in true form and the team work of both combinations was at times deplorable. Each man would occasionally strike a brilliant streak, but as these never came in unison they were of little value. For four sets there was nothing to choose, but in the firth Sulloway and Rhodes fell off decidedly and Niles and Dabney had much the better of it.

Both teams had a narrow escape in the early rounds, for in their first match Sulloway and Rhodes met R. C. Seaver and R. Bishop. The latter won the first set and had a good chance for the third, but Rhodes' improvement at the right moment saved the day.

In the semi-finals Niles and Dabney faced the Johnson brothers, If. C. and C. F. The steadiness and headwork of this pair is well known and they all but won in a three-set fight. Niles was painfully bad on ground strokes, but Dabney was quite consistent. They won at 6/4, 3/6, 7/5. The summaries:

First round-Wise and Davenport d. Wellington and Harlow, 6/2, 4/א, 6/o; Basker and IIillman d. Carl and Large, 7/5, 6/3; Sulloway ana Rhodes d. Seaver and Bishop, 3.6, 6/2, 6/4: Bucklin and Ross d. Leonard and Leonard, by default; Putnam and Holt d. Kent and partner, by default; Rice and Currier d. Wilbar and T. B. Plympton, 6/3, 8/6; Frothingham and Peals d. Fogarty and Price, 6/0, 6/2; D. Niles and Plympton d. Cummings and Baird, by default: N. E. Porter and Barker d. Wheelock and Hinclicliffe, 6/1, 6/3; C. J. Porter and Collester d. D. E. Corinnis and Dowse, $6 / 4,6,2$; Wales and Walworth d. T. Tunis and R. Tunis, 6/3, 6/1; Johnson and Johnson d. Sturgis and Minot, 6/r, 6/o; Martin and Gallagher d. Everts and Everts, 6/4, 6/4; N. Niles and Dabney d. McKinney and Pierce, $6 / 0,6 / o$; Beals and James d. Campbell and Chase, $5 / 7,6 / 4,6 / 4$; Tobey and Jones d. Underwood and Moore, 1/6, 7/5, 6/1.

Second round-Frothingham and Beals d. D. Niles and Plympton, 6/o, 7/5; Sulloway and Rhodes d. Bucklin and Ross, 6/2, 6/o; N. Niles and Dabney d. Martin and Gallagher, 4/6, 6/3, 6/3; Johnson and Johnson d. Wales and Walworth, $6 / 1,6 / 3$; Wise and Davenport d. Barker and Hillman, $8 / 6,6 / o$; IIolt and Putnam d. Rice and Currier, 3/6, 6/r, 7/5; Beals and James d. Tobey and Jones, 6/1, 6/1.

Third round-Sulloway and Rhodes d. Wise and Davenport, 6/2, 6/3; Putnam and Holt d. Frothingham and Beals, 3/6, 6/2, 7/5; Johnson and Johnson d. Porter and Collester, 6/2, 6/0; Niles and Dabney d. Beals and James, 6/2, 3/6, 6/4.

Semi-final round-Niles and Dabney d. Johnson and Johnson, 6/4, 3/6, 7/5; Sulloway and Rhodes d. Holt and Putnam, 6/1, 6/3.

Final round-Niles and Dabney d. Sulloway and Rhodes, 6/8, 6/4, 6/3, 4/6, 6/2.

## New Jersey State Championship.

Richard H. Palmer, title holder, met and defeated Fred G. Anderson, tournament winner, in the challenge round of the New Jersey State Championship tournament. The match went four sets, and throughout the tournament Anderson displayed flashes of his old-time form. The tournament was held on the courts of the Morristown Field Club, September 12.

A. W. HOWARD,
District of Columbia Title Holder.

B. M. PHILLIPS,
Winner of New Rochelle's First Open Event.

An interesting match occurred in the third round, between Anderson and Henry Mollenhaver. Hoth men are veterans of the Kings County Tennis Club, Brooklyn, and both displayed something of their old-time skill. Anderson won, after a hard fight, at $6 / 2,3 / 6,7 / 5$.

The men to reach the semi-final round were Anderson, B. M. Phillips, G. C. Thomas, Jr.; and L. S. Freeman. In the upper bracket Anderson $\epsilon$ asily defeated Phillips, while Thomas, in the lower bracket, had no trouble in conquering Freeman.

In the final round Anderson forced matters all through. As of old, he played entirely from the back-court, and had his young adversary running all over the court, passing him whenever he took the net.

Palmer defeated Anderson. in the challenge round, in an excellent exhibition. Both men had two legs on the challenge cup, and as the winner was to have permanent possession of it, they put forth every effort, Palmer finally winning.

Anderson and Mollenhauer and Otto Hinck and S. H. Voshell easily came through to the final round in the doubles without having lost any sets. The upset of the event occurred in the final round, however, when Hinck and Voshell won in straight sets, $6 / 2,6 / 2$.

Miss Esther Smith won the ladies' singles, defeating Mrs. Aufermann in a good match in the final round, $6 / 2,6 / 4.6 / 2$.

Miss Marie Wagner easily won the challenge round, defeating Miss Smith, 6 2, 6/1.

The ladies' doubles were won by Miss Marie Wagner and Miss E. C. Bunce. In the final round they defeated Mrs. W. Aufermann and Miss Esther Smith, 6/1, 4/6, 6/1.

## MEN'S SINGLES.

Preliminary round-H. Mollenhauer d. J. M. Holcombe, 6/2, 6/4; H. C. Martin d. D. M. Moffatt by default ; L. O. Condit d. E. F. Leo by default; Chas. Dean d. R. B. Kebsey by default; B. M. Phillips d. Steele Du Bosque, 6/4, 6/ז; J. M. Hyde d. Clayton Du Bosque, 2/6, 6/r, 8/6; W. H. Hoskier d. E. A. Lowther by default; W. H. Baldwin d. J. S. Cushman by default; T. M. Day, 3d, d. J. A. H. Hopkins, 6/2, 6/3; R. P. Bennett d. Robert Shaw, $6 / 0,6 / 1$; J. G. Wills d. H. O'Connell, $6 / 1,6 / 3$; Alan Fox d. R. A. IIolden, Jr., by default; E. S. H. Pendergast d. M. 1I. Bissell, $6 / 1,6 / 1$; G. C. Burgwin d. A. M. Church by default; L. S. Freeman d. H. P. Banks, 6/o, 6/3; O. H. Riggs d. R. M. Banks, $6,2,6 / 3 ;$ S. H. Voshell d. Stuart Canby by default ; K. G. Stern d. C. H. Bissell, 6/4, 6/ı; C. F. Watson, Jr., d. H. Nickerson, 6/3, 6/ı; L. D. Woodbury d. W. S. Bowier, 6/2, 6/4.

First round-E. W. Peaslee d. S. Pitney, 6/1, 8/6; F. G. Anderson d. Alfred Maurey, $6 / 0,6 / 2$; G. S. Groesbeck d. J. W. Castles, 6/2, 6/3; Mollenhauer d. Martin by default; Condit d. Dean, 6/2, 6/1; Phillips d. Hyde, $3 / 6,6 / 3,6 / 2$; Baldwin d. Hoskier, 6/3, 6/1; Bennett d. Day, 6/2, $6 / 3$; Fox d. Willis, $7 / 5,3 / 6,6 / 2$; Pendergast d. Burgwin, 6/1, 6/3; Freeman d. Riggs, 6/3, 6/0; Voshell d. Stern, 6/o. 6/1; Watson d. Woodhury, 6/4, 6/3; J. R. Montgomery d. H. Rowe by default; G. C. Thomas, Jr., d. P. Tomlinson, $6 / 1,6 / 4 ; \mathrm{O} . \mathrm{H}$. Hinck d. F. M. Watrous by default.

Second round-Anderson d. Peaslee, 6/3, 6/4: Mollenhauer d. Groesbeck, $6 / 3,4 / 6,6 / 3$; Phillips d. Condit, $6 / 3,6 / 3$; Bennett d. Baldwin, $6 / 2$, 6/4; Pendergast d. Fox, 6/4, 6/4; Freeman d. Voshell, 6/3, 7/5; Watson d. Montgomery, 6/1, 6/8, 6/4; Thomas d. Hinck, 6/3, 6/1.

Third round-Anderson d. Mollenhauer, $6 / 2,3 / 6,7 / 5$; Phillips d. Bennett, $8 / 6,7 / 5$; Freeman d. Pendergast, 6/3, 6/2; Thomas d. Watson, 6/2, 4/6, 6/2.

Semi-final round-Anderson d. Phillips, 6/o, 6/3; Thomas d. Freeman, $6 / 3,6 / 0$.

Final round-F. G. Anderson d. G. C. Thomas, Jr., 6/3, 7/5, 6/4.
Challenge round-R. H. Palmer d. F. G. Anderson, 7/5, 6/3, 1/6, 8/6.

## MEN'S $\mathrm{DOUBLES}^{2}$

Preliminary round-C. and S. Du Bosque d. R. II. Palmer and H. C. Martin by default; R. P. Bennett and H. McCabe d. Alan Fox and W. B. Wadsworth by default; G. C. Thomas and P. Tomlinson d. L. D. Cooper and J. M. Forbes, $6 / 3,6 / 0$; J. R. Montgomery and G. C. Burgwin d. G. S. Groesbeck and E. W. Peaslee, $2 / 6,6 / 3,6 / 1$; O. H. Hinck and S. H. Voshell d. R. A. Holden and F. M. Watrous by default ; F. G. Anderson and H. Mollenhauer d. W. S. Bouirer and II. O'Connell, $6 / 1,6 / 4$; J. M. Hyde and J. W. Castle d. E. W. Peaslee and partner by default; E. S. H. Pendergast and L. S. Freeman d. C. F. Watson and W. A. Larned by default; W. S. Hoskier and L. H. Miller d. J. C. Martin and T. M. Day, 3d, 8/6, 3/6, 6/3.

First round-J. G. Willis and F. G. Glazebrook d. L. O. Condit and Partner by default; C. and S. Du Bosque d. R. D. Foote and H. Henriques, $6 / 2,6 / 0$; Bennett and McCabe d. Thomas and Tomlinson, 6/4, 7/5; Hinck and Voshell d. Montgomery and Burgwin, 6/1, 6/2; Anderson and Mollenhauer d. Hyde and Castle, 8/6, 6/2; Hoskier and Miller d. Pendergast and Freeman, 2/6, $7 / 5,9 / 7 ; 11$. P. and R. W. Banks d. S. Pitney and H. Mellon, 6/2, $6 / 1$; A. Maury and H. Ford, Jr., d. B. M. Phillips and partner by default.

Second round-C. and S . I) u Bosque d. Willis and Glazebrook, 4/6, $6 / \mathrm{r}, 6 / 3$; Hinck and Voshell d. Bennett and McCabe, 6/i, 6/2; Anderson and Mollenhauer d. Hoskier and Miller, $6 / 1,6 / 3$; H. P. and R. M. Banks d. Maury and Ford, 6/2, 6/1.

Semi-final round-Hinck and Voshell d. C. and S. Du Bosque, 6/1, 6/4; Anderson and Mollenhauer d. H. P. and R. M. Banks, 6/2, 6/t.

Final round-Hinck and Voshell d. Anderson and Mollenhauer, 6/2, 6/2.

## LADIES' SINGLES.

First round-Miss E. C. Bunce d. Mrs. J. A. H. Hopkins, 6/r, 6/2; Mrs. W. Nufermann (l. Miss Marjorie Pierson, 6 2, 6/2: Miss Eisther Smith d. Mrs. Mllan Cliurch, 6/o, 6/o.

Semi-final round-Mrs. Aufermann d. Miss Bunce, $6 / 3,6 / 4$; Miss Smith d. Miss Kissel, 6/1, 6/4.

Final round-Miss Smith d. Mrs. Aufermann, 6/2, 4/6, 6/2.
Challenge round-Miss Marie Wagner'd. Miss Esther Smith, 6/2, 6/r.

## LADIES' DOUBLES.

First round-Mrs. W. Aufermann and Miss E. Smith d. Miss M. Pierson and Miss Delmonico, 6/1, 6/o; Miss E. C. Bunce and Miss Wagner d. Miss R. Fiske and Miss A. Brinley, 6/1, 6/1.

Semi-final round-Mrs. Aufermann and Miss Smith d. Mrs. J. A. H. Hopkins and Mrs. Edward Lewis, 6/3, 6/1; Miss Bunce and Miss Wagner d. Miss I. Kissel and Miss Davis, 6/2, 6/2.

Final round-Miss E. C. Bunce and Miss Marie Wagner d. Mrs. W. Aufermann and Miss E. Smith, 6/r, $4 / 6,6 / 1$.

## MIXED DOUBLES.

First round-Mr. and Mrs. B. M. Phillips d. P. Tomlinson and partner by default; Mr. and Mrs. Eads Johnson d. Mr. and Mrs. J. A. H. Hopkins, 6/4, 6/2; L. Dunham and Mrs. Edgar d. S. H. Voshell and partner by default.

Semi-final round-Mr. and Mrs. Johnson d. Mr. and Mrs. Phillips, 8/6, 6/3; H. Mollenhauer and Miss Wagner d. L. Dunham and Mrs. Edgar, 8/6, 6/4.

Final round-Miss Marie Wagner and H. Mollenhauer d. Mr. and Mrs. Johnson, 6/1, 6/4.

## Arkansas State Championship.

IIarvey MacQuiston of Texas, champion of that State, won the first tennis championship of the State of Arkansas, held on October 3 and following days. He came through to the final round with consummate ease, where he met J. B. \doue. Jr., and defeated him in straight sets.

## MEN'S SINGLES.

Preliminary round-Thibault d. Simms, 6/2, 6/1; Munz d. Waggoner, 1/6, 6/1, 7/5; Campbell d. S. Mnore, 6/o, 2/6, 6/2; Webber d. Nye, 6/3, $6 / 4 ;$ Tharp d. Gracie, $6 / 3,6 / 2$; Payne d. Temple by default; Holland d R. McCain, 6/2, 6/1.

First round-Davis d. Hannay by default; MacQuiston d. Jordan, 6/r, $6 / 2$; Munz d. Simms, 6/3, 6/1; Campbell d. Webber, $6 / 2,6 / 8,6 / 4$; Pavne d. Tharp, $6 / 4,6 / 3$; Adoue d . Holland by default; Cunningham d. Webster, $5 / 7,6 / 1,6 / 4$; C. McCain d. Alexander by default.

Second round-MacQuiston d. Davis, 6/o, 6/o; Munz d. Campbell, 6/o, 6/ז; Adoue d. Payne, $5 / 7,6 / 3,6 / 3$; Cunningham d. C. McCain, 6/i, 6/3.

Semi-final round-MacQuiston d. Munz, $6 / 3,6 / 2,6 / 2$; Adoue d. Cunningham, 4/6, 6/3, 6/4, $1 / 6,6 / 3$.

Final round-MacQuiston d. Adoue, 6/3, 6/1, 6/2.

## MEN'S DOUBLES.

Preliminary round-Gracie and Thibault d. McCain and McCain, io/8, $2 / 6,6 / 2$; Moore and Jordan d. Temple and partner by default; Payne and Munz d. Tharp and Campbell, 6/1, 6/3; Webber and Webster d. Nye and Saunders, 6/2, 6/2.

First round-MacQuiston and Simms d. Davis and Waggoner by default; Gracie and Thibault d. Jordan and Moore, 4/6, 6/2, 6/2; Payne and Munz. d. Webster and Webber, 6/2, 6/ı; Cunningham and Hoiland d. Adoue and Green, 6/1, 6/2.

Semi-fnal round-MacQuiston and Simms d. Gracie and Thibault, 6/r, 6/2, 6/3; Payne and Munz d. Cunningham and Holland, 6/0, 8/0, 5/7, 6/o.

Final round-Payne and Munz d. MacQuiston and Simms, 8/6, 6/2, 5/7, 6/2.

## Illinois State Championship.

Walter T. Ilayes successfully defended his title of Illinois State Champion. The tournament, with sixty-four entries in the singles, was held on the courts of the Aztec Tennis Club, on July 9 and following days.

Albrecht Ludke had a hard match in the semi-final round with I'aul E. Gardner, whom he defeated by the score of $2 / 6,6 / 3,8 / 6,6 / 8,6 / 2$. Charles S. Peters won his semi-tinal match rather easily, defeating $R$. II. Burdick, $6 / 3,6 / 2,6 \mathrm{I}$. The final round, between Peters and Ludke, lasted five sets, Ludke finally winning by the score of $3 / 6,8 / 6,6 / 4,2 / 6$, $6 / 2$. Hayes had a hard fight in the challenge round, defeating Ludke, $4 / 6,7 / 5,7 / 5,7 / 5$. Ebor Morley and H. F. Helmholz won the doubles event, defeating Hayes and Peters, $8 / 6,6 / 3,3 / 6,6 / 3$, in the final round.

Miss Carrie B. Neely and Mrs. G. Edgar Barnes came through to the final round of the ladies' singles without the loss of a set. Miss Neely defeated Mrs. Barnes, 6 2, 6/2.

Miss Miriam Steever, the title holder, defeated Miss Neely, the challenger, in the challenge round, $9 / 7,6 / 1$.

Miss Steever and Miss Neely won the ladies' doubles, defeating Mrs. Barnes and Miss Mabel Lee, $6 / 3,6 / 4$, in the final round. The summaries:

## MEN'S SINGLES.

First round-Alex. Squair d. E. Oelsner, $9 / 7,6 / 2$; Roy Buttolph d. J. A. Linn, 6/3, 6/2; H. F. Prescott d. H. H; Whitman, by default; Paul E. Gardner d. S. G. Rosenberg, 6/o, 6/2; Robert D. Kellogg d. J. S. Counselman, $7 / 5,6 / 3$; H. F. Helmholz d. Stuart Canby, 6/1, 6/2; A.. L. Green d.
W. G. Warren, 8/6, 7/5; W. U. Knight d. A. H. Framheim, 6/o, 6/0; R. C. Harris d. John C. Neely, Jr., by default; James Norris d. R. Hattstardt, $8 / 6,5 / 7,6 / 3$; R. E. Foster d. C. Burlingame, 3/6, 8/6, 10/8; Albrecht Ludke d. Charles Miller, by default; C. N. Jolliff d. J. E. Ricker, Jr., 5/7, 6/2, 6/4; Robert P. Keith d. Harry Merrill, 6/1, 6/8, 6/3; J. J. Forstall d. F. J. H. Colfin, 6/2, 6/4; F. T. O'Brien d. Donald R. Richberg, $3 / 6,6 / 3,6 / 2$; William Wilkens d. H. C. Gifford, 5/7, 6/4, 6/4; John T. Bailey d. Carl B. Mueller, 6/4, 3/6, 6/2; Ebor L. Morley d. C. H. Hammond, 6/3, 6/2; Charles S. Peters d. R. J. Preston, 63, 6/o; A. E. Nash d. A. Hazlehurst, $6 / 2,7 / 5$; W. A. Scoville d. H. W. Baumgartner, 6/4, 3/6, $6 / 3$; W. S. Warfield d. P. W. Thomas, 6/o, 6/3; J. H. Jacobson d. N. C. Naylor, $6 / 2,6 / 3$; Nelson Peebles d. Dr. Hemninger, 6/2, 6/r; Will Johnston d. C. W. Morris, 6/2, 6/o; Frank Shefler d. E. T. Martner, 6/2, 6/2; S. C. Hancock d. Joseph T. Fales, by default; R. H. Burdick d. C. A. Torrey, 6/4, 6/3; Frank S. Weadley d. J. A. Roesch, 10/8, 4/6, 6/I; Clyde G. Stevens d. F. H. Montgomery, $6 / 4,6 / 4 ;$ F. A. Atkinson, Jr., d. L. H. Waidner, by default.

Second round-Squair d. Buttolph, 6 1, 7.5; Gardner d. Prescott, by default; Melmholz d. Kellogg, o 2, 64 ; Knight d. Green, 6/2, 1/6, 6/2; Norris d. Harris, 7 5. 6/2; Ludke d. Foster, 7 5, 6/3; Jolliff d. Keith, by default; Forstall d. O’Brien, 6, 1, 6,2 ; Bailey d. Wilkens, by default; Peters d. Morley, 6/r, 8/6; Nash d. Scoville, 6/3, 6/3; Warfield d. Jacobson, 6/4, 6/4; Peebles d. Johnston, 6/1, 6/3; Shefler d. Hancock, 3/6, 6/o, 6 3; Burdick d. Weadley, $5 / 7,61,6 / 0$ : Stevens d. Atkinson, 6/3, 3/6, 6/1.

Third round-Gardner d. Squair, $6 / 2,68.6 / 3$; Helmholz d. Knight, $6 / 3,6 / 4$; Ludke d. Norris, $6 / 4,5 / 7,6 / ; 2$ Forstall d. Jolliff, 6/1, 6/3; I'eters d. Bailey, $2 / 6,6 / 1,6 / 1$; Warfield d. Nash, $7 / 5,6 / 4$; Peebles d. Shefler, $6 / 3,6 / 1$; Burdick d. Stevens, 6/2, $6,3$.

Fourth round-Gardner d. Helmholz, 9, 7, 6/4; Ludke d. Forstall, 5/7, $6 / 4,7 / 5$; Peters d. Warfield, 6/0, 6/1; Burdick d. Peebles, 6/2, 6/4.

Semi-final round-Ludke d. Gardner, $26,6 / 3,8 / 6,6 / 8,6 / 2$; Peters d. Burdick, 6/3, 6/2, 6/1.

Final round-Ludke d. Charles S. Peters, 3/6, 8/6, 6/4, 2/6, 6/2.
Challenge round-Walter T. Hayes (holder) d. Albrecht Ludke (challenger), $46,75,7 / 5,7 / 5$.

## MEN'S DOLBLES.

First round-Framheim and Jacobson d. Hammond and Warren, 2/6, $7 / 5,6 / 4,4 / 6,6 / 2$; Richberg and Torrey d. Bailey and O'Brien, $5 / 7,5 / 7$, $6 / 4,6 / 4,8 / 6$; Squair and Shefler d. Naylor and partner, by default; Martner and Morris d. Beach and partner. by default; Peters and Hayes d. Scoville and Gross, $6 / 1,6 / 0,6 / 4$; Futterer and Hussy d. Ricker and Burger, by default; Ludke and Oelsner d. Cole and Parker, 6/3, 6/4, 6/2; Warfield and Gifford d. Johnston and partner, by default; Forstall and Peebles d. Linn and De Witt, 6/0, 6/2, 6 2; Keith and Montgomery d. Tolliff and Klaner, $1 / 6,0 / 6,6 / 4,7 / 5,7 / 5 ;$ Scudder and Whitman d. Worthington and partner, by default; Burdick and Harris d. Banks and Sullivan, $6 / 1,6 / 4,3 / 6,6 / 3$; Morley and Helmholz d. Hazlehurst and Stevens, 6/0, 6/2, 6/1; Prescott and Gardner d. Kelogg and partner, by default; Green and Burlingame d. Waidner and partner, by default; Neely and partner d. Sullivan and partner, by default.

Second round-Richberg and Torrey d. Framheim and Jacobson, 6/2, $6 / 0,6 / 1$; Squair and Shefler d. Martner and Morris, 6/3, 6/2, 6/4; Peters and Hayes d. Futterer and Hussy, by default; Ludke and Oelsner d. Warfield and Gifford, $3 / 6,6 / 4,6 / 2,7 / 5$; Forstall and Peebles d. Keith and Montgomery, $6 / 3,6 / 0,6 / 3$; Scudder and Whitman d. Burdick and Harris, $6 / 44 / 6,6 / 2,6 / 2$; Morley and Helmholz d. Prescott and Gardner, 10/8, $7 / 9,10 / 8,7 / 5$; Green and Burlingame $d$. Neely and partner, by default.

Third round-Richberg and Torrey d. Squair and Shefler, 6/2, 6/1, 6/4; Peters and Hayes d. Ludke and Oelsner, $6 / 8,3 / 6,8 / 6,6 / 2,6 / 1$; Scudder
and Whitman d. Forstall and Peebles. 7 5, -'5, 8.6 ; Morley and Helmholz d. Green and Burlingame, 6 3. 6/4, 6/2.

Semi-final round-Peters and llayes d. Richberg and Torrey, 6/2, 6/2, 6 2; Morley and Helmholz d. Scudder and Whitman, 5 7, 3/6, 7/5, $6 / 4,6 / 4$.

Final round-Morley and Helmholz d. Peters and Hayes, 8/6, 6/3, $36,6^{\prime} 3$.

## LADIES' SINGLES.

Preliminary round-Miss Katherine Waldo d. Miss Madeline Milliken, 6 2. 6/3; Miss L. Lewis d. Miss Lois Johnson, 7/5, 3/6, 6/I; Mrs. G. Edgar Barnes d. Mrs. Hayden Smith, 6/o, 6/o; Miss Edith Hinz d. Miss Juliet Austin, 6/2, 6/1; Miss Florence Beardsley d. Miss Genevieve Burnham, 6/3, 6/3; Miss Imanda Falker d. Miss Ililda T. Krekel, by default; Miss Carrie B. Neely d. Miss Miriam Elkan, 6/0, 6/0.

First round-Miss Waldo d. Miss Natalie Newell, 6/4, 6/1; Mrs. Barnes d. Miss Lewis, 6/1, 6/3; Miss Beardsley d. Miss Minz, 6/2, 6/3; Miss Neely d. Miss Falker, $6 / 1,6 / 1$.

Semi-final round-Mrs. Barnes d. Miss Waldo, $6 / 4,6 / 3$; Miss Neely d. Miss Beardsley, 6/2, 6/2.

Final round-Miss Neely d. Mrs. Barnes, 6/2, 6/2.
Challenge round-Miss Miriam Steever (holder) d. Miss Carrie B. Neely (challenger), $9 / 7,6 / 1$.

## Oklahoma State Championship.

On August 15, at Norman, Okla., the third annual State Championship hegan. The entry list of forty-four was a record-breaker. John T. Bailey won the singles, defeating Conger in the final round by the one-sided score of $6 / 2,6 / 1,6 / 0$. It was his third successive win of the State title. Partnered with Spiece, be won for the second time the doubles. The summaries:

## MEN'S SINGLES.

Preliminary round-Worl d. Owens by default; Wagner d. Clark, 6/2, 6/o; Robertson d. Long, 6/1, 6/1; Fleming d. Nichols by default; Alden d. Senter by default; Adoue d. Dickens, 6/o, 6/o; Hale d. Smith by default; Spiece d. Kendall, 6/o, 6/o; Maxwell d. Olentine by default; Taber d. O Connor, $6 / 3,6 / 1$; Nihart d. Cutlip by default: Stone d. Nelson, 6/1, 6/3.

First round-Bailey d. Robbins by default; Wollington d. Straley by default; Potter d. Wilfong, 6/2, 6/1; Will d. Huntington by default ; Shields d. Thomas, 6/2, 6/1; Wagner d. Worl, 6/0, 6/0; Robertson d. Fleming, 6/1, 6/1; Adoue d. Alden, 8/6, 3/6, 6/3: Spiece d. Hale, 6/2, 6/I; Taber d. Maxwell, 6/o, $7 / 5$; Nihart d. Stone, $6 / 1,6 / 2$; Shelton d. Thackeray, 6/0, 6/1; Conger d. Currie, 6/i, 6/1; Schwartz d. Liles, 6/1, 6/2; Southard d. Peters, 6/2, 6/0; Baird d. Perry by default.

Second round-Bailey d. Wollington, 6/0, 6/2; Potter d. Will, 6/3, 6/0; Wagner d. Shields, 6/2, 6/2; Adoue d. Robertson, 6/4, 6/4; Taber d. Spiece, $4 / 6,6 / 4,6 / 3$; Shelton $d$. Nihart, $6 / 4,6 / 4$; Conge, d. Schwartz, $6 / 0,6 / 1$; Southard d. Baird, 6/o, 6/1.

Third round-Bailey d. Potter, 6/2, 6/3; Adoue d. Wagner, 6/6 (default) ; Shelton d. Taber, 6/4, 3/6, 6/4; Conger d. Southard, 6/r, 6/1.

Semi-final round-Bailey d. Adoue, $6 / 3,7 / 5$; Conger d. Shelton, 6/r, 2/6, 6/4.

Final round-Bailey d. Conger, 6/2, 6/1, 6/o.

## MEN'S DOUBLES.

Preliminary round-Nelson and Jones d. Smith and Robinson by default; Worl and Kendall d. Olentine and Owens by default; Will and Hale d. Thomas and partner, 6/4, 6/2; Spiece and Bailey d. Baird and Henning

by default; Conger and Shields d. Dickens and Currie, 6/1, 6/1; Southard and Fleming d. Peters and Liles, 6/0, 6/3.

First round-Shelton and Adoue d. Alden and Schwartz, 6/2, 7/5; Stone and Wollington $d$. Thackeray and Long, 6/3, 6/4; Yeakel and Mayall d. Nelson and Jones by default; Worl and Kendall d. Will and Hale, 6/2, 6/3; Spiece and Bailey d. Conger and Shields, $8 / 10,6 / 4,6 / 4$; Southard and Fleming d. Brown and Huntington by default; Robertson and Nihart d. Potter and Maxwell, 6/0, $2 / 6,6 / 3$; Wagner and Taber d. O'Connor and Clark by default.

Second round-Shelton and Adoue d. Stone and Wollington, 6/2, 4/6, $6 / 1$; Worl and Kendall d. Yeakel and Mayall, 6/3, 2/6, 6/2; Spiece and Bailey d. Southard and Fleming, 6/4, 6/1.

Semi-final round-Shelton and Adoue d. Worl and Kendall, 6/2, 6/3 ; Spiece and Bailey d. Robertson and Nihart, 6/1, 6/2.

Final round-Spicce and Bailey d. Shelton and Adoue, 4/6, 9/7, 6/3, 6/4.

## Wisconsin State Championship.

A record-breaking entry took part in the twenty-third annual championship for Wisconsin, which began August 6 on the Town Club courts.

Larned, a namesake of the national champion, won the tournament and the title from R. J. Crozier in the challenge round. Paired with Forstall, whom he defeated in the final singles, Larned got away with the doubles also, the Helmholz brothers going down in the challenge round by a score of $5 / 7,6 / 3,8 / 6,7 / 5$. The summaries:

## MEN'S SINGLES.

Preliminary round-Forstall d. Eels, 6/o, 6/0; Swarts d. Naylor, 4/6, 6/o, 6/4; Neuman d. Yark, 6/2, 6/o; Miller d. C. Jenson, 6/3, 6/8, 6/2; Hamilton d. Spence, $6 / 8,6 / 2,6 / 2$; Whyte d. C. Paine, $6 / 1,7 / 5$; Tapping d. Washburn by default; Allis d. Taylor, 6/4, 6/3; Hunter d. Myers, $9 / 7$, $6 / 3$; O'Meara d. Sato, $6 / 4,6 / \mathrm{f}$; B. Paine d. Throne, $6 / 3,4 / 6,6 / 4$; Brett d. Ádams, $7 / 5,2 / 6,6 / 4$; Melmholz d. Herdegan, 6/1, 6/2; Green d. Brickhead, $6 / 2,6 / 4$; E. Benson d. O'Neill by defauit; Larned d. Hoffman, 6/o, 6/o; Hinckley d. Barr, 6/2, 3/6, 6/3; Friend d. Bigelow, 6/0, 6/2; Wilson d. Delaney, 6/o, 6/2; Seaman d. Mueller by default; O'Brien d. Clarkson by default; Maxon d. Mrollshagen, $6 / 2,6 / 2$; Chester d. Hales by default:

First round-Patterson d. Phelps, 6/1, 6/4; Gibbs d. West, 6/3, 6/3; Forstall d. Reber, $7 / 5,7 / 5$; Neuman d. Swarts, $7 / 5,3 / 6,7 / 5$; Hamilton d. Miller, $9 / 7,6 / 3$; Whyte d. Tapping, $4 / 6,6 / 4,6 / 3$; Hunter d. Allis, $5 / 7$, 7/5, 8/6; B. Paine d. O’Meara, 6/8, 8/6; Helmholz d. Brett, 6/2, 6/r ; Green d. E. Benson, 6/1, 6/3; Larned d. Hinckley, 6/1, 6/3; Friend d. Wilson, $6 / 2,6 / 4$; O’Brien d. Seaman, 6/3, 4/6, 8/6; Maxon d. Chester, 3/6, 6/3, $6 / 2$; McKim d. Strong by default; Riebs d. W. Tapping, 6/2, 6/3.

Second round-Gibbs d. Patterson, 7/9, 6/3, 7/5; Forstall d. Neuman, $6 / 1,6 / 1$; Whyte d. Hamilton, 7/5, 3/6, $9 / 7$; Hunter d. B. Paine, 6/1, 6/4; Helmholz d. Green, 4/6, 6/3, $9 / 7$; Larned d. Friend, $8 / 6,6 / 4$; O'Brien d. Maxon, 6/1, 6/1; McKim d. Riebs, 6/1, 6/3.

Third round-Forstall d. Gibbs, 6/3, 6/3; Hunter d. Whyte, 6/3, 6/2; Larned d. Helmholz, 6/2, $7 / 5$; McKim d. O’Brien, 4/6, 6/3, 7/5.

Semi-final round-Forstall d. Hunter, $6 / 0,6 / 1,6 / 2$; Larned d. McKim, $6 / 1,6 / 1,3 / 6,7 / 5$.

Final round-Larned d. Forstall, $1 / 6,7 / 5,6 / 3,5 / 7,6 / 2$.
Challenge round-Larned d. R. J. Crozier, $6 / 3,6 / 3,6 / 3$.
MEN'S DOUBLES.
Preliminary round-Wiesler brothers d. Myers and Wallis, 6/r, 6/4; Gibbs and Green d. Barr and Naylor, 6/3, 6/I; Watson and Hamilton d.

I;igelow and Wesler, $46,60,{ }^{6} 1$ : Hinckley and Jenson d. O'Neill and partner by default; Larned and Forstall d. O'Brien and Seaman, 6/2, 6/4; White and Reber d. Thorne and B. Paine, 6/4, 9/7; Maxon and Paine d. Patterson and partner by default: Friend and Fixen d. O'Mara and Neuman, 6/2, 6/3; McKim and McMynn d. West and Benson, 6/2, 10/8; Allis and Vogel d. Hoffman and Park by default; Hunter and Hunter d. Joliff and Mueller by default.

First round-Eels and Tapping d. Clarkson and partner by default; Gibbs and Green d. Wiesler brothers, 6/2, 6/3; Watson and Hamilton d. Hinckley and Jensen, 6/3, 6/1; Larned and Forstall d. Whyte and Reber, 6/2, 6/o; Friend and Fixen d. Maxon and Paine, 6/t, 6/0; McKim and McMynn d. Allis and Vogel, 6/2, 6/3; Ilunter and Hunter d. School and Wallace, 6/2, 6/1; Adams and Spence d. Taylor and partner by default.

Second round-Gibbs and Green d. Eels and Tapping, 7/5, 6/2; Forstall and Larned d. Watson and Hamilton, 6/2, 6/o; Friend and Fixen d. McKim and McMynn, 3/6, $7 / 5,14 / 12$; Adams and Spence d. Hunter and Hunter, $7 / 5,3 / 6,10 / 8,8 / 6$.

Semi-final round-Forstall and Larned d. Gibbs and Green, 6/1, 6/3, 6/4; Adams and Spence d. Friend and Fixen, 7/5, 3/6, 10/8, 8/6.

Final round-Forstall and Larned d. Adams and Spence, 6/3, 7/5, 6/1.
Challenge round-Forstall and Larned d. Helmholz and Helmholz, 5/7, $6 / 3,8 / 6,7 / 5$.
CONSOLATION.

Singles, final round-Scaman d. Eels by default.
Doubles, final round-U'Brien and Seaman won, $6 / 3,6 / 3$.

## Iowa State Championship.

The Golf and Country Club of Des Moines was the scene of the fifth annual championship of Iowa. Play began August 27.

It was generally conceded that this was the best Iowa State tournament that has ever been held. There were fifty entries in the singles and out of this list but three defaults. There were twenty-five pairs in the doubles. There were players from St. Louis, Omaha, Sioux City, Oklahoma, Texas, etc. Fred Bradley of Des Moines, Iowa, who won the 1909 championship in singles, and Messrs. Gilman and Carey, who won the championship in doubles, were again the winners. Cub Potter of Omaha was the challenger in singles, and Messrs. Bradley of Des Moines and Rogers of Hartford, Conn. were the challengers in doubles.

There are four courts at the Country Club, conceded to be the finest clay courts in the Middle West. The same committee has been elected for 1911 and the tournament this year is expected to be the biggest and best that has ever been held. The summaries:

## MEN'S SINGLES.

Preliminary round-Alden, Oklahoma, d. Stiger, Toledo, Ia., by default; Revick, Primghar, Ia., d. Cate, Sioux City, 6/1, 6/o; Dosh, Stuart, Ia., d. Lehman, Des Moines, 6/2, 6/2; Bryan, Des Moines, d. Marion, Des Moines, by default; Lynde, Des Moines, d. Jones, Des Moines, 6/3, 6/1; Shambaugh, Texas, d. Chambers, Des Moines, 6/2, 6/3; Ayer, Arizona, d. Wallace, Des Moines, 6/1, 6/2; McKee, Des Moines, d. St. Clair, Toledo, by default; L. Schoonover, Des Moines, d. Barrett, Des Moines, by default; C. Schoonover, Des Moines, d. Pratt, Des Moines, 6/2, 9/7; Hubbell, Des Moines, d. Linden. Des Moines, $4 / 6,6 / 2,6 / 2$; Gilman, Sioux City, d. Rayal, Des Moines, 6/2, 6/2; Kahler, Chicago, d. Ragsdale, Des Moines, by default; Rogers, Connecticut, d. Hunter, Des Moines, 4/6, 6/o, 8/6; Greene, Tama, Ia., d. Starrett, Des Moines, 6/1, 6/o; Harwood, Des Moines, d. Tipton, St. Louis, 4/6, 6/2, 6/2; Niver, Lu Verne, Ia., d. Welch, Knoxville, Ia., 6'. 5/7, 6/ı; Bailey, Oklahoma, d. Janse, Lu Verne, 6/o, 6/ı.

First round-Culbertson, Iowa City, Ia., d. Brooks, Des Moines, 6/o, 6/o; Sweet, Des Moines, d. Holbrook, Des Moines, 6/0, 6/r; Rendall, Des Moines, d. Shaw, Des Moines, 6/4, 6/2; Alden d. Revick, 6/1, 6/3; Dosh d. Bryan, 6/0, 6/o; Lynde d. Shambaugh, 0 3, 6/2; Myer d. Mckee, 6 , $6 / 3$; L. Schoonover d. C. Schoonover, 6/3, 6/1; Gilman d. Hubbell, 6/r, $6 / 2$; Rogers d. Kahler, 6/1, 8/6; Greene d. Harwood, 6/1, 6/2; Bailey d. Niver, 6/4, 6/4; Webster, Omaha, d. Snuggim, Des Moines, by default; Hospers, Orange City, Ia., d. McCreight, Des Moines, by default; Potter, Omaha, d. Connolly, Hes Moines, 6/o, 6/1; Young, Des Moines, d. Jamieson, Des Muines, 6/2, 6/1.

Second round-Sweet d. Culbertson, 6/1, 6/4; Alden d. Rendall, 5/7, 6/o, 6/3; Dosh d. Lynde, 6/2, 86 ; L. Schoonover d. Ayer, 6/4, 7/5; Gilman d. Rogers, 6/o, 6/ı; Bailey d. Greene, 6/3, 6/o; Webster d. Hospers, $6 / 3,6 / 2$; Yotter d. Young, 6/3, 6/o.

Third round-Sweet d. Alden, 6/1, 6/4; Dosh d. Schoonover, 6/2, 6/4; Gilman d. Bailey, 4/6, 10/8, 6/1; Potter d. Webster, 6/3, 6/o.

Semi-final round-Sweet d. Dosh, 6/3, 6/o, 6/0; Potter d. Gilman, 6/2, 7/5, 0/6, 6/4.

Final round-Potter d. Sweet, 2/6, 6/2, 6/3, 6/1.
Challenge round-Bradley d . Potter, $6 / 3,3 / 6,6 / 3,6 / 2$.

## MEN'S DOUBLES.

Preliminary round-Coggeshall and Stipp d. Coffee and Polk, 6/3, 6/4; Janse and Niver d. Jones and Chambers, 7/5, 6/3; Young and Harwood d. Henry and Henry by default; Rogers and Bradley d. Connolly and McCreight, 6/2, 6/3; Hospers and Linde d. Starratt and Hunter, 6/3, 6/3; Sweet and Dosh d. Holbrook and Shaw, 6/1, 6/1; Cate and Kahler d. Foster and Finkbine by default; Lehman and Pratt d. Hubbell and Tipton, $6 / 4,6 / 1$; Culbertson and Shambaugh d. Jamieson and McKee, 4/6, 6/0, 6/1. First round-Potter and Webster d. Schoonover brothers, $7 / 5,4 / 6,6 / 1$; Bryan and Welch d. Coggeshall and Stipp, $6 / 3,2 / 6,6 / 3$; Young and Harwood d. Janse and Niver, $6 / 2,3 / 6,6 / 4 ;$ Rogers and Bradley d. Hospers and Linde, 6/3, 10/8; Sweet and Dosh d. Cate and Kahler, 6/2, 6/1; Culbertson and Shambaugh d. Lehman and Pratt, 6/3, 6/3; Bailey and Alden d. Everett and Davis, 6/o, 6/o; Greene and Revick d. Brooks and Brooks by default.

Second round-Potter and Webster d. Bryan and Welch, 6/1, 6/2; Rogers and Bradley d. Young and Harwood, 6/3, 6/1; Sweet and Dosh d. Culbertson and Shambaugh, $12 / 10,5 / 7,6 / 2$; Bailey and Alden d. Greene and Revick, 6/3, 6/1.

Semi-final round-Rogers and Bradley d. Potter and Webster, 0/6, 7/5, 6/o, 8/6; Bailey and Alden d. Sweet and Dosh. 6/3, 6/4, 7/5.

Final round-Rogers and Bradley d. Bailey and Alden, 7/5, 6/3, 6/4.
Challenge round-Gilman and Carey d. Kogers and Bradley, 1/6, 9/7, $6 / 3,6 / 3$.

## CONSOLATION.

Doubles-final round-Starrett and Hunter d. Holbrook and Shaw, 6/3, 5/7, 6/3.

Singles, final round-Lehman d. Hunter, 6/4, 6/4.

## Washington State Championship.

S. L. Russell was the successful contender for the honors of the State Championship, which began on August 13 at Seattle. In a long five-set final match he took the measure of Walter Fitz, whom he had defeated a week previous in the Pacific Northwest event. Paired with his victim, he also won the doubles. They defeated, in the final, Johnston and Richard-

son in straight sets. Miss Hazel llotchkiss won the singles, the doubles, with. Mrs. Huiskamp, and, with Smith, the mixed event. The summaries:

## MEN'S SINGLES.

Preliminary round-Wildes d. Jukes by default; Richardson d. Huiskamp, 6/1, 6/0; Hart d. Kristiferson, 6/1, 6/1; Smith d. McMaster, 6/1, $6 / 1 ;$ Miller d. Poe by default; Fiset d. Tyler by default; Kelleher d. Fiske, $6 / 1,6 / 0 ;$ Pritchett d. G. Shannon, 6/1, 6/1; Lewis d. Rorabaugh, 6/2, 6/2; Kelton d. Breeze by default; Adams d. A. Pulford by default; Crawford d. Fowler, 6/1, 6/o; Judd d. Laizure, 6/4, 6/3; Johnston d. Perry, 6/4, 4/6, $6 / 4 ;$ C. Shannon d. Burwell, 6/2, 6/2; Batchelder d. Haight, $6 / 4,6 / 8,6 / 2$; Grabhorn d. W. A. P'eters, Jr., 6/3, 6/3; Sullivan d. S. Peters, 7/5, 6/3.

First round-Lyon d. N. H. Batchelder, $12 / 10,6 / 4$; Hughes d. S. Pulford by default; Russell d. Thompson, 6/2, 6/o; R. B. Batchelder d. Wildes by default; Richardson d. Hart, $4 / 6,6 / 2,6 / 1$; Smith d. Miller, 9/7, 6/0; Fiset d. Kelleher, 6/3, 4/6, 6/1; Lewis d. Pritchett, 4/6, 6/3, 6/2; Adams d. Kelton, 6/o, 6/o; Judd d. Crawford, 3/6, 6/3, 6/3; Johnston d. C. Shannon, 6/2, 7/5; Batchelder d. Grabhorn, 6/2, 4/6, 6/1; Heilbron d. Sullivan, $6 / 1,6 / 0$; Fitz d. Townsend, 6/2, 6/0; Erickson d. Gilliat by default; Marshall d. Paschall, 6/1, 6/1.

Second round-Lyon d. Hughes by default; Russell d. R. B. Batchelder, $6 / 1,6 / 2$; Richardson d. Smith, 4/6, 6/2, 6/1; Lewis d. Fiset, 6/4, 6/4; Judd d. Adams, $7 / 5,6 / 2$; Johnston d. N. O. Batchelder, 6/1, 6/2; Fitz d. Heilbron, 6/2, 6/3; Marshall d. Erickson by default.

Third round-Russell d. Lyon, 6/1, 6/3; Richardson d. Lewis, 6/0, 6/2; Judd d. Johnston, 3/6, 6/1, 10/8; Fitz d. Marshall, 7/5, 6/3.

Semi-final round-Russell d. Richardson, 6/4, $0 / 6,6 / 3$; Fitz d. Judd, 7/5, 6/3.

Final round-Russell d. Fitz, 4/6, 6/2, 7/9, 6/1, 6/3.

## MEN'S DOUBLES.

Preliminary round-Pritchett and Wildes d. Pulford and Pulford by default; Shannon and Shannon d. Haight and Strout, 6/2, 6/3; Lyon and Thompson d. Judd and Lewis, 6/2, 9, 7; Johnston and Kichardson d. Breeze and partner by default; Adams and Laizure d. Kelleher and S. Peters, $1 / 6,6 / 2,11 / 9 ;$ Huiskamp and Paschall d. Heilbron and W. $\Lambda$. Peters, 6/8, 6/3, 7/5.

First round-Fiset and Townsend d. Erickson and partner by default; Fitz and Russell d. Crawford and Whyte, 6/3, 6/4; Wildes and Pritchett d. Grabhorn and Perry, 6/4, 6/3; Shannon and Shannon d. Lyon and Thompson by default; Johnston and Richardson d. Adams and Laizure, $6 / 2,4 / 6,6 / 2$; Smith and Marshall d. Huiskamp and Paschall, 6/2, 6/0; liske and N. H. Batchelder won by default; Miller and Hart d. W. O. and R. B. Patchelder, 6/3, 6/4.

Second round-Fitz and Russell d. Fiset and Townsend, $6_{1,}$, 6/2; Wildes and Pritchett $d$. Shannon and Shannon, $6 / 3,6 / 3$; Johnston and Richardson d. Smith and Marshall, 2/6, 6/3, 7/5; Miller and Hart d. Fiske and N. H. Batchelder, 6/2, 6/4.

Semi-final round-Fitz and Russell d. Wildes and Pritchett, 6/1, 6/2; Johnston and Richardson d. Miller and Hart, 6/1, 5/7, 7/5.

Final round-Fitz and Russell d. Johnston and Richardson, 8/6, 6/3, 6/4.

## LADIES' SINGLES.

Preliminary round-Miss Allen d. Miss Thompson, 6/1, 6/3; Miss Pritchard d. Miss H. Landes, 6/2, 6/o; Mme. de Lobel-Mahy d. Miss Livingstone, $6 / 1,3 / 6,6 / 3$; Miss Miller d. Miss Barnum, 6/0, 6/2.

First round-Miss Downs d. Miss Erwin by default; Mrs. Huiskamp d. Miss Leadbetter by default; Miss Hotchkiss d. Miss Danner, 6/0, 6/1; Miss Allen d. Miss Pritchard, 6/o, 6/0; Mme. de Lobel-Mahy d. Miss

Miller, 6/2, 6/1; Miss Rogers d. Miss Loose, 6/1, 6/o; Miss M. Pitts f. Miss R. Danner, 6/o, 6/2; Mrs. Boole d. Miss C. Landes, 6/2, 6/3. Second round-Mrs. Huiskamp d. Miss Downs, 6/o, 6/o: Miss Hotchkiss d. Miss Allen, 6/r, 6/o; Mme. de Lobel-Mahy d. Miss Rogers; 6/8, $6 / 3,8 / 6 ;$ Miss Pitts d. Mrs. Boole, 6/0, 6/3.

Semi-final round-Miss Hotchkiss d. Mrs. Huiskamp, 6/o, 6/o; Miss M. Pitts d. Mme. de Lobel-Mahy, 6/o, 6/3.

Final round-Miss Hotchkiss d. Miss M. Pitts, 6/1, 6/1.

## MIXED DOC゙BLES.

First round-Miss Pitts and Mr. Crawford d. Miss Conner and Mr. Constantine, $6 / 3.6 / 8,6 / 1$; Miss Livingstone and Mr. Judd d. Miss Rogers and Dr. Rorabaugh, 6/1, 5/7, 8/6; Mme. de Lobel-Mahy and Mr. Russell d. Mr. Pulford and partner by default; Mrs. Genge and Mr. Whyte d. Miss Waterhouse and Mr. Townsend, $10 / 8,6 / 1$; Miss Motchkiss and Mr. Smith d. Miss Thompson and Mr. Lewis, 6/3, 6/2; Mrs. Huiskamp and Mr. Shannon d. Mr. S. Pulford and partner by default; Miss M. Pitts and Mr. Marshall d. Miss Erwin and Mr. C. Shannon, 6/2, 6/3.

Second round-Miss Allen and Mr. Thompson d. Miss Pitts and Mr. Crawford, 7/5, 6/4; Mme. de Lobel-Mahy and Mr. Russell d. Miss Livingstone and Mr. Judd, 6/3, 6/3; Miss Motchkiss and Mr. Smith d. Mrs. Genge and Mr. Whyte, $6 / 1,6 / 1$; Miss M. I'itts and Mr. Marshall d. Mrs. Huiskamp and Mr. Shannon, 6/2, 6/4.

Semi-final round Mme. de Lobel- Mahy and Mr. Russell d. Miss Allen and Mr. Thompson, $9 / 7,6 / 4$; Miss Hotchkiss and Mr. Smith d. Miss M. Pitts and Mr. Marshall, 6/1, 6/0.

Final round-Miss Motchkiss and Mr. Smith d. Mme. de Lobel-Mahy and Mr. Russell, 9/7, 6/+.

## I.ADIES' DOUBLES.

Preliminary round-The Misses Danner d. Miss Leadbetter and partner by default; Mme. de Lobel- Mahy and Miss Rogers d. Miss Livingstone and Miss Pitts, 6/4, 6/1; Miss Conner and Miss Stevenson d. Miss Miller and Miss Pritchard, 6/4, 6/1.

First round-Miss. Mhen and Miss Erwin d. Miss Strout and Miss Vath by default; Miss Hotchkiss and Miss Garret d. Misses Danner, 6/3, 6/2; Mme. de Lobel- Mahy and Miss Rogers d. Miss Conner and Miss Stevenson, $6 / 1,6 / 2$; Miss M. Pitts and Mrs. Genge d. Mrs. Boole and Miss Thompson by default.

Semi-final round- Miss Hotchkiss and Miss Garrett d. Miss Allen and Miss Erwin, 6/2, 6/4; Miss M. Pitts and Mrs. Genge d. Mme. de LobelMahy, 6/2, 6/3.

Final round-Miss Hotchkiss and Miss Garrett d. Miss M. Pitts and Mrs. Genge, $6 / 3,5 / 2,6 / 3$.

## Tennessee State Championship.

The tournament for the championship of the State of Tennessee, held on June 27 and following days, at the Knoxville Lawn Tennis Club, was won by Cowan Rodgers.

In the semi-final round Rodgers defeated E. V. Carter, 7/5, 1/6, 6/3, while LeRoy Cooper won from Edgar Whitesides by the score of $0 / 6$, 6/1, 6/2.

The final round, between Rodgers and Cooper, was a well contested affair, the former winning by the score of $7 / 5,3 / 6,6 / 8,6 / 2,6 / 1$.

Louis Hardy and Cooper won the doubles championship, defeating H . S. Chamberlain, Jr., and Eugene Thomason, 6/2, $6^{\prime} 0,4^{\prime} 6,6 / 4$, in the final round. The summaries:

## MEN'S SINGGES.

Preliminary round-Cowan Rodgers d. P. II. Cox, 6/o, 62; B. Annis, Jr., d. George Sausett, 6,61 F. C. Ellett d. W. Devault, 6. o, 7/5; Le Roy Cooper d. George C. Middlebrooks, 6, 2, 6/i.

First round-S. Chamberlain d. J. S. Van Gilder, 6/o, 6/o; E. V. Carter d. Ilal Marsh, 60,62 ; Charles Rodgers d. 1'. M. Ditts, $60,6 / o$; Robert Lowery d. (ieorge IDrew, 6 o, 6 1: Lewis IIardy d. John Ayres, 6/o, 6/0; Prof. W. 1). Mooney d. Nat Thornton, by default; W. C. Alexander d. D. C. Webb. $60,6 \mathrm{o}$; Cowan Rodgers d. Annis, 6/4, 6/3; Cooper d. Ellett, 6 4. 63 ; Saxton Crawford d. I). S. Ilenderson, 6/2, 6/2; Eugene Thomason d. P. Grant, by default; R. Y. Smith d. Fritz Jones, 6/o, 6/4; Edwin Whitesides d. M. I. Colton, by lefault; (.. P. Ilannay d. Charles Cowan, 6/4. 5 7, 62 : Hugh Tate d. Harvey Shaffer, 6/3, 6/3; Frank Van Gilder d. F. [I. Wingo, 6 1, 6/1.

Second round-Carter d. Chamberlain, $63,6 / 2$; Charles Rodgers $d$. Lowery, 7 5, 6 o: Hardy d. Mooney, 6 2, 6,1; Cowan Rodgers d. Nexander, $6 / 2,6{ }^{\prime} 2$; Cooper d. Crawford, 6 3, 9/7; Thomason d. Smith, 6, 3. $6 / 3$; Whitesides d. Tate, 62,60 ; Van (iilder d. Hannay, $6 \quad 3,68,6$ ' 3 .

Third round-Carter d. Clarles Rodgers, 64,6 3; Cowan Rodgers $d$. Hardy, 6 t. 16,61 ; ('ooper d. Thomas, $119,2^{\prime} 6,6,3$; Whitesides $d$. Van Gilder, $75,16,63$.

Semi-final romind-Rodgers d. Carter, $7 / 5,1 / 6,6 / 3$; Cooper d. Whitesides, $0 / 6,6 / 1,62$.

Final round-Rodgers d. Cooper, 75, 3 6, 6'8, 6/'2, 6/1.

## MEN'S DOUBLES.

First round-Jones and Mooney d. Colton and partner, by default; Annis and Whitesides d. Sausett and Marsh, $62,6.2$; Hardy and Cooper d. Cowan and Webb, 61,$75 ;$ Smitlı and Cox d. Tyson and Ayres, 6/4, $6 / 3$; Van Giller and Hamay d. Jones and Mooney, 6 o, 61 ; Chamberlain and Shaffer d. Thornton and Cirant, by default; Carter and Middlebrooks d. Ellett and Drew, 6/2, 6 2.

Second round-Hardy and Cooper d. Innis and Whitesides, 6/0, 6/1; Smith and Cox d. Rodgers and Van Gilder, $3,6,6+6,4$; Chamberlain and Thomason d. Alexander and Hannay, 6 1, 8, 6; Carter and Middlebrooks d. Lowery and Shaffer, 6. 0, 61.

Semi-final round-Hardy and Cooper d. Smith and Cox, 6/3, 6/3; Chamberlain and Thomason d. Carter and Middlebrooks, 7 5, 8/6, 7/5.

Final round-Hardy and Cooper d. Chamberlain and Thomason, $6 \frac{1}{2}$, $6 / 0,4 / 6,6 / 4$.


[^1]
## Miscellaneous Tournaments

## Metropolitan Championship.

What was probably the greatest collection of tennis stars that ever played in a tournament around New lork was that enrolled in the entry list of the Metropolitan Championship, which was held for the first time on turf courts, at the new grounds of the West Side Tennis Club, near Van Cortlandt Park. Not for many years had New lork temnis enthusiasts the opportunity of watching the performances of the national champion, William A. Larned, but because of his numerous friends in the club, he was finally prevailed upon to take part in the tournament. R. D. Little and F. B. Alexander were among the competitors, the latter playing in his only singles tournament of the season, and besides such men as C. R. Gardner, T. R. Pell and G. F. Touchard, the occasion called forth the reappearance of the veterans, E. P. Fischer and Ross Burchard, both ex-Metropolitan champions, and E. W. Leonard.

Play began on June 18 and fortunately the draw was very even. Form prevailed throughout the first round, all the men mentioned winning their preliminary matches quite easily. In the second round T. R. Pell disposed of his very formidable antagonist, W. B. Cragin, Jr., for the first time in three meetings. He accomplished the feat quite easily, however, in straight sets, winning at $6 / 3,6 / 0$. In the third round Leonard and Fischer came together. Neither man was in particularly good condition, but Leonard lasting better than his famous opponent, won the match at $4 / 6,6 / 4,6 / 3$. Gardner won an easy match from Wylie C. Grant on the adjoining court, and Touchard had his hands full in disposing of W. M. Hall. The former's troublesome path was continued, when, in his next match, Bull all but beat him in three sets. Gardner's victim was R. A. Holden, Jr., who made but little headway against him, and Ross Burchard outplayed E. W. Leonard in the final set of their match. The match of the round was that between Pell and Little. Pell was, for him, remarkably steady, while on the other hand, Little wasted his efforts in a hopeless endeavor to strike his gait. This he failed to accomplish and, largely because of his rival's errors, Pell was enabled to win in straight sets, 6/4, 6/3.

The one bad upset came in the following round when Touchard quite unexpectedly put Alexander out of the running. For almost two sets Alexander outclassed his young opponent, but carelessness when within a point of victory, cost him the match. Due to his almost total lack of practice he slumped badly. His return of service was very weak, while he just managed to hold his own delivery. At io-all Touchard broke through Alexander's service and won his own for the set. The third set was very one-sided. Alexander, badly off, continued to go down hill, and principally by simply getting the hall back and allowing Alexander to make errors, Touchard won the set, 6/2.

Up to the time of the semi-final round nobody had succeeded in even extending Champion Larned, but when he faced C. R. Gardner there was a different story to tell. The latter, apparently realizing that he had everything to gain, started in with a rush, and almost before the enormous crowd of spectators realized what was happening, had jumped into a lead of $5 / 2$. During that time of the match he came into the net on every opportunity, and his volleying was really remarkable. Larned could do very little with it, and in his few attempts to gain the net, was passed by an accurate, fast chop. It was at that point in the match that an accident to Larned marred what every indication pointed to be the best of the tournament. In an attempt to reach a lob which Gardner sent away over his
head, Larned's knee gave way and he drew up lame. At the time not everr ne realized the extent of his injury, but it was not long after that it was obvious serious trouble had befallen him. The mishap had its effect on Gardner, and his game slowed up perceptibly, but finally, at 6 -all, he braced and ran out the following two games for the first set of the match. Larned continued after a brief rest, but confined his work entirely to base line play. In this for a while he was not successful, and Gardner ran the score up to 3/1. From then on the games alternated. At 7 -ail Larned, by clever driving, succeeded in breaking Gardner's service, and followed it by taking his own, the last point on a service ace. The third set was close, but with Larned always having a little the better of it. The accident seemed to affect Gardner more than it did Larıed, and, for that reason, his game slumped badly. The final score was $6 / 8,9 / 7,6 / 3$.

In the meantime Touchard had succeeded in disposing of Pell in straight sets, thereby gaining his place in the final round. Owing to Larned's injury this match was postponed for over three weeks, and, when it was played, it was a most one-sided affair. Larned had in the meantime completely recovered and played a wonderful game, while on the other hand Touchard played considerably below his own standard. In the three sets the latter succeeded in winning but two games, and it was a disappointed crowd who left the court after having expected to witness a match that would at least approach a contest. The summaries:

## MEN'S SINGLES.

First round-C. Leonard d. W. L. Pate, $6 / 1,6 / 4$; S. Johnson d. J. Condon, 8/6, $11 / 9$; F. H. Gates d. G. Bodman, 8/6, 4/6, 6/4; H. Homans d. W. H. Connell, by default; H. F. Hartman d. N. Rae, by default; E. P. Fischer d. A. S. Runyon, 6/2, 6/4; 11. M. Watrous d. M. Johnson, $6 / 2,6 / 3$; E. W. Leonard d. F. P. Fox, 6/3, 6/o; F. C. Inman d. J. M. Steinacher, by default; A. S. Cragin d. C. G. Hill, 6/o, 6 3; R. Burchard d. N. G. Johnson, $6 / 2,6 / 3 ;$ O. Ostendorf d. N. C. Stevens, by default; C. R. Gardner d. C. Easton, $6 / 1,6 / 1 ; W$. Kosenbaum d. C. Cragin, 4/6, $6 / 0,6 / 1$; D. E. Roberts d. H. Tallant, by default; W. C. Grant d. W. M. Washburn, 8/10, defaulted; H. B. Bulkley d. F. W. Crocker, 6/4, 8/6; R. A. Holden, Jr., d. A. A. Dederer, $6 / 0,6 / 2$; E. C. Moen d. R. H. Eggers, by default: A. Bassford, Jr., d. G. G. Brinckerhoff, $5 / 1,6 / 1$; T. Slidell d. L. Sneckler, by default; W. D. Bourne d. A. Smith, $5 / 7,6 / 4,6 / 4$; M. S. Charlock d. J. H. Kyte, 6/4, 6/2; G. M. Church d. L. E. Hatzfeld, $9 / 7,6 / 1$; J. C. Tomlinson d. E. L. Blumenschein, $6 / 0,6 / 4$; W. V. Bennett d. S. Van Rensselaer, $6 / 2,6 / 2$; W. S. Slade d. H. N. Balch, 6/2, 6/0; E. A. Gunther d. H. C. Martin, by default; P. Martin d. P. B. Hawk, by default; F. B. Alexander d. S. W. Merrihew, $6 / 2,6 / 1$; C. G. Plimpton d. H. H. Knight, $6 / 2,6 / 2$; E. A. Lowther d. T. Townsend, by default; F. B. Roundey d. J. S. Cushman, by default; G. F. Touchard d. T. E. Tomlinson, $1 / 6,6 / 0,6 / 0 ;$ W. M. Hall d. H. Hildt, by default; M. S. Clark d. F. B. Doubleday, $9 / 7,6 / 3$; C. M. Bull, Jr., d. S. H. Voshell, $1 / 6,6 / 2,6 / \mathrm{s}$; L. J. Dreyfuss d. E. C. Alden, $6 / 1,6 / 4 ;$ R. H. Palmer d. J. S. Billings, by default; K. Smith d. H. C. Pritchard, by default; H. S. Mellick d. H. M. More, $6 / 0,6 / 3$; N. H. Bundy d. S. Homans, by default; G. A. L. Dionne d. A. W. Warren, $6 / 3,7 / 5$; D. W. Peiser d. J. Batten, $3 / 6,6 / 3,6 / 3$; T. R. Pell d. R. Taylor, $6 / 3,4 / 6,6 / 2 ; W$. B. Cragin, Jr., d. L. M. Burt, 3/6, $6 / 1,6 / 4$; H. S. Gray d. K. H. Behr, by default; B. M. Phillips d. F. B. Fuller, $3 / 6,6 / 3,7 / 5$; R. Baggs d. J. C. Neely, Jr., by default; G. O. Wagner d. E. C'. Conlin, by default; F. C. Baggs d. O. C. A. Child, 6/2, $6 / 2$; P. Foerster d. A. Scheffer, $3 / 6,6 / 3,6 / 1$.

Second round-H. B. Chater d. P. E. Mead, by default; W. A. Larned d. G. S. Groesbeck, 6/o, 6/1; Leonard d. Johnson, 6/2, 6/3; Gates d. Homans, $3 / 6,6 / 2,6 / 1$; Fischer d. Hartman, $6 / 3,6 / 1$; Leonard d. Watrous, $8 / 6,6 / 3$; Inman d. Cragin, $6 / 4,6 /$; ; Burchard d. Ostendorf, by default; Gardner d. Rosenbaum, 6/1, 6/3; Grant d. Roberts, 6/1, 6/4; Holden d.

Bulkley, 6/ı, 6/1; Bassford d. Moen, 3/6, 6/3, 6/o; Slidell d. Bourne, 6/1, 6/0; Church d. Charlock, 6/2, 7/5; Tomlinson d. Bennett, 8/6, 1/6, 7/5; Slade d. Gunther, $6 / 3,0 / 6,6 / 2$; Alexander d. Martin, $6 / 0,6 / 1$; Plimpton d. Lowther, 6/2, 6/2; Touchard d. Roundey, 6/o, 8/6; Hall d. Clark, 6/4, 6/1; Bull d. Dreyfuss, 8/6, defaulted; Smith d. Palmer, by default; Bundy d. Mellick, 7/5, 6/o; Dionne d. Peiser, 5/7, 3/o, defaulted; Pell d. Cragin, 6/3, 6/o; Phillips d. Gray, 6/2, $7 / 5$; Wagner d. Baggs, 6/2, $6 / 1$; Baggs d. Foerster, $5 / 7,6 / 3,6 / 4$; L. E. Mahan d. W. Cunningham, $6 / 3,6 / 3$; G. C. Shafer d. J. M. Mitchell, by default; R. D. Little d. A. L. Newton, 6/2, 6/1; C. F. Watson, Jr., d. E. F. Leo, 6/2, 6/o.

Third round-Larned d. Chater, 6/o, 6/o; Leonard d. Gates, 6/4, 6/0; Leonard d. Fischer, 4/6, 6/4, 6/3; Burchard d. Inman, 7/5, 6/3; Gardner d. Grant, $6 / 3,6 / 4$; Holden d. Bassford, 6/3, 6/2; Church d. Slidell, 6/1, $6 / 4$; Tomlinson d. Slade, 6/o, 7/5; Alexander d. Plimpton, 6/2, 6/3; Touchard d. Hall, 6/4, $9 / 7$; Bull d. Smith, 3/6, 6/r, $6 / 4$; Bundy d. Dionne, $6 / 4,7 / 5$; Pell d. Phillips, 6/0, 6/3; Wagner d. Baggs, by default; Mahan d. Shafer, by default; Little d. Watson, 8/6, 6/r.

Fourth round-Larned d. Leonard, 6/o, 6/2; Burchard d. Leonard, 7/5, $0 / 6,6 / 1$; Gardner d. Holden, 6/3, 6/0; Church d. Tomlinson, 8/6, 6/3; Touchard d. Alexander, $2^{\prime / 6}, 12 / \mathrm{ro} 6 /$,2 ; Bull d. Bundy, o/6, 6/3, 6/4; Pell d. Wagner, 6/2, 6/2; Little d. Mahan, ro/8, 6/4.

Fifth round-Larned d. Burchard, 6/1, 6/1; Gardner d. Church, 6/2, 6/o; Touchard d. Bull, 6/2, 4/6, 6/3; Pell d. Little, 6/3, 6/4.

Semi-final round-Larned d. Gardner, 6/8, 9/7, 6/3; Touchard d. Pell, $6 / 2,8 / 6$.

Final round-Larned d. Touchard, 6/0, 6/1, 6/1.
A good many defaults marred the doubles entry, but fortunately these did not include any very strong team. In the first round, what was probably the best match was that played between Cragin and Hall and the Leonard brothers, E. W. and C. The former team won in a very even three-set match. Touchard and Gardner dropped the second set of their match with C. F. Watson, Jr., and F. DeRham, but won the third easily at 6/o. In the second round Hackett and Alexander had no difficulty in disposing of the Washburn brothers, and Grant and Pell took the measure of O. H. Hinck and II. Torrance, Jr., in a very well played match. The surprise of the day came with the defeat of Touchard and Gardner by Cragin and Hall. After coming within a point of winning in the third set, the former team allowed the Seventh Regiment combination to tie the score at 5 -all, and the latter finally won the set at $12 / 10$. For the second time in the season the Crescent pair, Bull and Martin, got away with the first set of their match with Hackett and Alexander, but the National title-holders swamped their opponents in the second and third, losing but a game in each. The remaining teams to come through were Grant and Pell, Cragin and Hall, and E. P. Fischer and R. D. Little. Cragin and Hall defaulted their match in the semi-final to Little and Fischer, refusing to begin their match after having played two rounds earlier in the day, but in the remaining semi-final Hackett and Alexander outclassed Grant and Pell in the deciding set of their match.

The final was played before the largest gallery of the week, on Monday, June 27. The champions made short work of the first set, but went a bit off in the second, just squeezing through by a score of $7 / 5$. In the third, after losing the first few games, they made but little effort to cut down the lead held by Fischer and Little, with the result that the latter pair took the set at $6 / 3$. In the fourth, however, Hackett and Alexander started with a rush and by hard hitting and few errors had things much their own way. The summaries:

## MEN'S DOUBLES.

First round-Dederer and Easton d. Hartman and Brinckerhoff, 7/5, 9/7; Bull and Martin d. West and Sand, 6/1, 6/2; Roundy and Hatzfeld d.
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Ehrich and partner, by default; Plimpton and Palmer d. Charlock and partner, by default; Voshell and F. C. Baggs d. Fox and M. S. Clarke, by default: Homans and partner d. Watrous and Holden, by default; Rosenbaum and Clark d. Newton and Bourne, by default; Mahan and Tallant d. Slidell and Johnson, by default; Gates and Hill d. Groesbeck and Blumenschein, $810,6 / 2,10,8$; Leonard and Leonard d. Fuller and Newcombe, $6 / 2,6$ ' 1 .

Second round-Grant and Pell d. Steinacher and Parkes, 6/1, 7/5; Torrance and Hincke d. Condon and Trask, by default; Leo and Phillips d. Inman and Wagner, $3,6,6 / 1,3,5$, defaulted; Smith and Cragin d. Mead and Lovibond, by defanlt; Hackett and Alexander d. Conlin and French, 6/1, $6 / 2$; Washburn and Washburn d. Dederer and Easton, 6/3, 6/3; Bull and Martin d. Roundy and Matzfeld, 6 1. 6/0; Voshell and Baggs d. Plimpton and Palmer, 9 7, $6 / 4$; Rosenbaum and Clark d. Homans and partner, by default; Mahan and Tallant d. Gates and Hill, by default; Cragin and Hall d. Leonard and Leonard, 3 6, $6,3,6 / 4$; Touchard and Gardner d. Watson and partner, $6+26,60$; Taylor and Johnson d. Balch and Martin, $6 / 3,6+;$ Slade and Bodman d . Tomlinson and Taylor, by default; Burt and Warden d. Peiser and R. Baggs, 6 3, 6/3; Fischer and Little d. Foerster and partner, by default.

Third round-Grant and Pell d. Torrance and Hincke, 6/r, 11/9; Smith and Cragin d. Leo and Phillips, 6/2, 6/2; Hackett and Alexander d. Washburn and Washburn, $6^{\prime} 1,6 / 1$; Bull and Martin d. Voshell and Baggs, $2 / 6,60,6$ 3; Rosenbaum and Clark. d. Mahan and Tallant, 7/5, 6/4; Cragin and llall d. Touchard and Gardner, $5,7,8$ 6, 12, 10; Taylor and Johnson d. Slade and Bodman, 6 4, 6. 2; Fischer and Little d. Burt and Warden, 6/2, 6/3.

Fourth round-Grant and Pell d. Smith and Cragin, 6/2, 8/6; Hackett and Alexander d. Bull and Martin, 3/6, 6/1, 6/r; Cragin and Hall d. Rosenbaum and Clark, 5/7, 6/o, 6/4; Fischer and Little d. Taylor and Johnson, 6/4, 6/3.

Semi-final round-Hackett and Alexander d. Grant and Pell, 6/2, 4/6, $6 / 1$; Fischer and Little d. Cragin and Hall, by default.

Final round-Hackett and Alexander d. Fischer and Little, 6/r, 7/5, 3/6, 6/2.

## Annual Seabright Invitation Tournament.

The usual small but high-class entry list was drawn for the annual Seabright invitation tournament which was begun on July in at the Seabright Lawn Tennis and Cricket Club. W. J. Clothier, the successful defender of 1909, was forced through illness to default, and for the second time N. W. Niles came through the tournament.

In the first round of singles several defaults upset the calculations of the committee in charge, but of the matches played the best was that in which C. R. Gardner took the measure of L. E. Mahan. The feat was not accomplished without difficulty, Mahan winning the first set, $10 / 8$, and leading at $4 / 3$ in the second. Gardner, however, won that and the following set easily at $6 / 2$. In the same round $R$. D. Little outclassed F. F. DeRham, and T. R. Pell won a good contest from R. A. Holden, Jr. A. S. Dabney and C. F. Watson, Jr., were the remaining winners, each disposing of his opponent in straight sets.

It required three sets to decide all of the second round matches. Touchard, after getting all the worst of his set with Gardner in the first half, won because of the latter's unaccountable slump, and Pell duplicated his performance in the Metropolitan tournament, where he defeated Little in a fast three-set match. In the bottom section Dabney won rather easily from Watson, and Niles had all the better of the argument with his old rival, W. F. Johnson. The semi-final brought together Touchard and Pell, and Niles and Dabney. In his match with Touchard, Pell never had a
chance, but Dabney came within two points of disposing of his doubles partner. Always an erratic player, Dabney had one of his good days, and had not over-anxiety gotten the better of him, he might easily have won his match, for on each occasion, when being within two points, he was given the opportunity for an easy kill.

The final, which was played on Saturday, was a long-winded affair between Touchard and Niles. The first two sets were divided, but Niles won the third only after having twice been within a point of letting it go. The score of that set was $12 / 10$. After a much-needed and lengthy rest he again appeared on the court and had little difficulty in winning the fourth set at $6 / 3$.

Eight teams took part in the doubles, principal among them being the national champions, Hackett and Alexander. In their first match they faced E. W. Leonard and C. R. Gardner. The first set went to them, 6/4; but the second they lost, $10 / 8$. In the third, by a phenomenal burst of speed on Alexander's part, they hopelessly outdistanced Leonard and Gardner, winning at $6 / 1$. The closest match of the round was that between G. L. Wrenn and W. A. Larned and Niles and Dabney. All three sets went to 7/5. Pell and B. S. Prentice made short work of Holden and Watrous, but another match, in which the deciding set required twelve games, was that in which Little and K. H. Behr put W. F. Johnson and L. E. Mahan out of the running. In the semi-final round Wrenn and Larned defeated Pell and Prentice, $6 / 2,2 / 6,6 / 2$, and Hackett and Alexander, when put to the test, proved far too strong for Little and Behr.

By far the largest crowd which attended the tournament turned out for the doubles final, and their hopes of a close contest were fully realized. The first two sets were divided each at $6 / 3$, and the test came in the third. Neither team could gain much advantage until 7 -all was reached, at which point Wrenn was made the target of the title-holders' shots. Larned was left severely alone during that time of play, and Wrenn proved unequal to the task forced upon him. The rest following the set did much for Alexander, who, all through had been playing a dashing game which had weakened him in no small degree. Getting into the play again with a reckless disregard for safety, he outshone his opponents and relieved his partner of a great part of the work. The score of the fourth set was $6 / 2$. The summaries:

## MEN'S SINGLES.

First round-G. F. Touchard d. H. A. Plummer, by default; G. Gardner d. L. E. Mahan, $8 / 10,6 / 4,6 / 2$; R. D. Little d. F. F. de Rham, 6/0, 6/3; T. R. Pell d. R. A. Holden, Jr., $4 / 6,6 / 1,6 / 4 ;$ A. Dabney d. B. S. Pren' tice, $6 / 2,7 / 5$; C. F. Watson, Jr., d. H. W. Slocum, 6/4, 7/5; W. F. Tohnson d. G. P. Gardner, Jr., by default; N. C. Niles d. H. K. Behr, by default.

Second round-Touchard d. C. Gardner, $1 / 6,6 / 3,6 / 2 \circ$; Pell d. Little, $6 / 4,5 / 7,6 / 4 ;$ Dabney d. Watson, 2/6, 6/3, 6/3; Niles d. Johnson, 6/2, $6 / 8,6 / 2$.

Semi-final round-Touchard d. Pell, 6/3, 6/2; Niles d. Dabney, 6/8, $6 / 3,7 / 5$.

Final round-Niles d. Touchard, 6/1, 3/6, 12/io, 6/3.

## ¿ MEN'S DOU'BLES.

First round-G. L. Wrenn and W. A. Larned d. N. W. Niles and A. S. Dabney, $7 / 5,5 / 7,7 / 5 ;$ T. R. Pell and B. S. Prentice d. R. A. Holden, Jr., and F. M. Watrous, 6/1, 6/3; R. D. Little and K. H. Behr d. W. F. Johnson and L. E. Mahan, 6/3, 2/6, 7/5; H. H. Hackett and F. B. Alexander d. E. W. Leonard and C. Gardner, 6/4, 8/io, 6/i.

Semi-final round-Wrenn and Larned d. Pell and Prentice, 6/2, 2/6, 6/2; Alexander and Hackett d. Little and Behr, 6/1, 5/7, 6/2.

Final round-Hackett and Alexander d. Wrenn and Larned, 6/3, 3/6, $0 / 7.6 / 2$.

## Westchester Invitation Doubles.

Harold H. Hackett and Fred. B. Alexander, the national doubles champions, won the round robin invitation tournament at the Westchester Country Club, Westchester, N. 1., which started on July 5 and ended July 9. There was, of course, some brilliant temnis, as nearly all of the teams entered were composed of leading players of the country.

In their first maich, which was probably the best of the tournament, Hackett and Alexander lost a set to R. D. Little and Edgar W. Leonard. They won the first at love, but eased up in the second, while Little and Leonard improved rapidly, taking the set at $6 / 4$. The third set was intensely fast and interesting. Little and Leonard got a lead of $5 / 4$ and were wittin two points of the match at the critical point, but were unable to win. Hackett and Alexander won this set at $7 / 5$.
R. Fincke and C. F. Watson defeated the Chicago champions, L. H. Waidner and Paul E. Gardner, after a hard fight in the first set, io, 8. They won the second easier, however, the score being $6 / 3$;

On Wednesday Hackett and Alexander easily defeated Waidner and Gardner at $6 / 2,6 / 3$. The western men played well, but were completely outclassed. Watson and Fincke defeated Little and Leonard at 6/4, 7/5.. The match was a good one, but Little and Leonard, after the first day's play, fell off considerably for the rest of the tournament, not scoring a single victory. Waidner and Gardner spemed to liave little difficulty in defeating Little and Leonard by the score of $6 / 1,6 / 4$.

On Thursday N. Niles and A. S. Dabney defeated Waidner and Gardner in an excellent two-set match. Both the sets went to deuce before the former team was able to win, the final score being $9 / 7,7 / 5$. Hackett and Alexarder defeated Watson and Fincke, 6/3, 6/3. The latter team played well, but were unable to cope with the champions when points were needed most. In the afternoon Niles and labney won from Fincke and Watson, $6 / 3,9 / 7$. The second set was bitterly contested, but Niles and Dabney proved superior to their opponents.

On Friday the only match played was between Niles and Dabney and Little and Leonard. The first set was well played up to $6 / 6$, but at this point Little and Leonard made a good many errors, thus losing at $8 / 6$. The second set was easily won by Niles and Dabney, the score being $6 / 3$.
Hackett and Alexander and Niles and Dabney faced each other. on Saturday. Neither team had lost a match and this caused the Bostonians to work from start to finish. Hackett and Alexander won the first set at $6 / 2$, but they eased up a little in the second, while Niles and Dabney improved. This resulted in some pretty play, the latter team forcing the champions to an $8 / 6$ set befcre the match was won. The standing at the end of the tournament was as follows:

|  | Won. | Lost. |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| H. H. Hackett and F. B. Alexander. | ${ }^{4}$ | 0 |
| N. Niles and . $^{\text {. S. Dabr }}$ | ${ }^{3}$ | 1 |
| L. H. Waidner and P. E. Gard | . | 3 |
| R. D. Little and E. W. Leonard | - | 4 |

## Englewood Tournament.

Carlton R. Gardmer, the Californian, had a rather easy time in the singles event of the Englewood tournament, defeating Charles M. Bull, Jr., in the final round. Bull, after defeating Karl Behr, played a strong game. He lost the first set to Gardner at a score of $6 / 4$. In the second, however, he played much better, losing at $9 / 7$, after having been within one point of winning.

1, D. S. Montgomery, Vancouver; 2, Brandt Wickersham, Portland; 3, E. N. Gilliat, Vancouver; 4, S. L. Russell, Seattle; 5. Joe Tyler, Spokane; 6, F. H. V. Andrews, Portland; 7, J. Jukes, Vancouver; 8, Fred Ewing, Portland; 9, Seth
Richards, Spokane; 10, Ralph Gorrill, Portland; 11, B. P. Schwengers, Victoria; 12, Pritchett, Everett; 13, E. Cave-Browne-Cave, Vancouver, Secretary; 14, W. A. Wildes, Everett; 15, J. W. Cambie, Victoria; 16, Walter Fitz, Seattle;
PARTICIPANTS IN THE INTERNATIONAL TOURNAMENT AT SPOKANE. 1910.

The most intercsting match of the tournament was the semi-final between Gardner and Fischer. The first set was very fast and both men won their services up to eight-all. Gardner broke through at this point and won the set at ro, 8 . In the second set Fischer was not quite so steady and Gardner wen at $6 / 3$.

The other semi-final was quite a surprise. In this match Charles M. Bull, Jr., defeated Karl Behr, the winner of the trophy last year, in straight sets. Bull played a brilliant game, but liehr was rather erratic throughout the match. The score was $6 / 3,9 / 1$.

There were six defaults in the first round of the singles, namely, G. F. Touchard, Seymore Johnson, Edgar Len, F. F. De Rham, P. Martin and I. B. Hawk.

In the doubles event Hackett and Gardner had an easy time up to the final match, when they met the intermationalists, Karl Behr and R. D. Little. In the semi-finals Hackett and Gardner met Bull and Church, whom they defeated by a score of $6 / 3,62$. As this was played just after Bull's match with Behr, he was rather tired, and Church was very erratic. This resulted in Hackett and Gardner having their own way from beginning to end. In the other semi-final Behr and Little defeated Watson and De Rham. They were slow to start, Watson and De Rham taking a lead of four-love, but the internationalists soon "braced up" and won the set, $6 / 4$. The second set was an easy one for Rehr and Little, as their opponents only got one game. Thus, the match went to Behr and Little at the score of $6 / 4,6 / 1$.

The final match was a wonderful exhibition of fast tennis from start to finish. It was started on Thursday aftermoon, just after the semi-finals. As all four players were on the tops of their games, and, as they had to stop on account of darkness, the day ended with honors even. llackett and Gardner won the first set at a score of 64 , while Behr and Little won the second at $6 / 2$. The ma*ch was not resumed until the following Monday. As the players were still at their best, the match was remarkably fast. Hackett and Gardner won the first set at $11 / 9$. The next went to Behr and Little, at 75 . In the last set, however, Hackett's skill as a doubles player and Gardner's steadiness won the set for them at a score of $6 / 4$.

In the ladies' singles Miss Hammond defeated Miss Smith in the semifinals, reaching the final round without having lost a set. In this round Mrs. Chapman defeated Mrs. Weaver in a brilliant match, 6/4. $6^{\prime} 3$.

In the doubles Mrs. Chapman and Miss Hammond defeated Mrs. Iufferman and Miss Smith, 6/4, 6/1.

Miss Mammond and R. D. Little defeated Mrs. Aufferman and Gardner in the finals of the mixed doubles, $7 / 5,6 / 3$, after an interesting match.

## Championship of Philadelphia District.

The seventeenth annual championship opened September io, on the courts of the Belmont Cricket Club. There was an entry list of sixty-four players in the singles, nearly all of whom were Pennsylvania men. A great many of these, however, were players of class, among them being the Rev. H. J. Rendall, title holder; Wallace Johnson, Dr. E. B. Dewhurst, J. R. Carpenter, Jr., W. H. Trotter, II. Biddle and W. M. Tilden.

In the fourth round Dewhurst defeated Biddle, in a well played match, by a score of $4 / 6,6 / 4,6 / 4$. Both men played well and Dewhurst showed flashes of his old-time form, not only in this match but in the next round, when he was defeated by W. M. Tilden. Tilden took the first set at $5 / 3$, but Dewhurst won the second set, 6/1, by his hard drives and clever headwork. In the third set Dewhurst was unable to stand the pace and Tilden won, 6/3.

In the semi-final round Wallace Johnson had little trouble with Tilden,
defeating him in straight sets，6，0， 6 I ．W．11．Trotter defeated C．C． Willits in this round in a rather interesting three－set match，2／6， $0 / 1,0 / 4$ ．

The final round，letween Jolmson and Trotter，was rather one－sided，as Johnson outclassed his opponent，winning at the score of $6 / 1,6 / 2,0 / 1$ ． The light was poor and this seemed to bother Tilden．

The challenge round match was a very good one，in spite of the threaten－ ing weather．Rendall took the irst set at 6 3，but Johnson did not seen to be quite up to his form．In the second set，however，both men played well and Johnson won， 80 ．The third and fourth sets were won by Johnson， 64 ，and 62 ．Kendall had slackened up in these sets，however， but Johnson seemed to improve．

In the doubles Tilden and Thayer defeated Dewhurst and Carpenter in the finals．They played well．defeating their opponents，7，5，3，6，6 3， 62 ．

In the semi－final round lewhurst and Carpenter defeated Biddle and Gibbons， $6 / 2,6$ 2，while Tilden and $\lambda$ ．Thayer defeated Evans and E． Thayer， $6 / 4,9.7$ ．The summaries：

## MEN゙S SIN゙GLES．

First round－S．M．Peacock 1．J．II．Fawcitt，6／3，6／2：E．T．Catlett d． W．W．I！lancke， 6,63 ；F．I）．snyder d．F．（i．Anderson，by default； M．L．llarris d．B．Bright，by default．

Second round－1．I）．Thayer d．A．E．Kennedy，Jr．，7／5，6，3；G．H． Wattles d．M．E．Evans，$+6,6$ 1， 8 6；C．L．Elliott d．C＂．Travis，6／2， 6,4 ；E．N゙．Matsinger d．L．N．Colfelt， $6,1,0 / 2$ ；J．T．Thayer d．A．E． Kennedy， 8 6，6／2；11．M．Tilden d．E．B．Foist，$\epsilon / 1,6$ 1：II．G．Godfrey d．Duke Munyon， $6,2,61 ;$ H．M．Tilden d．E．B．Moore，3／6，6／3，6／I； A．K．Atkin d．S．lField，Jr．，t． 61 ；P．W．Gibbens d．W．H．White， 6／1，6／1；T．M．Martin d．．T．Simmons， 6 1， 5 7． 97 ；W．H．Trotter d．W．W．Fox， $6^{\prime} 2,6$ ；W．F．Johnson d．J．R．Carpenter，Jr．，6 2，6，3； Dr．E．B．Dewhurst d．E．Thayer， 6 ＋． 119 ：L．S．Oliver d．C．C．Rut－ ledge， 6 1． 6 3：C．C．Van Horn d．（．P．Smith，6／4，6．4；II．G．Smith d． J．C．Reifsnyder， 63,63 ：I1．Biddle d．E．Townsend， 62,63 ；C．C． Gordon d．E．R．Hill， 6 t， 6 4；H．W．Page d．O．D．Johnston，6／4，5／7， 6／o；W．E．Harper d．Leon W．Slack，by default；L．B．Brown d．S． Scoville，Ji，by default；I1．A．Furness d．A．D．Ferris，6／4，6／2；J．M． Wistar d．W．Heisler，by default；E．M．Sheppard d．E．C．Hall，6／4， 6／o；E．W．Palmer d．A．Kirkland，by default；C．C．Willits d．W．Irish， by default；E．Stokes d．Harvey I＇．Lake，by default；W．F．Reeve d．J． W．Forney， 4 ， $6 / 4,6 \mathrm{3}$ ；R．M．Brookfield d．C．A．Benner，6／4，6／3； E．T．Catlett d．F．13．Snyder，6．3，3，6，6， 4.

Third rcund－II．W．Page d．A．E．Thayer，3／6，6／3，7／5；E．T．Catlett d．E．M．Sheppard， $63,6+$ i W．F．Johnson d．W．R．Harper，6／0，6／1； Dr．E．B．Dewhurst d．Dr．H．J．Godfrey， $6 / 3,6 / 3$ ；H．Biddle d．I．M． Wistar， $62,6 / 3$ ；W．M．Tilden d．L．S．Oliver， $7 / 5,3 / 6,6 / 3$ ；C．C． Willits d．E．Stokes， $6 / 1,6 \mathrm{I}$ ；J．T．Thayer d．T．II．Martin，4／6，iI／9， $16 / 3 ;$ H．M．Tilden d．C．O．Eiliott， $6 / 2,6 / 3$ ；W．II．Trotter d．G．H． Wattles， $6 / 2,6 / 1 ;$ L．B．Brown d．L．Furness， $8 / 6,3 / 6,6 / 0$ ；C．C．Van Horn d．S．M．Peacock， 6 4． 61 A．K．Aitken d．E．W．Palmer，6／3， $4 / 6,8 / 6$ ；P．W．Gibbons d．H．G．Smith， $6 / 2,6 / 1 ;$ C．C．Willets d．I．C． Thayer， $6 / 2,6 / 3$ ；W．F．Recve d．C．C．Gordon，6／4，4／6，6／1．

Fourth round－H．M．Tilden d．L．B．Brown，6／2，6／3；E．B．Dewhurst d．Biddle， $\mathrm{t}^{\prime} 6.6,4,6 / 4$ ：A．K．Aitken d．C．C．Van Horn，3／6，6／o，6／2； W．H．Trotter d．Paul W．Gibbons， $7 / 5,3 / 6,6 / 4$ ；W．F．Reeve，3d d．R． N．Brookfield，6／4，6／4；C．C．Willits d．J．C．Thayer，6／2，6／3．

Fifth round－W．F．Johnson d．H．M．Tilden， $7 / 5,6 / 2$ ；W．M．Tilden d．Dr．E．B．Dewhurst，6／3， $1 / 6,6 / 3$ ：W．H．Trotter d．A．K．Aitkin． 1／6，12／10，6／o；C．C．Willits d．W．F．Reeve．6／2，2／6，6／3．

Semi－final round－W．H．Trotter d．C．C．Willits， $2 / 6,6 / 1,6 / 4$ ；W．F． Johnson d．W．M．Tilden， $6 / 0,6 / 1$ ．

Final round－W．F．Johnson d．W．H．Trotter，6／1，6／2，6／1．

## MEN'S DOUBLES.

First round-G. P. Smith and Palmer d. M. L. Marris and D. H. G. Godfrey, $60,6 / 1 ;$ A. K. . Litken and F. Townsend d. D. Munyon and J. Chadbourne, $6 \frac{3}{3}, 6 / 3$; J. Jamison and Barbour d. W. B. Harper and partner, by default; J. C. Bell and 1. 13. Nills d. E. Field and Suyder, 6 2, $6 / 2 ;$ A. L. Iloskins and E. M. Sheppard d. L. W. Colfelt and Bosbyshcll, $6 / 3,6.4$; II. Biddle and I'. W. (iibbons d. E. C. Hall and W. F. Reeve, 3 d, 63,61 ; E. W. Palmer and G. P. Smith d. M. L. Harris and H. G. Godfrey, $60,6 / \mathrm{I}$; M. E. Evans and C. L. Elliott d. S. M. Peacock and F. T. Thomas, 6 2, 63.

Second round-J. C. Pell and A. B. Mills d. R. B. Jamison and C. E. Rarbour, 6 4. 6 4; R. Evans, Jr., and E. Thayer d. . . E. Kennedy, Sr., and . . E. Kennedy, Jr., 2 6, 61,6 ; Dewhurst and Carpenter d. Van Horn and Peacock, $6 / \% .64:$ W. H. Trotter and J. S. Thayer d. C. C. Willits and T. II. Martin, $7 / 5,75: \mathrm{H}$. M. Tilden and . T. Thayer d. W. M. Tilden and E. Dixon, 6 t, 62 ; Biddle and Gibbons d. ILoskins and Sheppard, $6,2,6,4$ Dewhurst and Carpenter d. Smith and Palmer, 6/4, $6 / 4$; Evans and Elliott d. Gordon and White, by default; Trotter and J. Thayer d. Ditkin and Townsend 7/5, 7 5: Catlett and Page d. E. B. Moore and Humphrey, 64.75 ; Wood and Rowland d. Johnston and Garrett, 36,6 4. 62.

Third round-1)ewhurst and Carpenter d. Evans and Elliott, 6/4, 6/3; Tilden and Thayer d. Page and Catlett, $60,6 / 4$; Biddle and Gibbons $\mathrm{d}_{\text {, }}$ Rowland and Wood, $6 /+, 75:$ II. M. Tilden and A. Thayer d. Trotteı and I. T. Thayer, $2 / 6,6 / 3,60$.

Semi-final round-lewhurst and Carpenter d. Biddle and Cibbons, 6/2, 6,'z; Tilden and . . Thayer d. Evans and E. Thayer, 6/4. 9/7.

Final round-Flerbert M. Tikden and I. D. Thayer d. Dr. E. B. Dewhurst and J. R. Carpenter, Jr., $75,36,6 / 3,6 / 2$.

## Central Championship Tournament.

The Central Championship tournament, scheduled for July 4, at St. Louis, Mo., was held on the sixteen clay courts of the St. Louis Amateur Athletic Association. The weather conditions made it impossible to start for three days, however, as it rained steadily. Owing to the energetic work of Robert M. Wilson, chairman of the tennis committee, the singles were finished at the end of the week, only holding over the final of the doubles for the following week.

Charles S. Peters had a rather easy time in the semi-final round, defeating Osthaus by the score of $6 / 2,7 / 5,6 / 3$. In this round Drummond Jones defeated Roland Hoerr, $6 / 3,3 / 6,6 / 3,6 / 3$.

Jones and Peters had a hard, close match in the final round, both men being in excellent form and both playing brilliantly. Jones won the first two sets. $7 / 5.7 / 5$, but Peters managed to capture the next two, $6 / 3,6 / 3$. In the fifth set Jones got a lead of $4^{\prime} ı$, but Peters braced up and evened the score at four-all. Both men played hard from then on, the score running up to eight-all. Jones proved the steadier of the two, however, by taking the next two games, thus winning the set and match, at $10 / 8$.

In the semi-final round of the doubles Jones and Peters had a rather easy match against Wilson and More, winuing at $6 / 2,6 / 4,6 / 3$. Gamble and Oliver had a harder battle against Hoerr and Smithers, whom they defeated in a five-set match, $6 / 1,6 / 4,4 / 6,3 / 6,6 / 0$.

The final round was a wonderful match to the spectators. Ail four men played well, Jones and Peters finally outpointing their opponents, Gamble and Oliver. The tennis was fast and at times brilliant, the final score being $9 / 7,2 / 6,6 / 4,4 / 6,6 / 4$. The summaries:

C. L. JOHNSTON, JR., Dartmouth Champion.

P. W. LLOYD, Jr.,

Winner Allegheny County Tournament.

C. M. BULL, JR.,

Conqueror of McLoughlin in New York State Tournament.


FRED H. HARRIS,
Defeated by Johnston in Final of Lake George Championship.

## MEN'S SINGLES.

Preliminary round-Joseph Dickson d. C. P. Hatfield, 10/8, 6/2; G. W. Oliver d. A. II. Burg, $6 / 2,6 / 4$; C. S. Peters d. F. J. Thorburn, 6/4, 6/3; A. H. Scribner d. R. A. Kerwin, 6/o, $6 / 1$; R. M. Hoerr d. James Westberry, 6/o, 6/r; Gus. Serrano d. C. G. Johnston, 7/5, 6/4.

First round-Fudge Van Riper d. E. C. Adkins, by default; Sid Overall d. George Randall, $6 / 2,6 / 2$; Leo Osthaus d. C. D. I'. Hamilton, 1o/8, 9/7; E. Catlin, Jr., d. 1. R. Cullinmore, by default; Mell Smithers d. H. Albert, $4 / 6,6 / 2,6 / 1$; W. S. Bascom d. Ed. Serrano, by default; Dickson d. W. E. Greenwood, $6 / 1,3 / 6,6 / 3$; Peters d. Oliver, $6 / 2,4,6,7 / 5$; Hoerr d. Scribner, $7 / 5,4 / 6,62$; Gus. Serrano d. L. E. More, by default; 11. C. Holthaus d. C. Young, $6 / 4,6 / 3$; Andrew Whittemore d. M. McPherson, by default: I). Jones d. W. Crunden, $1,1,62$; H. Sheehan d. A. Brown, by default; H. Small d. T. P. Gleeson, 6/1, 6/2; H. C. Carr d. J. T. Bailey, by default.

Second round-Overall d. Van Riper, 6'4, 6,'o: Osthaus d. Catlin, by default; Smithers d. Bascom, 7 5, 6/2; I'eters d. Dickson, 7/5, 6/3; Hoerr d. Serrano, 6/1, 75 ; Whittemore d. IIolthaus, by default: Jones d. Sheehan, 6/1, 6/2; Small d. Carr, 6/2, 6/4.

Third round-Osthaus d. Overall, 8/6, 6/4: I'eters d. Smithers, 6/o, 6/4; Hoerr d. Whittemore, by default; Jones d. Small, 6/2, 6/2.

Semi-final round-p'eters d. Osthaus, $62,75,6^{\prime} 3$; Jones $d$. Hoerr, 6/3, $3 / 6,6 / 3,6 / 3$.

Final round-Jones d. I'eters, $7 / 5,7 / 5,36,4,6,10 / 8$.

## MEN'S DOU'BLES.

Preliminary round-Crunden and Overall d. Prown and White, By default; Gamble and Oliver d. Gleeson and ITercules, 6/4, 7/5, 6/3.

First round-Whittemore and Anderson d. Scribner and Young, by defanlt; Jones and Peters d. Holthaus and partner, by default; Wilson and More d..Brockmeyer and Brockmeyer, 6/3, 6/3; Small and Holthaus d. Randall and Adkins, $62,3 / 6,61$; Gamble and Oliver d. Crunden and Overall, $7 / 5,6 / 3$; Van Riper and lickson d. Serrano and Serrano, by default; Thorburn and Janis d. Mascom and Johanning, 3/6, 6/4, 6/4; Hoerr and Smithers d. Greenwood and Burg, by default.

Second round-Jones and Peters d. Whittemore and Anderson, by default: Wilson and More $d$. Small and Holthaus, 6/3, 2/6, 2/6: Gamble and Oliver d. V'an Riper and Dickson, $6 / 4,6 / 4$; Hoerr and Smithers $d$. Thorburn and Janis, 7/5, 6/4.

Serni-final round-Jones and Peters d. Wilson and More, 6/2, 6/4, 6/3; Gamble and Oliver d. Hoerr and Smithers, 6/1, 6/4, 4/6, 3/6, 6/o.

Final round-Jones and Peters d. Gamble and Oliver, $9 / 7,2 / 6,6 / 4$, 4/6, 6/4.

## Northeastern Pennsylvania Championship.

The erratic course of F. C. Inman's tennis season received another check when George $A$. Lyon of I'ittshurg defeated him in the final of the Northeastern P'ennsylvania Championships, played at Scranton in September. Inman was under the disadvantage of having previously played and lasted for only two sets. Juring that time he outclassed Lyon, but the latter stuck to his task and finally pulled through a winner by the score of $3 / 6,1 / 6,6 / 4,8 / 6,6 / 3$.
G. A. paired with B. V; V. Lyon in the doubles and again proved a stumbling block to Inman's aspirations. The latter was partnered with G. F. Parrish, but the Lyons won in straight sets. The summaries:

## MEN'S SINGLES.

Preliminary round-A. Sword d. William Halstead, 6/2, 6/ı; D. Markle d. J. C. Hoagland, Jr., by default; IJ. Dowd d. II. Lawrence, by default;
A. Markle, Jr.. d. G. F. Reynolds, by default; E. C. Kelly d. Walter Bennett. $60,6,2$; G. C. Shafer d. R. K. Clarke, $6 / 1,6 / 2$; D. Johnston d. Allan Osborne, by default: R. B. McClave d. W. N. Thompson, 6 3, $6+$; Charles Bartlett d. D. Lamb, 7 5, 7/5: R. Van Maur d. R. McDonald, $6 / 1,6 / 2 ;$ W. Wicks d. Y. Lyon, $36,6 / 1,6$ 3: Wm. McCreath d. E. S. Sanderson, 6/1, 6 z: D. Moffatt d. Mifred Hart, 6 1, 6 i; S. P. Williams d. Wm. Johnson, 6 4, 6/o; E. Míarkle d. T. S. Atherton, 6 4, 6/3.

First round-(ilazebrook d. Sword, 6 1, 6/2: ['eet d. D. Markle, 6 3. 6/4; II. Dowd d. I). Belin, by default: F. C. Inman d. I. Markle, Jr., $6 / 0,6 / 4$; E. C. Kelly d. 1). Kirkpatrick, 75. 6 +i (i. C. Shafer d. F. J. Linen, $6 / 4,6 / 1 ;$. W. Dillings d. I). Johnston, o 0,$60 ; \mathrm{R}$. B. McClave d. George Sanderson, Jr., 6, 3, 61: Charles Bartlett d. F. Parrish, by default: I). Mathey d. K. Van Maur, 6/2, 7 5; W. Wicks d. E. O'Boyle, 6/o, 6/1; W'm. McCreath d. Paul Sterling, by default: I). Moffatt d. A. H. Crane. $75,7 / 5 ; \mathrm{S}$. P. Williams d. Nlfied Hare, 6 o, 119 ; G. Lyon d. E. Markle, 62,62 ; A. L. Watson d. W. F. Koch, 6/4, 6/ı.

Second round-Glazebrook d. Peet, by default; Inman d. Dowd, 6/1, 6/1; Shafer d. Kelly, 6/r, 6 2; Mcelave d. Billings, 6 1, 6 3; Bartlett d. Mathey, by default; Mc(reath d. Wicks, G1, $\mathrm{Ge}_{1 \text {; Williams d. Moffatt, }}$ $6 / 2,6 / 2$; G. Lyon d. Watson, 6/2, 6, 1.

Third round-Inman d. Glazebrook, $7 / 5,26,63$ : Shafer d. McClave, 6/t, 6/2; McCreath 1. Bartlett, 6 o, 6 3: G. Lyon d. Williams, 6/1, $6 / 1$.

Semi-final round-Inman d. Shafer, $62,6 / \ddagger$; G. Lyon d. McCreath, $6 / 3,6 / 0$.

Final round- (i. Lyon d. It man, 3 6, 1 6, 6 ' + , 8 ' $6,6 / 3$.

## M1.N゙S IOOLBLES.

Preliminary round- Tronks and McClave d. Clark and Van Maur, 6/3, 1/6, 6 2; Belin and Johnston d. Williams and IIart, 6/t, 2/6, 6/3; D. Markle and Sword d. Watson and Moffatt, 6 3, 6 o: Wicks and Thompson d. Hare and Fuller, $36,75,63$ : Mathey and McCreath d. McDonald and Kirkpatrick, 6/2, 6 3: Mallstead and Koch 1. Hedford and Darte, $6 / 3: 4 / 6,6 \geq$.

First round-Inman and Parrish d. Irooks and McClave, 3 6, 6/4, 6/4: Shafer and Linen d. Belin and Johnston, 6 I, 6/4;1). Markle and Sword d. Peet and Sterling, by default: Wicks and Thompson d. Crane and Billings, 6/2, 6 2; Glazebrook and Kelly d. A. Markle and E. Markle, 6/4, 7/9, 6/2: Lyon and Lyon d. Dowd and Pennett. 6/2, 6/1; Mathey and McCreath d. Lamb and Stherton, 6 t, 6/o; Bartlett and Sanderson d. Mallstead and Koch, 6 3, 6/3.

Second round-Inman and Parrish d. Shafer and Linen, 6/3, 6; 3 ; D. Markle and Sword d. Wicks and Thompson, by default; Lyon and Lyon d. Glazebrook and Kelly, 6/4. 10/8: Bartlett and Sanderson d. Mathey and McCreath, by default.

Semi-final round-Inman and Parrislı d. D. Markle and Sword, 6/4, 6/4; Lyon and Lyon d. Bartlett and Sanderson, 6/0, 6/1.

## L. 1 DIES' SIN゙GLES.

Preliminary round-Miss J. Storrs d. Miss Jenks, 6/1, 6/1; Miss Franklin d. Mrs. Watson, 6 '2, $5,6,6 / 1$; Miss Sinn d. Miss Blair, 6.3. 6/o; Miss Cresswell d. Miss Brodhead, 6/3, 6/3.

First round-Miss J. Storrs d. Miss Franklin, 6/3, 6/4; Miss Dent d. Miss Conrad, $3 / 6,6 / 4,6 / 2$; Miss F. Smith d. Miss Markle, 6/3, 6/1; Miss Rumsey d. Miss Stillman, by default; Miss Sinclair d. Mrs. Lyon, $6 / 2,6 / 5$; Miss Barker d. Miss Woodin, 6/2, 6/4; Miss Cresswell d. Miss Sinn, 6/1, 6/3; Miss E. Storrs d. Miss Ehret, 6/4, 3/6, 8/6.

Second round-Miss J. Storrs d. Miss Dent, $6 / 3,6 / 2$ : Miss Smith d. Miss Rumsey, 6/4, 3/6, 60 : Miss Barker d. Miss Sinclair, 6/3, 6/2; Miss Cresswell d. Miss E. Storrs, 6/3, 3/7, 6/2.

Semi-final round-Miss J. Storrs d. Miss Smith, 6/4, 6/5; Miss (resswell d. Miss Barker, 6/2, 6/1.

Final round-Miss Cresswell d. Miss J. Storrs, 3/6, 6/4, 6/2.

## L.ADIES' DOU'BLES.

Preliminary round-Miss Lickson and Miss J. Storrs d. Miss Sinclair and Miss Woodin. $61,6,1$; Miss Cresswell and Miss Ehret d. Miss Rumsey and Miss Brodhead, $6 / 1,5 / 6,6,2$.

First round-Miss smith and Miss E. Storrs d. Miss Dickson and Miss J. Storrs, 65,65 ; Miss lBarker and Miss Mair d. Miss Pitkin and Miss Franklin, 6 3, 56,64 ; Miss Conrad and Miss Markle d. Mrs. Lyon and Mrs. Boies, $36,6 / 1,64$ : Miss Cresswell and Miss Ehret d. Miss Dent and Miss Jenks, $6 / 0,63$.

Semi-final round-Miss Smith and Miss E. Storrs d. Miss Barker and Miss Blair, 6 2, 6 r; Miss C'resswell and Miss Elıret d. Miss Conrad and Miss Markle, G o. 6 I .

Final round-Miss Cresswell and Miss Ehret d. Miss Smith and Miss E. Storrs, 3/2, i) 3, 62.

## Western New York Championship.

Nat W. Niles won the Western New York championship, defeating Wallace Johnson in the challenge round. The tournament was held on the courts of the Park Club at Buffalo during the week of August 29.

Niles and Hodge came together in the final round. and it must be said that Ilodge did very well against the Lostonian in the second set. The score was $6 \mathrm{I}, 10,8,6 \mathrm{I}$.

In the challenge round Niles defeated Johnson in a brilliant four-set match. Johnson started out by taking the first set, 6 4. but Niles took the next three, $75,6,2,75$. Both men played well, but Niles was the steadier, which fact accounts for his victory.

Baird and Hodge won the doubles evcnt, defeating Jones and Iloerr in a hard battle in the final round.

Miss Lois Moyes of Toronto won the ladies' singles in surprisingly easy style. She did not lose a set throughout the tournament and was never even forced to extend herself. The summaries:

## MEN'S SIN゙GLES.

Preliminary round-W. L. McDougal d. Brown, 6/4, 6/3; Large d. J. W. Wheeler, $2,6,6 / 2,7 / 5$; Hoerr d. Pooley, 6/4, 6, 3 ; Bowen d. Babcock, $6 / 3,3 / 6, \epsilon 3$; Johnson d. II. E. McDougal, 6/2, $6 \geq$; Bryant d. Albro, $4 / 6,7 / 5,6 / 2$; Donaldson d. Hausauer, $63,2 / 6,7 / 5$ : Spaulding d. Becker, $61,6 / 3$; Wilhelm d. Wick, 63,64 : C. Cutler d. Tracy, 6/4, 64 ; C. I). Jones d. H. Jones, 6 4. 63 ; S. Ilodge d. II. N. Robinson, 6/2, 6, 3; R. Stafford d. Semon, $3 / 6,6 / 3,6+$; Kirkover d. F. H. Bird, 6/1, 6/2; Gilbert d. Whiting, $60,6 / 1$; Baird d. McLaughlin, 6/0, 6/2.

First round-S. Nixon d. R. Burns, by default; G. Nixun d. B. Cutler, by default; Hinds d. Kurtzman, $26,6 / 4,8 / 6 ; \mathrm{N}$. Niles d. D. Carroll, $6 / 0,6 / 1$; Large d. W. L. Mcl)ougal, 6/3, 6/3; Hoerr d. Bowen, 9/7, 7/5; Bryant d. Johnson, 6/2, 6/3; Spaulding d. Donaldson, by default; Wiihelra d. C. Cutler, $6,4,6 / 0$; C. D. Jones d. S. Hodge, $6 / 2,6 / 1$; Kirkover d. R. Stafford, 6/o, $6 / 1$; Baird d. Gilbert, $6 / 2,6 / 1$; H. Bissell d. Howell, by default; Van Houten d. Moore, 6/1, 6/0; H. Hodge d. Williams, 3/6, 6 3, 6/1; Richards d. Enoch, 7 5. 6/4.

Second round-S. Nixon d. (i. Nixon, 7/5, 8, 6; N. Niles d. Hinds, 6/9, 6/o; Hoerr d. Large, $8,6,6 / 4$; Spaulding d. Bryant, $9 / 7,64$ (. I). Jones d. Wilhelm, 6/1, 6/r; Baird d. Kirkover, 6, 4, $4 / 6,7 / 5$; Bissell d. Moore, $6 / 3,6 / 3$; H. Hodge d. Richards, 6, 2, 6/1.

Third round-N. Niles d. S. Nixon, 6 r, $0 / 1$; Spaulding d. Hoerr, 6/o, 6/2; C. D. Jones d. Baird, 6/4, 6 4; H. Ilodge d. Bissell, 6/o, 6/2.

Semi-final round-N. Niles d. Spaulding, 6/1, 6/2; H. Hodge d. C. D. Jones, 6/3, 6/2.

Final round-Niles d. H. Hodge, 6/1, $10.8,6 / 1$.
Challenge round-N. Niles d. Wallace Johnson, $4 / 6,7 / 5,6 / 2,7 / 5$.

## MEN゚S DOU゙BLES.

Preliminary round-S. Hodge and Wilhelm d. Nixon and Nixon, 6/3, $6 / 4$ : Baird and H. Hodge d. Semon and Nitchell. by default.

First round-Kirkover and Bissell d. McDougal and McDougal, 6/o, 6.3 ; Gilbert and spaulding d. Wick and Large, 6/4, 6/4; Pooley and Moore d. Crangle and Brown, 6 o, 26,8 6; Jones and Hoerr d. S. Hodge and Wilhelm, 6 4, 6,4 ; Baird and II. Hodge d. Selkirk and Selkirk, 6/1, 6/1; Kurtzman and 1)owner d. Richards and McLaughlin, by default; Niles and Carroll d. Fowen and Danforth, 6/0, 61 ; Johnson and Williams d. Howell and Ble:adon, by default.

Second round-Kirkover and Bissell d. Gilbert and Spaulding, by default; Jones and Hoerr 1. Pooley and Moore, 8 6, 6, 4; Baird and 11. IIodge d. Kurtzman and Downer, 6 1, 6'o; Niles and Carroll d. Jolnson and Williams, 6/1, 62.

Semi-final round-Iones and lloerr d. Kirkover and Bissels, 6/0, 6/2; Baird and II. llodge d. Niles and Carroll, ly default.

Final round-baird and H. Ilodge d. Jones and lloerr, $6 / 3,+6,6 / 3$, $1 / 6,6,4$.

WOMEN'S SINGLES.
First ronnd-Miss Moyes d. Miss Kennedy, $60,6 / 1$; Miss Pitkin d. Miss Wende, by default; Mrs. Cutler d. Miss Whiting, 6/4, 6/4; Mrs. Rice d. Mrs. Stucki, 6 1, 6 3; Miss Clarke d. Miss Stimson, 6/4, 6/0; Miss Rotch d. Miss Bissell, $1 / 1,63$; Mrs. Walker d. Miss Gilbert, 6/2, $61 ;$ Mrs. Meadows d. Miss Plummer, $3663,7 / 5$.

Second round-Miss Moyes d. Miss Pitkin, 6 2, 6/1; Mrs. Rice d. Mrs. Cutler. $60,62:$ Miss Kotch d. Miss Clarke, 6/3, 8/6; Mrs. Walker d. Mrs. Meadows, 6 1, 6 2.

Semi-final round-Miss Mcyes d. Mrs. Rice, 6, 3, 6/1; Miss Rotch d. Mrs. Walker, 64, 6/z.

Tinal round-Miss Moyes d. Miss Rotch, 6 2, 6 'o.
Challenge round-Miss Moyes d. Miss May Sutton, by default.

## MINED DOLBLES.

First round-Mrs. Rice and Hoerr d. Mrs. Stucki and Crangle, 6/1, 7/5; Miss Stimson and Carroll d. Miss Clarke and Bryant, 6/4, 4/6, 6/3; Mrs. Cutler and Wick d. Miss Gilbert and (iilbert. 6/3, 6/4; Miss Moyes and Jones d. Mr. Pecker and partner, 6 o, $6_{2}$; Miss Pitkin and Niles d. Mrs. Meadows and Raird, $7^{\prime} 5,6 / 3$; Miss Lissell and Pooley d. Miss Wende and Johnson, by default; Miss Plummer and Fox d. Miss Whiting and Enoch, $9 / 7,79,10 / 8$; Miss Rotch and Kirkover d. Miss Shannon and Large, 6/0, $6 \%$.

Second round-Mrs. Rice and Hoerr d. Miss Stimson and Carroll, 7/5, 6/4; Mrs. Cutler and Wick d. Miss Moyes and Jones, 2/6, 6/2, 6/2; Miss Pitkin and Niles d. Niss Bissell and Pooley, 6/3, 6/3; Miss Rotch and Kirkover d. Miss Plummer and Fox, by default.

Semi-final round-Mrs. Rice and Hoerr d. Mrs. Cutler and Wick, 7/5, $7 / 5$; Miss Rotch and Kirkover d. Miss Pitkin and Niles, by default.

Final round-Mrs. Rice and Hoerr d. Miss Rotch and Kirkover, 7/5, 6/3.

## Old Dominion Tennis Tournament.

The sixth annual Old Dominion Tennis Tournament for the "Hermitage Challenge Cups" was played on the courts of the Hermitage Golf Club at Kichmond, Va., during the week of June 13, 1910, with sixty-three entries in the various events. This tournament again retained its position as the most important tournament in the South, both in the number and equality of entries attracted to it. Fultilling its mission of providing a meeting place for players from the North and South, representative players from each section again faced each other across the net. In the Fifth Annuai Tournament the North made a clean sweep of all four of the challenge cups and most of the prizes, but in 1910 the South fared better, taking two of the challenge cups and a fair share of the prizes. Owing to the storm prevailing thronghont the country for several days before this tour nament and during the first three days of the week, a number of the best Northern players who were entered were prevented from being present by being tied up in other tournaments. R. H. Palmer of New Jersey successfully defended his title to the Hermitage Challenge Cup in men's singles against Frank J. Hall of Lynchburg, Va., but only after a very close match. This gives Palmer the second leg upon this cup, and he is the only player who has ever successfully defended his title during the six years the cup has been offered. In 1911 the tournament will be held at the Country Club of Virginia, Richmond, Va., which, with its enlarged facilities and fine courts, will be able to take care of all the players and give them a fine time. The summaries:

## MEN'S SINGLES.

First round-J. J. Scherer d. R. A. Ricks, 6/3, 6/4; G. IH. Winston d. J. G. Miller, $6 / 1,6 / 3$; John A. Coke d. James Augustine, by default; E. S. Blair d. L. R. Fay, by default; Irving Blackwell d. William R. Trigg, $6 / 0,6,2$ R. J. Hornidge d. Charles D. Harris, 6/4, 6/4; James Mullen d. T. J. Moore, $6 / 3,3 / 6,6 / 1$; St. J. Cree d. J. H. Cecil, by default; J. B. Robb d. A. L. Gore, by default; D. M. Blair d. Alfred Upshur, by default; Forrest Adair d. T. Garnett Tabb, 6 1, $6 / 4$; W. B. Collier, Jr., d. Evans W. Lindsey, 6/3, 6/1; William E. Crawford d. Frank IIill, 4/6, $6 / 3,6 / 2$; R. H. Hornidge d. Percy Pemberton, 6/1, 61 ; L. H. Blair 1. W. H. Page, $6 / 2,6 / 2$; St. G. Cooke d. W. L. Price, by default; W. N. Page d. William Miller, 6/1, 6/0; W. Erskine Buford d. E. A. Rennolds, 6/4, 6/2.

Second round-J. C. Neely d Joel S. Perrin, 6/r, 6/3; J. E. Tyler d. H. A. Van Landingham, $6 / 3,6 / 2$; John K. Graves d. II. B. Lee, by default; Scherer d. John P. Lea, $6 / 3,6 / 4$; Winston d. Coke, by default; Blackwell d. E. S. Blair, by default; R. J. Hornidge d. Mullen, 6/8, default; Robb d. Cree, 6/o, 6/3; Adair d. 11. M. Blair, 7/5, 6/2; Collier d. Crawford, $6 / 1,6 / 1$; R. IH. Hornidge d. L. H. Blair, 6/4, 6/3; W. N. Page d. Cooke, by default; Buford d. R. L. James, by default; Frank J. Hall d. C. C. Pearson, by default; A. R. Davenport d. Marion L. Howison, o/6, 6/3, 6/3; Albert Howard d. Madison L. Macon, 6/1, 7/5.

Third round-Neely d. Tyler, by default; Graves d. Scherer, 9/7, 6/8, $7 / 5$; Blackwell d. Winston, by default; Robb d. R. J. Hornidge, 6/4, 6/4; Collier d. Adair, $5 / 7,6 / 1,6 / 2$; R. H. Hornidge d. W. N. Page, 1/6, 6/1, $6 / 2$; Hall d. Buford, $6 / 2,6 / 3$; Howard d. Davenport, by default.

Fourth round-Neely d. Graves. $6 / 4,6 / 4$; Robb d. Blackwell, 6/1, 6/2; Collier d. R. H. Hornidge, 6/o, 6/2; Hall d. Howard, 6/3, 6/r.

Semi-final round-Neely d. Robb, 6/4, 6/3; Hall d. Collier, 6/2, 6/2.
Final round-Frank J. Hall d. J. C. Neely, 6/4, 4/6, 6/4.
Challenge round-R. H. Palmer (holder) d. Frank J. Hall (challenger), $6 / 3,1 / 6,6 / 3$.

## MEN゙S JOUBLES．

First round－Graves and D．Blair d．Scherer and Pemberton，2／6，7／5． $6 / 4$ ；Blackwell and Hall d．Lindsey and Miller，by default；Mullen and Palmer d．Davenport and Macon，by default；Hornidge and Hornidge d． Howison and Midyette，by default；Buford and Lewis Blair d．Howard and Page，6／4，6／1．

Second round－Blackwell and Ilall d．Graves and D．Blair，6／2，6／2； Mullen and Palmer d．Hornidge and Hornidge， $6 / 1,6 / 3$ ；Adair and Neely d．Cree and Collier， $6 / 2,6,3$ ；Buford and Lewis Blair d．Trigg and Rennolds，by default．

Third round－Blackwell and IIall d．Mullen and Palmer，3／6，6／3，6，4； Adair and Neely d．Buford and Blair，6，3，6， 4.

Final round－Adair and Neely d．Blackwell and Hall，6，＇o，6／2．

## LADIES＇SINGGLES．

Preliminary round－Miss Mamilton d．Miss Winston，by default；Miss Sophie Meredith d．Miss Woodward，6／2，6＇2；Miss Robinson d．Mrs． Duke，6／2，6／i．

First round－Miss Kate Meredith d．Miss Lancaster，6／4，6／3；Mrs． Sullivan（nee Miss Connie Evans of Birmingham，Ala．）d．Miss Hamil－ ton，6／0，6／3：Miss Sophie Meredith d．Miss Robinson，6／2，6／1；Miss Pauline Powers d．Miss Crump，6／2，8：6．

Semi－final round－Mrs．Sullivan d．Miss Kate Meredith，6／o，6／r；Miss Sophie Meredith d．Miss Powers，6／4，6／4．

Final round－Mrs．Sullivan d．Miss Sophie Meredith，7／5，6／4．
Challenge round－Mrs．Sullivan（challenger）d．Miss IIldegard．Turle （holder），8／6，6／2．

## MINED DOL゙BLES．

Semi－final round－Mr．Page and Miss Turle d．Mr．Palmer and Miss S．Meredith， 4 6，6／4，6／3；Mr．Neely and Mrs．Sullivan d．Mr．Hornidge and Miss Crump，7／5，6／3．

Final round－Mr．P＇age and Miss Turle d．Mr．Neely and Mrs．Sullivan， by default．

MEN＇S CON゙SOLATION SINGGLES．

Final round－D．M．Blair d．Percy Pemberton，6／4，8／6．

## Allegheny Mountains Championship．

The Rev．H．J．Rendall of Philadelphia easily won the tournament for the championship of the Allegheny Mountains，coming throngh the final round without the loss of a set，thus earning the right to shallenge J． Spencer Gordon of Washington，the holder of the title．The tournament was held on the courts of the Potomac Club of Cumberland，Md．，on August 22.

In the semi－final round Rendall defeated M．Colton，who put up a hard fight in the first set，while Rendall had not struck his gait．In the next， however，Rendall played fast and accurately，not giving his opponent a chance．S．Jarvis Adams，Jr．，won the other semi－final，defeating H．Boyd ir a rather one－sided affair．

The final round was somewhat of a surprise，as Rendall defeated Adams in three straight sets．Adams was thought by some to have a good chance against the Philadelphian，and by most to at least make the match more interesting．

The challenge round proved to be the best match of the tournament．It lasted four sets，J．Spencer Gordon having taken the second one．The others went to the Rev．H．J．Rendall．The tennis was fast and，from a spectator＇s point of view，decidedly interesting．The summaries：

## MEN'S SINGLES.

First round-J. S. Grimes d. S. Merrick, 6/4, 6/3; N. W. McLain d. Hume Annan, 6/1, 7/5; F. E. Powell d. Cyril Geare, 6/4, 6/2; S. Jarvis Adams, Jr., d. R. Sansbury, 6/o, 6/o: K. P. Heintz d. Homer Wilson, $6 / 3,6 / 3$; J. T. Boyd d. Burton R. Green, $6 / 3,3 / 6,7 / 5$; J. Philip Roman d. William Walsh, $6 / 2,6 / 1 ;$ M. A. Colton d. Creede W. Wilson, by default; H. J. Rendall d. Frank Wilson, 6/1, 6/o; F. A. Murray d. L. Lawler, by default.

Second round-H. Boyd d. Richard Oswald, by default; J. S. Grimes d. W. B. McKinley, $10 / 12,6 / 3,6 / 2$; McLain d. Powell, 6/3, 6/3; Adams d. Heintz, $5 / 7,6 / 1,6 / 0$; Roman d. Boyd, 6/3, 6/1; Colton d. Murray, by default; Rendall d. G. Powell, 6 1, $6 / 0$; H. E. Colton d. W. K. Magruder, by default.

Third round-Boyd d. Grimes, 7/5, 4/6, 6/2; Adams d. McLain, 6/4, $4 / 6,6 / 2 ; \mathrm{M}$. Colton d. Roman, $6 / 4,4 / 6$, default; Rendall d. Colton, 6/3, 6/o.

Semi-final round-Adams d. Boyd, 6/2, 6/2; Rendall d. M. Colton, 7/5, 6/o.

Final round-Rendall d. Adams, 6/3, 6/4, 6/3.
Challenge round-II. J. Rendall d. J. Spencer Gordon, 6/3, 3/6, 6/3, 7/5.

## MEN'S DOUBLES.

First round-Grimes and Rendall d. II. Wilson and partner, by default; Colton and Colton d. Wilson and Merrick, 6, 2, 6/3; Adams and McKinley d. G. Powell and Walsh, by default; Boyd and Boyd d. Geare and partner, by default; McLain and Green d. Drennen and Watson, 6/4, 3/6, 7/5.

Second round-Grimes and Rendall d. Watson and partner, by default; Adams and McKinley d. Colton and Colton, 6/3, 6/1; McLain and Green d. ${ }^{\circ}$ Boyd and Boyd, 6/4, 6/4; 11eintz and Powell d. Roman and H. Rendall, by default.

Semi-final round-Grimes and Rendall d. Adams and McKinley, 7/5, 7'5; Heintz and Powell d. McLain and Green, 6/3, 6/3.

Final round-Grimes and Kendall d. Heintz and Powell, 6/4, 3/6, $6 / 4,6 / 3$.

## LADIES' SIN゙GLES.

First round-Miss Cochrane d. Miss Patterson, 6/4, 4/6, 7/5.
Second round-Miss McHenry d. Miss Menderson, 6/1, 6/o; Miss Cochrane d. Miss Geare, $6 / 4,6 / 8,6 / 3$; Miss Turle d. Miss Barry, by default; Miss Merrick d. Miss Randall, 8/6, 6/3.

Semi-final round-Miss Mclienry d. Miss Cochrane, 6/3, 6/0; Miss Turle d. Miss Merrick, 6/3, 6/1.

Final round-Miss Turle d. Miss McHenry, 6/1, 6/4.

## Knickerbocker Field Club Open Tournament.

The honors of the annual tournament of the Knickerbocker Field Club fell to the hands of H. S. Roberts. There were several surprises in the singles event, the most notable of them being the defeat of W. B. Cragin, Jr., by W. N. Jennings, Jr., 6/4, 8/6. Jennings played well, hitting hard and placing to advantage throughout the match, while Cragin was more or less erratic. He did not appear to get started and his play was far from the standard of his usual safe, steady game.

In the semi-finals Roberts met Dunham, whom he defeated in a three-set match of pretty tennis. In this round Baggs defeated R. H. Boggs after having lost the first set, 10/8. He took the next two, however, 6/3, 6/1.

1, R H. Cole, Hartford; 2, M. S. Clark, Seventh Regiment, New York; 3, T. Y. Sherwell, Jr. (with Allen), Doubles

Roberts defeated Baggs in the final round, in a rather peculiar match. Ife took the first set at $6 / 0$. Baggs made more of an effort in the second set, winning it at $7 / 5$. In the third set Baggs continued to play well, but Roberts proved too strong for him at the finish and won at $8 / 6$. In the fourth set laggs made little or no effort, Roberts winning at $6 / 0$. The final score was, $6 / 0,5 / 7,8,6,6 / 0$.
W. N. Jennings. Jr., and Lincoln Reimer defeated. Voshell and Boggs in the final round in the doubles event. The match went to four sets, all of the men playing well. The score was $2 / 6,8 / 6,6,4,75$.

In the semi-finals Voshell and Boggs defeated $\Lambda$ ffeld and Campbell, 6/4, $3 / 6,6 / 2$, and Jennings and Reimer defeated Johnston and Major, 6/3, 5/7, 75. The summaries:

## MEN'S SINGLES.

Peliminary round-Charles Chambers d. H. L. Ehrich, 6/4, 6/3; W. Williams d. Dr. William Rosenbaum, by default; L. Reimer d. W. C. Allen, $7^{\prime} 5,8,6 ;$ C. L. Johnston, Jr., d. W. L. O'Brien, 6/2, 6/2; L. Dunham d. W. 1). Bourne, by default; A. Scheffer d. L. J. Drelfus, by default; S. H. Voshell d. A. R. Van Orden, 6/1, 6/0; L. Graves d. G. Steinacher, 6/2, $6 / 2 ;$ W. L. Pate d. Miles Charlock, $6 / 2,6 / 2 ;$ E. S. Cameron d. T. O. Affeld, Jr., 6/1, 6/3; R. L. Baggs d. P. Foerster, $6 / 1,6$ 3; G. W. Salenger d. T. D. Edgell, $6 / 2,6 / 3$; II. C. Reimer d. E. S. Miller, 6/3, 7/5; F. C. Baggs d. E. A. Lowther, $60,6 \frac{1}{3}$; J. E. Ackland d. G. M. Church, by default; J. T. Allen d. Roy Ritchie, by default.

First round-M. A. Noble d. L. V. Peiser, by default; H. S. Roberts d. C. J. Brainard, $6 / 2,7 / 5$ L. P. Moose d. T. S. Roberts, 6/4, 6/4; A. P. Abbe d. F. G. Crafts, $36,6 / 3,6 / 4$; Chambers d. Williams, 6/r, 6/0; L. Reimer d. Johnston, $1 / 6,6 / 3,6 / 4$; Dunham d. Scheffer, 6/2, 6/2; Voshell d. Graves. $7^{\prime} 5,6 / 3$; Pate d. Cameron, $6 / 2,6 / 0 ;$ R. L. Baggs d. Salenger, $6 / 4,6 / 0 ;$ F. C. Baggs d. J. C. Reimer, $6 / 0,4 / 6,6 / 2 ;$ J. T. Allen d. Ackland, $6 / 8,6 / 1,6,4$ C. C. Putnam d. G. S. Groesbeck, by default; R. H. Boggs d. A. II. Murphy, 6 2, $7 / 5$; II. II. Waugh d. Dr. Pilcher, 6/2, 7/5; W. N. Jennings, Jr., d. W. B. Cragin, Jr., 6/4, 8/6.

Second round-Roberts d. Noble, 6/1, 6/1; Moore d. Abbe, 6/0, 6/4; Chambers d. L. Reimer, 9. 7, 4 6, 6/3; Dunham d. Voshell, 13/11, 9/7; Tate d. R. L. Baggs, 6 z. 6 z; F. B. Baggs d. J. T. Allen, 6/1, 6/3; Boggs d. Putnam, 6/2, 6/3; Jennings d. Waugh, 6/0, 6/1.

Third round-Roberts d. Moore, $6 / 4,6 / 1$; Dunham d. Chambers, 6/3, $1 / 6,6 / 3$; F. C. Baggs d. Pate, $6 / 3,6 / 3$; R. H. Boggs d. Jennings, 8/6, 8/6. Semi-final round-Roberts d. Dunham, 6/3, 3/6, 6/1; F. C. Baggs d. R. H. Boggs, $8 / 10,6 / 3,6 / 1$.

Final round-Roberts d. F. C. Baggs, 6/o, 5/7, 8/6, 6/0.

## MEN'S DOUBLES.

Preliminary round-Pate and Boggs d. Moore and Brainard, 8/6, 6/4; H. Roberts and Watson d. Salenger and Graff, $6 / 2,6 / 3$; Voshell and F. C. Baggs d. Ehrich and Foerster, 6/2, 6/4; Jennings and L. Reimer d. O'Brien and C. W. Hamblin, $6 / 2,6 / 1$; Putnam and G. Steinacher d. Cameron and Edgell, $1 / 6,6 / 3,6 / 3$; Charlock and W. B. Cragin, Jr., d. Whiting and Chambers, $6 / 4,4 / 6,6 / 2$.

First round-T. O. Affeld, Jr., and W. A. Campbell d. Pate and Boggs, $4 / 6,6 / 1,6 / 3$; Voshell and Baggs d. Roberts and Watson, 12/10, 2/6, 6/3; Jennings and Reimer d. Putnam and Steinacher, 6/4, 6/1; Johnston and Major d. Charlock and Cragin, 3/6, 6/3, 6/3.

Semi-final round-Voshell and Eoggs d. Affeld and Campbell, 6/4, 3/6, 6/2; Jennings and Reimer d. Johnston and Major, 6/3, 5/7, 7/5.

Final round-W. N. Jennings, Jr., and Lincoln Reimer d. Voshell and Boggs, 2/6, 8/6, 6/4, 7/5.

## Ardsley Open Tournament.

Theodore Roosevelt Pell won the open tournament of the Ardsley Club, at Ardsley-on-the-Hudson, N. Y., which was held on June 13. In the final round he met and defeated Carlton $K$. Gardner in a five-set match. Gardner started well, winning the first set, 6/4. He fell off, however, in the second, while l'ell seemed to improve considerably. The heat was intense, and Gardner was forced to play back, losing the set at love. In the third set both men played well, Gardner winning at $8 / 6$. Pell took the next two. however, rather easily at $0 / 2,6 / 3$.

A surprise came in the second round, with the defeat of G. F. Touchard at the hands of W. C. Grant. Touchard started brilliantly, taking the first set at $6 / 2$. In the second he had a lead of $4 / 1$, when he slacked up his pace, and could not regain it, thus losing at 6/4. Grant took the third set, 6/3.

Pell defeated Inman in the semi-final round, $6 / 4,6 / 2$. Inman was more or less erratic towards the end, while Pell steadily improved as the match progressed. Gardner won from Grant in this round, defeating him by a score of $6 / 4,6 / 4$. He started in carelessly, giving Grant a lead of 3/o, but improved wonderfully and took five straight games. Grant took the next game but lost the following one, giving Gardner the set at $6 / 4$.

Hackett and Alexander won the doubles event, defeating William A. Larned and George L. Wrenn in the final round. The match was a good one, although the four contestants were capable of better tennis. Hackett and Alexander won in straight sets, but the play was close, the score being $9 / 7,7 / 5,11 / 9$.

In the semi-final round Larned and Wrenn defeated Kendall and Lindley by default, after having won the first set, $6 / 2$. The match was stopped on account of rain, and as the final was to be played on the following day, the latter team did not think it worth while to continue. Touchard and Gardner defaulted to Hackett and Alexander in this round, in order not to crowd too many matches into the final day, two days of play having been lost by rain. The summaries:

## MEN'S SINGLES.

First round-C. R. Gardner d. E. Leo, 6/2, 6/4; Dr. E. Taylor d. E. L. Sand; W. C. Grant d. C. S. Mills, by default; G. F. Touchard d. C. M. Bull, Jr., 7/5, $10 / 12,10 / 8$; 11. A. L. Sand d. L. D. Irving, by default; H. C. Martin d. B. M. Phillips; F. C. Inman d. F. F. de Rham, 6/2, $6 / 3$; J. T. West d. Newton Rae, $8 / 6,3 / 6,6 / 4 ;$ J. S. Cushman d. N. G. Johnson, 6/1, 6/3; H. L. Ehrich d. R. Le Roy, by default; T. R. Pell d. C. F. Watson, Jr.; P. Foster d. C. M. Robertson, by default.

Second round-S. C. Millett d. A. D. Bell. 6/2, 6/ı; Gardner d. Taylor, $6 / 2,8 / 6 ;$ Grant d. Touchard, $2 / 6,6 / 3,6 / 4$; Martin d. Sand; Inman d. West, 6/2, 6/2; Ehrich d. Cushman, by default; Pell d. Foster, 6/ı, 6/o; G. S. Groesbeck d. J. P. Lee, 6/4, 6/2.

Third round-Gardner d. Millett, 6/2, 4/6, 6/3; Grant d. Martin, 6/2, 2/6, 6/3; Inman d. Ehrich, 6/1, 6/2; Pell d. Groesbeck, 6/s, 6/o.

Semi-final round-Gardner d. Grant, 6/4, 6/4; Pell d. Inman, 6/4, 6/2.
Final round-Pell d. Gardner, 4/6, 6/0, 6/8, 6/2, 6/3.

## MEN'S DOUBLES.

Preliminary round-Watson and de Rham d. Foster and Ehrich, 6/3, $6 / 2$; W. A. Larned and G. Wrenn, Jr., d. Fox and Bodman, 6/o, 6/1; C. R. Gardner and G. F. Touchard d. S. C. Millett and J. D. Pell, 6/I, $8 / 6$; H. A. L. Sand and J. Tery West d. Haines and Peiser, 6/3, 6/1.

First round-Kendall and Lindley d. N. G. Johnson and Beckley, 6/4, 9/11, 6/4; Roberts and partner d. Davis and Irving, by default; Phillips. and Leo d. Breed and Shaw, 6/2, 6/1; Larned and Wrenn d. Watson and
de Rham, 6/4, 6/3; Gardner and Touchard d. Sand and West, 6/3, 6/3; Little and Leonard d. Dr. Rosenbaum and partner, by default; Alexander and Hackett d. Bull and Martin, 6/1, 6/4; T. R. Pell and W. C. Grant d. Dr. E. Taylor and J. S. Cushman, 6/2, 6/0.

Second round-Kendall and Lindley d. Roberts and partner, by default; Larned and Wrenn d. Phillips and Leo, 6 1, 6/4: Gardner and Touchard d. Little and Leonard, by default; Alexander and Hackett d. Pell and Grant, 9/7, 6/2.

Semi-final round-Larned and Wrenn d. Kendall and Lindley; Alexander and Hackett d. Gardner and Touchard, by default.

Final round-Alexander and Hackett d. Larned and Wrenn, 9/7, 7/5, 1I/9.

## Mount Washington Open Tournament.

The annual tournament of the Mount Washington Club was started on July 23. There was an entry list of sixty-five players in the singles event, being a record for the State of Maryland.

Clarence Fisher won the singles by defeating Harvey in a hard four-set match. Harvey fought his way most of the time, but was unable to win at critical points against the Maryland State champion. The score wa $10 / 8,7 / 5,3 / 6,6 / 3$.

In the semi-final round Fisher had an easy time with Chipman, defeating $\operatorname{him} 6 / 3,6 / 1$. Harvey defeated Magruder, 6 2, $7 / 5$.

In the doubles event Kerr and Brooke defeated Whitehurst and lirown, $63,7 / 5$, in the semi-final round, while McLean and Magruder defeated Lowndes and Davis, 7/5, 6/2.

The final round was a very good one, the tennis being at times brilliant. McLean and Magruder proved the strongest team, however, defeating Kerr and Brooke in a four-set match. Kerr was very erratic at times and his partner had hard work to steady him down. The score was $6 / 3,1 / 6$, 6/4, 12/io.

This is probably the most important local tournament of Baltimore, every prominent player of the city having entered with the exception of the Colston brothers, Fred C. and Campbell, and Basil Wagner. The summaries:

## MEN'S SINGLES.

Preliminary round-J. S. Kerr d. R. R. MacGill, 6/1, 1/6, 6/3; R. T. Naylor d. H. W. Taylor, 6/1, 6/1.

First round-J. S. Kerr d. H. G. Taylor, $6 / 1,6 / 4$; C. Drewry d. R. Scott, by default; G. H. Chipman d. Dr. E. R. Strobel, 6/1, 6/1; J. Chanler Smith d. John F. Frazer, $1 / 6,6 / 0,6 / 0 ;$ D. R. Belt d. R. H. Campbell, $6 / 3,2 / 6,6 / 2 ;$ H. F. French d. A. V. Draper, by default; E. B. Reese d. Leo Gutman, 6/4, 4/6, $14 / 12$; L. F. Detrick d. Z. Bond Evans, 6/0, 6/o; Clarence Fisher d. II. F. Sturdy, 6/4, 6/4; John J. Hayden d. Mason P. Morfit, 6/1, 6/4; Thomas H. Bevan d. J. H. Stevenson, 6/2, 8/6; H. B. Davis d. A. B. Morton, 6/3, 6/2; Armond Kemper d. Joseph Oliver, $6 / 3,6 / 2$; Barton Harvey d. Daniel B. Miller, $6 / 3,6 / 1$; Fleischman d. William I. Randall, $6 / 1,6 / 2$; Henry Hartman d. Bernard Bandel, 6/3, $6 / 3$; Charles Paul d. W. W. Hopkins, by default; Dr. Claude Stonecipher d. J. C. McLanahan, $7 / 5,7 / 5$; Tohn G. Huck d. Walter Whitehurst, 6/o, $6 / 4$; J. R. Knowles d. Gifford Pearre, by default; Charles G. Brooke d. Tohn S. Ensor, 7/5, 6/3; Curran Harvey d. Walter Beers, 6/1, 6/i; M. De Grange d. Frank Gosnell. 6/3, 4/6, 6/3; Heyward Taylor d. Charles A. Mealey, 6/ı, 6/o: W. C. Coleman d. T. Straith Briscoe, by default; Norris M. McLane d. C. A. Biglow, 6/2, 6/i: Clanham Murray d. J. Herbert Tohnson, hv default: W. C. Smith d. W. H. Mettee, Jr., 6/o, 6/o; R. Jones d. Henry Reinhart, by default; Warren Magruder d. Dr. C. H.

Beetem, 4/6, 6/o, 6/4; Frank P. Whitcraft d. William White. 12/10, 6/1; R. T. Naylor d. Elliott Burwell, 6/3, 6/1.

Second round-Kierr d. Drewry, 6/2, 7/5; Chipman d. Smith, 6/1, 6/2; French d. Belt, 3/6, 6/2, 7/5; Detrick d. Reese, 6/4, 9/7; Fisher d. Hayden, 6/o, 6/1; Davis d. Bevans, 6/o, 6/1; Harvey d. Kemper, 6/2, $6 / 2$; Hartman d. Fleischman, by default; Stonecipher d. Paul, 6/2, 9/7; Huck d. Knowles, 7/5, 6/1; C. Harvey d. Brooke, 6/2, 5/7, 6/4; Taylor d. De Grange, $6 / 2,46,62$; McLane d. Coleman, by default; Murray d. Smith, $2,6,6 / 4,63$; Magruder d. Jones, 6/0, 6/1; Whitcraft d. Naylor, $6 / 0,6 / 1$.

Third round-Chipman d. Kerr, $4 / 6,6 / 4,6 / 3$; Dertick d. French, by default; Fisher d. Davis, 9 7, 6/4; Harvey d. Hartman, 6/1, 6/4; Huck d. Stonecipher, 6/2, $6 / 3$; C. 1larvey d. Taylor, $4 / 6,6 / 3,7 / 5$; McLane d. Murray, 5 7, 6/r, 6 2: Magruder d. Whitcraft, 6/4. $6 / 2$.

Fourth round-Chipman d. Hetrick, 6/o, 6/1; Fisher d. Harvey, 6/3, $6 / 2$; C. Harvey d. Iluck, 8 6, 7 9, 6/1; Magruder d. McLane, 6/3, 6/2.

Semi-final round-Fisher d. Chipman, 6/3, 6/I; C. Harvey d. Magruder, 6/2, 7/5.

Final round-Fisher d. Llarvey, 10/8, 7/5, 3/6, 6/3.

## MEN'S DOUBLES.

Preliminary round-Clarence Fisher and Alfred Fisher d. Dr. Claude Stonecipher and Charles Paul, 6.2, 6/3; William White and Frank Whitcraft d. R. T. Naylor and llenry Ilartman, 6/2, io/8; John S. Kerr and Charles G. Brooke d. T. Shryock and Harold Joy, $6 / 2,6 / 4$; Mason Morfit and Carl Fenhagen d. G. O. Shepard and Harry Norris, 6/1, 6/3; Norris McLean and Warren Magruder d. John S. Ensor and Dr. C. H. Beetem, $6 / 2,5 / 7,6 / 3 ;$ H. Finlay French and J. C. McLanahan d. Leo Gutman and Armond Kemper, $6 / 1,6 / 2$; Daniel B. Niller and Barton Harvay d. George Chipman and Charles 1 . Mealy, 6/1, 6/2.

First round-Walter Whitehurst and C. S. Brown d. Fred Turnbull and C. Smoot, 6/4, 3/6, 11 9: Clapham Murray, Jr., and J. J. Mayden d. John C. Huck and D. K. Belt, 6/4, 3/6, 8/6; Clarence Fisher and Alfred Fisher d. C. B. Easter and M. H. Mettee. Jr., 6/1, 6/3; Kerr and Brooke d. Whitcraft and White, 6/o, 6/o; McLean and Magruder d. Morfit and Fenhagen, 6/2, 6/1: McLanahan and French d. Miller and Harvey, 6/3, $6 / 4$; Robert Lowndes and Harry Havis d. C. A. Biglow and Louis Detrick, $6 / 4,6 / 3$; Curran Harvey and Dr. Cooper Drewry d. Heyward Taylor and H. F. Sturdy, by default.

Second round-Whitehurst and Brown d. Murray and Hayden, 3/6, 6/4, 6/1; Kerr and Brooke d. Fisher and Fisher, 7/5, 6/2; McLean and Magruder d. McLanahan and French, 6/o, 6/4; Lowndes and Davis d. Harvey and Drewry, $0 / 6,6 / 1,7 / 5$.

Semi-final round-Kerr and Brooke d. Whitehurst and Brown, 6/3, 7/5; McLean and Magruder d. Lowndes and Davis, $7 / 5,6 / 2$.

Final round-McLean and Magruder d. Kerr and Brooke, 6/3, 1/6, $6 / 4,12 / 10$.

## District of Columbia Championship.

The Bachelors' Lawn Tennis Club's annual fixture for the District of Columbia championships was played early in October and, as usual, attracted quite a large entry.

The title changed hands, however, and for the first time in many years departed from the strong grasp of the Doyle family. A. W. Howard, a rising player, but handicapped by the loss of an arm, came through the tournament and wrested the title from L. I. Doyle, the third of that family of tennis experts. Neither C. B. nor H. E. Doyle entered the singles, but, playing together in doubles, they had little trouble in retaining the title they have held so long.

Howard, in winning the singles, played sterling tennis. He won his matches by safe margins until he reached the final. There he faced $W$. B. Izard, and it required four close sets to decide his superiority. Izard lacked aggressiveness badly, and though he was steady and lobbed well, had no winning stroke. Howard took more chances and his smasling was excellent.

In the challenge round Howard surpassed himself. Ilis drives were deep and well directed and at the net he was remarkably clever and accurate. Doyle was outclassed on ground strokes and when it was apparent that he could do no more than hold his own at the net the result was inevitable. Howard won at $7 / 5,6 / 2,4 / 6,9,7$. $\quad$,

The doubles furnished one surpriset by F . IIoltzman and I. Britton. Izard and A. ©hough individually better than the ir conquerors, were far The losers, though individually better than the in the final I. M. Moorehead bel H. E. Colton were outclassed by IIoltzman and Britton, losing at $6 / 3,6 / 3,6 / 3$.

In the challenge round the best efforts of the tournament winners were insufficient to give the Doyles more than a little warm-up. They had absolutely no chance to win and went down by the one-sided score of $6 / 1,6 / 1,6 / 1$. The summaries:

## MEN'S SIN゙GLES.

First round-A. W. Howard d. A. M. Chesley, 6'4, 6'3; W. F. Boyle d. William Joyle, by default, Ezra Gould d. B. I1. Schenck, 6’2, 5/7. $7 / 5$; F. Holtzman d. R. Lansburg, $6 / 3,6 / 1 ;$ E. M. Willis, Ir., d. C. L.
 Britton d. II. F. Lerch, 6/4, 6/2; W. W. NcLean d. I. H. Parmelee, $3 / 6$, 6 1. 6 3; T. P. Mayfield d. John Bridget, $7 / 5,6$ 4: Louis 1. Fischer d. H. B. Gregory, $60 ; 6$ 1: P. H. S. Cake d. A. I. Gore, $6,2,4,6,2 ; \mathrm{N}$. W. McLean d. A. Y. Leech, by default; A. Gorman d. J. Maury Dove, Jr., by default.

Second round-Howard d. Boyles, 6/1, 6/1: Ilolizman d. Gould, $4^{/ 6}$, $6 / 3,6 / 3$; Izard d. Cireen, 6/3, 6/4; Britton d. Nates, 6/o, $6 / 4$; Cake d. Fischer, 6/2. 6/4; C. A. Barnard d. II. C. McLean, 6/4, 2 6, default; McLean d. Willis, Jr., 6/1, 6/1.

Third round-Howard d. Holtzman, $4^{/ 6}, 6 / 3,6 / 2 ;$ Izard d. Britton, 3/6. 7/5, 6/4; Cake d. W. D. McLean, 6/o, 6/2; N. W. McLean d. Barnard, 1/6, 6/1. 6/1.

Semi-final round-Howard d. N. W. McLean, 6/3, 6/4; Izard d. Cake, $7 / 5,9 / 11,6 / 2$.
Final round-Howard d. Izard, 6/4, 4/6, 7/5, 7/5.

Challenge round-A. W. Howard d. Louis 1. Doyle, 7/5, 6/2, 4/6, 9/7.

## MEN'S DOUBLES.

Preliminary round-F. Holtzman and J. Britton d. L. A. Fischer and B. R. Green, $6 / 1,7 / 5$; J. Baird and M. Stidham d. II. C. and W. D. McLean, by default.

First round-W. B. Izard and A. J. Gore d. E. M. Willis, Ir., and IV. F. Lerch, 6/1, 6/3; J. U. Moorehead and H. E. Colton d. N. W. McLean and A. W. Howard, $7 / 5,6 / 4$; I. Murray Dove, Jr.. and Thomas P. Morgan, Jr., d. C. A. Barnard and R. S. Hume, 6/1, 4/6, 6/2; Holtzman and Britton d. Stidham and J. Baird, 6/2, 6/4.

Semi-final round-Moorehead and Colton d. Dove and Morgan, 6/1, $6 / 2$; Holtzman and Britton d. Izard and Gore, 3/6, 6/4, 6/4.

Final round-Holtzman and Britton d. Moorehead and Colton, 6/3, $6 / 3,6 / 3$.

Challenge round-Doyle and Doyle d. Holtzman and Britton, 6/1, 6/1, 6/1.


1, J. H. Wheeler of St. Paul, "Father of Tennis in Minnesota," President of the Minnesota State Tennis Association. 2, Joe Armstrong of St. Paul, Minnesota Tennis Champion of 1909, who was defeated. 3, John Adams of St. Paul, winner in the final round and in the Challenge Play of the Men's Singles.

## New Rochelle Open Tournament.

The New Rochelle Lawn Tennis club held its first tournament on its new court on September 2. There were seventy-three competing in the singles and thirty-two in the doubles. B. M. Phillips won the singles event, defeating Abraham Bassford in the final round. Bassford had played three matches earlier in the day and was rather tired when he met Phillips. This may account for the rather easy victory of the latter, by the score of $1,1,63,75$.

The greatest surprise of the tournament was in the semi-final round of the doubles event, when Clarence Hobart, the ex-internationalist, and Calhoun Cragin were defeated by Leventritt and Phillips, 6/4, 6 3. The summaries:

## MEN'S SINGLES.

I'reliminary round-_. M. Midenberg d. W. J. Clark, 6/1, 6/0; Nlfred Lattimer d. 1)r. 13. I1. Whitheck, $1 / 6,0,3,10 / 8 ; \Lambda$. 1). Britton d. S. I1. Coshell, hy default; (: W. (Colton d. Ir. D. H. Morey, 6/2, 6/o; D. R. Todd d. Wagner Van Vlack, $40,6 / 0,6,2$; F. I. Fux d. F. G. Anderson, by default: Kaynor Dunscombe d. S. 1 . Swart, by default; Dr. B. F. Drake d. W. J. Walworth, $6,0,6$ o; W. J. Ahlstrom d. William Menkel, 8/6. 9/11, 12/10; William L. O'Lrion d. Henry Mollenhauer, by default; Dr. William Kosenbaum d. Arthur Iloe, $61,3 / 6,6,2 ;$. . J. T. Bradley d. J. G. Benta, 6 3, 6 _ ; Morris S. Clark d. Manhall I'eabody, 6/1, 6/2.

First round- Menjamin M. Phillips d. Guy Brinkerhoff, 6/o, 6/1; H. . 1. Kimber d. W. W. Clark, by defatilt; George B. Leech d. J. A. Delmar, by default; A. K. Taylor d. Peck, by default; (ieorge S. Groesbeck d. I'. Schulze-Berge, Jr., 5 7, 75.61 ; S. Bruce Wilson d. M. E. Fox, Jr., by default; R. F. Angur d. 11. A. Priggs, 6. I, 6/1; C. IL. Rowe d. William Granger, by default; Paul Foerster d. Kev. E. A. Lowther, by default; L. II. Roper d. E. S. II. Pendergast, by default; Lowell Lamb d. H. R. Saunders, $6,2,61 ;$ S. P. Breck d. C. M. Pinkney, $6 / 1,6 / 1$; Sidenberg d. T. W. Meighan, 6,3, 75 ; Lattimer d. Britton, $6 / 2,6 / 3$; Todd d. Colton, 6 1, 63 ; Fox d. Dunscombe, 6/4, 6/1; Dr. Drake d. Ashstrom, 6/3, 6/t; Dr. Rosenbaum d. O'Brion, 6, 3, 6/4; Clark d. Bradley, 62,62 ; L. L. Leventritt d. 1). W. Bowman, by default: Douglas M. Moffatt d. Charles F. Porter, 6/r, 6/1; Louis R. Lawyer d. 1I. L. Ghormley, 6 3, 6 3: William II. Connell d. George Adee, by default; J. S. Peekham d. I. Crawford, 7/5, 6/2; C. W. Smith d. Fleming Walsh, by default; Daniel A. Benson d. Edgar F. Leo, by default; R. L. Baggs d. Arthur Scheffer, 5/7, 6/0, 6/3; Dr. E. S. Walch d. Schuyler Kemble, 6/I, 6/I; McCawley smith d. Henry Bassford, by default; Nlan Fox d. Oskinson, by default; Abraham Bassford, Jr., d. Charles Galway, 6/1, 6/o; Gordon A. Roper d. A. J. Ostendorf, 6/4, 3/6, 6/3.

Second round-Phillips d. Kimber, 6/o, 6/o; Leech d. Taylor, 3/6, 6/3, $6 / 2$; Groesbeck d. Wilson, by default; Augur d. Rowe, 6/2, 6/o; Roper d. ${ }^{5}$ oerster, 6/3, 6, 1; Breck d. Lamb, 6/1, 7/5; Lattimer d. Sidenberg, 6/1,万/§; Fox d. Todd, 6/t, 6/3; Rosenbaum d. Drake, 6/4, 7/5; Clark d. Leventritt, $6^{\prime}$ r, 4/6, 8/6; Moffatt d. Lawyer, 6/2, 6/2; Peckham d. Connell, by default; Penson d. Smith, 6/3, 6/4; Baggs d. Walch, 6/2, 6/2; Fox d. Smith, by default; Bassford d. Roper, 6/2, 6/o.

Third round-Phillips d. Leech, $6 / 1,6 / 4$; Augur d. Groesbeck, 6/1, 5/7, 6/4; Roper d. Breck, 6/2, 6/0; Fox d. Lattimer, 6/2, 6/3; Clark d. Rosenbaum, $6 / 3,6 / 3$; Moffatt d. Peckham, $6 / 1,6 / 1$; Baggs d. Benson, 6 3, 6/2; Bassford d. Fox, 6/1, 6/2.

Fourth round-Phillips d. Augur, 2/6, 6/4, 13/i1; Roper d. Fox, 6/t, 3/6, $6 / 3$; Clark d. Moffatt, $6 / 1,6 / o$; Bassford d. Baggs, 8/6, 6/o.

Semi-final round-Phillips d. Roper, 6/4, 7/5; Bassford d. Clark, 6/o, 6/4.
Final round-Benjamin M. I'hillips d. Abraham Bassford, 6/1, 6/3, 7'5.

## MEN'S DOUBLES.

First round-Colton and Fall d. George B. Leech and W. C. Lippincott. 6 2, 6/0: A. 1). Britton and A. F. Lattimer d. P. Foerster and partner, by default: Clarence Hobart and C. Cragin d. Kohertson and Todd, 6/1, 3/6, 6/2; C. F. Porter and Smith d. Lawyer and Zogbaum, 6/3, 6/3; W. I. Clark and A. J. I. Bradley d. Van Laudt and J. G. Benta, 3/6, 6/4, 6/2; W. L. O'Brion and R. F. Augur d. E. A. I.owther and partner, by default; L. L. Leventritt and I3. M. Phillips d. E. S. Walch and R. Colton, 6/1, 6/o; M. S. Clark and A. Massford. Jr., d. C. H. Rowe and partner, by default; L. II. Roper and R. C. Black d. G. S. Groesbeck and L. H. Freedman, 6/4, 7 5; William Granger and Aiken d. S. A. Smart, Jr., and G. M. Lord, by default; F. P. Fox and B. F. Irake d. W. J. Walworth and S. B. Wilson, 6/0, 60 : Lowell Lamb and H. A. Kimber d. David Benson and A. R. Taylor, $61,6 / 3$; H. G. Ghormley and Dunscombe d. Dr. W. Rosenbaum and pąrtner, by default: Douglass Moffatt and A. Fox d. Brinkenhoff and Steinkamp, by default; S. H. Voshell and Dr. Rosenlaam d. E. S. P'endergast and partner, by defanlt.

Second round-Britton and Lattimer d. Colton and Fall, 6, 2, 6/2; IIobart and Cragin d. Van Vleck and Whitbeck, 6/3, 6/1; Porter and Smith d. Clark and Bradley, 6/1, 6/2: Leventritt and Phillips d. ()Brion and Augur, 7/5, 6/4: Roper and Black d. Clark and Passford, 6/2, 6/3; Fox and Drake d. Granger and Aiken, by default; Ghormley and Dunscombe d. Lamb and Kimber, 6/3, 6/2; Voshell and Rosenbaum d. Moffatt and Fox, by default.

Third sound-Hobart and Cragin d. Pritton and Lattimer, 6/4, 6/r'; Leventritt and Phillins d. Smith and Porter, 6/1, 6 4; Roper and Black d. Fox and Drake, 6 '3, 6/r; Ghormley and Dunscombe d. Voshell and Rosenbaum, by default.

Semi-firal round-Leventritt and Phillips d. Hobart and Cragin, 6/4, 6 '3: Roper and Black d. Ghormley and Dunscombe, 6/2, 6/1.

Final round-Black and Roper d. Leventritt and Phillips, 4'6, 6/4, 9/7, 6/3.

## Northwestern Pennsylvania Championship.

E. S. Armstrong of Lock Haven won the fifth annual Northwestern Pennsylvania championship, held on the courts of the Clinton Country Club on August ${ }_{15}$ and following days, by defeating E. T. Newman in a five-set match in the final round. Newman won the first set rather easily and also took the second, but had a hard struggle for it. The next set went to $6 / 2$ in favor of Newman, and it looked at this point as if he were bound to win. Armstrong, however, pulled the set out by the score of $7 / 5$. Newman was pretty well tired out after this, and Armstrong easily won the next two and the match, 6/1, 6/3.

In the semi-final round Armstrong lost the first set to T. G. Lyte, but he won the next in short order, 6/i, 6/4. Newman easily defeated M. 1). Crary, 6/2, 6/o.
E. T. Newman and E. F. Redfield won the doubles championship, defeating C. R. Armstrong and E. E. Lane in a four-set match. Armstrong and Lane took the first set, when the match was postponed, on account of rain, until the following day. Newman and Redfield easily won the championship, when the match was resumed, by the score of $6 / 4,6 / 3,6 / 1$.

## Omaha City Championship.

The seventeenth annual tennis championship of Omaha for gentlemen's singles and doubles was held between July 9 and 19, at the Omaha Field Club. The special features of the tournament were the large entry list, these being fifty-eight entries in singles and twenty-three entries in doubles,
the number of clubs represented, and the reappearance of Sam Caldwell and Conrad loung, both of whom figured prominently in the first annual championship.

The right to challenge Cuthbert Potter, the champion of 1909, was contested in the finals between Harry Koch and Arthur Scribner, the latter winning in straight sets, $6 / 2,6 / 4,6 / 4$. Potter and Scribner waged a long, hard-fought battle for the championship before an enthusiastic gallery, which numbered over 500 . Until the final set, Potter had a slight advantage over his opponent, but his perhaps too aggresive tactics taxed his strength and the last set was won by Scribner, 6/3. The summaries:

## MEN'S SIN゙GLES.

Preliminary round-R. J. Williams d. F. L. Heyn, 6/4, 6/2; A. H. Scribner d. K. Hatch, $6 / 1,6 / 2$; John Webster d. Dr. Thompson, $2 / 6$, $6 / 4,6 / 3$; B. Smythe d. H. Davis, 6/2, 9/7; M. T. Swartz d. J. G. Rayley, $6 / 2,6 / 3 ; \mathrm{F}$. Lym d. F. Dufrene, $1 / 6,97,61$; K. Howe d. J. Bowen, $6 / 4,6 / 3$; E. Cudahy d. J. Festner, $6 / 2,6 / 4$; J. F. Kennedy d. C. Utz, $6 / 0,6 / \mathrm{o}$; M. Colpetzer d. E. H. Abbott, $6 / \mathrm{o}, 6 / 1$; J. Adams d. C. D. Sturdevant, $7 / 5,6 / 4$; J. W. Hughes d. C. Powell, W. O.; H. Readinger d. R. McCague, 4/6, 6/o. 6/2; S. S. Caldwell d. F. Potter, 6/o, 6/2; C. Potter d. F. Stebbins, $6 / 3,7 / 5$; R. R. Rainey d. S. W. Susmann, 6/I, $6 / 4$; L. S. McConnell d. S. Burns, $4 / 6,10 / 8,6 / 4$; T. R. Migley d. R. J. Dinning, W.O.; W. M. Wood d. F. McConnell, 6/3, 7/9, 6/4; G. Wallerstead d. R. S. McCullough, 86. 6/o; C. II. Young d. G. Rasmussen, W. O.; H. Beckett d. II. Farrell, $4 / 6,6 / 2,6 / 1$; J. Madden d. C. H. Gardner, $6 / 2,6 / 1$; R. Farrell d. I1. (. Kolin, $6 / 2,0 / 6,0 / 4 ;$ P. Antles d. W. Turner, W.O.; HI. A. Koch d. HI. Weisman, 6/3, 6/1.

First round-P. M. McCullough d. B. Capen, W. O.; Williams d. J. Buchanan, W. O.; A. H. Scribner d. J. Webster, $6 / 1,6 / 2 ;$ M. T. Swartz d. B. Smythe, $6 / 0,6 / 3$; K. Howe d. F. Lynn, 6/4, 6/2; J. F. Kennedy d. E. Cudahy, $6 / 1,6 / 0$; M. Colpetzer d. J. Adams, $6 / 0,6 / 1$; J. W. Hughes d. H. Readington, 6/4, 7/5; S. S. Caldwell d. C. Potter, 6/3, 7/5; L. S. McConnell d. R. Rainey, 6/4, 7/5; W. M. Wood d. J. R. Megley, 6/1, $6 / \mathrm{s}$; C. H. Young d. G. Wallerstead, $6 / 1,6 / 2 ;$ H. Becket d. J. Madden, $6 / 4,6 / 4$; K. Farrell d. P. Antles, W. O.; H. Koch d. L. Kountze, 6/1, $6 / 3$; M. C. Buck d. Rev. Tyner, 6/3, 4/6, 6/1.

Second round-Williams d. McCullough, 6/2, 6/1; Scribner d. Swartz, $6 / 0,6 / 3$; Kennedy d. Howe, 6/1, 6/1; Colpetzer d. Hughes, 6/4, 6/4; Caldwell d. McConnell, 6/2, 3/6, 6/4; Young d. Wood, 6/1, 6/i; Beckett d. Farrell, 3/6, 6/1, 6/3; Koch d. Buck, 6/2, 6/1.

Third round-Scribner d. Williams, 6/1, 6/4; Colpetzer d. Kennedy, $6 / 3,6 / 4$; Caldwell d. Young, 4/6, 9/7, 6/3; Koch d. Beckett, 8/6, 6/2.

Semi-final round-Scribner d. Colpetzer, $7 / 5,4 / 6,6 / 4$; Koch d. Caldwell, $6 / 1,6 / 3$.

Final round-Scribner d. Koch, 6/2, 6/4, 6/4.
Challenge round-Scribner (challenger) d. A. C. Potter (holder), 6/4, $2 / 6,6 / 1,3 / 6,6 / 3$.

## MEN'S DOUBLES.

Preliminary round-M. Swartz and L. S. McConnell d. J. A. Railey and R. J. Williams, 6/3, $7 / 5$; F. M. McConnell and H. Beckett d. F. Heyn and F. Stebbins, $6 / 4,2 / 6,9 / 7$; R. Farrell and H. Farrell d. R. Howe and Rev. Tyner, $6 / 8,6 / 1,6 / 3 ;$ J. Adams and H. Beckett d. J. A. C. Kennedy and H. Readington, W. O.; H. Davis and S. Susman d. C. H. Gardner and P. McCullough, 6/3, 6/i; H. Kohn and J. W. Itughes d. M. C. Buck and R. McCague, $6 / 3,6 / 3 ;$ F. Dufrene and C. Potter d. G. Wallerstead and H. Wallerstead, W. O.

First round-T. F. Kennedy and S. Burns d. J. Gardner and R. McCullough, W. O.; S. S. Caldwell and F. Ilaskell d. E. Cudahy and I. Madden, $6 / 3,6 / 2$; M. Swartz and L. McConnell d. F. McConnell and H. Beckett, 6/1, 6/4; J. Adams and Beckett d. R. Farrell and II. Farrell,

Top Row-A. C. Bostwick and A. Holmes (Nice); Bergmann and G. O. Wagner (Mentone). Bottom Row-Mrs. Nutcombe Quicke and Miss Salusbury (Nice); Miss J. Tripp and Miss M. Tripp (M,
REPRESENTING NICE AND MENTONE IN INTER-CITY MATCH.
W. O.; H. Kohn and J. W. Hughes d. S. Susman and H. Davis, 6/1, $6 / 8,6 / 2 ;$ M. Colpetzer and C. Powell d. F. Dufrene and Potter, 6/4, 6/3; R. Thompson and S. Webster d. C. Abbott and Dr. Thompson, 7/5, 6/4; A. H. Scribner and C. II. Young d. R. Rainey and W. Wood, 6/4, 7/5.

Second round-Burns and Kennedy d. Caldwell and Haskell, 6/1, 8/6; Swartz and McConnell d. Adams and Beckett, 6/2, 6/4; Colpetzer and Powell d. Kohn and Hughes, 8/6, 4/6, 6/1; Scribner and Young d. Thompson and Webster, $6 / 2,6 / 3$.

Semi-final round-Burns and Kennedy d. Swartz and McConnell, 6/4, $3 / 6,6 / 4$; Scribner and Young d. Colpetzer and C. Powell, 6/3, 7/5.

Final round-Scribner and Young d. Burns and Kennedy, 4/6, 6/2, $3 / 6,6 / 3,8 / 6$.

## Long Island Championship.

William B. Cragin, Jr., again won the Long Island Championship title by defeating Samuel A. Westfall, winner of the tournament, in the challenge round. The tournament was held on June 6 and following days on the courts of the Kings County Tennis Club, Brooklyn, N. Y.

In the third round Marry C. Martin defeated Henry Mollenhauer in straight sets, $6 / 3,6 / 4$. This was a pretty match to watch, both men hitting lard and placing well. W. M. Hall had little trouble in defeating C. G. Plimpton, $6 / 2,6 / \mathrm{r}$. Westfall lost a set to Joseph T. McMahon, $9 / 7$, but the latter was unable to stand the pace, and Westfall won the next two sets, $6 / 0,6 / 3$.

Martin defeated Hall i:ح the semi-final round in a three-set match. Martin won the first set, $6 / 3$, but Hall rallied in the second, winning it at love. Martin braced up in the third, winning the set and match, $6 / 3$. Voshell took the first set from Westfall in this round, at $6 / 2$. He played well, but was unable to keep up his "good streak," and Westfall won the next two sets, 6/3, 6/2.

In the final round Westfall had a hard match with Martin, winning in four sets, the last two going to deuce. Martin was expected to win, but Westfall was much the steadier of the two, and pulled out, at critical moments. The match was close and might have been anybody's until the end. The score was $6 / 2,4 / 6,8 / 6,9 / 7$.

Westfall started out well in the challenge round, winning the first set, $6 / 2$, but Cragin settled down to work and won the next, 6/4. The next set went to Cragin, 10/8, after a hard fight. Westfall went to pieces in the fourth set and Cragin had little trouble in winning, $6 / 2$.

In the sem-final round of the doubles event Mollenhauer and McMahon defeated Williston and Anderson, 6/4, 4/6, 6/o. Voshell and Boggs defeated Sankey and Westfall in this round, $11 / 9,3 / 6,6 / 3$.

Mollenhauer and McMahon won the final round, defeating Voshell and Boggs, $6 / 4,6 / 3,7 / 5$. The latter team put up a good fight, but were unable to take a set.
j . W. Anderson won the consolation singles event, defeating George S . Groesbeck in the final round, $10 / 8,6 / 3,6 / 2$. The summaries:

## MEN'S SIN゙GLES.

Preliminary round-H. C. Martin d. D. V. Peiser, 6/3, 6/4; M. S. Charlock d. H. S. Burroughs, $10 / 8,6 / 2$; I. A. Sankey d. Dr. William Rosenbaum, by default; R. H. Boggs d. F. B. Ogilvie, by default.

First round-J. W. Anderson d. G. F. Touchard, by default; W. Merrill Hall d. E. S. Barber, by default; C. G. Plimpton d. F. C. Baggs, by default; G. J. Steinacher d. H. Norton, by default; H. Mollenhauer d. S. H. Rowe, $6 / 3,6 / 2$; L. J. Dreyfuss d. A. W. Smith, by default; H. M. Hobart d. C. L. Mitchell, 6/4, 6/1; Martin d. Charlock, $7 / 5,6 / 2$; Boggs d. Sankey, 6/4, 6/3; C. Chambers d. P. Foster. 6/o, 6/2; Dr. Ross d. C. W. Gardner, by default; S. II. Voshell d. R. L. Baggs, 6/3, 6/4; S. A.

Westfall d. A. L. Williston, 6/4, 6/3; Dr. Waugh d. E. L. Blumenschein, by default; A. P. Abbe d. H. L. Westfall, by default; J. F. McMahon d. G. S. Groesbeck, 6/1, 6/2.

Second round-Hall d. Anderson, 6/1, 8/6; Plimpton d. Steinacher, 6/3, 6/4; Mollenhauer d. Dreyfuss, 6/0, 6/3; Martin d. Hobart, 6/1, 6/3; Boggs d. Chambers, 6/8, 6/1, 6/4; Voshell d. Ross, 6/2, 6/o; S. A. Westfall d. Waugh, 6/2, 6/2; McMahon d. Abbe, 6/2, 6/3.

Third round-Hall d. Plimpton, $6 / 2,6 / \mathrm{r}$; Martin d. Mollenhauer, 6/3, 6/4; Voshell d. Boggs, 6/4, 6/3; S. A. Westfall d. McMahon, 7/9, 6/0, 6/3. Semi-final round-Martin d. Hall, $6 / 3,0 / 6,6 / 3$; S. A. Westfall d. Voshell, $2 / 6,6 / 3,6 / 2$.

Final round-S. A. Westfall d. Martin, 6/2, 4/6, 8/6, 7/9.

## MEN'S DOUBLES.

First round-Dr. Ross and S. S. Edmonds d. R. D. Richey and partner, by default; A. L. Williston and J. W. Anderson d. L. J. Dreyfuss and P'. Foster, 14/12, 6/2; H. Mollenhauer and McMahon d. M. S. Charlock and Campbell, 7/5, 1/6, 6/3; Trask and Rosenbaum d. Heinken and McCarroll, by default; Voshell and Boggs d. Martin and Touchard, by default; Chambers and Abbe d. Roberts and Crafts, $6 / 3,6 / 8,6 / 3$; Sankey and Westfall d. Ogilvie and Burrows, 6/2, 6/4; Peiser and Baggs d. Blumenschein and Steinacher, 6/4, 6/2.

Second round-Williston and Anderson d. Ross and Edmonds, 6/4, 6/3; Mollenhauer and McMahon d. Trask and Rosenbaum, 6/3, 6/4; Voshell and Boggs d. Chambers and Abbe, 6/2, 2/6, 6/o; Sankey and Westfall d. Peiser and Baggs, 8/10. 6/2, 10/8.

Semi-final round-Mollenhauer and McMahon d. Williston and Anderson, $6 / 4,4 / 6,6 / 0$; Voshell and Boggs d. Sankey and Westfall, 11/9, 3/6, 6/3.

Final round-Mollenhauer and McMahon d. Voshell and Boggs, 6/4, $6 / 3,7 / 5$.

## Annual Chevy Chase Tournament.

The annual invitation sprin: tournament of the Chevy Chase Club of Washington, D. C., attracted several well-known Eastern players, among whom were W. F. Johnson, G. F. Touchard, L. E. Mahan and H. E. Doyle. As was expected, Touchard and Johnson had little trouble in coming through, but the result of their meeting furnished a decided surprise. Touchard played unusually well for so early in the season, while Johnson showed plainly the need of practise. The New Yorker won the first set after a hard struggle, but Johnson won the second very easily. The third saw Johnson badly outclassed and the fourth was not much better. Touchard, throughout the match, came into the net and, try as he would, Johnson could not pass him. The latter's attempt to assume his opponent's methods in the last set proved disastrous in the extreme, for Touchard passed him consistently and had all the better of the rallies. The challenge round was a more even contest than the final had been, but C. B. Doyle, the defender, had one fault which proved a vulnerable spot for Touchard's drives. The former had but poor control of his backhand, and beyond a high floating chop and rather short lob could make no stroke from that side of his court. The score was $6 / 4,6 / 3,4 / 6,6 / 3$.
L. E. Mahan and W. F. Johnson proved an excellent doubles combination, and in the final round made short work of Touchard and W. H. Connell. The latter did the bulk of the work for his team, but Mahan's severe smashes and sound volleying kept the losing pair constantly on the defensive.

Much to the surprise of every one that tried and well-matched pair, the Doyle brothers, went down to Mahan and Johnson in the challenge round
in straight sets. They suffered from an off day on their net play, and as they were no match for their opponents in other respects the result was inevitable.

## West Side Championship.

By his wonderful steadiness and untiring persistency, Ross Burchard defeated Fred C. Inman in the final round of the West Side Tennis Club championship, held on May 14. Inman was very erratic and for this reason was unable to do anything with his steady opponent.

I:1 the semi-final round Burchard lost a set to Cushman, but rallied in the second and won the match, $4 / 6,7 / 5,6 / 3$. Inman defeated John Tomlinson, Jr., 6/3, 9/7. The latter put up a liard fight in the second set, but was unable to win.

## MEN'S SINGLES.

First round-Joseph Condon d. Edgar W. Leonard, 6/4, 6/4; J. W. Travell d. Edgar A. Lowther, 7/5. 18/16; Ross Burchard d. J. O. Billings, 6/2, $7 / 5$; E. P. Fischer d. W. C. De Mille, 6/3, 8/6; F. P. Fuller d. E. P. Moen, 3/6. $8 / 6,6 / 1$; W. W. Washburn d. G. M. Bodman, 6/2, 6/4; Groesbeck d. Seymour Johnson, 6/1, 8 6; J. P. Cushman d. J. 1'. Hogues, 6/1, 6/0; D. S. Prentice d. W. D. Browne, 6/1, 6/3; L. H. Fitch d. Harry Parker, $6 / 1,6 / 1$; Fred Inman d. G. A. L. Dionne. 3/6, 8/6, 10/8; Ewing Taylor d. H. P. Homans, 6/o, 3/6, 7/5; L. E. Hatzfeld d. M. S. Hagar, $6 / 3,6 / 3$; John Tomlinson, Jr., d. Rolf Floyd, 4/6, 8/6, 6/3; Newton Rae d. T. E. Tomlinson, 6/o, 6/3.

Second round-Condon d. Travell, 6/2, 6/1; Burchard d. Fischer, 6/4, 6/3: Fuller d. Washburn, 6/4, 8/6; Cushman d. Groesbeck, 6/o, 6/3; Fitch d. Prentice, $1 / 6,8 / 6,8 / 6$; Inman d. Taylor, 6/3, 6/2; Tomlinson d. ILatzfeld, $3 / 6,6 / 4,6 / 2$; Rae d. Swain, $7 / 5,6 / 4$.

Third round-Burchard d. Condon, $3 / 6,6 / 1,6 / 1$; Cushman d. Fuller, 6/1, 6/1; Inman d. Fitch, 6/2, 6/0; Tomlinson d. Rae, 6/3. 9/7.

Semi-final round-Burchard d. Cushman, $4 / 6,7 / 5,6 / 3$; Inman d. Tomlinson, $6 / 3,9 / 7$.

## MEN'S DOUBLES.

First round-S. Johnson and Hatzfeld d . Lowther and Travell, 6/2, 6/4; Hackett and Alexander d. Bodman and partner, by default; O. M. Bostwick and A. I. Shaw d. J. C. and T. E. Tomlinson, 6/2, 6/1; E. W. and C. R. Leonard d. O. J. Cummock and J. P. Lee, 6/3, 6/4; Fischer and Burchard d. Fitch and Dionne, $6 / 3,6 / 2 ;$ C. R. Gardner and Prentice d. Hagar and Condon, $6 / 1,6 / 4$; Moen and Newcombe d. Taylor and Billings. $6 / 3,6 / 4 ;$ W. D. Browne and partner d. C. R. Leonard and partner, by default.

Second round-Hackett and Alexander d. Johnson and Hatzfeld, 6/2, $6 / 2$; Bostwick and Shaw d. Leonard brothers, by default; Fischer and Burchard d. Gardner and Prentice, 7/5, 4/6, 7/5; Moen and Newcombe d. W. D. Browne and partner, by default.

Semi-final round-Hackett and Alexander d. Bostwick and Shaw, 6/o, 7/5; Fischer and Burchard d. Moen and Newcombe, 6/3, 6/3.

Final round-Hackett and Alexander d. Fischer and Burchard, 6/0, 7/5, 6/2.

Final round-Dionne d. Billings, 6/1, 6/0, 6/2.

## The Bedford Park Event.

With the exception of C. R. Gardner the entry for the annual spring tournament of the Bedford Park Club was practically the same as that of a year ago.

The final round provided a great five-set affair between Gardner and Pell. It was fast, clean tennis from beginning to end, and there was but little to choose between winner and loser. The last was the best set of all. Neither man could gain much advantage until, at $5 \cdot$ all, Pell slipped and fell heavily. From then on the Californian had matters all in his favor, for Pell seemed unable to recover from the shaking up he had received. The challenge round was not played until early in October, and again it was a fight from start to finish. Gardner won the first two sets, but in each Touchard, the holder had at one time a commanding lead. The third and fourth were one-sided, and in the fifth Touchard ran up to $5 / 1$ and $40 / 15$. At that point Gardner began to creep up, and with the aid of a lucky drive or two ran out six straight games.

The doubles did not attract as many good teams as might have been expected, but the final between Gardner and W. II. Connell and W. B. Cragin, Jr., and W. M. Hall was well worth watching. On one side steady plugging combined with excellent team work opposed brilliancy on the other and the result was in favor of the former. Connell played splendidly, but Gardner did not approach even average form, and Cragin and Hall were never in danger. They won in four sets. The summaries:

## MEN'S SINGLES.

First round-L. H. Fitch d. H. H. Knight, 6/r, 6/3; Dr. W. Rosenbaum d. James F. Coudon, $6 / 3,3 / 6,6 / 4 ;$ H. Parker d. L. J. Grant, by default; Dr. B. F. Drake d. E. H. Janes, by default; Hilton d. H. C. Skinner, 6/o, $6 / 2$; W. C. Grant d. W. L. Pate, $6 / 2,4 / 6,6 / 4$; H. Mollenhauer d. C. Ammerman, by default; C R. Gardner d. C. W. Smith, by default; G. B. Raymond d. W. B. Cragin, by default; E. Leo d. F. C. Baggs, 6/3, 6/4; G. Hamlin d. C. G. Plympton, by default; M. Fox d. A. T. Tamblyn, by default; G. Brinckerhoff d. G. Steinacher, by default; N. Johnson d. W. Touissant, 6/o, 6/3; H. P. Fitzpatrick d. W. Connell, by default; S. H. Voshell d. R. D. Richey, by default; G. Groesbeck d. H. Steinacher, 5/7, $6 / 4,6 / 2$; B. Phillips d. J. Oskison, by default ; N. H. Bundy d. R. Stantial, 6/4, 6/r; A. J. Osterdorf d. Dr. Travell, by default; E. Bassford d. J. Steinacher, by default; R. F. Woods d. J. Cushman, by default; W. L. O'Brien d. R. T. Bryan, by default; T. R. Pell d. W. M. Hall, by default; D. Peiser d. A. Scheffer, 6/4, 6/3; Morris Clark d. James O'Neale, by default; C. A. Anderson d. F. P. Fox, 6/4, 6/4; J. Lowther d. C. M. Schubert, by default; F. F. Walsh d. S. Hartman, 8/6, 2/6, 7/5; E. Blumenschein d. R. Baggs, by default; H. Kyte d. O. C. A. Child, 10/8, 6/4.

Second round-Rosenbaum d. Fitch, 4'6, 6/2, 6/3; Drake d. Parker, $6 / 1,6 / o$; Hilton d. Beals, by default; Mollenhauer d. Grant, 6/4, 2/6, 7/5; Gardner d. Raymond, 6/o, 6/1; Leo d. Hamlin, 6/1, 6/2; Brinckerhoff d. Fox, $9 / 7,6 / 0$; Johnson d. Fitzpatrick, $6 / 1,6 / 3 ;$ G. S. Groesbeck d. Yoshell, 8/6, 6/2; Phillips d. Bundy, 7/5, 6/4; Bassford d. Ostendorf, 6/1, $6 / 4 ;$ O'Brien d. Woods, by default; Pell d. Pieser, 6/2, 6/3; Anderson d. Clark, by default; Walsh d. Lowther, $6 / 3,2 / 6,10 / 8$; Blumenschein $d$. Kyte, 6/2, 2/6, 6/3.

Third round-Rosenbaum d. Drake, by default; Mollenhauer d. Hilton, $6 / 0,6 / 2 ;$ Gardner d. Leo, 6/3, 6/4; Brinckerhoff d. Johnson, 6/4, 6/4; Phillips d. Groesbeck, 6/4, 6/2; Bassford d. O'Brien, 8/6, 6/8, 6/3; Pell d. Anderson, $61,6 / 1$; Walsh d. Blumenschein, $8 / 6,6 / 4$.

Fourth round-Rosenbaum d. Mollenhauer, 6/4, 6/4; Gardner d. Brinckernoff, 6/3, 6/1; Phillips d. Bassford, by default; Pell d. Walsh, 6/1, 6/3.

Semi－final round－Gardner d．Rosenbaum，6，＇3，6／4；Pell d．Phillips， 6／0，6／2．

Final round－Gardner d．Pell， $68,64,6$ 3，1／6，8， 6.

## MEN＇S DOL゙BLES．

First round－Fitch and Bundy d．Hilton and Parker，5／r，6／1；Pell and Mollenhauer d．Baggs and I＇aggs，6／2，7 5；Hall and Cragin d．Phillips and Leo， $17 / 15,6 / 1$ ；Groesbeck and Blumenschein d．Hartman and Coudon， by default；Grant and Rosenbaum d．Oskison and Toussaint，6／2，6／3： Kaymond and Ostendorf $d$ ．Lowther and Travell，6／4，6／4；Gardner and Conncll d．Steinkamp and Walsh，by default；Voshell and J．Steinacher d． Peiser and Mellick，6／3，6／3．

Second round－Pell and Mollenhauer d．Fitch and Bundy，6／4，6／2； Hall and Cragin d．Groesbeck and Blumensclein， $4^{\prime} 6,6 / 0,61$ ；Grant and Rosenbaum d．Raymond and Ostendorf， $6 / 2,6 / 2$ ；Gardner and Connell d． Voshell and Steinacher， $1 / 6,6 / 3,6 ' 4$ ．

Semi－final round－Hall and Cragin d．Pell and Mollenhauer，6／4，3／6， $6 / 3$ ；Gardner and Connell d．Grant and Rosenbaum， 7 5，2，6，6／2．

Final round－Hail and Cragin d．Gardner and Connell，6／4， 6 ， 5／8，6／4

## Southampton Tournament．

It was California＇s week at Southampton，when，on August 8，the Meadow Club began its annual invitation tournament．Hendrick and Bundy，the national champions＇challengers，and Maurice McLoughlin competed，and in each event representatives of the Pacific Coast took their part in the final matches．Beside the Westerners the East was，as usual，strongly repre－ sented，among those competing being N．W．Niles，F．C．Colston，W．F． Johnson and C．R．Gardner．Upsets were quite numerous，and in the first round a notable one occurred when J．D．E．Jones，the veteran Rhode Island champion，played cleverly against W．F．Johnson，winning in a three－set match．A little later veteran E．P．Fischer went down before W．P．Blagden in a one－sided affair，and R．A．Holden，Jr．，came within a point of ending the aspirations of Gardner．In the following round another remarkable form reversal took place when the youngster，Dean Mathey，completely outclassed his famous rival，R．H．Palmer，winning in straight sets，allowing Palmer but two games in the first set and one in the second．McLoughlin earned his way into the semi－final bracket in a match which was admittedly the best of the meeting．His opponent was N．W．Niles，and the latter，though defeated，had victory within his grasp on more than one occasion．In the first set McLoughlin came within a point of winning when he led at $7 / 6$ and $40 / 15$ ，but a series of perfect drives from the Bostonian evened the score and a moment later the latter took the set at $9 / 7$ ．In the second set McLoughlin controlled the situation from beginning to end．Niles was played off his feet，and at no time did he have a chance．Beginning the third set with his own service，the ex－inter－ collegiate champion led at $2 / 1$ ，when，by passing McLoughlin in faultless style，he broke through the latter＇s delivery on the fourth game．This， followed by his own service，gave him the lead of $4 / 1$ ．The handicap， however，only acted as a stimulant to McLoughlin，and by setting a pace which it was a physical impossibility for Niles to compete against，he ran out five straight games．Another match which came in the nature of a surprise was Colston＇s defeat of Gardner in the same round．The Mary－ lander＇s hard－hitting yet，withal，accurate game was too much for his tall opponent in the first set，the latter winning but two games．The score of
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1. Herr H. Kleinschroth, with Frau Neresheimer, won every Open Mixed except at Nice; 2, Herr F. W. Rahe, who, at the Beau Sete and Cannes Club Tournaments, beat M. Max Decugis in the Open Singles.
the second set was just reversed, however, due to Gardner's excellent placing; but in the third, successful attempts of difficult shots and remarkably steady play enabled Colston to lead at $5 / 1$. Gardner got the seventh game, but the match ended when Colston took the eighth. Another good match was that played in the semi-final round between McLoughlin and Bundy. As is always the case when these two meet, Bundy's peculiar service was too much for McLoughlin, and, getting under it with remarkable quickness, he practically volleyed his way through the opening set, winning at $6 / 3$. In the second and third McLoughlin seemed better able to handle his opponent's service, and by hard, sure driving and faultless net play the second set went to him by a score of $6 / 4$. Largely due to Bundy's errors in the deciding set, and altogether different play, McLoughlin won at $6 / 2$. In the other bracket Mathey, after getting in each set to $5 / 3$, was overhauled by Colston, the latter winning at $8 / 6,7 / 5$. Unfortunately for Colston, when he faced McLoughlin in the final, he ran into one of the latter's phenomenally brilliant streaks. Playing with terrific speed and no less certainty, McLoughlin gave his opponent not the slightest chance, and the best the latter could do was to get two games in the first set, one in the second and two in the third. This meager score was in no way due to weak play of his, for nothing was too difficult for McLoughlin to accomplish, and, in combination with his really remarkable speed, his steadiness was bewildering.

The first match of importance in doubles was that between Niles and Dabney and Finck and Watson, and, to the surprise of all, the latter accomplished the Eastern champion's downfall in straight sets, $6 / 3,6 / 4$. This was in no small measure due to an erratic streak of Dabney's, for time and again he fell down miserably on the simplest shots. McLoughlin paired up with Champion Larned in this event, and at no time were they very hard pressed on their way to the finals. In the semi-final round they faced Palmer and Johnson, and the match proved little more than a work-out for the new combination. Bundy and Hendrick had almost as easy a time coming through the opposite side, winning from Lyon and Colston in the semi-final round in straight sets. A great five-set match was then played between Larned and McLoughlin and Bundy and Hendrick. A more even battle could not have been desired, and, with the exception of an erratic streak by Larned once or twice, the tennis played by all four was excellent. It was a case of service throughout the first set, but, in the twelfth game, Hendrick's service was won by the champion and his young partner, and a moment later the first set went to the latter. In the second, the order of things was just reversed. At 4 -all McLoughlin's service was won by Hendrick and Bundy, and with Bundy's next the challengers from the Coast had little difficulty in evening the score. The third and fourth were both close, Larned and McLoughlin winning the third and their opponents the fourth. In the fifth at 4 -all on Larned's service, the champion fell down badly on three easy lobs, and it looked for a moment as though the match was about ended. In fact, had not Bundy hesitated long enough to allow a ball that was going out to strike his racket, the result might have been different. As it was, Larned and McLoughlin finally pulled out the game, and Bundy's delivery was then won by them for the match.

## Bronxville Tournament.

G. F. Touchard won the Bronxville championship, which he lost in 1909 to G. M. Church. Both he and Pell had little trouble in reaching the final round. There was a large entry list, but Touchard and Pell were the only first-class players in it.

In the first round there were three closely contested matches. B. Hammett defeated O'Brien, 7/9, $12 / 10,6 / 3$; William Connell defeated L. H. Roper, $4 / 6,6 / 3,8 / 6$, and Latimar won from Sears, $6 / 3,4 / 6,7 / 5$.

In the semi-finals Touchard won from Johnston at $6 / 4,3 / 6,6 / 4$. He played carelessly and Johnson, who was playing hard, might have won if Touchard had not been able to get back to his game at the end of the match. Pell won from Clark at $6 / 2,7 / 5$. He played well and, although Clark tried hard in the second set, there was never any doubt as to the outcome.

The final round was played on a very hot day. Neither Touchard nor Pell seemed able to exert themselves. After a long, hard fight, Touchard won by the score of $3 / 6,6.2,2 / 6,6 / 0,7 / 5$.

The day of the challenge round was also extremely hot, but Touchard completely outclassed his opponent, Church, and won by the score of $6 / 1$, $6 / 2,7 / 5$. After the second set a rest was taken and Church played considerably better in the third. Touchard, however, proved too strong for him and thus regained the Bronxville title.

Church and Pell came through to the final round in the doubles event with little difficulty, meeting Rosenbaum and Phillips in the final. The closest matches in this event were when Rosenbaum and Phillips defeated Voshell and Baggs, $9 / 7,4 / 6,7 / 5$, and Clark and Sands won from Leo and Tomlinson, $10 / 8$, $11 / 13,7 / 5$.

In the semi-final round Church and Pell defeated Tobey and Pyatt by default and Plillips and Rosenbaum defeated Clark and Sands, 7/5, 6/3.

Pell and Church had not much difficulty in disposing of Phillips and Rosenbaum in the final round. The score was $6 / 4,6 / 3,6 / 4$.

Miss Marie Wagner won the ladies' singles easily, coming through without losing a set. In the final round she won from Miss C. Cassell, $6 / 2,6 / 1$.

## MEN'S SINGLES.

Preliminary round-A. S. Cragin d. Stanley Ward, by default; G. A. L. Dionne d. D. F. Appleton, 6/o, 6/2; P. Foerster d. Lutkins, 6/1, 6/0; J. D. Burns d. D. Lawrence, by default; Rae d. W. Van Vleck, by default; A. Bassford d. Roundy, by default; A. F. Latimer d. A. H. Johnson, by default; Sears d. Baggs, by default; Touchard d. Barrell, by default; Trask d. Finck, by default; Washburn d. Toby, 6/2, 6/2; Sands d. Galway, by default; Salenger d. Peiser, by default; Auger d. Graves, by default; Granger d. Wupperman, 7/5. 6/3; Bassford d. Van Steenburg, 6/2, 6/1.

First round-Dionne d. Cragin, by default; Foerster d. Burns, 1/6, 6/2, 7/5; H. Bassford d. Rae, by default; Latimer d. Sears, 6/3, 4/6, 7/5; Pell d. Banks, 6/2, 6/1; Rogers d. Goodrich, 6/1, 6/2; Ehrich d. Del Mar, $6 / 0,6 / 1$; Groesbeck $d$. Tomlinson, by default; W. V. Bennett d. Dimes, by default; Irake d. Kebler, by default; Colton d. Robertson, 6/4, 8/6; Clark d. G. C. Plimpton, by default; Voshell d. Fall, 6/3, 6/2; Barnes d. W. H. Blandy, by default; Despard d. Roland, by default; Leo d. H. Plimpton, by default; Hammett d. O'Brien, 7/9, $12 / 10,6 / 3$; W. H. Connell d. L. H. Roper, $4 / 6,6.3,8 / 6 ;$ F. C. Baggs d. H. Burt, by default; Hathaway d. Raymond, 6/4, 64 ; F. P. Fox d. D. Emery, by default; C. L. Johnston d. J. Robertson, 6/3, 8/6; Phillips d. T. F. Walsh, 6/2, 6/4; Major d. C. Black, $6 / 1,6 / 3$; Ostendorf d. Parker, $6 / 2,6 / 2$; K. Smith d. O. C. A. Child, $6 / \mathrm{r}, 6 / 0$; Rosenbaum d. Beckley, by default; Britton d. Stewart, by default; Touchard d. Trask, by default; Washburn d. Sands, $6 / 3,6 / 2$; Salenger d. Auger, $4 / 6,6 / 1,6 / 2$; Bassford d. Granger, $6 / 0,6 / 1$.

Second round-Dionne d. Foerster, 6/2, 6/0; A. Bassford d. Latimer, $6 / 0,3 / 6,6 / 2 ;$ Pell d. Rogers, 6/4, 6/3; Groesbeck d. Ehrich, 6/2, 6/4; Drage d. Bennett, by default; Clark d. Colton, 7/5, 6/2; Yoshell d. Barnes, 6/o, 6/I; Leo d. Despard, 6/0, 6/4; Hammett d. Connell, 4/6, 6/2, 6/1; Baggs d. Hathaway. $6 / 4,6 / 3$; Johnston d. Fox, $4 / 6,6 / 2,6 / 1$; Phillips d. Major, 6/3, 7/5; Smith d. Ostendorf, 7/5, 6/4; Rosenbaum d. Britton, 3/6, $6 / 2,6 / 4$; Touchard d. Washburn, $6 / 3,6 / 4$; Bassford d. Salenger, $6 / 2,6 / 3$.

Third round-Dionne d. H. Bassford, 6/1, 6/2; Pell d. Groesbeck, 6/3, 6/2; Clark d. Drake, 6/o, 6/4; Voshell d. Leo, 7/5, 2/6, 6/1; Hammett d.

Baggs, 6/2, 6/4; Johnston d. Phillips, 1/6, 6/3, 7/5; Smith d. Rosenbaum, 10/8, 4/6, 9/7; Touchard d. Bassford, 4/6, 6/o, 6/4.

Fourth round-Pell d. Dionne, 6/2, 7/5; Clark d. Voshell, 1/6, 6/4, 6/2; Touchard d. Smith, 6/1, 6/4.

Semi-final round-Pell d. Clark, 6/2, 7/5; Touchard d. Johnston, 6/4, 3/6, 6/4.

Final round-Touchard d. Pell, 3/6, 6/2, 2/6, 6/0, $7 / 5$.
Challenge round-Touchard d. Church, 6/1, 6/2, 7/5.

## MEN'S DOUBLES.

First round-Salenger and Graff d. Peiser and Plimpton, by default; Bodman and Fox d. Van Steenberg and Granger, 6/4, 4/6, 6/3; Touchard and Connell d. Black and Roper, 6/4, 3/6, $13 / 12$; Church and Pell d. Trask and partner, by default; Parker and Van Vleck d. Tomlinson and partner, by default; Robertson and Robertson d. Smith and partner, by default; Tobey and Pyatt d. Burns and Goodrich, $3 / 6,7 / 5,6 / 3$; Ferris and Beekman d. Bassford and partner, by default; Baggs and Voshell d. Foerster and Ehrich, 6/1, 6/4; Groesbeck and Bassford d. Wupperman and Wupperman, 6/o, 6/1; Phillips and Rosenbaum d. Latimer and Britton, $1 / 6,6 / 2,6 / 3$; Johnston and Major d. Drake and partner, by default; Hammett and Warden d. R. L. Baggs and partner, by default; Leo and Tomlinson d. Washburn and Washburn, 6/4, 6/2; Auger and O'Brien d. Parker and partner, by default; Clark and Sands d. Raymond and Ostendorf, by default.

Second round-Bodman and Fox d. Salenger and Graff, 6/4, 7/5; Church and Pell d. Touchard and Connell, 6/o, 2/6, 6/3; Robertson and Robertson d. Parker and Van Vleck, 6/4, 6/2; Tobey and Pyatt d. Ferris and Beekman, by default; Baggs and Voshell d. Groesbeck and Bassford, by default; Phillips and Rosenbaum d. Johnston and Major, by default; Leo and Tomlinson d. IIammett and Warden, 6/2, 6/2; Clark and Sands d. Auger and O'Brien, 6/4, 7/5.

Third round-Church and Pell d. Bodman and Fox, 6/1, 6/0; Tobey and Pyatt d. Robertson and Robertson, by default; Phillips and Rosenbaum d. Baggs and Voshell, $9 / 7,4 / 6,7 / 5$; Clark and Sands d. Leo and Tomlinson, $10 / 8,11 / 13,7 / 5$.

Semi-final round-Church and Pell d. Tobey and Pyatt, by default; Phillips and Rosenbaum d. Clark and Sands, 7/5, 6/3.

Final round-Church and Pell d. Phillips and Rosenbaum, 6/4, 6/3, 6/4.

## LADIES' SIN゙GLES.

Preliminary round-Miss Marie Wagner d. Miss H. Burdick, by default; Miss G. Mischo d. Miss A. H. Martin, by default.

First round-Miss E. Holmes d. Miss Wufferman, 2/6, 6/3, 6/3; Mrs. Haight d. Miss Emily A. Dietz, 6/2, 6/2; Mrs. J. O. Blanchard d. Mrs. Charles Rustin, 6/o, 6/4; Miss Wagner d. Miss A. Hamilton, 6/1, 6/2; Miss Elsie Dietz d. Miss Mischo, by default; Miss M. Grove d. Miss de Gersdorff, by default; Miss Claire Cassell d. Mrs. C. Marvin, by default; Miss N. Waddelton d. Miss Elida Hamilton, 7/5, 6/2.

Second round-Miss Haight d. Miss Holmes, 6/o, 6/o; Miss Wagner d. Mrs. Blanchard, $6 / 1,6 / 3$; Miss Elsie Dietz d. Miss Grove, by default; Miss Cassell d. Miss Waddelton, 6/0, 6/1.

Semi-final round-Miss Wagner d. Mrs. Haight, 6/o, 6/r; Miss Cassell d. Miss Elsie Dietz, 6/1, 6/2.

Final round-Miss Wagner d. Miss Cassell, 6/2, 6/1.

## New York Tennis Club Tournament.

With a poorer field in point of class than it had ever drawn, the New York Lawn Tennis Club held its annual June tournament for the beautiful

Felipe Cup and Manhattan Bowls emblematic of the doubles championship of the city.

The singles entry, besides being a smaller one than ever before, failed to include a player of the class of Pell, LeRoy, Hackett and others who had heretofore taken part, and it was not surprising that Wylie C. Grant should be the one to challenge for the trophy presented by Jose Chaves. He faced F. C. Inman in the final round and to the surprise of everyone won in straight sets. Inman, however, failed to do himself justice and was hopelessly wild and unsteady. His occasional flashes of form were too infrequerit to aid him to any extent, and Grant simply played to return everything and allow his opponent to increase his total of errors.

The challenge round was not productive of startling or even fair tennis, but at that Grant was at no time dangerous to the holder, G. F. Touchard. The latter won the first two sets and let down in the third, but the fourth was his from beginning to end.

Needless to say no one in the doubles afforded more than fair practise for the national champions, who played through for a second leg on the valuable Manhattan Bowls. In the final they faced Grant and J. C. Parkes, but although Grant played exceptionally well, Parkes was quite outclassed and a straight set victory was recorded for the national champions. The summaries:

## MEN'S SINGLES.

First round-J. M. Steinacher d. L. J. Grant, by default; R. D. Richey d. II. N. Balch, 6/2, 6/4; W. B. Cragin, Jr., d. A. Scheffer, 6/0, 6/1; G. A. L. Dionne d. Charles Galwey, $6 / 3,6 / 3$; E. F. Leo d. H. Knight, $6 / \mathrm{r}$, $6 / 2$; S. II. Voshell d. S. Hartman, by default; L. J. Dreyfus d. N. J. Johnson, $6 / 1,6 / 3$; D. E. Roberts d. H. M. Hobart, $6 / 3,6 / 2 ;$ P. B. Hawk d. T. R. Pell, by default; L. H. Fitch d. P. Martin, 7/5, 7/5; R. L. Baggs d. B. F. 1)rake, 6/4, 6/4; W. C. Grant d. R. H. Eggleston, 6/r, 6/1; W. M. Hali d. William Rosenbaum, 6/2, 6/o; R. S. Bryan d. Mark Noble, 6/8, 6/0, 6/3.
Second round-L. M. Burt d. Irving Griffin, $6 / 0,6 / 0$; F. C. Inman d. F. C. Baggs, $4 / 6,6 / 1,6 / 1$; E. A. Gunther d. H. Kyte, 6/1, 6/3; C. P. Rice d. E. Blumenschein, 6/3, 10/8; J. Steinacher d. Robert Le Roy, by default; W. B. Cragin, Jr., d. Richey, $7 / 5,6 / 2$; Dionne d. Leo, by default; Voshell d. Dreyfus, $2 / 6,6 / 2,6 / 3$; Hawk d. Roberts, $6 / 3,6 / 4$; Fitch d. R. Lewers, $6 / 3,6 / 0 ;$ A. S. Groesbeck d. R. Taylor, 6/2, 6/0; R. H. Boggs d. D. E. Peiser, 6/3, 7/5; E. P. Fox d. P. Foester, 6/4, 6/4; W. L. Pate d. C. G. Plympton, 6/2, 6/3.

Third round-Inman d. Purt, 7/5, 6/1; Gunther d. Rice, 7/5, 6/2; Cragin d. Steinacher, $6 / 0,6 / 1$; Dionne d. Voshell, $6 / 2,6 / 4$; Grant d. Bryan, 6/2, 6/2; Boggs d. Groesbeck, 6/1, 4/6, 6/4; Pate d. Fox, by default.
Fourth round-Inman d. Gunther, 6/2, 6/3; Cragin d. Dionne, 8/6, 6/1; Grant d. Hawk, 6/2, 6/3; Pate d. Boggs, 4/6, 6/4, 6/2.

Semi-final round-Inman d. Cragin, 7/5, 6/2; Grant d. Pate, 6/1, 6/3.
Final round-Grant d. Inman, 6/2, 5/7, 8/6, 6/2.
Challenge round-G. F. Touchard d. W. C. Grant, 6/3, 10/8, 1/6, 6/2.

## MEN'S DOUBLES.

Preliminary round-P. B. Hawk and R. T. Bryan d. W. M. Hall and W. B. Cragin, Jr., by default.

First round-S. H. Voshell and E. F. Leo d. E. A. Gunther and C. P. Rice, by default; H. N. Balch and R. Martin d. W. Connell and partner, by default; W. C. Grant and Parkes d. R. M. Berkeley and D. E. Roberts, $3 / 6,6 / 3,6 / 3$; Hawk and Bryan d. Dreyfus and H. L. Ehrich, $8 / \mathrm{ro}$, $6 / 0,7 / 5 ; \mathrm{J}$. Steinacher and J. O'Neill d. E. Blumenschein and M. Noble, 11/9, $6 / 3$; H. H. Hackett and F. B. Alexander d. H. M. Hobart and R. E. Lewers, by default; L. H. Fitch and N. H. Bundy d. A. S. Groesbeck
and F. C. Boggs, $3 / 6,6 / 4,7 / 5$; G. F. Touchard and C. R. Gárdner d. William Rosenbaum and K. D. Richey, 9/7, 6/8, 6/4.

Third round-Voshell and Leo d. Balch and Martin, 6/2, 6/3; Grant and Parkes d. Hawk and Bryan, $7 / 5,6 / 3$; Hackett and Alexander d. Steinacher and O'Neill, $6 / 2,6 / 1$; Fitch and Bundy d. Touchard and Gardner, by default.

Semi-final round-Grant and Parkes d. Voshell and Leo, 6/3, 6/4; Hackett and Alexander d. Fitch and Bundy, 6/2, 6/2.

Final round-Hackett and Alexander d. Grant and Parkes, 6/2, 6/2, 6/2.

## San Francisco Championship.

The championship for the city of San Francisco was won by Maurice E. McLoughlin on June 18, thus giving him the title for the fourth consecutive time. M. II. Long won the tournament, but not without considerable trouble, against P . Hardman, one of the younger players. Hardman plays a billiant game at times, but he is apt to be erratic.

Long won his match in the sem-final round through the default of $R$. Greenberg. A. Rosenberg defeated F. Bass, 7/5, 6/3, easily keeping the lead from the start to the finish.

The final round, between Kosenberg (one of the leading players some years ago) and Long, was well worth while to the spectators. Rosenberg played cleverly, making Long work for every point, but he lacked the endurance of his younger opponent.

In the challenge round McLoughlin, the title holder, defeated Long in straight sets. There was a strong wind during the match, which evidently affected the games of both players. Neither of them were quite at the top of their games.

McLoughlin and Long easily won the doubles event without the loss of a set. In the final round they defeated the Rogers brothers, 6/0, 6/4. The Rogers brothers reached this round without losing a set. The summaries:

## MEN'S SINGLES.

Preliminary round-B. Nourse d. Dr. Weiss, 6/4, 6/0; M. H. Long d. B. Batkin, $6 / 2,6 / 3$; I'. Hardman d. W. Fottrell, $6 / 3,6 / 2$; R. Rosenberg d. E. Easton, 6/1, 9/7; J. Cassell d. E. Finigan, 1/6, 6/4, 6/4; Dr. Lovegrove d. F. Fottrell, $6 / 1,1 / 6,6 / 3$ :

First round-R. Greenberg d. Lieut. B. Ward, $6 / 3,5 / 7,6 / 3 ;$ H. Fuchs d. W. La Montaine, $6 / 1,6 / 2$; Nourse d. W. Knowlton, $6 / 3,6 / 2$; Long d. Hardman, $6 / 4,0 / 6,6 / 1$; Rosenberg d. Cassell, by default; F. Bass d. F. Guern, $4 / 6,6 / 3,6 / 4$; Lovegrove d. J. A. Code, $6 / 1,6 / 1$; C. Curley d. G. Janes, by default.

Second round-Greenberg d. Fuchs, 6/3, 9/7; Long d. Nourse, 6/1, 7/5; Rosenberg d. Lovegrove, $6 / 3,6 / 4$; 13ass d. Curley, by default.

Semi-final round-Long d. Greenberg, by default; Rosenberg d. Bass, 7/5, 6/3.

Final round-Long d. Rosenberg, 6/4, 6/2, 6/2.
Challenge round-M. E. McLoughlin d. M. II. Long, 6/4, 8/6, 6/4.

## LADIES' SINGLES.

Preliminary round-Miss G. Myer d. Miss A. Vodden, 6/3, 7/5; Mrs. Fletcher d. Miss E. Joost, 6/3, 7/5; Mrs. Neimeyer d. Miss B. Cutley, 6/0, 6/I; Miss D. Upham d. Miss Kelly, 6/1, 6/2.

First round-Miss A. Greenberg d. Miss B. Chase, by default; Miss Myer d. Mrs. Fletcher, $6 / 3,7 / 5$; Mrs. Neimeyer d. Mrs. Upham, 6/3, 6/4; Miss Speakman d. Mrs. Lawrence, 6/3, 6/2.

Semi-final round-Miss Myer d. Miss Greenberg, 6/3, 6/1; Mrs. Neimeyer d. Miss Speakman, 6/0, 6/1.

Final round-Miss Myer d. Miss Neimeyer, 6/1, 10/8.

## MEN'S DOUBLES.

First round-McLoughlin and Long d. Gaustric and Johnson, 6/r, 6/2; C. and E. Barker d. Marshall and Ketchum, by default; Noble and Mace d. Galusha and Moore, 6/3, 6/2; Horrell and Kobbe d. Dixon and Sheldon, 6/3, 3/6, 6/4; Bacon and Young d. Morphy and P. Barker, 6/2, 6/3; Sheldon and Sheldon d. Myers and Bingham, 6/3, 7/5; Holmes and Horrell d. Salisbury and Freeman, 6/2, 7/5; Rogers and Rogers d. Kendall and Timmerman, 6/3, 6/o.

Second round-McLoughlin and Long d. C. and E. Barker, 6/2, 6/4; Noble and Mace d. Horrell and Kobbe, 6/2, 6/4; Bacon and Young d. Sheldon and Sheldon, 6/1, 6/o; Rogers and Rogers d. Holmes and Horrell, $6 / 3,7 / 5$.

Semi-final round-McLoughlin and Long d. Noble and Mace, 6/4, 6/3; Rogers and Rogers d. Bacon and Young, 6/4, 8/6.

Final round-McLoughlin and Long d. Rogers and Rogers, 6/0, 6/4.

## MIXED DOUBLES.

First round-Miss May Sutton and T. C. Bundy d. Miss Johnson and Culler, 6/3, 6/2; Miss Scott and Baccn d. Miss Merrill and Weller, 6/1, 6/3; Miss Allen and Bingham d. Miss Stickrod and Freeman, 6/o, 6/2; Mrs. Bruce and Salisbury d. Miss F. Sutton and Sutton, 6/3, 6/3.

Semi-final round-Miss May Sutton and Bundy d. Miss Scott and Bacon, 6/1, 6/1; Mrs. Bruce and Salisbury d. Miss Allen and Bingham, 6/1, 7/5.

Final round-Miss May Sutton and Bundy d. Mrs. Bruce and Salisbury, $6 / 2,6 / 2$.

## Palm Beach Tournament

The second winter championship of Florida attracted none of the cracks who made the trip last year, and G. M. Church, the clever New York boy, went through the tournament without the loss of a set. There was no challenge match, due to the absence of the cup holder, B. C. Wright. The summaries:

## MEN'S SINGLES.

Preliminary round-J. D. E. Jones d. Quincy A. Shaw, Jr., by default; R. E. Racey d. W. F. Johnson, by default; Osborne Howes d. Freeman Smith, 6/1, 6/3; Gregory S. Bryan d. Harry Parker, 6/3, 6/4; Thos. Slidell d. Herbert Coppell, 6/1, 6/r; Q. A. Shaw McKean defaulted to Fred Leistikow; P. Fosdick d. S. K. Martin, Jr., 63, 6/4; A. W. Weld d. R. Clifford Black, $1 / 6,6 / 2,6 / 4$.

First round-E. H. Frank, Jr., d. H. H. Hackett, by default; C. R. Runyan d. R. J. Allyn, $6 / 1,6 / 2 ;$ George Myers Church d. Cyril Hatch, $6 / 0,6 / 1 ;$ H. A. Curtis d. W. R. Lewis, 6/3, $9 / 7$; E. H. S. Pendergast d. A. L. Hoskins, by default; Channing Wiley d. Jules Glaenzer, 6/o, 6/2; R. E. Racey d. Shaw, by default; Bryan d. Howes, 6/2, 6/2; Leistikow d. Slidell, $5 / 7,6 / 3 ;$ R. S. Townsend d. Hugh Inman, by default; R. M. Banks d. Payne Whitney, by default; A. S. Cragin d. G. F. Parrish, 6/4, 6/3; C. G. Dixon d. A. H. Revell, by default; Howard C. Brokaw d. R. E. Sard, 7/5, 7/5.

Second round-Runyan d. Frank, 6/2, 6/2; Church d. Curtis, 6/1, 6/3; Pendergast d. Wiley, $6 / 2,6 / 3$; R. E. Racey d. Bryan, 6/r, 6/2; Leistikow d. Weld, $6 / 4,6 / 3$; Buckwalter d. Townsend, 61, 6/2; Cragin d. Banks, 6/o, 6/2; Brokaw d. Dixon, 7/5, 6/2.

Third round-Church d. Runyan, 6/1, 6/2; Racey d. Pendergast, 6/1, 6/o; Leistikow d. Buckwalter, by default; Cragin d. Brokaw, 6/3, 7/5.

Semi-finals-Church d. Racey, 6,2, 7,5; Leistikow d. Cragin, 2, 6, 6/2, 6 .

Final round-George Myers Church d. F. Leistikow, 6/r, 6/3. 6/2.

## MEN'S DOUBLES.

First round-Gregory S. Bryan and R. E. Racey d. H. C. Brokaw and Hugh Inman, 6/1, 6/2; Brinton Buckwalter and R. Clifford Black d. Osborn Howes and A. W. Weld, 9/11, 7/5, 6/3; Herbert Coppell and C. M. (hurch d. S. K. Martin, Jr., and M. Wicks, by default; A. S. Cragin and Harry Parker d. C. G. Dixon and R. J. Allyn, 6/4, 6/2; T. Slidell and G. F. Parrish won by default; P. Fosdick and E. A. F. Torrey, Jr., won oy default; C. H. Racey and F. Leistikow won by default; Payne Whitney and Lawrence Waterbury d. Q. A. Shaw McKean and Gurnee Munn, $86,6 / 4$.

Second round-Bryan and R. E. Racey d. Buckwalter and Black, 6/2, 6/4; Coppell and Church d. Cragin and Parker, 6/3, 6/1; Parrish and Slidell d. Fosdick and Torrey, 6/2, 6/2; Whitney and Waterbury d. C. H. Racey and Leistikow, 8/6, 6/4.

Semi-final round-Coppell and Church d. Bryan and R. E. Racey, 6/1, 6./3; Whitney and Waterbury d. Parrish and Slidell, 7/5, 1/6, 75 .

Final round-Coppell and Church d. Whitney and Waterbury, 6/2, 6/1, C/2.

MIXED DOUBLES.
Final round-Miss Sears and R. F. Racey d. Mrs. Bull and Payne Whitney, $64,6 / 4$.

WOMEN'S DOUBLES.
Final round-Mrs. Bull and Miss Sears d. Mrs. Work and Miss Cluett, $6 / 2,119$.

CONSOLATION SINGLES.
Finai round-Coppell d. Torrey, 6/4, 6/2.

## Harlem Tournament.

The tournament of the IIarlem Tennis Club was started on May 4 . William B. Cragin, Jr.. was the winner, after having defeated two good men, Theodore Roosevelt Pell in the third round, and Walter M. Hall in the final round.

Cragin's match with Pell was a very peculiar one. It started with Cragin winning the first set at $6 / 0$. In the second set Pell played better, winning at $8 / \mathrm{o}$. In the last set, however, Pell, who is very nervous and excitable, went to pieces, and Cragin won the set and match. The score was $6 / 0$.

In the final match there was some very good tennis, but both players were more or less erratic. Hall fell a victim to Cragin's steadiness, the latter winning by the score of $8 / 6,6 / 3,1 / 6,0 / 6,6 / 2$.

In the doubles, Hall and Cragin met Steinacher and Richey in the final round. The former team had little trouble in the first two sets, winning at $6 / 1,6 / 3$. In the third set, however, Richey and Steinacher had a streak and won the set, $6 / 3$. Hall and Cragin won the fourth, after a hard struggle, $7 / 5$.

In the semi-finals Cragin and Hall defeated G. Steinacher and Vo hell, $6 / 0,6 / 3$, and Richey and J. Steinacher defeated Lowers and Noble 5/7, $6 / 1,6 / 3$.
R. L. Baggs won the consolation, defeating S. Hartman in th final round, $3 / 6,6 / 4,6 / 3$.


ANTHONY F. WILDING, Champion of England.

## Riviera Tournaments

On February 13, 1911, the tennis season on the French and Italian Rivieras opened in earnest. San Remo was the place where the first tournament was held and among the many cracks participating were A. F. Wilding, F. C. Inman and Rahe. Inman, in form far from his best, lost to the young German in the first round and the latter went down before Wilding in the final, after a splendid five-set match.

Mentone, coming at the same time, was the first of a series in which Wilding and Max Decugis faced each other, and the latter proved to be in excellent form, allowing Rahe but a single game in their semi-final "match and forcing Wilding to the limit in the finals. The fifth set was even at four-all, but the New Zealander cut loose and, driving with great power and accuracy, ran out the following two games.

Practically a repetition of the Mentone tournament, the famous Monte Carlo meeting ended in a wonderfully fast five-set affair between Wilding and Decugis. On this occasion Decugis got away well, winning the first two sets easily. The third set required fourteen games before Wilding won it, but it meant the beginning of the end for Decugis, Wilding improving by leaps and bounds, winning finally, $5 / 7,1 / 6,8 / 6,6 / 0,6 / 1$.

Wilding and M. J. G. Ritchie won the doubles event, disposing of Decugis and Rahe in a five-set final match.

It was in the Cannes tournament that Decugis, for the first time failed to reach the final round. He was beaten by Ralie, $1 / 6,7 / 56 / 3$. Wilding, on the other hand, continued to hand out the same dose to one and all his opponents, but found it hard to administer it to Ritchie. The latter played in far the best form he had yet shown, and was beaten by the narrow margin of $6 / 8,7 / 5,6 / 2$. Wilding mace short work of Rahe in the final, winning at $6 / 1,6 / 4,6 / 2$.

The Americans, F. C. Inman and R. W. Williams, were awarded the doubles first prize. They quite properly were upheld in their protest by the French governing body, against the default registered against them in favor of Wilding and Ritchie. Wilding, it seems, failed to appear on schedule time, but when on the following day he was ready for action, his opponents refused to play. The referee's decision was overruled, however, and Wilding and Ritchie were defaulted instead.

The day after the Monte Carlo tournament came to a close the Mentone meeting was in full swing. Practically the same entry was made as in the previous event, Craig Biddle and R. M. Williams, both Philadelphians, again taking part, Wilding dropped a set to Biddle, whose game has improved remarkably, but defeated Williams easily and Ritchie at $6 / 4,6 / 2$, in the semi-final.

Decugis lost a set to Bergmann, and, to the disappointment of all, was forced to accept the default of Rahe, as the latter insisted on scratching. Again it was a case of Wilding vs. Decugis in the final, and for the third time Wilding came out of the fray with colors flying. The first two sets were his at $7 / 5,6 / 2$, the third and fourth went to the Frenchman at $6 / 3$ and $7 / 5$, but the fifth was Wilding's at $6 / 3$.

Wilding and Ritchie took the doubles honors, but were forced to the limit by Decugis and Rahe. In the final they won by default from the Kleinschroth brothers.

The fourth meeting between Wilding and Decugis took place in the final at Nice and for the first time the match required but three sets. Decugis, after losing the opening set, $9 / 7$, apparentiy lost heart, and Wilding ran out the second and third at $6 / 0,6 / 3$. With Ritchie, Wilding won easily from Decugis and his new partner, H. Kleinschroth, in the final doubles, at $6 / 1,6 / 3,6 / 2$.


1, Max Decugis, Runner-up in the Open Singles at Mentone, Monte Carlo, and Nice. Winner, with his wife, of Open Mixed at Nice; 2, M. J. G. Ritchie, who, with A. F. Wilding as partner, won the Open Doubles at the Nice, Mentone, Monte Carlo and Beau Sete Tournaments.

## Tournament Notes

Pinehurst Championship.-Paul C. Gardner outelassed J, B. Read in the final round of the men's singles, defeating him by the seore of $6 / 4,6 / 0$. In the final round of the doubles, Gardner and Latting had to play hard to thefeat Weld and l'arker. but they finally landed the mateh, $2 / 6,6 / 3,3 / 6$, $7 / 5,8 / 6$. Miss Dorothy Ballantyne defeated Mrs. L. W. Hornblower rather easily in the final round of the ladies simgles, 6/4, 6/3. Her best matel was in the second round, when she defeated Miss C. Fuller, 8/6, 6/8, 6/4. Mrs. H. Burrage and J. A. larker were forced to their best play in the final round of the mixed doubles, when they defeated Miss Ruby Sewall and I'aul E. Gardner, $6 / 2,36,7 / 5$. The summaries: Men's singles: First round -Sherwood d. Gilmore, by default; I'arker d. Metcalf, 6/1, 6/0; Parmelee d. Nalle, $6 / 1,6 / 0$; Kead d. Lippineott, 6/2, 6/1; Mackay d. Horton, 7/5, 6/2; Weld d. Latting, 10/8, 6/2; Fiteh d. l'arker, Jr.; Garduer d. Woodworth. Seeond round-l'arker d. Sherwood, by defantt; Read d. l'armelee, 5/7, 6/3, b/2; Weld d. Mackay, 6/3, 6/2; Gardner d. Fitch, 6/2, 6/4. Semi-final roundGarduer d. Weld, 6/4, 6/2; Read d. Parker, 7/5, 6/4. Final round-Panl E. Gardner d. J. B. Read, 6/4, 6/0. Ladies Singles: First round-Miss G. Lamb d. Miss Van Nostrand, by default; Mrs. L. W. Hornblower d. Miss Belden, 2/6, $13 / 11,6 / 2$, Miss 1. Beall (l. Mrs. W. R. Simons, by default; Miss E. Cheek d. Mrs. C. S. Waterhouse, 2/6, 6/1, 6/3; Miss Ǩ. Lamb d. Miss Lady Fuller, by default; Miss C. Fuller d. Miss Sewall, by default. Second roundMiss G. Lamb d. Miss E. Graydon, $5 / 7,6 / 4,6 / 3$ : Mirs. L. W. Hornblower d. Miss I. Beall, 6.4, 4/6, 6/4; Miss E. Cheek d. Miss K. Lamb, 6/2, 6/3; Miss 13. Ballantyne d. Miss C. Fuller, $8 / 6,6 / 8,6 / 4$. Seml-final round-Mrs. L. W. Hormblower d. Miss G. Lamb, 10/8, 6/4; Miss 1). Ballantyne d. Miss E. Check, 6/4, 6/3. Final round-Mlss Dorothy Ballantyne d. Mrs. L. W. Hornblower, 6/4, 6/2.

First Tournament on Carmelita Grounds,-W. Mace, the Southern California champion, won the singles of the open tournament held on the Carmelita grounds, I'asadena, Cal., on Mareh $24-26$. In the final round Mace defeated John Holmes rather easlly by the score of $6 / 2,6 / 2,4 / 6,6 / 0$. Mace played quite brilliantly, but, by hard work. Holmes was able to get the second set. The doubles were won by Nat Browne and Simpson Sinsabaugh, defeating R. S. Freeman and Holmes in a really good four-set mateh. Browne and Sinsabaugh won the first two sets, $6 / 2,6 / 3$, but the next went to Freeman and Holmes at $10 / 8$. This was a beautiful set for the spectators to watch, the tennis being clean and brilliant. The next set went to the Southern California champion, 6/2. Miss May sutton easily won the ladies' singles, defeating her sister, Miss Florence Sutton, in the final round, 6/0, 6/1. Miss Florence sutton and R. L. Freeman won the mixed doubles in an exceedingly brilliant match.

Bundy Wins Inter-Mountain Championship.-Thomas C. Bundy, winner of the All-Comers at Newport, won the Inter-Mountain Championship on September 1, at Salt Lake City. In the final round he defeated S. M. Sinsabaugh by the score of $1 / 6,6 / 1,6 / 3$. Both men are Callfornians. Sinsabaugh and Dunean defeated Bondy and Hendricks, 4/6, 6/2, 6/2, 6/3, in the final round of the doubles. In the challenge round Sinsabangh and Dunean defeated McBroom and Garnet, $6 / 3,6 / 2$, thus winning the doubles championshlp.

Connecticut Valley Championship.-R. C. Seaver won the third annual tournament for the championship of the Connecticut Valley, held on the courts of the Springfield Country Club, at Springfield, Mass., on July 1 and following days. R. H. Cole, Hoffman Nickerson, R. C. Seaver and F. H. Harris were the four men to reach the semi-final round. The final mateli was a very pretty exhibition of fast tennis and steadiness. Seaver won the first set, $6 / 0$, but Cole played better in the next, getting four games before Seaver won. Cole took the next set, which he won by the best playing he was capable of. In the fourth, and last, set, the games went to seven-all.
but at this point Seaver plaved his best and won at $9 / 7$. Cole made him fight hard, however, before he was finally able to land the set and match. Seaver won the challenge roud from Lewis Perry, defeating him in straight sets, although many of the games went to deuce and there were numerous long rallies. The summaries: Men's singles: First round-J. M. Holcomb, Jr.. d. G. P. Walworth, 3/6, 11/9, 6/3; L. H. Fitch d. J. W. Swain, by default; William James d. J. F. Agor, by defanlt; J. Frank Drake d. Roy D. Murphy. 6/4, 6/2; Walter Roberts d. C. J. Bellamy, Jr., 6/1, 6/1; J. A. Rice d. Ellsworth Davenport, by default; H. C. Waynock d. S. W. Dickinson, $7 / 5,6 / 1$; J. C. Temlinson. Jr., d. G. W. I'ike. 4/6. 7/5, $11 / 9$; G. M. Leonard d. C. E. Street, 3/6, 6/4, 6/2. Second round-R. N. Cole d. Merwin Gray, 6/3, 6/4; G. S. McKearin d. Arthur Bassette, 6 4. 2/6, 7/5; J. R. Miller d. A. H. Allen, $3 / 6,6 / 4,6 / 4$; Arthur Sweetser d. R. A. Bidwell, by default: Hoffman Nickerson d. S. I. Noyes, 6/1, 6/3; J. M. Holcomb, Jr., d. E. I. Bagg. Jr., 6/0, 6/1; William James d. L. 11. Fitrh, by default; J. Frank Drake d. Walter Roberts. 6/4, 3/6, 8/6; J. A. Rice d. H. C. Warnock, $6 / 4,6 / 3$; J. C. Tomlinson d. G. M. Leonard, 6/2, $6 / 1$; F. H. Harris d. Daniel Tomlinson, $6 / 2,6 / 1$; A. II. Chapin d. G. E. Howard. by default: R. C. Seaver d. Richard Bishop, by default: D. C. Graves d. Giles Blague, 6/4, 6/2; Max Shoop d. R. S. Folsom, by default; F. W. Cole d. R. E. Snow, 6/1, 6/2. Third round-R. II. Cole d. G. S. McKearin, 6/1, 6/2; Arthur Sweetser d. J. R. Miller, $6 / 3,5 / 7,6 / 2$; IInffinan Nickerson d. J. M. IIulemmb, Jr., 7/5, 6/3; J. Frank Drake d. William James, 5/7, 6/4, 8/6; J. (`. Tomlinson d. J. A. Rice, 8/6, 6/2; F. H. Harris d. A. II. Chamin, 6/0, 6/1: IR. C. Seaver d. D. C. Graves, $8 / 6,6 / 4$; F. W. Cole d. Max Shoop, 6/0, 6/4. Fourth round-R. 11. Cole d. Arthur Sweetser, 4 6, 6/4, 6/1; H॥ffman Nickerson d. J. Frank Drake, $6 / 2,6 / 3$; F. H. Harris d. J. C. Tomlinson, $6 / 3,6 / 3 ;$ R. C. Searer d. F. W. Cole, 6/2, 6/4. Semi-final ifund-12. 11. Cole (l. Hoffman Nickerson, 6,4. $6 / 3$; R. C. Seaver d. F. II. Harris, 6/4, 6/3. Final round-R. C. Seaver d. R. H. Cole, $6 / 0,6 / 4,6 / 8,97$. Challenge round-R. C. Seaver d. Lewis Perry, 6/2, 6/2, 6/3. Men's doubles: l'irst round-R. C. Seaver and Arthur Sweetser d. C. II. Collester and partner, by default; J. C. Tomlinson and L. II. Fitch and J. M. Holcomb, Jr., and Walter Roberts. 7/5, 6/1; Hoffman Nickerson and F. W'. 'oole d. S. l'. Haves and R. E. Snow, 6/0, 6/1; R. H. Cole and D. C. Graves d. C. H. Bellams, Jr., and H. R. Dickinson, by default; A. H. Allen and (i. S. Mckearin d. G. M. Lennard and J. A. Rice, $6 / 3,6 / 4$; F. H. Harrls and J. I.. Miller d. E. I'. Bagg and G. W. Dodge 6/1, 6/2; J. Frauk Drake and G. W. I'ike d. Giles Plague and R. D. Murphy, 6/2, 3/6, 6/2; Lewis I'erry and William James d. Max Shoop and D. Tomlinson, $6 / 0,6 / 0$. Second round-R. C. Seaver and Arthur Sweetser d. J. C. Tomlinson and L. II. Fitch, $6 / 4,6 / 2 ;$ R. H. Cole and D. C. Graves d. H. Nickerson and F. W. Cole, 4/6. 6/3, 10/8; F. II. LIarris and J. R. Miller d. A. H. Allen and G. S. Mckearin, 5/7. 6/0, 7/5; Lewis Perry and William James d. J. Frank Drake and G. W. Pike, 4/6, 8/6, 6/0, Semi-final roundI. C. Seaver and Arthur Sweetser d. I. II. Cole and D. C. Graves, 6/2, 6/3; L.ewis Perry and William James d. F. H. Ilarris and J. R. Miller, 6/0, 7/9, 6/3. Final round-Seaver and Sweetser d. Perry and James, 2/6. 7/5, 6/2, 6/2. Consolation singles: Final round-Merwin Gray d. George W. Pike, 2/6, 7/5. 6/3,

Roberts Wins at Marine and Field Club,-The open tournament of the Marine and Field Club, Bath Beach, Brooklyn, was won by Harry S. Roberts. This club entered the United States National Lawn Tennis Association last year and this was the first tournament held by it under the auspices of this association. Roberts defeated S. H. Voshell in the final round, 8/6, 6/4, 6/3. J. M. Steinacher and C. P. I'arkes won the doubles, defeating Paul E. Mead and Arthur Lovibond in the final round, 6/4, 7/5, 6/3.
J. B. Longworth Wins at Woodmere Country Club.-J. B. Longworth won the Woodmere Country Club tournament, held on October 8, rather easily, although he lost a set to A. Galston in the second round and one to D. E. MeAvoy in the semi-final round. McAvoy played hard, but was unable to reach the final round, as Longworth defeated him, 6/3, $3 / 6,6 / 2$. In the other bracket of the semi-finals, T. de Rivera defeated C. M. Amerman, $6 / 2$, 6/4. In the final round Longworth defeated de Rivera in a rather interesting match, $6 / 2,6 / 0,7 / 5$.

Invitation Handicap Doubles at Green Spring Valley Hunt Club, - B. Waguer and C. Fisher won the invitation haudicap doubles tournament of the Green Spring Valley Hunt Club, near Baltinore, Md., during the week of September 19. They came through to the final round without the loss of a set. In the stmi-final round Wagner and Fisher, who were handicapped at minus onehalf of 15, defeated J. M. I'arr and J. B. Bonsal (plus, 15) , 8/6, 6.1. In the other bracket F. M. Iglehart and T. B. Tarris, playing at seratch, defeated W. C. Coleman and J. C. MeLanahan (plus one-half of 15), by the seore of $4 / 6,6 / 4,6 / 1$. In the final round Wagner and Fisher lost the second and fourth sets to Iglehart and Harris, but there was never any danger of their losing the match. The final score was $7 / 5,4 / 6,6 / 0,4 / 6,7 / 5$. IIrs. S. Buzby and C. Fisher won the mixed doubles erent, defeating Mrs. Thomas Deford and 13. Wagner in the final round, $3 / 6,6 / 2,7 / 5$. The match was close and interesting.

Lutherville Country Club Tournament,-The annual Lutherville Country Club tonrnament, held at Lutherville. Md., on September 10, was won by Alfred Hatch. Hatch played well, having several hard matehes durlug the tournament. In the second round he rather easlly defeated his brother, Ernest Hatch, 6/2, 6/1. In the semi-tinal round sidney Vincent defeated Edward Markell, 6/4. 6/3, while Hatch had a harder match with B. C. Voshell, defeating him. $6 / 4,2 / 6,6 / 4$. Ilatch lost the third set in the final round to Vincent, $6 / 4$, but he kept the lead in the other three, winning the first two, $6 / 4,6 / 1$, and the fourth and last, $6 / 3$. Hatch had a hard fight in the challenge round, which went fire sets, against Frank whitcraft. Both men played well and, at times, brilliantly. Ilateh won the first two sets, 6/4, $6 / 4$, but Whiteraft won the next two, 6/2, 6/1. The last sot went to sixall, when Hatch, who evidently had more endurance than Whiteraft, won the next two games and set, at 86 . Alfred llatch and Miss Eleauor Reese defeated George Evans and Miss Jessie lierry in the final round of the mixed doubles event. The mateh was an exciting ome of fast trunis. The seore was 6/4, 46, 8/6. Miss Anna Wolf defeated Miss Erma Davis in the final romed of the ladies' singles event. The match was a hard one, Miss Wolf finally winnlng, $5 / 7,6 / 4,6 / 2,6 / 1$. In the challenge round Miss Eleanor Wolf, the holder, defeated Miss Anna Wolf, $3 / 6,3 / 6,6 / 3,6 / 4,8 / 6$.

McGough Wins Tasmanian Championship.-The seventeenth annual tournament of Tasmanian, held at Ilobart on Marel 26, 27, 28, was won in easy style by J. L. MeGough. There were only six entries in the singles and three teams in the doubles, but most of these were players of good class. T. W. Newton defeated C. Allanby in the semi-final round, in a good threeset mateh. Newton took the first set, 7/5, but Allanby got the next, 6/4, evening the score. The next went to four-all, when Newton displayed a fast streak and won, 6/4. McGough had an easy victory in this round, winning from W. J. Sheeban, $6 / 0,6 / 0$. The final round was also an easy victory for MeGongh. He completely ontclassed Newton, defeating him by the seore of $6 / 1,6 / 1,6 / 1$. J. L. McGough and Newton won the doubles event against C. 1. MeGough and C. Allanby in a good four-set mateh. The score was $6 / 3$, $5 / 7,6 / 4,9 / 7$. Miss E. Stanfield won the ladies' singles event, defeating Miss R. Day in the final round, $6 / 4,6 / 3$. In the challenge round Miss Stanfield had a good match with Mrs. Allanby, whom she defeated, 1/6, 7/5, 6/3. Miss Rex and Miss Day won the ladies' doubles, defeating Mrs. Allanby and Miss Stanfield in the final round, $6 / 3,6 / 3$.

Harvard Wins from Princeton.-The Harvard tennis team won a complete victory over the Princeton team by a score of sevell matches to two. The play was on the courts of the Longwood Cricket Club, beld on May 23. The Harvard team won four matches out of six in the singles and all three of the doubles. The most notable of the singles matches was the one between A. Sweetser and Dean Mathey. Sweetser defeated the Princeton man in a well played three-set mateh, $6 / 3,3 / 6,7 / 5$. In the doubles event G. C. Adams and H. Niekerson of Harvard defeated Dean Nathey and C. T. Butler, 4/6, 6/2, 7/5.

Hunt Wins Championship of Alameda County.-Reuben Hunt won the championship of Alaneda County, California, which was concluded on Juue 6, on the courts of the Berkeley 'Tennis Club, Berkeley, Cal. The tournament
differed from those of past rears, inasmuch as it was not open for all comers. In the semi-final round If unt defeated Dewey Powell, 6/4, 0/6, 6/3. This was probably the best match of the tournament, both men being "on their game." After the second set, which Powell won at love, it looked as if the match was going to him, but Hunt braced up in the third and won the set and match. Norman Ambrose defeated E. M. Otis in the other semi-final, $6 / 3,7 / 5$. Hunt defeated Ambrose, in the final round, by the score of $7 / 5,6 / 2,6 / 2$. He was at no time during the match in danger of losing.

Neosho Tournament,-John T. Bailer. champion of Oklahoma, wen the second annual Neosho Tri-State tournament, held at Neosho, Mo., on August 9 and following days, without the loss of a set. In the final round he defeated Carl Ristine of Joplin, 6/3, 6/3, 6/0. Up to three-all it looked pretty even, but at this point Bailey changed his tactics and took the next three games. In the third set Bailey had ristine so tired that he could do Iittle or nothing with the Oklahoma champion's strokes. In the semi-final round Bailey won from G. E. McMillan, ex-champion of Springfield, in rather easy style, $6 / 1,6 / 2,6 / 3$. Ristine outplayed H. G. Gayer, 6/3, 6/3, 6/1. George Baldwin and Frank Dillard won the doubles event, after a hard fiveset match, against G. E. McMillan and G. Hogg. The teams were evenly matched, all four men playing well. The result was that four of the five sets played went to deuce, while the remalning one went to $6 / 4$, after many long rallies and dence games. Baldwin and Dillard finally won, $7 / 5,4 / 6$, $9 / 7,5 / 7,7 / 5$. In the semi-final round Baldwin and Dillard defeated Dr. R. G. Lamson and James Wolfenden in straight sets, 6/4, 6/3. 6/3, while McMillan and Hogg had a harder battle with Ristine and Valentine, finally capturing the match, $7 / 5,6 / 2,3 / 6,9 / 7$. Dr. Lamson met Wolfenden in the final round of the consolation singles, whom he defeated, $6 / 2,6 / 4,6 / 4$. S. II. Hale and W. S. I'etitt won the consolation doubles, defeatligg N. Davis and 1'. M. Shook in the final round, 6/1, 6/1. Hale is probably the largest man in the country who plays good tenuis. He welghs 250 pounds.

Third West Jersey Tennis Tournament.-The thlrd West Jersey tournament, held at Moorestown. Angust 6, was won by the home club. The summaries: Ladies' singles: Semi-final round-Miss Cresswell. Moorestown, d. Mrs. John Mitchell, Woodbury, $7 / 5,6 / 0$; Mrs. Seattergood, Haddonfield, a bye. Final round-Miss Cresswell, Moorestown, d. Mrs. Scattergood, Haddonfield, 6/0, 6/3. Ladies doubles: Semi-final round-Miss Roberts and Miss Benners, Moorestown, d. Miss Morgan and Miss Thorpe, Woodbury, 8/6, 6/4; Mrs. Furness and Miss Clement, Haddonfield, a bye. Final round-Miss Roberts and Miss Benners, Moorestown, d. Miss Furness and Miss Clement, Haddonfield, 6/2, 7/5. Mixed doubles: First round-Miss Johnstra and Mr. Craft, Collingswood, d. Miss Nekervis and Mr. Grcen. Merchantville, 6/4, 5/2. Semi-final round-Miss Remington and Mr. Catlett, Haddonfield, d. Miss Wallace and Mr. Reeves, Moorestown, 6/3, 3/6, 6/3; Miss Green and Mr. Washington, Woodbury, d. Miss Johnstra and Mr. Craft, Collingswood, 6/1, 8/6. Final round-Miss Remington and Mr. Catlett, Haddonfield, d. Miss Green and Mr. Washington, Woodbury, 4/6, $9 / 7,6 / 2$. Men's doubles: First round-c'ummings and Willets, Haddonfield. d. Porter and Hall, Merchantville, $6 / 1,6 / 1$. Semi-final round-Smith and Rowland, Woodbury, d. Lafayette and Armstrong, Collingswood, 6/2, 4/6, 7/5; Boureau and Roberts, Moorestown, d. Cummings and Willets, Haddonfield, 4/6, 6/2, 6/1. Final roundBoureau and Roberts, Moorestown, d. Smith and Rowland, Woodbury, 6/1, 6/1. Men's singles: First round-Twells, Woodbury, d. Perkins, Moorestown, $6 / 4,6 / 3$. Semi-final round-H. Page, Merchantville, d. I. B. Brown, Haddonfield, $7 / 5,1 / 6,6 / 4$; Twells, Woodbury, d. Hayden, Collingswood, 6/2, $6 / 3$. Final round-H. Page, Merchantville, d. Twells, Woodbury, 6/3, 7/5.

Inman Wins Point Judith Open Tournament.-On August 24 the annual open tennis tournament of the Point Judith Country Club, at Narragansett Pler, R. I., was started. Fred. C. Inman, the 1909 holder of the challenge cup, succeeding in winning again in 1910, playing all through the tournament. In the semi-final round Inman toyed with Mackinneny, defeating him, $6 / 4,6 / 3$. W. Washburn defeated George C. Thomas, Jr., in the same style, $6 / 1,6 / 3$. As Washburn had come through the tournament. without the loss of a set. it was thought by many that he had a good chance to defeat Inman.
particularly as the latter had not been playing in very good form. Inman, however, struck his old gait and won in straight sets. Both men started well, hitting hard and placing down the side lines, but neither taking the net. The games went to five-all, when Inman ran up and ended the set, $\mathbf{7} / 5$. In the second set Inman played a little faster and won, 6/4. Washburn was beginning to tire at the end of this set, and, when the third one was started, Inman took the net and forced matters so much that Washburn was unable to do anything. The score was $6 / 1$. s. Henshaw and J. D. E. Jones won the doubles, easily defeating Bullock and Hinckley in the final round, $6 / 2,6 / 2,6 / 0$. In the semi-final round LHenshaw and Jones defeated Mackinney and Nickerson, 6/4, 6/2, while Bullock and Hinckley defeated Inman and Parish, $7 / 5,6 / 3$. Miss Dorothy Green and C. L. Cole defeated Mrs. Green and H. A. Macklnney in the tinal round of the mixed doubles after a hard and interesting match. The score was $6 / 4,6 / 3,8 / 6$.

Church Wins Princeton Title,-George M. Cburch won the singles event in the eightcentl anmual Princeton interscholastie temis tournament, which was held on the University courts on May 4. Chmed had an easy time all through the tournament. In the final round he defeated Baln, $6 / 2,6 / 1$. In the semifinal round Bain defeated Norton, 6/4, 6/3, and Cburch defeated stanley, 6/1, 6/0.

Nyack Country Club Tournament.-IIenry Mollenhaner defeated Walter Blache in the final round of the Nyack C.C. tournament in straight sets. 1If. was slow to start, and Blache tried hard to gre the first set, but failed, however, Mollenhauer winning, $9 / 7$. After thls Blache never had a chance, aud Mollenhaner won, $\mathbf{6} / 3,6 / 1$. In the doubles Mollenhaner and Morris Clark defeated Lincke and Blache, $6 / 4,7 / 5,6 / 1$. The latter pair put up a hard fight in the first two sets, but Mollonhaver and Clark proved too strong for them. Miss Hammond suecessfully defended her siugles title, defeating Miss Browning rather asily in the challenge round, 6/1, $6 / 3$. In the ladles' doubles Mlss Wildey and Miss Browning defeated Miss Hammond and Mrs. l'ouch in a good three-set mateh, 6/4, 4/6, $6 / 4$. Miss Gordon Hamilton and Henry Mollenbauer won the mixed doubles.

New Haven Goes to Holden, -The open tournament of the New Haven Lawn Tennis Club was held on June 13 and following days. In the final round R. O. Holden, captain of the Yale tomis team, met and defeated Neil C. Stevens. The match only lasted three sets, but the last two went to deuce before the Yale man was able to win. Stevens put up a good tight, and some brilliant tennis was the result of his euleavors. The score was $6 / 2$, 9 7, 7/5. Holden won his match in the semi-final round from 1 . Henderson hy default, while Stevens defeated M. Holeomb in a rather one-sided affair, $3 / 6,6 / 0,6 / 0$. The doubles event was won by Ifolden and F. M. Watrous. with the loss of but two sets. In the semi-final round they defeated Sargent and Carter, $1 / 6,6 / 2,6 / 3$. In this round Chass and Corbin defeated McCracken and Bronton, 6/1, 6/4. The final round was very fast, and, as all fone men played hard, the match was a close one. Chase and Corbin were not quite steady enough, however, and Holden and Watrous won, 8/6, $8 / 6,4 / 6,6 / 4$. Watrous defeated Corbin in the final round of the consolation singles, $6 / 2,4 / 6,6 / 4$. Holden won the ehallenge round by default, as George N. Nettleton, the title-holder, was in Europe.

Bucknell University.-The miversity tennis team had a busy season in 1910, defeating their old rivals, Gettysburg and Dickinson, and being defeated by the Navy. The complete record, furnished by C. J. Harris, is as follows: Annapolis vs. Bucknell-Annapolis defeated Bucknell at Annapolis, April 30, 1910, taking two out of three events. In the doubles Underwood and Parmalee, Navy, d. J. Harris and C. Harris, Bucknell, 13/11, 8/6. In the singles Parmalee, Navy, d. J. Harris, Bucknell, 6/1, 6/1, and C. Harris, Bucknell, d. Sampson, Navy, 6/4, 7/6. Gettysburg vs. Bucknell-Bucknell defeated Gettysburg at Gettysburg. May 13, 1910, taking three to none. In the singles J. Harris, Bucknell.
d. Hoshour. Gettysburg, 4/6, 6/2, 6/3, and C. Harris, Bucknell, d. Banghman, Gettysburg, $2 / 6,6 / 2,6 / 3$. In the donbles C. Harris aud J. Harris, Bucknell, d. Diehl and Baughman, Gettysburg, 8/6, 6/2. Bueknell vs. Dickinson-Bucknell defeated Dickinson at Lewisburg, May 14, 1910, takling three out of three matches. The doubles were won by C. Harris and J. Harris, Rucknell, against Richards and Vanneman, Dickinson, 6/2, 6/2. In the singles J. Harris, Bucknell, d. Porter, Dickinson, 6/2, 6/3, and C. Harris, Bucknell, d. Vanneman, Dickinson, 6/3, 6/1. Dickinson vs. BucknellBucknell defeated Dickinson at Carlisle, May 21, 1910, taking two matches to one. In the doubles I'orter and Richards, Dickinson, d. C. Harris and J. Harris, Bucknell, 6/3, 4/6, 6/4. In the singles J. Harris, Bucknell, d. Richarts. Dickinson, 6/3, 2/6, 6/1, aud C. Harris, Bucknell, d. Porter, Dickin*on, 6/3, 3/6, 6/4. Buckuell vs. Gettysburg-Rucknell defeated Gettysburg in a return match, played at Lewishurg. Mas 25, 1910, taking one of the singles and the only double. In the singles Iloshour, Gettysburg, d. J. Ilarris, Bucknell, 6/4, 6/3, and C. Harris. Bucknell, d. Diehl, Gettysburg, $7 / 5,6 / 1$. The doubles were easily won by J. Harris and C. Harris, Bucknell, against Hoshour and Baughman, Getiysburg, 6/0, 6/0.

Inter-Town Dual Matches.-Lewishurg rs. Danville (Pa.)-Lewisburg defeated Danville at Danville, August 16, 1910, taking five out of seven events. In the dombles J. Harris and W. Harris, Lewisburg, d. Watson and Dickson. Danville, $\because / 6,6 / 2,7 / 5$; Linn and $\mathcal{S}$. Harris, Lewishurg, d. Jemings and Angle. Danville, $6 / 1$. 64 , and J. Harris and W. Harris, Lewisburg, d. Gosh and Irice, Danville, 6/0, 75. In the singles S. Harris, Lewisburg, d. Angle, Danville, $i / 1,6 / 4$, and Ballentine, Lewisburg, d. Angle, Danville, $6 / 3, \mathrm{f} / 3$. Danville won but two erents, when Watson, Danville, d. Ballentine, Lewisburg, $6 / 3,10 / \mathrm{s}$, and Dickson, Danville, d. J. IIarris, Lewisburg, 6/3, 6/4.

Dunwoodie Country Club, Yonkers, N. Y.-Situated at Dunwondie, on the Putnam Railroat, on the outskirts of New York City and Yonkers, the Dunwoodie Country Club, which is composed of New York busiuess men, offers many attractions to temis players and golfers, the equipment for the formur consisting of four dirt courts, while the golf links comprise an eighteen-htle course crer the rolling land of Westehester Comnty. The club was organized on Labor Day, 1906, and now has a membership of 350 . The club will hold the IIndson River championship temnis tournament on July 8 , for which a number of the cracks have alrady entered, and spring and fall tournaments for members. A round-robin handieap tournament, on the English point system, will be held throughout the season, entrants to play at least three matches a month. The ofticers of the club are: Daniel DelWolf Wever, president; Willard A. Mitchell, first vice-president; William D. Gaillard, second rice-president; Francis 11. Sisson, secretary; Fred 11. Bridgman, treasurer; Robert Boetger, financial secretary, aud J. Alexander Del Alar, chairman of the tennis committer. Some of the prominent players are: W. H. Hadwin, Arthur M. Aiken, H. 11. King, Dr. Robert strobridge, Reginald W. Cauchois, Dr. Thomas II. Cherry, R. H. Goffe, I'. Lasher and J. Alexander Vel Mar.

Omaha Youngsters Play,-The sixth annual junior tenuis championship of Omaha was held on Angust 29 and following days, on the courts of the Omaha Ficld Club. Only boys under eighteen years of age are eligible to compete in this tournament. There were sixty-four entries in the singles event and twenty-four teams in the doubles. Joe Adams, 15 years old, defeated $L$. Bushman, 17 years, in the final round. These youngsters played remarkably well and some brilliant tennis resulted. The score was $4 / 6,6 / 8$, $7 / 5,6 / 4,6 / 2$. Adams and J. Beckett won the doubles event, defeating $R$. Howe and $C$. Offutt in an interesting match in the final round by the score of $5 / 7,6 / 0,6 / 3,6 / 2$.

Harris Wins at Dartmouth.-F. H. Harris won the championship of Dartmouth, held on the courta of the Hanover Country Club, in October. This was the largest tournament ever held there and more interest was shown in the game than ever before. Harris won the tournament with consummate ease, not losing a set, nor even being forced to a single deuce set. In the semi-final round he defeated J. G. Nelson, 6/2, 6/4, while H. S. Harmon won
the other semi-final br defeating S. G. Eaton, 4/6, 8/6, 6/1. Harris easily defeated Harmon in the final round by the score of $6 / 2,6 / 3,6 / 2$. In the doubles event llaris and Nelson came through without the loss of a set, although the last two sets of the final round went to deuce. In this round Harmon and Eaton met the cbampions, and after a hard struggle on the part of the former team, Ilarris and Nelson won at 6,3, 7/5, 7/5. Harris and Nelson defeated Learoyd and Fischer, 6/0, 6/1, in the semi-final round, while Harmon and Eaton disposed of Gulick and Gannon at 6 0, 6/2. H. W. Weber won the Freshman champhomshij, defeating C. S. Batchelder in the final round, 9/7, 6/3, 6/2. Batchelder fought hard in the tirst set, but he lacked the endurance of his opponent. In the simi-final rombl Batchelder defeated Turkey, 6/4, 6/3, while Webber easily wou from Iloyt, 6/2, 6/0.
Cole Wins at Norfolk, Conn.-Francis Cole of Harrard won the eightepnth annual tournament for the Bridgeman Cup, held on the courts of the Eldredge Gymmasium, at Norfolk, Conn.. on Nugust 2 and following flass. In the final round cole met Hoffman Nickerson, whom he defeated in thee straight sets, althongh they were close and well eontested. The first sct went to 7/5, and through brilliant passing and excellent hctdwork. Cole won. Nickerson did mot put up such a strong fight in the second, losing at $6 / 2$, but he came back stromger than crer in the third, and it was due to steadiness in placing and driving that cole finally won at $10 / 8$. Cole defeated rrank Watrons after a good match in the semi-final romm, $8 / 10,6 / 2,63$. Nickerson defeated A. Richards, 6 4, 6/3. In the doubles event Niekerson and Watrous defeated Glower and Washburn in a good match in the tinal round. The score was $13 / 11,6 / 1,6 / 3$. F. W. Washbmen won the consolation singles event, defeating F . Camp in the final round, $2 / 6,6 / 2,6 / 3,2 / 6,6 / 3$. Robert Lelioy, who had two legs on the cup, did not play.
Harvey Successfully Defends Title at Catonsville, - Barton Harvey successfully defonded his title at the Catonsville Country Club, Xd., on September 3 and following days, for the Daniel B. Miller Challenge Cop, which he won in 1309. A. 11. Kinapp rather easily won the tournament, although the last set in the final round went to deuce. luthis mateh he defeateri k . k . MacGill. The first two sets went to Knapp at 6/3, 6/1, but he eased up in the third. lotting MacGill get a lead of 7 6. He pulled himself together at this point however, and wot the next three games. The challenge romad between Harvey and Kinapp was a gool exhibition of fast temnis and headwork. Kinap took the first set at 6/3, but llarvey took the next two at the same score, after a number of hard rallies and deuce games. The next set went to $6 / 6$, but Harvey won the next two games, thus winning the set, $8 / 6$, and the match.
Voshell Wins at Edgemere,-S. II. Voshell cance through to the final round of the invitation tournament of the Elgemere Tennis Club. Edgemere, L. I., which was played on August 5 and 6 . when he met and defeated II. II. Bassford in three easy mets, $6 / 1,6 / 1,6 / 4$. Voshell had rather a stiff fight in the seml-fiual round, losing a set to F. C. Baggs, while both the others went to deuce. The seore was $7 / 5,6 / 2,7 / 5$. Bassford also hat a hard mateh. losing the first set at $6 / 4$ to $A$. G. Keane, but he won the next two, after a good fight, at $6 / 4,7 / 5$. G. I. Wyeth and R. T. Bryan won the doubles event. defeating C. M. Bull, Jr., and H. C. Martin in the final round. Although the former team won in straight sets, the match was a good one, the tennis being fast, with frequent tlashes of brilliancy. In the semi-final round Wyeth and Bryan defeated F. C. Raggs and A. J. Ostendorf, 6/2, 6/4. While Bull and Martin had no trouble in winning from H. L. Roberts and W. L. Watson, 6/1, 6/2.

Mathey Wins North Jersey Coast Championship.-Dean Mathey, champicn of Princeton, won the North Jersey Coast championship, held at Spring Lake, on August 1 and following days, rather easily. Mathey and F. H. Gibbs won their matches in the semi-final round, the former defeating Adams, $6 / 3$, 6/4, while the latter had a harder time with Montgomery, 6/1, 3/6, 6/3. In the final round Mathey lost the second set to Gibbs, $6 / 3$, but the rest he won with little or no difficulty. The final score was $6 / 3,3 / 6,6 / 0,6 / 1$. Mathey clearly outclassed spencer Gordon, the title holder, in the challenge round, wiming in straight sets by the score of $6 / 3,6 / 2,6 / 2$. In the doubles
rent Mather and fibhs won without losing a set. The best match they had was in the final round, whon they met Ilamline and Adams. This was a food match. Jlamline and Adams playing well, but they were not able to take a set. The score was 61.75 . i 5 . Mathey and Gibbs easily defeated Montgomets and lurgwin in the semi-final round. 6.1, 6/2. ILamline and Adams had no difliculty in wiming from slack and Truax, 6/0, 6/4. The closest match in the dombles event was between lard and Townsend and C. The final round of the mixerl toubles won, after a good fight, $8 / 6,2 / 6.6 / 3$. (iibhs, against Miss Bunce and (ibhs, against Miss Bunce and li. S. Reeves, $64,14 / 12,4 / 6,6 / 2$. This was by Liard play.

Cooperstown Tourrament Goes to Burt.-The fifth annual tomrnament of the Conperstown Country 'lub Was won by I. M. Burt of the Hamilton Grange Tomis Club, Niw Yok. The toumament was held on August 3-8. In the final round Burt met and defeated A. M. Lovibond, also of the Ilamilton Grange Tomis Club, $63.6 / 3,9^{\prime} 7$. Loribond fought hard in the last set, but Way unable to cope with the combined steadiness and hard hitting of Burt. Both men won their semi-final matehes in straight sets. luart defeating R.
 bond and Burt won the domles ewat, defeating Porkins and Irarker in the final round. $7 / 5,6 / 0,6^{\prime 2}$. Miss Frances Jormyn had an easy time in the ladies' singles, coming through withont the loss of a sot. In the final round she defeated Mrs. W. C. Johnston. $6 / 0,{ }^{6}$ ' $C$. Her hardest mateh was against Miss Ryerson, 6/2. 6 3. Mr. and Mrs. Burt won the mixed doubles, defeating Miss starkwather and $A$. Coppell. 6 3, © $/ 3$, in the final round. Coppell defeated Ward in the final round of the consolation erent, $6 / 2,7 / 5$.

Otto Hinck Wins Montclair A.C. Title,-Otto IIinek again won the championship, of the Montelair A.C. IIo lost it in 1907, but regained it again the following year. With the exention of the rear 1907, he has held this title for thirtfen years. II. R. smith came through the tournament, defeating $F$. B. Hague in the final rommo. $64,64,7 / 5$. In the elallenge round Hine $\dot{k}$ rather easily defeated Smith, the score being $6 / 4,6 / 3,6 / 2$.

Fred G. Anderson Wins Kings County Tennis Club Title.-Fred f. Anderson, the old timer. Won the champmonship of the Kings Connty Tennis Club at Brooklyn, N. Y... on Wotoher 2. Anderson was at one tilue one of the first ten players in the eountry, but lately he has not been doing monch playing. He proved, howevor, that he romld still pay a goond, stiff game, when he defeated IIenry Mollemhans in the final round of the ehampionchip, $2 / 6,7 / 5$, $6 / 3,1 / 6,6 / 2$. Buth mell played woll. Wit Anderson's clever side-lime placing won him the mateh. In the semi-final mond Anderson defeated Harry © Martin in straight sets, $64,6 / 1.75$. This was a surprise as Martin is one of the cluh's strongent players, abil Anderson was thought to have practirally finished his tennis days. Mollenhaner defeated S. A. Westfall in straight sets. $6 / 2,64.64$. His hard hitting and parsing at the net proved too much for his younger oprenent.
Currier Wins Oldtown Title.-The (iglith annual tomrnament for the championship of Essox (oumty was held Soptember 2, on the courts of the oldtown Comitry Club, Newhury, Mass. C. li. Currier won the singles event, having no trouble in defeating s. F. Fiockwell, in the final round. in straight sets. 6/0, 6/3, 6/0. This was quite a smprise, as Rockwell had been playing well in his former matches, and a close mateh was expected. Both Currier and Rockwell won their somi-tinal matches rather easily, the former defeating M. H. Ivy. 6/1, 6/2. Whil, the latter defeated I. G. Carleton, $6 / 2,6 / 3$. Dr. P. P. Johnson and Oscar J. Ives won the doubles erent. defeating $\mathbf{S}^{6 / 3} \mathbf{F}$. Rockwell and $P$. $G$. Carleton in the final round, $6 / 3,6 / 3,7 / 5$. This was a good mateh and was murh closer than the score indicates, many of the games going to deuce brfore they were finally won.

Annual Wannamoisett Open Tournament.-The sixth annual tonrnament of the Wannamoisett Country Club, Providence, R. I... hegan on June 27. E. Tudor Gross won the singles event. Infeating L. H. Fitch of New York in the final round. Gross and his fartner, Russell N. Dana of Pawtucket, won
the doubles event. A surprise occurred in the second round, when Gross defeated John $O$. Ames in straight sets, $6 / 4,6 / 1$. It must be said, however, that Ames was not at his best, although Gross is at all times a shade superior to him. In the semi-final round Fitch had a bard time to defeat H. A. Mackinney, the first set going to deuce, while the second set was won by the latter. Fitch finally landed the match by the score of $7 / 5,4 / 6,6 / 2$. In the other half of this round Gross rather easily disposed of A. Ingrabam at $6 / 2,6 / 4$. Gross defeated Fitch in straight sets in the final round, although the latter put up a good fight. The heat, which was terrific, seemed to take a good deal of life out of both men, but particularly Fitch. Gross way much steadier than his opponent and landed the match at 6/4, 6/2, 6/4. The doubles event was won by Gross and Dana, against Fitch and Mackinney, in the final round.

Yale Defeats Harvard.-The Yale tennis team won a decisive victory over Harvart, on May 30, at the New Haven Lawn Tennis Club, by the score of 7 matches to 2. The feature of the singles was the mateh between R. A. Holden, Jr., of Yale and A. Sweetser of Harvard. Neither of the men were at the tops of their games, but the Yale man proved the steadier of the two, winning by the score of $7 / 5,6 / 3$. G. C. Adams was the winner of the only singles match for Harvard. He defeated F . Watrous of Yale, 6/4, 5/7, 6/4. In the douhles A. Swectser and J. Wheelwright of ILarvard defeated R. A. Holden and F. Watrous of Yale, $6 / 3,8 / 10,6 / 4$. None of the men played particularly well. The other two doubles matches were won by Yale in straight sets. The summaries: Singles-R. A. Holden, Yate, d. A. Sweetser, Harvard, $7 / 5,6 / 3 ; \mathbf{N}$. C. Stevens, Yale, d. J. Wheelwright, Harvard, 6/4, 10/8; G. C. Adams, Harvard, d. F. Watrous, Yale. 6/4, 5/7, 6/4; F. H. Gates, Yale, d. II. Nickerson, LIarvard, 6/4, 9/7; II. B. Bretz, Yale, d. E. I. Beard, Jr., Harvard, $6 / 2,6 / 4 ;$ B. ©. Bliss, Yale, d. L. 1. Grimmelt, Harvard, 6/3, 4/6, 6/1. Doubles-A. Sweetser and J. Wheelwright, Harvard, d. R. A. Holden and F. Watrous, Yale, $6 / 3,8 / 10,6 / 4$; B. G. Bliss and II. B. Bretz, Yale, d. G. (. Adams and H. Nickerson, Harvard. 6/3, 8/6; W. L. Eyre and J. L. Cote, Yale, d. W. B. Fraser-Campbell and E. L. Beard, Tr.. Harvard, 6/4, 6/4.

Western Intercollegiate Championship.-The annual tournament for the Western Intercollegiate Championship was held in the latter part of May, on the courts of the University of Chicago. There were players from the five universities of the Western Intercolleglate Conference Athletic Association, namely, the Universities of Chicago, Illinois, Minnesota, Wisconsin, and the Northwestern University. The summaries: Singles: Preliminary roundAdams, Minnesota, d. Gifford, Chicago, $1 / 6,6 / 3,6 / 4$; Gardner, Chicago. d. Hall, Minnesota, 6/0, 6/0. First romd-Musselman, llinois, d. Phelps, Wisconsin, 6/3, 6/2; Adams, Minnesota, d. Hobart, Northwestern, 6/2, 6/0; Gardner, Chícago, d. Sischo, Minnesota, 6/1, 6/0; Wiley, Illinois, d. Iuls, Wisconsin. 6/3, 6/1. Semi--final rount-Adams, Mimesota, d. Musselman, Illinois, $6 / 4,6 / 0$; Gardner, Chicago, d. Wiley, Illinois, $6 / 1,6 / 2$. Final roundGardner, Chicago, d. Adams, Minnesota, 6/1, 6/2. Doubles Preliminary round-Gardner and Gifford, Chicago, d. Hobart and Hall, Northwestern. 6/4, 6/1. First round-Musselman and McKim, lllinois, $d$. Gardner and Gifford, Chicago, 6/4, 6/1; Adams and Sischo, Minnesota, d. Thelps and Puls, Wisconsin. 6/4, 6/3. Final round-Adams and Sischo, Minnesota, d. Musselman and McKim, Illinois. $3 / 6,6 / 3,6 / 4,6 / 4$.

Clay Court Championship of Eastern Pennsylvania.-The thirteenth annual clay court tournament for the championship of Eastern Pennsylvania was held on September 5 and following days, on the Franklin Park courts, in Philadelphia. C. C. Van Horn, the title holder, successfully defended against II. W. l'age, the challenger. In the third round $E$. W. Palmer unexpectedly defeated H. Biddle in a two-set match, at $8 / 6,10 / 8$. Both men are members of the Belmont C.C. and Biddle was picked to win. E. T. Catlett and H. W. Page won their matches in the semi-final round, the former defeating $F$. F. Wieder, $6 / 3,8 / 6$, and the latter winning from E. W. I'almer, 6/4, 5/7, $6 / 1$. The final round went the limit of five sets, and as both men played well, the tennis was very fast. Catlett started ont by taking the first set at $6 / 2$, but Page won the next two at $7 / 5$ and $6 / 3$. The next set went to Catlett with the loss of only one game, but Page played brilliautly in the
next set, winning it and the match, at $6 / 3$. The challenge round started out well for Page, as he eaptured the first set at 8/6. Van Horn got going in the second, however. winning it and the next two, at $6 / 4,6 / 3,6 / 3$. In the semi-final mound of the doubles event, L. B. Brown and F. M. Harvey easily won from C. A. Penner and E. B. Moore at the score of $6 / 2,6 / 3$. In the other semi-final match I'age and Catlett defeated Van IIorn and J. H. Travis in a good twoset match, at $7 / 5,7 / 5$. Page and Catlett won the final round by the defanlt of Brown and Harver. I/. D. Yocum and G. Kerr, the title holders of the doubles, were unable to defend, thus giving the championship to Page and Catlett. The smmmaries: Mens' singles: First roumbT. H. Martin d. I. R. Ihtenburg, 6 1, 61 ; L. B. Brown d. H. R. Harris, $8 / 6,4 / 6,6 / 4 ;$ II. W. Page d. 13. HI. Scholl, 6/2, 6/2; I. II. Cravis d. E. Hall. $6 / 3.6 / 2$; K. Field. Jr., d. H. Kirkland, $6 / 1,6 / 3$ : E. W. Palmer d. C. C. Rutladge. $7 / 5,6 / 4 ;$ H. Bidale (l. T. II. Peaeock. $6 / 4,6 / 2$; E. R. IIill d. E. J. Ifoffman. $60,6 / 1$; H. A. Orf d. W. W. Blaneke, 6/3, 6/2; E. T. Catlott d. F. Krupp, 6/2, 6/0; R. M. Harvey d. M. L. IIarris, 6/3, 7/5; C. E. Liarbrni d. F. B. Snyder, $10 / \mathrm{S}, 6 / 3$; A. Reed d. C. L. Elliott, 6/3, 6/0; Dr. J. B. Bethel d. W. S. Glover, $6 / 3,10,8 ;$ F. F. Wieder d. R. E. Sensenderfer, 6/4, 6/0; 11. W. steckel d. A. Witliams, by default. Second roundH. Biddle d. E. R. Hill, 6/1, 6/2; E. T. Catlett d. H. A. Orf, 6/0, 11/9; R. M. Harvey d. C. E. Barbour, 6/1, 6/3; A. Reed d. Dr. J. B. Bechtel, 6/2, $6 / 4$ : T. II. Martin d. L. B. Brown, 4/6. 7/5, 6/1: H. W. Page d. I. s. Cravis, 7/5, 6 4: F. F. Wieder 1. F. W. Steckel, $6 / 0,6 / 0 ;$ E. W. Palmer d. S. Field, Jr., 6 4, $9 / 7$. Third romm-H. W. I'age d. T. IF. Martin, 6/2, 2/6, $6 / 2$; E. T. Catlett d. R. M. Harvey, $6 / 3,6 / 4$; E. W. Palmer d. H. Biddle. 8/6, 10/8; F. F. Wieder d. A. Reed, $6^{\prime} 3,6 / 0$. Semi-final remind-E. T. Catlett d. F. F. Wieder, $6 / 3,8 / 6$; H. W. I'age d. F. W. I'almer, 6/4, 5/7, 6/1. Final romd-II. W. l'age d. E. T. Catlett, $2 / 6,7 / 5,6 / 3,1 / 6,6 / 3$. Challenge round-C. C. Van Horn (holder) d. H. W. I'age (challenger). 6/8, 6/4, 6/3, $6 / 3$. Doubles: First round-11. Biddle and I'. W. Gibbons d. H. R. Hill and I. S. Cravis, $6 / 0,6 / 3$; J. H. Travis and C. C. Van Horn d. F. F. Wieder ad H. R. Harils. 6/4. 6/0; L. B. Brown and R. M. Harvey d. C. E. Barbour and J. H. Garrod, $9 / 7,4 / 6,6 / 4$; T. II. Martin and C. I. Elliott d. H. Smith and partner, by default; C. A. Benner and E. B. Moore d. R. F. Sensenderfer and A. Abrahams, 6/4, 7/5; H. W. Fage and E. T. Catlett d. E. Fluck and W. R. Hhlenburg. 6/0, 6/0. Seeond round-C. A. Benner and w. B. Moore d. T. II. Martin and C. L. Elliott. 6/4, 6/8, 7/5; L. B. Brown and R. M. Marrey d. A. Williams and M. L. Harris, by default; J. H. Travis and C. C. Van Horn d. II. Orf and L. Leonard, 6/2, 6/3; Page and Catlett d. Biddle and Gibbons, $11 / 13,6 / 4,6 / 3$. Semi-final round-L. B. Brown and R. M. Harvey d. C. A. Benner and E. B. Moore, 6/2, 6/3; Page and Catlett d. Van Horn and Travis, $7 / 5,7 / 5$. Final round-H. W. Page and E. T. Catlett d. L. B. Brown and R. M. Harvey, by default. Cballenge round-H. W. Page and E. T. Catlett d. L. D. Yocum and G. Kerr, by default.

Australian Championships.-Rodney Heath won the singles championship of Australia, defeating Horace Rice in straight sets, $6 / 4,6 / 3$. Rice and Ashley Campbell won the doubles, defeating Ifeath and Justin O'Dea, 6/2, 6/3, 6/2. The ladies' singles event was won by Miss L. Addition, by defeating Miss P. A. Stewart. $6 / 2,6 / 4$. Miss Stewart and Miss Wilmot won the ladies' doubles, defeating Miss Parr and Miss Boyce quite easily, by 6/0, 6/2. The mixed doubles went to Miss Boyce and R. A. Taylor. They defeated Miss Stewart and Campbell, 6/4, 7/5.

Hudson River Championship.-The Ifudsm River Lawn Tennis Association Championship, between the I'ark Hill Country Club of Yonkers, the Iowelton Club of Newburgh and the Bronxville Athletic Association, was won by the former. The play was held on the courts of the Dunwoodie Country Club, at Yonkers. N. Y., on July 16. Ross Burchard of the Powelton Club won the men's singles, defeating Morris Clark of Bronxville in the final round by the score of $8 / 6,6 / 1,4 / 6,6 / 3$. Clark and A. Basford of Bronxville won the dombles, defeating A. Latimer and A. D. Britten, also of Bronxville, in straight sets in the final round. Miss Anna Martin of Park Hill won the ladies' singles, and, with Mrs. Burdick, the doubles. The mixed doubles event was won by Miss Martin and Condon. The final point score was: Park Hill. 15; Rronxville, 11; Powelton. 9.

## SPALDING'S LAWN TENNIS ANNUAL.

Southern Intercollegiate Championship.-The championship tournament of the Southern Intrreollegiate Association was won on May 10 by E. V. Carter of the Unisersity of Georgia. This is the thirl consecutive year he has won the championship, which was hell on the courts of the Attanta Athletic Club. In the final round Carter defeated William luggins of the Vanderbilt Lniversity in a rather one-sided mateh. Carter's hardest mateh was in the semi-tinal round, when he won from W. L. Babcoek of Rollins Iniversity, at $60,8 / 6$. In the same round lluggins defeated S. B. slack of Georgia Lniversity, 6/1, 6/3. The summaries: First round-R. H. Twitchell, Kollins, d. II. F. Howden, Tech., 63.6 2: J. D. Dawson, Tech., d. F. Carter, Georgia, 6/4, 6/4; William Huggins, Vanderbilt, d. M. S. Hodgson Georgia. 6 0, 6/0: II. W. Patterson. Terlh., d. W. E. Firt, Georgia, 7/5, 6/1; M. L. Rabeack. Rollins, d. V. S. Dawson. Teeh., 6/2, $7 / 5$. Seeomd romm-s. B. Slack, Geergia, d. R. H. Twitchell, Rollins, $6 / 3,4 / 6,6 / 3$ : William Iluggins. Vaulerhitt. (1. J. D. Dawson, Tech., 6 3, 6 , 2: M. L. bahoock, Rollins, d. H. W. I'atterson, Tech., 5/7. 6/1, 75 : E. V. ('arter. Jr.. (ieorgia, d. 1). II. Stubhetield. Vanderbilt, $61,6 / 4$. Semb-final round-W'illam Hugeins. Vanderbilt, 1). S. B. slack, Georgia, 6/1, 6/3; E. V. Carter, Jx., Georgia. d. W. L. Babeock, Rollins, 6/0, 8/6. Final round-E. V. Carter, Jr., Georgia, d. William lluggins, Vanderbilt, 6/1, 6/1.

Western Pennsylvania Championship.-T. D. Sirerd successfully defended his title of Western lembsylvania chmplon. on July 16, at the Pittsburg Golf Club. He ontelassell his opponent. C. H. Karr (winner of the tommment), winning hy tho seore of $6 / 3,6,1,6 / 2$. Kare had a comparatively easy time, coming through the tournament without the loss of a set. In the final round he defeated J. E. MeLain, 6/3, 6/1, 7/5. D. D. Siverd and T. C. Wiarl won the doubles, defeating G. A. I. ron and C. D. Edwards in stralght sets in the final rount, by the score of $6 / 4,7 / 5,8 / 6$.

Mystic Valley Open Tournament.-Tames Nowell of Wiuchester, Mass., won the Mystic Valley chmulionship, which was held on July 9 and following flays, at the Oakley Country Club. Watertown, Mass. Nowell had a hari time in the final round with S. S. Beals, whom he finally defeated. 7/9, 8/6, $8 / 6,6 / 2$. W. S. Warland and A. N. Reggio won the doubles, defeating J. R. Kent and Nowell in a good fire-set match, in the final round. The score was $6 / 3,6 / 4,1 / 6,4 / 6,6 / 4$.

Southern Pennsylvania Championship.-The fourth annual tournament for the championship, of Sonthern Pennsylvania, played on the courts of the Bedford springs Tennis Club, was won by II. J. Rendall. In the final rouml Rendall had to play bard to win from J. S. Cote, whom he finally defeated, $6 / 4,8 / 6,1 / 6,6 / 0$. Rendall won the challenge round in straight sets, defeating P. D. Siverd, 6/3, 6/4, 6/2. P. D. Siverd and J. S. Cote won the doubles, defeating H. J. and II. W. Rendall, 6/3, 4/6, 9/7, default. The mateb was a long one, and would probably have been continued the following day, therefore the Rendalls defaulted. Jisis Bessie Garrison and I'. 1). Siverd won the mixed doubles.

Cannon Wins Missouri Valley Championship.-Jack Cannon won the ninth annual Missouri Valley Championship, defeating Herbert $V$. Jones in the final romnd. $4 / 6,5 / 7,61,8 / 6,6 / 1$. After wimning the first two sets, Jones slowed up a little, and Cannon casily got the third. Jones seemed rather tired from then on, and Cannon, after taking the fourth set at $8 / 6$, had little trouble in winning the fifth set and match. Drummond Jones, the title holder, was unable to defend. thas defaulting to Cannon. J. S. Trite and Herbert V. Jones won the doubles, defeating Jack Cannon and A. G. Welheuer, 6/3, 3/6, $6 / 3,6 / 3$, in the semi-final round. Trite and Jones had a hard fight with Yernon and IInward, whom they finally defeated, $6 / 4,5 / 7,6 / 3,6 / 4$. Cannon and Welheuer tasily won from Hathaway and Elwell, 6/3, 6/1, 6/1.

Southern California Championship. Winifred Mace successfully defended his title of champion of Soutl:ern California, on Augnst 6, at the Iotel Virignia, Long Beach, Cal. Nat Browne came through the tournament rather easily and put up a gond fight against Nace in the challenge round. In the final romel Browne completoly ontelassed E. Fottrell, winning by the score of $6 / 0,6 / 3$, 6/0. Simy som sinsabaugh and Ward Dawson won the doubles, defeating J.

## SPALDING'S LAWN TENNIS ANNUAL.

D. Holmes and L. R. Freeman in the final round. In the challenge round Sinsabaugh aind Dawson defeated the title holders, Alfonso E. Bell and Nat Browne, in straight sets. Miss May sutton easily won the ladies' singles, defeating her sister, Miss $F$. Sutton, $63,6 / 0$, in the final round. The mixed doubles event was won by Mrs. Bruce and H. Wayne.

Holden Wins Baltimore Event.-R. A. Holden. Jr., was the first to have his name inscribed on the new challenge bowl offered by the Baltimore Country Club, and in doing so he won from one of the cleverest little players in the country, namely, F. C. Colston. Neither man lost a set on his way to the final. Colston lost the first set, but won the second and third. His condition was far from good, however, and after a brief flash at the beginning of the fourth set he faded completely. Holden won as he chose from then onl. In the doubles Colston, playing with E. B. Dewhurst, gave Holden and $\mathbf{F}$. M. Watrous a bad defeat in the final round. The summaries: Men's singles: Preliminary rount-A. S. Bowie d. W. Lentz, 6/2, 7/5; Basil Wagner d. F. Iglebart, by default; $N$. W. McLean d. C. B. Faster, 1/6, 6/0, 6/1; C. McLanalian d. John Huck, 4/6, 6/0. 6/1: (. W. Phillips d. T. S. Offutt, 6/2. $6 / 2$; E. B. Reese d. II. G. Taylor, $57,6.3 .6 / 4 ;$ R. Shriver d. Ross Scott, by default; I. S. George d. Finlay French. 62, 6/3: F. C. Colston d. F. Gosnell. Jr., by defanlt; H. F. sturdy d. F. Lagg. $6 / 2,2 / 6,6 / 2$; D. Belt d. J. Shriver, 3/6, 6/2, 9/7. First round-('larence Fisher d. B. Harvey, 8/6, 7/5; II. R. Merry d. T. B. Harrison, by defanlt; R. A. Holden d. F. Whiteraft, $6 / 2,6 / 3$; Danlel Miller d. II. Taylor, $7 / 5,6 / 2$; W゙. C. Coleman d. W. H. Hopkins, $6 / 3,9 / 7$; Wagner d. Bowie, 6/0, 6/3; McLean d. MeLanahan, 6/2, $4 / 6,7 / 5$; Reese d. Phillips, 6/3, 6/4: George d. Shriver, 6/3, 8/6; Colston d. sturdy, $6 / 0,6 / 2$; C. Mealy $d$. Belt, $97,16,9 / 7$; W. White d. R. Griswold, $3 / 6,6 / 3,7 / 5$; F. M. Watrous d. C. S. Cathermun, 6/1, 6/2; T. R. Putsche d. J. S. Ensor, by default; C. S. Brown d. W. Shriver, 6/1, 6/0; C. G. Bronke d. Curran Harvey, 7/5, 3, 6. 9 7. Second round-Fisher d. Merry, 6/1. 6/0; Holden d. Miller, 7/5, 6/3: Wagner d. Coleman, 6/1. 6/0; McLean d. Reese, $6 / 1,6 / 1$; Colston $d$. George. by defanlt; White d. Mealy, 6/2, 6/2; Watrous d. Putsche, $6 / 1,6 / 2$; Brown d. Brooke, by lefanlt. Third round-Holden d. Fisher, 6/3, 6/1; Wagner d. McLaan. 6/0, $10 / 8$; Colston d. White, 6/2, 6/1; Watrous d. Brown, 6/4, 6/3. Semi-final round-Holden d. Wagner, 9/7, 6/3; Colston d. Watrous, 6/4, 6/2. Final round-IIolden d. Colston, 10/8, 5/7, 1/6, $6 / 2,6 / 2$. Men's doubles: Preliminary round-McLanahad and French d. Harvey and Harvey, by default: Holden and Watrous d. Merry and Merry, $6 / 1,6 / 1$; Whiteraft and White d. Faster and Mcttce, 6/1, 3/6, 8/6; MeLean and Putsche d. George and partner, hy default; Colston and Dewhurst d. Coleman and. Bowie, by default; Phillips and Magruder d. Griswold and Goodecow. 6/4. 6/0. First round-MeIanahan and French d. Miller and Miller, $6 / 3,6 / 3$ : Holden and Watrous $d$. Whitcraft and White, 6/0, 6/0; Colston and Newhurst d. Melean and Putsche, 6/2, 6/2; Wagner and Fisher d. Phillips and Magruder, by default. Semi-final romi-Holden and Watrous d. McLanalan and French, 6/2, 6/4; Colston and Dewhurst d. Wagner and Fisher, 4/6, $6 / 1,6 / 1$. Final round-Colstor and Dewhurst d. Holden and Watrous, 6/1, 6/3, 6/2.

Read Wins Red River Valley Championship.-E. S. Read of Canada won the ninth annual Red River Valley tournament. held on the Grand Forks courts, on July 11. IIe defeated R. Branson in the final round by the score of $6 / 4,4 / 6,0 / 6,7 / 5,8 / 6$. Read and G. D. Holmes defeated R. Branson and R. Smith in a closely contested fire-set match in the final round of the doubles. G. Sunberg won the North Dakota singles, defeating B. Lamb, 6/4, $6 / 0$, in the final round. The North Dakota doubles were won by W. H. Bates and Lamb. They defeated Sunberg and Dr. D. H. Thyng in the final round. Dr. Thyng had hurt his leg earlier in the day and was unable to last through the match. Miss Marguerite Davis easily won the ladies' singles, defeating Mrs. F. H. Gill, $6 / 0,6 / 0$, in the final round. The ladies' doubles were won by Miss F. F. Hamber and Miss F. M. Field. Miss F. M. Field and $工$. Northrop won the mixed doubles.

Central New York Championship.-Neil C. Stevens suecessfully defended his title of Central New York champion, defeating Ifoward Bissell in the challenge round, in straight sets. The tounamest was held on the courts of the

Yalmundasis Golf Club，at Ttion．N．Y゙．，during the werk of July 11．Bissell las a rather hard match with siewart lialeigh in the final round．hut he manated to win by the seope ot 6／3， $63,1 / 6,2$ 6． 64 ．lialeigh amd Bissell won the doubles，defeating Lewis and Costello of syracuse， $9 / 7,6 / 2, \quad \circ 4$.

Gordon Wins Central New Jersey Championship．－The tournament for the （hampionship of Central New Jersey，held at the Trenton Conntry Club on July 4，was won by spenter Gordon．In the final round he defeated A．K． Aitkin，6 3，6／1，6／3．The challenge remble turned ont to be no harder for Govdon than the final，when lue leftated I＇．B．Jlawk，6／3，6／1，6／3．Hawk was sumowhat off his game and（iorkon had little dificulty in disposing of bim．Spencer fordon and $A$ ．K，Jitkin won the donhles，defeating I＇．B． IIawk aud I＇W．Gibbous， $6 / 1,6 / 1,2 / 6,5 / 7,6 / 1$ ，in the final round．

Blandy Wins Yale Interscholastic Title．－The eighth annual interseholastic tonnament of Sale was won ly H．F．Blandy of Harstrom School on the Viale courts at lew Haven，on May 14．＇The smmaries：Men＇s singles： First round－Scoville，llartford H．心．，d．J．lbouvier，lIarstrom，by default； I1．F．IBlandy，Harstrom，（l．T．I＇eck，fiummer，6／3，6／1；W．F．Reeve，3d， timmery，d．Shelrard，Hartforl II．N．，6．2，6／4；White，Hartford II．S．．d．A． Morrill．Harstrom，6／4， 62 ；Day．Taft，d．stiles，Hartford II．S．，6／2，6／3． Second round－Cooley，Jlartford H．s．，d．Sooville，6／3，6／2；Blandy，Harstrom，
 Taft，6／3，3／6，6，S．Semi－final rombl－labaty 1l．Cooviey，6／1，6／1；Day d． Baffura，6／1，4．6， $7 / 5$, Fiual rount－Blaudy d．Day，5／7，8／6，6／4，


1, Miles S. Charlock ; 2, H. J. Torrance ; 3, E. F. Torrey, Jr. THE 1910 RANKING COMMITTEE.

## Annual Meeting of the U. S. N. L. T. A.

The thirtieth annual meeting of the United States National Lawn Tennis Association was held Friday evening, February 3, 1911, at the Waldorf Astoria, New lork. It was well attended by the various club representatives in person, and few organizations unable to gain personal representation had not handed in their proxies.

Two questions of unusual interest and importance came up for discussion. One, the removal of the championship from Newport, to Philadelphia preferably, was voted upon, but failed to gain the votes necessary to go through, and Newport will again be the scene of the American championship. The promoters of the suggested change, however, made a stronger stand than expected and were elated by the manner in which their scheme was accepted, expressing confidence in the ultimate success of their project.

The second question decided upon was a change in the manner of electing the Executive Committee. It had been contended that a man serving on the committce for the period of one year was unable to accomplish much that a longer term would make possible and it was therefore determined to elect the committee in divisions, one to serve for three years, another for two, and a third for one year. The question, voted upon, was carried unanimously, and the men elected were W. A. Larned, L. H. Waidner and R. D. Little for three years. P. E. Presbrey, W. J. Clothier and E. F. Torrey, Jr., for two years. R. Holterhoff, Sumner Hardy and L. D. Scott, for one year. The following officers were elected:

President, Dr. James Dwight, Longwood Cricket Club, Boston; Somerset Club, Boston, Mass.

Vice-President, Robert I). Wrenn, 24 Broad Strect, New York City.
Secretary, \. L. Hoskins, corner of Fifth and Walnut Streets, Philadelphia.

Treasurer, Richard Stevens, I Newark Street, Hoboken, N. J.; Orange Lawn Tennis Club.

Executive Committee-For three years: W. A. Larned, Summit. N. J.; L. II. Waidner, Chicago, 1ll.; R. D. Little, New York City. For two years: W. J. Clothier, Philadelphia, Pa.; P. E. Presbrey, Boston, Mass.; E. F. Torrey, Jr., Clinton, N. Y. For one year: Sumner Hardy, San Francisco, Cal.; R. Holterhoff, Cincinnati, O.; L. D. Scott, Atlanta, Ga.

## Official Ranking, 1910

## SINGLES.

Class 1-OWe $2 / 6$ of 15 I. W. A. Larned

Class 2-Owe I/6 OF 15 2. T. $C$ Bundy
3. Beals C. Wright
4. M. E. McLoughlin
5. M. H. Long

> Class 3-Scratch
6. N. W. Niles

Class 4-i 6 of 15
7. G. F. Touchard
8. T. R. Pell
9. F. C. Colston
10. C. R. Gardner
11. R. H. Palmer
12. W. F. Johnson
13. Dean Mathey
14. R. D. Little
15. F. C. Inman

Class 5-2/6 of 15
16. R. A. IIolden, Jr.
17. J. D. E. Jones
18. F. J. Sulloway
19. F. G. Anderson
20. C. M. Bull, Jr.

2r. W. B. Cragin, Jr.
22. A. S. Dabney, Jr.
23. L. H. Waidner
24. E. H. Whitney
25. G. M. Church

Class 6-3/6 of 15
26. E. P. Fischer
27. W. C. Grant
28. W. M. Hall
29. L. E. Mahan
30. W. L. Pate
31. M. S. Charlock
32. R. C. Seaver

Class 7-4/6 of 15
33. Nat Thornton
34. Henry Mollenhauer
35. G. A. Lyon, Jr.
36. C. D. Jones
37. F. W. Cole
38. C. L. Johnson, Jr.
39. T. W. Hendrick
40. R. N. Dana

Class 8-5/6 of ${ }^{15}$
41. Arthur Sweetser
42. W. T. Hayes
43. F. II. Harris
44. II. J. Rendall
45. G. A. L. Dionne
46. P. D. Siverd
47. E. T. Gross
48. Paul E. Gardner
49. H. M. Tilden

Class 9-15
50. R. L. Branson
51. C. S. Peters
52. S. Jarvis Adams
53. J. O. Ames
54. Jos. J. Armstrong
55. John Barton
56. J. L. Cote
57. J. E. McLain
58. Charles Garland
59. Frank Marty

6o. C. J. Lockhorn
61. W. M. Washburn
62. Jos. L. Carpenter, Jr.
63. Spencer Gordon
64. G. C. Thomas
65. N. H. Bundy
66. P. B. Ilawk
67. F. M. Watrous
68. R. M. Hoerr
69. W. H. Trotter

Class $10-152 / 6$.
70. J. C. Neeley, Jr.
71. S. H. Voshell
72. S. L. Beals
7.3. B. M. Phillips
74. C. G. Plimpton
75. II. A. MacKinney
76. F. P. Fox
77. King Smith
78. T. B. Plimpton
79. Ewing Taylor

8o. WV. V. Bennett
81. A. Bassford, Jr.
82. E. F. Leo
83. Wm. Rosenbaum
84. W. H. Connell
85. Charles O. Benton
86. Hoffman Nickerson
87. George W. Pike
88. B. M. Grant
89. J. T. Bailey
90. J. C. Tomlinson, Jr.

Class 11 - 15 4/6
91. Julio Steinacher
92. N. G. Johnson
93. Lawrence D. Woodbury
94. E. II. S. Pendergast
95. G. S. Groesbeck
96. Walter Roberts
97. Howard Bissell
98. L. H. Fitch
99. Paul W. Gibbons
100. E. M. Sheppard
101. A. K. Aitkin
102. Henry W. Tifft

## DOUBLES.

Class i-Owe 3/6 of 15

1. H. H. Hackett and F. B. Alexander.

Class 2-Owe i/6 of 15
2. T. C. Bundy and T. Hendrick.
3. E. H. Whitney and R. Bishop. 4. W. A. Larned and G. L. Wrenn.

## Class 3-Scratcif

5. N. W. Niles and A. S. Dabney, Jr.
6. C. M. Bull, Jr., and H. C. Martin. 8. C. F. Watson, Jr., and R. R. Fincke.
7. L. H. Waidner and P. Gaidner. 9. T. R. Pell and W. C. Grant.

$$
\text { Class } 4-2 / 6 \text { or } 15
$$

10. C. B. and H. E. Doyle. 12. G. F. Touchard and C. R. Gardner.
11. W. B. Cragin, Jr., and W. M. Hall. 13. R. A. Holden and F. M. Watrous.

$$
\text { Class } 5-4 / 6 \text { Of Is }
$$

14. B. M. Grant and N. Thornton.
15. Walter Hayes and C. S. Peters. 18. C. D. Jones and R. M. Hoerr.
16. R. H. Palmer and C. G. Plimpton. 19. E. F. Leo and B. M. Phillips.
17. John Barton and R. L. Branson. 20. J. T. Bailey and Charles Speice.

## Ranking of First Ten Players Since 1885

1885-1. R. D. Sears; 2. J. Dwight; 3. W. V. R. Berry; 4. G. M. Brinley; 5. J. S. Clark; 6. A. Moffat; 7. R. L. Beeckman; 8. H. A. Taylor; 9. F. S. Mansfield; 1о. W. P. Knapp.

1886-I. R. D. Sears; 2. J. Dwight; 3. R. L. Beeckman ; 4. H. A. Taylor; 5. J. S. Clark; 6. H. W. Slocum; 7. G. M. Brinley ; 8. F. S. Mansfield; 9. A. Moffat; io. J. S. Conover.

1887-1. R. D. Sears; 2. H. W. Slocum; 3. R. L. Beeckman; 4. H. A. Taylor; 5. J. S. Clark; 6. F. S. Mansfield; 7. P. S. Sears; 8. G. M. Brinley; 9. E. P. MacMullen; io. Q. A. Shaw, Jr.

1888-1. H. W. Slocum; 2. H. A. Taylor; 3. J. Dwight; 4. J. S. Clark; 5. C. A. Chase; 6. P. S. Sears; 7. E. P. MacMullen; 8 O. S. Campbell; 9. R. L. Beeckman; io. F. S. Mansfield.

1889-1. H. W. Slocum; 2. Q. A. Shaw, Jr.; 3. O. S. Campbell; 4. H. A. Taylor; 5. C. A. Chase; 6. J. S. Clark; 7. W. P. Knapp; 8. R. P. Huntington, Jr.; 9. P. S. Sears; ro. F. S. Mansfield.

1890-1. O. S. Campbell; 2. R. P. Huntington, Jr.; 3. W. P. Knapp; 4. H. W. Slocum; 5. F. H. Hovey ; 6. C. Hobart; 7. P. S. Sears; 8. H. A. Taylor; 9. C. A. Chase; ro. V. G. Hall.

1891-1. O. S. Campbell; 2. C. Hobart; 3. R. P. Huntington, Jr.; 4. F. H. Hovey ; 5. E. L. Hall; 6. V. G. Hall; 7. P. S. Sears; 8. S. T. Chase; 9. C. T. Lee; io. M. D. Smith.

1892-1. O. S. Campbell; 2. E. L. Hall; 3. W. P. Knapp; 4. C. Hobart; 5. F. H. Hovey; 6. W. A. Larned; 7. M. G. Chace; 8. R. D. Wrenn; 9. R. Stevens; 1o. C. P. Hubbard.

1893-1. R. D. Wrenn; 2. C. Hobart; 3. F. H. Hovey ; 4. M. G. Chace; 5. W. A. Larned; 6. E. L. Hall; 7. R. Stevens; 8. A. E. Foote; 9. John Howland; ro. C. R. Budlong.

1894-1. R. D. Wrenn; 2. W. A. Larned; 3. M. F. Goodbody; 4. F. H. Hovey: 5. M. G. Chace; 6. C. Hobart; 7. R. Stevens; 8. C. R. Budlong; 9. A. E. Foote; 10. W. G. Parker.

1895-1. F. H. Hovey ; 2. W. A. Larned; 3. M. G. Chace; 4. John Howland; 5. R. D. Wrenn; 6. C. B. Neel; 7. C. Hobart; 8. R. Stevens; 9. A. E. Foote; 10. C. R. Budlong.

1896-I. R. D. Wrenn; 2. W. A. Larned; 3. C. B. Neel; 4. F. H. Hovey; 5. E. P. Fischer; 6. G. L. Wrenn, Jr.; 7. R. Stevens: 8. M. D. Whitman; 9. L. E. Ware; io. G. P. Sheldon, Jr.

1897-1. R. D. Wrenn; 2. W. A. Larned; 3. W. V. Eaves; 4. H. A. Nesbit; 5. H. S. Mahony; 6. G. L. Wrenn, Jr.; 7. M. D. Whitman; 8. Kriegh Collins; 9. E. P. Fischer; 1o. W. S. Bond.

1898-1. M. D. Whitman; 2. L. E. Ware; 3. W. S. Bond; 4. D. F. Davis; 5. C. R. Budlong; 6. E. P. Fischer; 7, G. L. Wrenn, Jr.; 8. R. Stevens; 9. S. C. Millett; 10. G. K. Belden.

1899-1. M. D. Whitman; 2. D. F. Davis; 3. W. A. Larned; 4. J. P. Paret; 5. Krieglı Collins; 6. G. L. Wrenn, Jr.; 7. Leo Ware; 8. Beals C. Wright; 9. Holcombe Ward; 10. R. P. Huntington, Jr.

1900-1. M. D. Whitman; 2. D. F. Davis; 3. W. A. Larned; 4. Beals C. Wright; 5. Kriegh Collins; 6. G. L. Wrenn, Jr.; 7. Holcombe Ward; 8. L. E. Ware; 9. J. A. Allen; 1o. R. D. Little.

1901-1. W. A. Larned; 2. Beals C. Wright; 3. D. F. Davis; 4. L. E. Ware; 5. C. Hobart; 6. R. D. Little; 7. H. Ward; 8. Kriegh Collins; 9. E. P. Fischer; Io. W. J. Clothier.

1902-J. W. A. Larned; 2. M. D. Whitman; 3. Beals C. Wright; 4. Holcombe Ward; 5. W. J. Clothier; 6. L. E. Ware: 7. R. D. Little; 8. H. H. Hackett; 9. Clarence Hobart; 10. Kriegh Collins.

1903-1. W. A. Larned; 2. Holcombe Ward; 3. W. J. Clothier; 4. Beals C. Wright; 5. Kriegh Collins; 6. E. P. Larned; 7. H. F. Allen; 8. E. W. Leonard; 9. R. H. Carleton; 10. Kenneth Horton.

1904-1. Holcombe Ward; 2. W. J. Clothier; 3. W. A. Larned; 4. Beals C. Wright; 5. Kriegh Collins; 6. R. D. Little; 7. F. B. Alexander; 8. R. Stevens; 9. A. E. Bell; 10. E. W. Leonard.

1905-1. B. C. Wright; 2. Holcombe Ward; 3. W. A. Larned; 4. W. J. Clothier; 5. Frederick B. Alexander; 6. Clarence Hobart; 7. Richard Stevens; 8. Kriegh Collins; 9. R. D. Little; 10. F. G. Anderson.

1906-1. W. J. Clothier; 2. W. A. Larned; 3. B. C. Wright; 4. F. B. Alexander: 5. K. H. Behr; 6. R. D. Little; 7. H. H. Hackett; 8. F. G. Anderson; 9. E. B. Dewhurst; 10, I. C. Wright.

1907-1, W. A. Larned; 2. B. C. Wright; 3. Karl H. Behr; 4. R. D. Little; 5. Robert LeRoy; 6. Clarence Hobart; 7. E. P. Larned; 8. R. C. Seaver; 9. Irving C. Wright; 10. F. C. Colston.

1908-1. W. A. Larned; 2. B. C. Wright; 3. F. B. Alexander; 4. W. J. Clothier; 5. R. D. Little; 6. Robert LeRoy; 7. Nat Emerson; 8. N. W. Niles; 9. W. F. Johnson; 1o. R. H. Palmer.

1909-1. W. A. Larned; 2. W. J. Clothier; 3. W. F. Johnson; 4. N. W. Niles; 5. R. D. Little; 6. M. E. McLoughlin; 7. M. H. Long; 8. Karl H. Rehr; 9. E. P. Larned; 1o. Robert LeRoy.

## National Indoor Championship

T. R. Pell again demonstrated his ability on the board courts when he went through the tournament held in February, igir, at the Seventh Regiment Armory, New York, for the National Indoor Championship.

Practically the same men who competed for the indoor title annually took part in this, the twelfth event of its kind, and there were no new contenders who spelled danger to the old guard. Pell, always wonderfully clever at this branch of the game, played better than ever before. He had an easy draw to the semi-final. There he faced G. F. Touchard, the 1910 holder, and beat him with ease. Touchard was outclassed completely and in the two sets won but a single game. In the final W. B. Cragin, Jr., who had come through W. M. Hall and other good men, made little progress against Pell. The latter won at $6 / 2,6 / 3,6 \frac{1}{4}$. It was Pell's third win of the indoor championship, and it gave him possession of the challenge trophy for which he has had to play through each year.

The doubles proved easy for Pell and F. B. Alexander. Their only hard match was against Touchard and C. R. Gardner. They won that in straight sets, but it was close from start to finish. The summaries:

## MEN'S SINGLES.

Preliminary round-C. R. Gardner d. Harold Swain, 6/r, 6/2; G. S. Groesbeck d. King Smith, by default; M. S. Clark d. F. G. Anderson, by default; J. M. Strinacher d. M. S. Hagar, 6/3, 6/2; W. C. Grant d. R. A. Holden, Jr., 6 2, 8/6; G. C. Shafer d. F. L. Day, 6/2, 6/2; J. P. Paret d. W. C. De Mille, $8 / 6,6 / 3$; R. T. Bryan d. H. L. Ghormley, by default; C. A. Anderson d. C. II. Doty, 6/o, 6/2; J. S. Cushman d. James O'Neale, $6 / 1,6 / 1$; A. S. Cragin d. W. D. Chase, by default; A. H. Man, Jr., d. Robert Putnam, by default; F. M. Watrous d. Everett Townsend, $8 / 6,6 / 3$; W. S. Bouvier d. Dr. C. E. S. Webster, by default; Walter Roberts d. C. H. Bonsal by default; B. M. Phillips d. G. M. Church, 8/6, $6 / 0$.

First round-G. H. Miller d. H. McK. Glazebrook by default; F. H. Gates d. M. P. Willett, 6/s, 6/2; A. M. Vander Byl d. A. L. Hoskins by default; J. L. Cote, Jr., d. Charles Chambers, $6 / 3,6 / 4$; M. L. Wilson d. H. M. Keator, by default; T. C. Fulton d. II. J. Fitzpatrick, 6/2, 6/3; C. G. Plimpton d. A. A. Dederer, by default; T. R. Pell d. S. W. Merrihew, $6 / 2,6 / 0 ;$ A. S. Moses d. E. W. Peaslee, 6/o, 6/2; H. P. Smith d. R. W. Gifford, $6 / 2,6 / 2$; Walter Hazard d. Valentine Treat, $6 / \mathrm{r}, 6 / 2$; G. F. Touchard d. Louis J. Grant, by defatul:; C. R. Gardiner d. G. S. Steinacher, $6 / 2,6 / 4$; W. C. Grant d. G. C. Shafer, $9 / 7,3 / 6,6 / 1$; J. P. Paret d. R. T. Bryan, 6/2, 6/1; C. A. Anderson d. J. S. Cushman, $6 / 3,2 / 6,6 / 4$; A. S. Cragin d. A. H. Man, Jr., 6/o, $6 / 1$; F. M. Watrous d. W. S. Bouvier, 6/o, 6/2; B. M. Phillips d. Walter Roberts, 6/4, 6/o; R. M. Mapleson d. E. Barnes, by default; L. P. Moore d. P. M. Hall, 6/4, 7/5; W. B. Cragin, Jr., d. S. H. Voshell, 6/i, 6/3; Dr. Rosenbaum, d. Paul Goold, 6/4, 6/3; R. M. Beckley d. C. M. Amerman, by default; A. Sheffer d. A. Sankey, by default; W. H. Connell d. W. H. Bates, $6 / 0,6 / 3$; C. G. Steinacher d. D. E. Roberts, by default; S. A. Westfall d. E. F. Leo, by default; W. M. Hall d. P. E. Mead, by default; A. E. Peterson d. H. A. Parker, $8 / 6 ; 6 / 2$; A. Bassford d. G. A. Vondermuhll, by default.

Second round-F. H. Gates d. G. H. Miller, 7/5, 6/o; A. M. Vander Byl d. J. L. Cote, Jr., by default; T. C. Fulton d. M. L. Wilson, by default; T. R. Pell d. C. G. Plimpton, $6 / 3,6 / 1$; H. P. Smith d A.

S．Moses， $7 / 5,1 / 6,6 / 3$ ；G．F．Touchard d．W．Hazarí，6／3， 7／5；C．R．Gardner d．M．S．Clark， $5^{/ 7}, 8 / 6,64$ ；W．C．Grant d．J．P．Paret， $6 / 1,6 / 3$ ；A．S．Cragin d．C．A．Anderson，6／2，8．6；F．M． Watrous d．B．M．Phillips，6／3， $5 / 7,6 / 4 ;$ L．P．Moore d．R．M．Mapel－ son， $6 / 4,6 / 3 ;$ W．B．Cragin，Jr．，d．1）r．Wm．Rosenbaum，6／3，6／4；R．M． Beckley d．A．Scheffer，6／4， 63 ；W．H．Connell d．C．G．Steinacher，3／6， 6／t，6／i；W．M．Hall d．S．A．Westfall， 6 2，6／4；A．Bassford d．A．E． Peterson，6／1，6／3．

Third round－1．M．Vander Byl d．F．II．Gates．6／4，1／6，6／2；T．R． Pell d．T．C．Fulton，6／2，6／2；（i．F．．Touchard d．II．I＇．Smith， $2 / 6,6 / 3$ ， 64 ；W．C．Grant d．C．R．Gardner， $2 / 6,63,6 / 2 ;$ ．S．Cragin d．F．M． Watrous，6／o，6／4；W．B．Cragin，Jr．，d．L．P．Moore， 6 1， 6 ；；W．H． Comnell d．R．M．Beckley， $6 / 4,6^{\prime} 2 ;$ W．M．Hall d．A．Passford， $6 / 3,6 / \mathrm{r}$ ． Fourth round－T．R．I＇ell d．A．M．Vander IByl， $6 \mathrm{o}, ~ 6 / 1$ ；（i．F．Touch－ ard d．W．C．Grant by default；W．B．Cragin，Jr．，d．A．S．Cragin，6／2， 6／3：W．M．Hall d．W．II．Connell，6／3，6／1．

Semi－final round－T．R．Pell d．G．F．Touchard，6／o， $6_{1}$ ；W：B．Cragin， Jr．，d．W．M．JIall，6／1，6／0．

Final round－T．R．I＇ell d．W．B．（ragin，J．， 6 2， 6 3，6／4．

## MEN＇S DOUBLES．

First round－W．H．Connell and C．G．Plimpton d．Dr．C．E．S．Webster and II．M．Keator， $62,6 / 3$ ；R．M．Feckley and E．W．（iunther d．W．B． Cragin，Jr．，and W．H．Hall，by default；A．H．Man，Jr．，and E．W．Peas－ lee d．II．Parker and partner，by default；W．Hazard and C．Chambers d． S．A．Westfall and A．N．Sankey， $6,3,6,1 ;$ S．W．Merrihew and F．M． Letson d．M．S．Ilagar and A．Scheffer， $7 / 5,3 / 6,6 / 3$ ；King Smith and $A$ ． S．Cragin d．R．M．Mapleson and C．II．Doty，62，6，o；R．T．Bryan and M．S．Clark d．R．W．Gifford and A．M．Vander Byl，by default；W．C． Grant and C．Cragin d．R．L．James and partner，by default；G．S．Groes－ beck and II．P．Smith d．Dr．G．K．Mildreth and E．C．Ilden，by default； F．B．Alexander and T．R．P＇ell d．B．S．Prentice and（i．H．Miller，6／r， $6 / 2$ ；J．S．Cushman and B．M．Phillips d．G．M．Church and W．S． Bouvier，6／1，6／2；G．C．Shafer and L．E．Mahan d．II．J．Fitzpatrick and C．A．Anderson， $6 / 2,6 / 2 ;$ W．C．De Mille and O．M．Bostwick d．Dr． Wm．Rosenbaum and I＇．W．Meade，7／5，10／8；G．F．Touchard and C． R．Gardner d．J．L．Cote and partner，by default；J．M．Steinacher and S．H．Voshell d．G．J．Steinacher and T．C．Fulton，6／1，6／3；R．A．Hold－ en，Jr．，and F．MI．Watrous d．P．Goold and B．Manchester，6／2，6／3．

Second round－Connell and Plimpton d．Beckley and Gunther，by de－ fault；Chambers and Hazard d．Man and Peaslee， $6 / 3,6 / 1$ ；Smith and Cragin d．Merriliew and Letson，6／o，6／o；Grant and Cragin d．Bryan and Clark，6／4．6／4；Mexander and Pell d．Groesbeck and Smith，6／0，6／2； Shaefer and Mahan d．Cushman and Phillips，8／6，4／6，6／3；Touchard and Gardner d．De Mille and Bostwick， $6 / 3,6 / 4$ ；Steinacher and Vo－ shell d．Holden and Watrous． $7 / 5,5 / 7,6 / 4$ ．

Third round－Chambers and Hazard d．Connell and Plimpton，6／4，6／4； Grant and Cragin d．Smith and Cragin， $7 / 5,6 / 4$ ；Alexander and Pell d． Schaeffer and Mahan，6／4，6／2；Touchard and Gardner d．Steinacher and Voshell，6／3，6／4．

Semi－final round－Grant and Cragin d．Chambers and Hazard，6／3，6／1； Nexander and Pell d．Touchard and Gardner，6／2， 7 ＇s．

Final round－F．B．Alexander and T．R．Pell d．W．C．Grant and C． Cragin， $11 / 9,6 / 3,6 / 1$ ．

## National Women's Indoor Championship

For the third time Miss Marie Wagner annexed the title of Indoor Champion, when on March 9 she defeated the 1910 holder, Mrs. F. G. Schmitz, in the final round in a close and exciting three-set match. There were twenty-five entries in singles, and twelve teams in doubles.

Miss Wagner won her early matches easily, but in the semi-final Miss E. Marcus came within a single stroke of defeating her. Mrs. Sclmmitz, however, outclassed all her opponents. The final was hard fought, and only a sensational pull-up by the champion in the third set saved her from defeat. Mrs. Schmitz led at $4 / 1$ in the deciding set, but by the hardest kind of driving Miss Wagner ran out five successive games.

Miss E. Bunce and Miss B. Fleming won the doubles. Their opponents in the final were Mrs. Schmitz and Miss Marcus. The summaries:

## LADIES' SINGLES.

Preliminary round-Miss E. M. Handy d. Miss Bessie G. Holden, 6/2, 6/0; Miss Clara Kuttroff d. Miss Elsa Alsberg, by default; Miss Marjorie Kerr d. Miss Adele Cragin, 3/6, 6/4, 6/4; Miss Margaret Grove d. Miss Rita C. Metcalfe, 6/1, 6/2; Mrs. F. Schmitz d. Miss G. de la Torre, by default; Miss E. C. Bunce d. Miss Della Shull, 6/1, 6/o; Miss B. Fleming d. Mrs. J. Eckerson, 6/4, 9/7; Miss Marie Wagner d. Miss T. R. Kuser, 6/3, $6 / 1 ;$ Mrs. C. W. Remsen d. Miss M. M. Riker, 6/o, 6/o.

First round-Miss A. L. Fish d. Miss I. S. Kissell, by default; Miss Handy d. Miss Buda Stephen, 6/o 6/ז; Miss Kuttroff d. Miss Kerr, 6/i, 6/2; Mrs. Schmitz d. Miss Grove, 6/1, 6/2: Miss Fleming d. Miss Bunce, 6/4, 4/6, 6/2; Miss Wagner d. Mrs. Remsen, 6/o, 6/2; Miss E. Marcus d. Miss F. M. Fish, $6^{\prime} 2,6 / 4$; Miss E. A. Bagg d. Miss Frances Menry, 6/1, 6.3 .

Second round-Miss Fish d. Miss Handy, 6/2, 6/4; Mrs. Schmitz d. Miss Kuttroff, 6/4. 6/3; Miss Wagner d. Miss Fleming, 6/2, 6/1; Miss Marcus d. Miss Bagg. 6/o, 6/2.

Semi-final round-Mrs. Schmitz d. Miss Fish, 6/3, 6/1; Miss Wagner d. Miss Marcus, $4 / 6,8 / 6,6 / 2$.

Final round-Miss Wagner d. Mrs. Schmitz, 6/4, 7/9, 6/4.

## LADIES' DOLBLES.

Preliminary round-Miss N. Waddleton and Miss B. G. Holden d. Miss M. Kerr and Miss F. Henry, 6/o, 4/6, 6/3; Mrs. F. Schmitz and Miss E. Marcus d. Miss F. M. Fish and Miss A. L. Fish, 6/2, 6/4; Miss M. M. Riker and Miss R. C. Metcalfe d. Miss M. Grove and Mrs. A. Humphries, by default; Miss E. C. Bunce and Miss F. Fleming d. Miss A. Cragin and Miss F. W. Jenkins, 6/o, 6/o.

First round-Miss E. M. Handy and Mrs. C. W. Remsen d. Miss E. A. Bagg and Miss Buda Stephens, $6 / 2,6 / 1$; Mrs. Schmitz and Miss Marcus d. Miss Waddleton and Holden, 6/o, 6/1; Miss Bunce and Miss Fleming d. Miss Riker and Miss Metcalfe, 6/o, $6 / 2$; Miss Marie Wagner and Miss C. Kuttroff d. Miss T. R. Kuser and Miss de la Torre, 6/1, 6/2.

Semi-final round-Mrs. Schmitz and Miss Marcus d. Miss Handy and Mrs. Remsen, 6/3, 6/2; Miss Bunce and Miss Fleming d. Miss Wagner and Miss Kuttroff, $6 / 3,3 / 6,6 / 3$.

Final round-Miss Bunce and Miss Fleming d. Mrs. Schmitz and Miss Marcus, 6/4, 8/6.

## -

|  | SINGLES. |  | DOUBLES |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Year | Champion | Winner All-Comers | Champions |
| 1881 | R. D. Sears.. | R. D. Sears. | C. M. Clark and F. W. Taylor |
| 1882 | R. D. Sears.. | R, D. Sears. | R. D. Sears and J. Dwight |
| 1883 | R. D. Sears.. | R. D. Sears.. | 12. D. Sears and J. Dwight |
| 1884 | R. D. Sears.. | H. A. Taylor. | R. D. Sears and J. Dwight |
| 1885 | R. D. Sears.. | G. M. Brinley . | R. D. Sears and J. S. Clark |
| 1886 | R. D. Sears.. | R. L. Beeckman.. | R. D. Sears and J. Dwight |
| 1887 | R. D. Sears... | H. W. Slocum, Jr | R. D. Sears and J. Dwight |
| 1888 | H. W. Slocum, Jr | H. W. Slocum, Jr | O. S. Campbell and V. G. Hall |
| 1889 1890 | H. W. Slocum, Jr | Q. A. Shaw, Jr. | H. W. Slocum, Jr., and H. A. Taylor |
| 1890 | O. S. Campbell. O. S. Campbell | O. S. Campbell. C. Hobart. | V. G. Hall and C. Hobart <br> O. S. Campbell and R. P. Huntington, Jr. |
| 1892 | O. S. Campbell. | F. H. Hovey | O. S. Campbell and R. P. Huntington, Jr. |
| 1893 | R. D. Wrenn .. | R. D. Wrenn. | C. Hobart and F. H. Hovey |
| 1894 | R. D. Wrenn | M. F. Goodbody | C. Hobart and F. H. Hovey |
| 1895 | F. H. Hovey | F. H. Hovey . | M. G. Chace and R. D. Wrenn |
| 1896 | R. D. Wrenn | R. D. Wrenn. | C. B. Neel and S. R. Neel |
| 1897 | R. D. Wrenn ... | W. V. Eaves.. | L. E. Ware and G. P. Sheldon, Jr. |
| 1898 | M. D. Whitman | M. D. Whitman | L. E. Ware and G. P. Sheldon, Jr. |
| 1899 | M. D. Whitman. | J. P. Paret | D. F. Davis and H. Ward |
| 1900 | M. D. Whitman | W. A. Larned. | D. F. Davis and H. Ward |
| 1901 | W. A. Larned. | W. A. Larned. | D. F. Davis and H. Ward |
| 1902 | W. A. Larned. | R. F. Doherty. | R. F. Doherty and H. L. Doherty |
| 1903 | H. L. Doherty | H. L. Doherty. | R. F. Doherty and H. L. Doherty |
| 1904 | H. Ward...... | H. Ward...... | H. Ward and B. C. Wright |
| 1905 | B. C. Wright | B. C. Wright | H. Ward and B. C. Wright |
| 1906 | W. J. Clothier | W. J. Clothier. | H. Ward and B. C. Wright |
| 1907 | W. A. Larned. | W. A. Larned | F. B. Alexander and H. H. Hackett |
| 1.908 | W. A. Larned. | B. C. Wright | F. B. Alexander and H. H. Hackett |
| 1909 | W. A. Larned. | W. J. Clothier | F. B. Alexander and H. H. Hackett |
| 1910 | W. A. Larned. | T. C. Bundy... | F. B. Alexander and H. H. Hackett |

## Nationa

 DOUBLES| Year | Winners All-Comers' | Runners-up |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1881 | C. M. Clark and F. W. Taylor | A. Van Rensselaer and A. E. Newbold |
| 1882 | R. D. Sears and J. Dwight | W. Nightingale and G. M. Smith |
| 1883 | R. D. Sears and J. Dwight |  |
| 1884 1885 | R. D. Sears and J. Dwight R. D. Sears and J. S. Clark | A. Van Rensselaer and W. V. R. Berry H. W. Slocum, Jr., and W. P. Knapp |
| 1885 | R. D. Sears and J. S. Clark <br> R. D. Sears and J. Dwight | H. A. Taylor and G. M. Brinley |
| 1887 | I2. D. Sears and J. Dwight | H. A. Taylor and H. W. Slocum, Jr. |
| 1888 | O. S. Campbell and V. G. Hall | C. Hobart and E. P. MacMullen |
| 1889 | H. W. Slocum, Jr., and H. A. | V. G. Hall and O. S. Campbell |
| 1890 | V. G. Hall and C. Hobart .......................East | C. W. Carver and J. A. Ryerson . . . . . . . . . . . . . West |
| 1891 | O. S. Campbell and R. P. Huntington, Jr. . . . . . . . East | S. T. Chase and J. A. Ryerson ....................... West |
| 1892 |  | C. W. Carver and J. A. Ryerson <br> J. S. Clark and S. T. Chase. |
| 1893 | C. Hobart and F. H. Hovey $\ldots \ldots \ldots \ldots \ldots \ldots \ldots \ldots$................................ West | J. Howland and A. E. Foote ......................... East |
| 1895 | M. G. Chace and R. D. Wrenn . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . Fast | C. B. Neel and S. R. Necl ...................... West |
| 1896 | C. B. Neel and S. R. Neel ...................... West | F. H. Hovey and C. Hobart................... East |
| 1897 | L. F. Ware and G. P. Sheldon, Jr. ............... West | H. A. Nisbet and H. S. Mahony................. East |
| 1898 | H. Ward and D. F. Davis....................... West | G. L. Wrenn, Jr., and M. D. Whitman............East |
| 1899 | H. Ward and D. F. Davis........................East | H. H. Hackett and J. A. Allen.................... West |
| 1900 | R. D. Little and F. B. Alexander................. West | H. H. Hackett and J. A. Allen.................. Wast |
| 1901 | B. C. Wright and L. E. Ware . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . East | R. D. Little and F. B. Alexander................ West |
| 1902 | R. F. Doherty and H. L. Doherty ................. East | K. Collins and L. H. Waidner |
| 1903 | K. Collins and L. H. Waidner.................... . West | H. Ward and L. E. Wa |
| 1904 |  | R. D. Little and K. Collins |
| 1905 | F. B. Alexander and H. H. Hackett ............... East | K. Collins and L. H. Waidner ........................... West <br> L. H. Waidner and N. Emerson..................... West |
| 1906 | F. B. Alexander and H. H. Hackett . . . . . . . . . . . . . . East | SW. A. Larned and W. J. Clothier. ............... . . East |
| *1907 | F. B. Alexander and H. H. Hackett................ West | N. Thornton and B. M. Grant. South |
| *1908 | B. C. Wright and R. D. Little .......................East | \&H. G. Whitehead and J. H. Winston............. South <br> \{L. H. Waidner and N. Emerson. ..................... West |
|  |  | $\left\{\begin{array}{l}\text { A. E. Bell and T. C. Bundy............................South Coast }\end{array}\right.$ |
| 1909 | M. E. McLoughlin and G. Janes............ Pacific Coast | $\{$ N. W. Niles and A. S. Dabney, Jr................East |
|  |  | R. A. Holden, Jr., and T. Emerson.............. West (M. E. McLoughlin and M. H. Long..... Pacific Coast |
|  |  | C. B. Doyle and H. L. Doyle................... South |
| 1910 | T. C. Bundy and T. Hendrick................Pacific Coast | R. Bishop and E. H. Whitney...........................East H. Waidner and Paul Gardner |


| Year | Winner All-Comers' | Runner-up | Beaten by Winner in Semi-finals | Beaten by Runner-up in Semi-finals |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1881 | R. D. Sears | W. E. Glyn | E. Gray | R. G. Shaw |
| 1882 | R. D. Sears | C. M. Clark | J. S. Rankine | E. Gray |
| 1883 | R. D. Sears | J. Dwight | F. Keene. | R. F. Conover |
| 1884 | H. A. Taylor | W. V. S. Thorne | W. P. Knapp | C. M. Clark |
| 1885 | G. M. Brinley | W. P. Knapp... | W. V. R. Berry | J. S. Clark |
| 1886 | R. L. Beeckman | H. A. Taylor | C. A. Chase | J. S. Clark |
| 1887 | H. W. Slocum, Jr | H. A. Taylor | J. S. Clark | W. L. Thacher |
| 1888 | H. W. Slocum, Jr | H. A. Taylor | O. S. Campbell | P. S. Sears |
| 1889 | Q. A. Shaw, Jr.. | O. S. Campbell | W. P. Knapp . | E. G. Meers |
| 1890 | O. S. Campbell | W. P. Knapp | R. P. Huntington, Jr. | C. Hobart |
| 1891 | C. Hobart. . | F. H. Hovey | V. G. Hall. . | M. D. Smith |
| 1892 | F. H. Hovey | W. A. Larned | R. D. Wrenn | E. L. Hall |
| 1893 | R. D. Wrenn | F. H. Hovey | S. T. Chase. | C. Hobart |
| 1894 | M. F. Goodbody | W. A. Larned | J. B. Read | M. G. Chace |
| 1895 | F. H. Hovey | W, A. Larned. | C. B. Neel. | J. Howland |
| 1896 | ${ }_{\text {R. }} \mathrm{D}_{\dot{\text { V }} \text {. Wrenn }}$ | W. A. Larned. | C. B. Neel. | E. P. Fischer |
| 1897 | W. V. Eaves | H. A. Nisbet. | L. E. Ware | W. A. Larned |
| 1898 | M. D. Whitman. | D. F. Davis . | L. E. Ware | W. S. Bond |
| 1899 | J. P. Paret..... | D. F. Davis | L. E. Ware | K. Collins |
| 1900 | W. A. Larned | G. L. Wrenn, Jr | B. C. Wright. | A. W. Gore |
| 1901 | W. A. Larned. | B. C. Wright | L. E. Ware. | R. D. Little |
| 1902 | R. F. Doherty. | M. D. Whitman | H. L. Doherty | R. P. Huntington, Jr. |
| 1903 | H. L. Doherty | W. J. Clothier | R. H. Carleton | E. P. Larned |
| 1904 | H. Ward..... | W. J. Clothier | E. W. Leonard | W. A. Larned |
| 1905 | B. C. Wright | C. Hobart.... | W. A. Larned. | K. Collins |
| 1906 | W. J. Clothier | K. Behr. | J. D. E. Jones. | R. D. Little |
| 1907 | W. A. Larned. | R. Leroy. | C. Hobart. | H. Mollenhauer |
| 1908 | B. C. Wright. | F. B. Alexander | N. Emerson. | W. J. Clothier |
| 1909 | W. J. Clothier | M. E. McLoughlin | T. C. Bundy | G. F. Touchard |
| 1910 | T. C. Bundy . | Beals C. Wright. | F. C. Colston | E. H. Whitney |


| Year | Singles | Doubles | Mixed Doubles |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1887 | Miss A. F. Han |  |  |
| 1888 | Miss B. L. Townsend |  |  |
| 1889 | Miss B. L. Townsend. |  |  |
| 1890 | Miss E. C. Roosevelt. | Misses E. C. and G. W. Roosevelt. |  |
| 1891 | Miss Mabel E. Cahill. | Miss M. E. Cahill and Mrs. F. Morgan. |  |
| 1892 | Miss Mabel E. Cahill. | Misses M. E. Cahill and A. M. McKinley | Clarence Hobart and Miss M. E. Cahill |
| 1893 | Miss Aline M. Terry | Misses A. M. Terry and H. Butler....... | Clarence Hobart and Miss E. C. Roosevelt E. P. Fischer and Miss J. P. Atkinson |
| 1894 | Miss Helen R. Helwig. | Misses H. R. Helwig and J. P. Atkinson | E. P. Fischer and Miss J. P. Atkinson E. P. Fischer and Miss J. P. Atkinson |
| 1895 | Miss J. P. Atkinson | Misses J. P. Atkinson and H. R. Helwig | E. P. Fischer and Miss J. P. Atkinson |
| 1896 | Miss Elizabeth H. Moore | Misses E. H. Moore and J. P. Atkinson. | E. P. Fischer and Miss J. P. Atkinson |
| 1897 | Miss J. P. Atkinson .... | Misses J. P. Atkinson and K. Atkinson. | D. L. Magruder and Miss Laura Henson |
| 1898 | Miss J. P. Atkinson | Misses J. P. Atkinson and K. Atkinson | E. P. Fischer and Miss Carrie Neely |
| 1899 | Miss Marion Jones.. | Misses M. McAteer and J. Craven | A. L. Hoskins and Miss Edith Rastall |
| 1900 | Miss Myrtle McAteer. | Misses J. Parker and H. Champlin | Alfred Codman and Miss M. Hunnewell |
| 1901 | Miss Elizabeth H. Moore | Misses M. McAteer and J. P. Atkinson | R. D. Little and Miss Marion Jones |
| 1902 | Miss Marion Jones. | Misses M. Jones and J. P. Atkinson. | W. C. Grant and Miss F. H. Moore |
| 1903 | Miss Elizabeth H. Moore | Misses E. H. Moore and C. B. Neely | Harry Allen and Miss Chapman |
| 1904 | Miss May Sutton. | Misses M. Sutton and M. Hall.. | Miss E. H. Moore and W. C. Grant |
| 1905 | Miss Elizabeth H. Moore | Misses H. H. Homans and C. B. Neely. | Mr. and Mrs. Clarence Hobart |
| 1906 | Miss Helen H. Homans. | Mrs. L. F. Coe and Mrs. D. F. Platt. | Miss Coffin and E. B. Dewhurst |
| 1907 | Miss Evelyn Sears..... | Misses Neely and Weimer......... | Miss Sayres and W. F. Johnson |
| 1908 | Mrs. Barger-Wallach | Misses E. Sears and M. Curtis | Miss E. Roteh and N. W. Niles |
| 1909 | Miss Hazel Hotchkiss. | Misses H. Hotchkiss and E. Rotch | Miss H. Hotchkiss and W. F. Johnson |
| 1910 | Miss Hazel Hotchkiss. | Misses E. Rotch and H. Hotehki | Miss Hotchkiss and J. R. Carpenter, Jr. |

## International Matches for Davis Cup SINGLES.

| Year | Winners. | Opponents. |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1900 | M. D. Whitman, United Stat | A. W. Gore, United Kingdom. |
|  | D. F. Davis, United States.. | E. D. Black, United Kingdom. |
|  | D. F. Davis, United States, vs. A. W. | Gore, United K., 9/7, 9/9, unfinished. |
|  | M. D. Whitman, United States, vs. | E. D. Black, United K., unplayed. |
| $\begin{aligned} & 1901 \\ & 1902 \end{aligned}$ | R. F. Doherty, United Kingdom | W. A. Larned, United States. |
|  | M. D. Whitman, United States. | Dr. J. Pim, United Kingdom. |
|  | W. A. Larned, United States. | Dr. J. Pim, United Kingdom. |
|  | M. D. Whitman, United States.. | R. F. Doherty, United Kingdom. |
| 1903 | H. L. Doherty, United Kingdom. | R. D. Wrenn, United States. |
|  | W. A. Larned, United States. | R. F. Doherty, United K., by default. |
|  | H. L. Doherty, United Kingdom | W. A. Larned, United States. |
|  | R. F. Doherty, United Kingdom | R. D. Wrenn, United States. |
| 1904 | Belgium defeated France in the pre | liminary round, 3 matches to 2. |
|  | England defeated Belgium in the | final round, 5 matches to 0. |
| 1905 | United States vs. France (Prelimin |  |
|  | H. Ward. United States. | M. Germot, France. |
|  | W. J. Clothier, United St | M. Decugis, France. |
|  | H. Ward, United States. | M. Decugis, France. |
|  | W. J. Clothier, United Sta | M. Germot, France. |
|  | United States vs, Australasia ( | nal) - |
|  | W. A. Larned, United States. | N. E. Brookes, Australasia. |
|  | B. C. Wright, United States | A. F. Wilding, Australasia. |
|  | W. A. Larned, United States | A. F. Wilding, Australasia. |
|  | B. C. Wright, United States | N. E. Brookes, Australasia. |
|  | United Kingdom vs. United States | (Challenge) - |
|  | H. L. Doherty, United Kingdom | H. Ward, United States. |
|  | S. H. Smith, United Kingdom. |  |
|  | H. L. Doherty, United Kingdon | W. A. Larned, United States. |
|  | S. H. Smith, Únited Kingdom | W. J. Clothier, United States. |
| 1906 | United States vs. Australasia (Pre | liminary) - |
|  | H. Ward, United States. | L. O. S. Poidevin, Australasia. |
|  | A. F. Wilding, Australasia | R. D. Little, United States. |
|  | R. D. Little, United States | L. O. S. Poidevin, Australasia. |
|  | A. F. Wilding, Australasia | H. Ward, United'States. |
|  | United Kingdom vs. United States | (Challenge) - |
|  | S. H. Smith, United Kingdom. | R. D. Little, United States, |
|  | H. L. Doherty, United Kingdom | H. Ward, United States. |
|  | S. H. Smith, United Kingdom | H. Ward, United States. |
|  | H. L. Doherty, United Kingdo | R. D. Little, United Sta |
| 1907 | United States vs. Australasia (Pre | liminary) - |
|  | N. E. Brookes, Australasia. | B. C. Wright, United States |
|  | A. F. Wilding, Australasia. | K. H. Behr, United States, |
|  | N. E. Brookes, Australasia. | K. H. Behr, United States. |
|  | B. C. Wright, United State | A. F. Wilding, Australasia. |
|  | Australasia vs. United Kingdom | (Challenge) |
|  | N. E. Brookes, Australasia. . | A. W. Gore, United Kingdom |
|  | A. F. Wilding, Australasia |  |
|  | N. E. Brookes, Australa | H. R Barrett, United Kingdom |
|  | A. W. Gore, United Kingdo |  |
| 1908 | United States vs. United King |  |
|  | W. A. Larned, United States. |  |
|  | M. J. G. Ritchie, United Kingdom. | B. C. Wright, United States. |
|  | W. A. Larned, United States...... | M. J. G. Ritchie, United Kingdom. |

## International Matches for Davis Cup

SINGLES-Continued.

| Year | Winners. | Opponents. |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1908 | Australasia vs. United StatesN. E. Brookes, Australasia. <br> B. C. Wright, United States. <br> B. C. Wright, United States... <br> A. F. Wilding, Australasia.. | F. B. Alexander, United States. A. F. Wilding, Australasia. N. E. Brookes, Australasia. F. B. Alexander, United States. |
| 1909 | United States vs. United Kingdo W. A. Larned, United States <br> W. J. Clothier, United States. <br> W. A. Larned, United States. <br> W. J. Clothier, United States. <br> Australasia vs. United States- <br> N. E. Brookes, Australasia <br> A. F. Wilding, Australasia <br> A. F. Wilding, Australasia. <br> N. E. Brookes, Australasia. | C. P. Dixon, United Kingdom. <br> J. C. Parke, United Kingdom. <br> J. C. Parke, United Kingdom. <br> C. P. Dixon, United Kingdom. <br> M. E. McLoughlin, United States. <br> M. H. Long, United States. <br> M. E. McLoughlin, United States. <br> M. H. Long, United States. |
| 1910 | No Matches. |  |

## International Matches for Davis Cup

## DOUBLES.

| Year | Winners. | Opponents. |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1900 | H. Ward............\} United States | E. D. Black......\} United Kingdom |
| 1901 | No Matches. ${ }^{\text {D }}$ |  |
| 1902 | R. F. Doherty... ${ }^{\text {H. L. Doherty... }}$ ( United Kingdom | H. |
|  | H. L. Doherty...) United Kingdom | R. D. Wrenn.......... . , |
| 1903 | R. F. Doherty...) United Kingdom | G. L. Wrenn......... . , United States |
| 1904 | No Doubles. <br> United States vs France (Prelimin |  |
| 1905 | United States vs. France (Prelimin <br> H. Ward | ary) - <br> Germot |
|  | B. C. Wright. . . . . . . . $\}$ | Decugis................... $\}$ France |
|  | United States vs. Australasia (Fin | ${ }^{\text {al) }}$ - |
|  | H. Ward. <br> B. C. Wright. $\qquad$ United States | $\left.\begin{array}{l}\text { N. E. Brookes........... }\} \text {. Dunlop........... }\end{array}\right\}$ Australasia |
|  | United Kingdom vs. United | (Challenge) |
|  | H. L. Doherty ... $\}$, United Kingdom | B. Ward............. \} United States |
| 1906 | United States vs. Australasia (Pre | liminary) - . |
|  | H. Ward............ $\}$ United St | A. F. Wilding. |
|  | R. D. Little. United Kingdom vs. United States | L. O. S. Poidevin .......\} Australasia |
|  | United Kingdom vs. United States H. L. Doherty... | (Challenge) - <br> H. Ward |
|  | H. L. Doherty... <br> R. F. Doherty... <br> United Kingdom | H. Ward <br> R. D. Little $\qquad$ United States |
| 1907 | United States vs. Australasia (Pre | liminary) |
|  | B. C. Wright..........\} United States | N. E. Brookes......... $\}$ Australasia |
|  | Australasia vs. United Kingdom (C | hallenge) |
|  | A. W. Gore $\qquad$ United Kingdom | N. E. Brookes.......... \} Australasia |
| 1908 | United States vs. United Kingdom- |  |
|  | H. H. Hackett........\} United States | M. J. G. Ritchie. \} United Kingdom |
|  | Australasia vs. United States |  |
|  | N. E. Brookes..........\} Australasia | F. B. Alexander ..... \} United States |
| 1999 | United States vs. United Kingdom- |  |
|  | $\begin{aligned} & \text { H. H. Hackett....... } \\ & \text { R. D. Little....... United States } \end{aligned}$ | J. C. Parke..... $\}$ United Kingdom |
|  | Australasia vs. United States- |  |
|  | N. E. Brookes .........\} Australasia | M. E. McLoughlin...) United States |
| 1910 | A. F. Wilding............ <br> No Matches. | M. H. Long..........) ) |

## Sectional Champions

NEW ENGLAND.

| 苗 | Champion. | Winner of Tournament. | Doubles Champions. |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1886 | H. W. Slocum. | H. W. Slocum. | H. W. Slocum and W. L. Thacher |
| 1887 | H. W. Slocum. | H. W. Slocum. | F. G. Beach and W. L. Thacher |
| 1888 | H. W. Slocum. | E. P. MacMullen | O. S. Campbell and V. G. Hall |
| 1889 | H. W. Slocum. | R.P.Huntington | F. G. Beach, R. P. Huntington, Jr. |
| 1890 | R.P. Huntington, Jr | R.P. Huntington | R. P. Huntington, Jr., O. S. Campbell |
| 1891 | C. T. Lee | C. T. Lee | C. T. Lee and F. G. Beach |
| 1892 | E. L. Hall | E. L. Hall | E. L. Hall and A. E. Wright |
| 1893 | C. Hobart | C. Hobart. | J. F. Talmage, Jr., and C. Hobart |
| 1894 | A. E. Foote | A. E. Foote | John Howland and A. E. Foote |
| 1895 | John Howl | John Howla | M. G. Chace and A. E. Foote |
| 1896 | A. E. Foote | A. E. Foote | M. G. Chace and A. E. Foote |
| 1897 | T. A. Driscoll. | T. A. Driscoll | R. Jooker and C. P. Dodge |
| 1898 | No tournament |  |  |
| 1899 | A. E. Foote..... | A. E. Foote. . . . Clarence Hobart | H. H. Hackett and J. A. Allen C. Hobart and A P Dady |
| 1901 | Clarence Hobart | Clarence Hobart | C. Hobart and A. P. Dady |
| 1902 | Clarence Hobar | James Terry James Terry | James Terry and F. E. Howard James Terry and F. E. Howard |
| 1904 | B. C. Wright | B. C. Wrigh | W. C. Grant and R. LeRoy |
| 1905 | Karl Behr. | Karl Behr.. | W. C. Grant and Robert LeRoy |
| 1906 | Karl Behr | Karl Behr | W. C. Grant and T. R. Pell |
| 1907 | T. R. Pell. | T. R. Pell | R. LeRoy and T. R. Pell |
| 1908 | T. R. Pell. | H. L. Westfall | T. R. Pell and E. T. Gross |
| 1909 | T. R. Pell | G. F. Touchard | T. R. Pell and W. C. Grant |
| 1910 | T. R. Pell..... | R. A. Holden, Jr. | F. M. Watrous and R. A. Holden, J: |

MIDDLE STATES.

| R. D. | R. D. D | R. D. Sears and J. S. Clark |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1886 R. L. Beeckman. | R. L. Beeckman | R. L. Beeckman and H. W. Slocum |
| 1887 R. L. Beeckman. | R. L. Beeckman |  |
| 1888 E. P. MacMullen. | E. P. MacMullen. |  |
| 1889 H. A. Taylor. | H. A. Taylor..... |  |
| 1890 H. A. Taylor. | A. E. Wright |  |
| 1891 C. E. Sands. | C. E. Sands. |  |
| 1892 R. Stevens. | R. Stevens. | E. P. MacMullen and C. Hobart |
| 1893 R. Stevens | A. E. Foote | D. Candler and J. F. Talmage, Jr. |
| 1894 W. A. Larn | W. A. Larned | W. G. Parker and E. P. Fischer |
| 1895 W. A. Lar | John Howlan | C. Hobart and E. P. Fischer |
| 1896 C. Hobart | C. Hobart. | C. Hobart and R. D. Wrenn |
| 1897 W. A. Larn | W. A. Larn | L. E. Ware and G. P. Sheldon |
| 1898 M. D. Whitm | M. D. Whitman | L. E. Ware and J. D. Forbes |
| 1899 M. D. Whitman | W. A. Larned | Holcombe Ward and D. F. Davis |
| 1900 M. D. Whitman | D. F. Davis | Holcombe Ward and D. F. Davis |
| 1901 W. A. Larned | W. A. Larned | W. A. Larned and C. Hobart |
| 1902 Holcombe Ward | Holcombe Wa | Holcombe Ward and D. F. Davis |
| 1903 Holcombe Ward | S. C. Millett | R. D. and G. L. Wrenn |
| 1904 C. Hobart. | C. Hobart. | R. D. and G. L. Wrenn |
| 1905 F. B. Alexander | F. B. Alexand | F. B. Alexander and H. H. Hackett |
| 1906 W. A. Larned. | W. A. Larned. | W. J. Clothier and W. A. Larned |
| 1907 W. A. Larned. | R. D. Little | R. D. Little and H. H. Hackett |
| 1908 E. P. Larned. | E. P. Larned | W. A. Larned and G. L. Wrenn, Jr. |
| 1909 E. P. Larned | W. F. Johnson | W. A. Larned and G. L. Wrenn, Jr. |
| 1910 N. W. Niles. | N. W. Niles. | H. H. Hackett and F. B. Alexander |

PACIFIC STATES.

| $\underset{\text { xi }}{\substack{x}}$ | Champion. | Winner of Tournaments. | Doubles Champions. |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | W. H. Taylor, Jr. | W. |  |
| 1890 | W. H. Taylor, Jr. | C. R. Gates | Walter McGavin and Jos. S. Tobin |
| 1891 | W. H. Taylor, Jr. | C. P. Hubbard | C. P. Hubbard and H. H. Haight |
| 1892 | W. H. Taylor, Jr. | C. P. Hubbard | Sumner Hardy and Samuel Hardy |
| 1893 | T. A. Driscoll | T. A. Driscoll. | Sumner Hardy and Samuel Hardy |
| 1894 | Samuel Hardy | Samuel Hardy | T. A. Driscoll and G. B. DeLong |
| 1895 | Sumner Hardy | Sumner Hardy | Sumner Hardy and Samuel Hardy |
| 1890 | Samuel Hardy | Samuel Hardy | G. F. Whitney and R. N. Whitney |
| 1897 | G. F. Whitney | G. F. Whitney | Sumner Hardy and Samuel Hardy |
| 1898 | Sumner Hardy | Sumner Hardy | Sumner Hardy and Samuel Hardy |
| 1899 | G. F. Whitney | G. F. Whitney | Sumner Hardy and Samuel Hardy |
| 1900 | G. F. Whitney | Sumner Hardy. | G. F. Whitney and R. N. Whitney |
| 1901 | G. F. Whitney | R. N. Whitney. | G. F. Whitney and R. N. Whitney |
| 1902 | L. R. Freeman | L. R. Freeman | L. R. Freeman and A. E. Bell |
| 1903 | A. E. Bell.. | A. E. Bell..... | L. R. Freeman and A. E. Bell |
| 1904 | J. D. MacGavin | J. D. MacGavin | W. Allen and G. L. Baker |
| 1995 | George Janes. . | George Janes. | Sinsabaugh and Braly |
| 1906 | Melville Long. | Melville Long | C. Foley and F. Adams |
| 1907 | M. E. McLoughlin | M. E. McLoughlin | G. Janes and M. E. McLoughlin |
| 1908 | Melville Long..... | Melville Long.. .. | M. E. McLoughlin and G. Janes |
| 1909 | G. Janes. | G. Janes.... | M. E. McLoughlin and G. Janes |
| 1910 | M. H. Long | M. H. Long . . | M. H. Long and S. Sinsabaugh |

LONGWOOD TOURNAMENT (EASTERN CHAMPIONSHIP IN DOUBLES.)

| 1890 |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | E. |  | O.S.Campbell and R.P.Huntington, Jr. |
| 1892 | F. H. Hovey | F. H. Hovey | V. G. Hall and E. L. Hall |
| 893 | F. H. Hov | R. Steve |  |
| 1894 | W. A. Larned | W. A. Larned | ohn Howland and A. E. Foote |
| 1895 | W. A. Larned | F. H. Hovey | M. G. Chace and R. D. Wrenn |
| 1896 | R. D. Wrenn | R. D. Wrenn | C. Hobart and F. H. Hovey |
| 1897 | W. A. Larned. | W. A. Larned. | W. V. Eaves and H. A. Nesbit |
| 1898 | M. D. Whitman | M. D. Whitm | G. L. Wrenn and M. D. Whitman |
| 1899 | M. D. Whitman | D. F. Davis | Holcombe Ward and D. F. Davis |
| 1900 | M. D. Whitman | D. F. Davis | H. H. Hackett and J. A. Allen |
| 1901 | W. A. Larned. | W. A. Larned | B. C. Wright and L. E. Ware |
| 1902 | W. J. Clothier. | W. J. Clothier | R. F. Doherty and H. L. Doherty |
| 1903 | W. A. Larned. | W. A. Larned. | H. Ward and L. E. Ware |
| 1904 | W. A. Larned. | W. A. Larned. | H. Ward and B. C. Wright |
| 1905 | W. A. Larned. | C. Hobart. | F. B. Alexander and H. H. Hackett |
| 1906 | W. A. Larned. | Karl Behr | F. B. Alexander and H. H. Hackett |
| 1907 | W. A. Larned. | C. Hobart | W. A. Larned and W. J. Clothier |
| 1908 | W. A. Larned. | R. D. Little | B. C. Wright and R. D. Little |
| 1909 | W. A. Larned. | W. J. Clothier | N. W. Niles and A. S. Dabney, Jr. |
|  | W. A. Larned. | M. E. McLoughlin | R. Bishop and E. H. Whitney |

SOUTHERN STATES.

|  | Champion. | Winner of Tournament. | Doubles Champions. |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 188 | C. | C. B. Davis.. | O. B. Davis and R. H. E. Porter |
|  | Leigh Bonsal | Leigh Bonsal | Leigh Bonsal and L. V. Lemoyne |
| *1888 | A. H. S. Post | A. H. S. Post | Leigh Bonsal and L. V. Lemoyne |
| $\dagger 1888$ | F. Mansfield. | F. Mansfield | F. Mansfield and F. L. V. Hoppin |
|  | F. Mansfield | C. J. Post. Jr | C. J. Post, Jr., and M. F. Prosser |
|  | A. E. Wright E. L. Hall | A. E. Wright <br> E. L. Hall. | M. R. Wright and H. M. Billings |
|  | E. L. Hall. | A. E. Wright |  |
|  | E. L. Hall. | M. G. Chace | F. L. Hall and C. Hobart |
|  | W. G. Park | W. G. Parker | W. G. Parker and E. P. Fischer |
|  | A. H. S. Post | A. H. S. Post | J. P. Paret and R. D. Thurber |
|  | J. P. Paret. | J. P. Paret. | J. C. Davidson and J. P. Paret |
|  | T. A. Drisco | T. A. Drisco | J. C. Davidson and J. P. Paret |
|  | J. C. Davidso | J. C. Davids | J. C. Davidson and J. P. Paret |
|  | J. C. Davidson | J. P. Paret. | J. C. Davidson and J. P. Paret |
|  | J. P. Paret. | J. P. Paret. | J. C. Davidson and J. P. Paret |
|  | R. D. Little. | R. D. Little. | R. D. Little and F. B. Alexander |
|  | R. D. Little. | F. Geoghegan | J. P. Paret and W. C. Grant |
|  | F. Geoghegan | F. Georheg | W. C. Grant and R. LeRoy |
|  | H. F. Allen. | H. F. Allen | J. C. Davidson and L.W. Glazebrook |
|  | J. C. Davidso | J. C. Davids | L. W. Glazebrook and W. A. Larned |
|  | R. G. Hunt | R. G. Hunt | B. M. Grant and N. Thornton |
| 1907 | N . Thornton | N. Thornton | B. M. Grant and N. Thornton |
| 1908 | N. Thornton | H. G. Whitehead | H. G. Whitehead and J. H. Winston |
|  | T. R. Pell | T. R. Pell | T. R. Pell and W. C. Grant |
|  | C. B. Duvie | C. B. Iovle | C. B. Doyle and H. I. Doyle |

*Spring. + Fall.
WESTERN STATES.

| C. A. | C. A. Chase....... E. B. McLellan and B. F. Cummins |
| :---: | :---: |
| 1888 C. A. | E. B. McLellan . . . E. B. McLellan and B. F. Cummins |
| 1889 C. A. Ch | S. T. Chase . . . . . . . C. A. Chase and S. T. Chase |
| 1890 C. A. Cha | E. B. McLellan . . . J. W. Carver and J. A. Ryerson |
| 1891 C. A. Chas | S. T. Chase . . . . . . . S. T. Chase and J. A. Ryerson |
| 1892 C. A. | J. A. Ryerson.... . . J. W. Carver and J. A. Ryerson |
| 1893 C. A. | E. Wrenn . . . . . . . . H. McCormick and S. McCormick |
| 1894 C. A. Ch | C. B. Neel . . . . . . . . . C. B. Neel and S. R. Neel |
| 1895 C. B. Neel | C. B. Neel . . . . . . . . C. B. Neel and S. R. Neel |
| 1896 C. B. Neel | G. L. Wrenn, Jr... . C. B. Neel and S. R. Neel |
| 1897 Kriegh Col | Kriegh Collins.... L. E. Ware and G. P. Sheldon |
| 1898 Kriegh Coll | Kriegh Collins. . . . H. Ward and D. F. Davis |
| 1899 C. B. Neel | C. B. Neel . . . . . . . . H. H. Hackett and J. A. Allen |
| 1900 Kriegh Col | Kriegh Collins. . . . F. B. Alexander and R. D. Little |
| 1901 R. D. Little | R. D. Little. . . . . . R. D. Little and F. B. Alexander |
| 1902 Kriegh Collin | Kriegh Collins . . . . Kriegh Collins and L. H. Waidner |
| 1903 Kriegh Collin | A. C. Snow . . . . . . . Kriegh Collins and L. H. Waidner |
| 1904 Kriegh Collin | R. D. Little. . . . . . Kriegh Collins and R. D. Little |
| 1905 Kriegh Collin | N. Emerson. . . . . . . Kriegh Collins and L. H. Waidner |
| 1906 Kriegh Col | N. Emerson........ L. H. Waidner and N. Emerson |
| 1907 N. Emerson | N. Emerson.. . . . . H. H. Hackett and F. B. Alexander |
| 1908 N. Emerson | L. H. Waidner. . . . . L. H. Waidner and N. Emerson |
| 1909 M. Long | M. Long . . . . . . . . . T. Emerson and R. A. Holden, Jr. |
| 1910 T. C. Bundy | T. C. Bundy ...... L. H. Waidner and Paul Gardner |

## Fixtures for 1911

Lawn tennis championship and open tournament fixtures to the number of 127 were scheduled by the United States National Lawn Tennis Association at a meeting held in New York \pril 1, 1911. The thirty-first All-Comers National Championship is to hold the courts of the Casino at Newport. R. I., beginning Monday, Jugust 2r. This is a week later than usual and will carry the tournament into September.

Among the new tournaments the week of July 28 is awarded to the Edgemere Tennis Club. A list of tournaments follows:

May 6.-Harvard University, Cambridge. Mass. IIarvard Interscholastic Championsh1p.

May 6.-l'rinceton University, Princeton, N. J. Princeton Interscholastic Championship.

May 8.-I'mwersity of Pennsylvania, I'hiladelpliia, Pa. Üniversity of I'ennsylvania Interscholastic Championshipp.

May 13.-Harlem Tennis Club, New York City. Open.
May 13.-Vale [inversity, New Haven, Comn. Yale Interscholastic ('hampionship.

May 15.- Bachelors' Lawn Tennis Club, Washington, D. C. Middle Atlantic state Championship.

May 20.- Redford l'ark Lawn Tennis Club, Bedford Park, N. Y. Championship of the Brons.

May 20.-Columbia Lniversity, New York City. Columbia Interscholastic Championship.

May. 20.- Cornell U-niversity, Ithaca, N. Y. Cornell Interscholastic Championship.

May 22.- Longwoot Cricket Club, Boston, Mass. New England Intercollegiate Championship.

May 27.-New York Lawn Tennis Club, New York City. Manhattan Houbles. Open singles.

May 29.- Chevy Chase Club, Chery Chase, Md. Open.
May 29.- Merion Cricket Club, I'hiladelphia, Pa. W'omen's Championship of D'emsylvania and Eastern States.

May 3o.- lirae lburn Country Club, West Newton, Mass. State Championship. I loubles.

May 30.- (ireat Neck Ilills Country Club, Great Neck, L. I. Championship of Nassau and Queens Counties. Closed.

June 5.-Englewood Field Club, Englewood, N. J. Open.
June 5.- (ircenwich Casino, Greenwich, Conn. Open.
June 5.-Merion Cricket Club, I'hiladelphia, Pa. Pennsylvania State Championship for Men.

June ro.-Irdsley Club, Ardsley-on-Hudson, N. Y. Open.
June ro.-Longwood Cricket Club, Boston, Mass. State Championship. Singles.

June 12.-Amackassin Country Club, Yonkers, N. Y. New York Open.
June 12.-Yhiladelphia Cricket Club, Wissahickon Heights, I'hiladelphia, Pa. National Championship for Women's Singles, Doubles and Mixed Doubles.

June 12.-Country Club of Montgomery, Montgomery, Ala. Cotton States Championship.

June 12.-Country Club of Virginia, Richmond, Va. Old Dominion Championship.

June 12.-New Haven Lawn Ternis Club, New Haven, Conn. Open.
June 12.-Pacific States Lawn Tennis Association, Santa Cruz, Cal. California State Championship.

June 14. -Lewiston State Normal School. Idaho State Championship.
June 15.-Long Beach Lawn Tennis Club, Long Reach, N. Y. Open.
June 17.-Wollaston Tennis Club, Wollaston, Mass. Doubles Championship of Norfolk County.

June 17.-West Side Tennis Club, New York City. Metropolitan Championship.

June 19.-New Orleans Tennis Club, New Orleans, La. Gulf State Championship.

June 19.-Sans Souci Tennis Club, Greenville, S. C. Championship of the Carolinas.

June 20.-Hartford Golf Club, Hartford, Conn. Clampionship of New England.

June 21.-Norfolk Country Club, Norfolk, Va. Virginia State Championship.

June 22.-Greenwich Country Club, Greenwich. Conn. Open.
June 24.-Wilmington Country Club. Delaware State Championship.
June 24.-Pittsburg (Pa.) Field Club. Ladies' Singles, Doubles and Mixed Doubles. Championship of Western Pennsylvania.

June 26.-Country Club of Augusta, Ga. South Atlantic States Championship.

June 26.-Kings County Club, Brooklyn, N. I. Long Island Championship. Open.

June 26.-Memphis (Tenn.) Country Club. Tennessee State Championship.

Iune 26. Wannamoisett Country Club, Providence, R. I. Open.
June 28.-K:nollwool Country Club, White Plains, N. I. Invitation.
June 30.-Pacific States Lawn Tennis Association, Long Beach, Cal. Pacific States Doubles.

Tuly 1.-Country Club of Springfield, Mass. Open.
July 1.-Riverside Tennis Club, Niagara Falls, $\cdots$. Y. Championship of Niagara Falls.

July. 1.-St. Louis Amateur Athletic Club, St. Louis, Mo. Central Championship.

July 3.- Atlanta Athletic Club, Atlanta, Ga. Southern Championships. Singles and Ioubles.

Iuly 3.-Capital (ity Tennis Club, ISismarck, N. D. Championship of Western North Daketa and Missouri Slope.

July 3.-Orange Lawn Tennis Club, Orange, N゙. J. Middle States Championship.

July 4.- lironxville (N. Y.) Lawn Tennis Association. Open.
July 5.-Kansas City (Mo.) Athletic Club. Championship of Missouri Valley for Women.

July 8.- Aztec (lub, Chicago, I11. Illinois State Championship.
July 8.-Hudson River Lawn Tennis Association, at Dunwoodie Country Club, Yonkers, N. Y. Championship of IIudson River.

July 8.-Pittsburg Golf Club. Championship of Western Pennsylvania. Singles.

July 1o.-Elmira Tennis Club, Elmira, N. Y. Open.
July 10 - Litchfield Club, Litchfield, Conn. State Championship.
July 10 .-Seabright Lawn Tennis and Country Club, Seabright, N. J. Invitation.

July io.-Town Club, Grand Forks, N. D. Red River Valley Championship and of North Dakota.

July 11.-Saegkill Golf Club. New York. Open.
July 17.-Basin Tennis Club, Basin, Wyo. Wyoming State Championship.

July ${ }^{17}$.-Kansas City Lawn Tennis Association, Wichita, Kan. Open. Kansas State Championship.

July 17.-Longwood Cricket Club, Boston, Mass. Eastern Doubles Championship. Annual Longwood Singles.

July 17.-Minnetonka Club, Lake Minnetonka, Minn. Northwestern Championship.

July $17 .-\mathrm{Multnomah}$ Amateur Athletic Club. Portland, Ore. Oregon State Championship.

July 22.-Onwentsia Club, Chicago, Ill. Western Championship. Men's and Women's Singles and Doubles and Mixed Doubles.

July 22.-Pittsburg Athletic Association. Junior and Novice Championship of Western Pennsylvania.

July 24.-St. Joseph (Mo.) Lawn Tennis Association. Interstate. Open.
July 24.-Tacoma Lawn Temnis Club, Tacoma, Wash. Pacific Northwest Lawn Tennis Championship. Nineteenth Annual.

July 25.-Westchester Country Club, New York. Invitation Doubles. Championship Singles and Doubles. July 25.-Yahnundasis Lawn Tennis Club, Utica, N. Y. Central New York Championship.

July 28.-Edgemere Tennis Club, Edgemere, N. Y. Invitation.
July 29.-Kansas City Athletic Club. Championship of Missouri Valley for Men.

July 31.-Crawford Housc Tennis Club. White Mountains and New Hampshire State Championship. July 3r.-Golf and Country Club, Des Moines, Ia. Iowa State Championship.

July 3ı.-Sioux City Boat Club, Sioux City, Ia. Open.
July 31.-Apring Lake Casino Tennis Club, Spring Lake, N. I. Open. July 31.-Kochester Temnis Club, Rochester, N. V. Open.
August 1.-Norfolk Tennis Club, Norfolk, Conn. Open. National Doubles. East, West, South, I'acific States.

August 3.-Crescent Athletic Club, Brooklyn, N. Y. New York State Championship. Singles and Doubles. August 5.-Omaha Field Club, Omaha, Neb. Clay Court Championship of the United States. August 5.-Town Club, Milwauke, Wis. Wisconsin State Championship. August 7:-Old Pine Golf Club, St. Johnsbury, Vt. Vermont State Championship. Open. August 7.-Swimming Club, Bar IIarbor, Me. Maine State Championship.

August 7.-Cooperstown Lawn Tennis Club, Cooperstown, N. Y. Open. Jugust 8.-Seattle Lawn Tennis Club. Championship of State of Washington. August 12.-Bedford Springs Tennis Club. Championship of Southern Pennsylvania. August 12.-Iowa Lawn Tennis Association, Des Moines, Ia. Open. August 14.-Eaglesmere (Pa.) Athletic Association. Championship of Eaglesmere. August 14.-Meadow Club, Southampton, L. I. Invitation.
August 1.--Oklahoma State Lawn Tennis Association, Albion, Okla. Oklahoma State Championship.

August 21.-Inter-Mountain Lawn Tennis Association, Salt Lake City, Utah. Inter-Mountain Championship. Singles and Doubles for Men and Women. 21. Multnomah Athletic Club, Portland, Ore. Breakers. Invitation.

August 21.-Newport Tennis Club, Newport, R. I. National Chanpionship in Singles, Doubles and Interscholastic Singles Championship.

August 21.-Parkersburg Country Club, Parkersburg, W. Va. ChamAugust 21.-Westmoreland Outing Club, Mount Pleasant, Pa. Championship of Westmoreland County.

August 28.-Altoona Cricket Club, Altoona, Pa. Open.
August 28.-Denver Country Club, Denver, Col. Colorado State Championship. 8. Niagara Tennis Association, Niagara-on-the-Lake, August 28. - Niagara Lawn Tennis Association, Niagara-on-the-Lake, Canada. International Open.

August 28.-Potomac Club, Cumberland, Md. Championship of Allegheny Mountains.
August 31.-Bay Head Yacht Club, Bay Head, N. J. Coast Championship.

August 31.-Point Judith Country Club, Narragansett Pier, R. I. Open.
September 1.-Baltimore Country Club, Baltimore, Md. Championship of Maryland.

September 1.-Oldtown ${ }^{\circ}$ Country Club, Newburyport, Mass. Championship of Essex County.

September 2.-New Rochelle Tennis Club, New Rochelle, N. Y. Open. September 2.-Ohio Lawn Tennis Association, Cincinnati, O. Tri-State Championship. Ohio, Indiana and Kentucky.

September 2.-Sippican Tennis Club, Marion, Mass. Open.
September 2.-Worcester (Mass.) Tennis Club. Championship of Worcester County.

September 4.-East End Tennis Club, Cleveland, O. Ohio State Championship.

September 4.-Nyack Country Club, Nyack, N. Y. Open.
September 4.- Park Club, Buffalo, N. Y. Championship of Western New York.

September 4.-Sagamore Tennis Club, The Sagamore-on-Lake George, N. l. Lake George Championship.

September 5.-Pacific States Lawn Tennis Association, Del Monte, Cal. Men's and Women's Singles and Mixed Doubles. Pacilic States Championship.

September 6.-Merion Cricket Club, Philadelphia, Pa. Intercollegiate Championship.

September 9.-Agawam Hunt, Providence, R. I. State Cliampionship. Closed.

September 9.-Belmont Cricket Club, I'hiladelphia, Pa. Championship. of Philadelphia and District.

September 9.-Pittsburg Field Club. Allegheny County Championship. Men's Singles and Doubles.

September 11.-Morristown Field Club, Morristown, N. I. New Jersey State Championship.

September ${ }^{13}$.- Country Club of Scranton, Pa. Northwestern Pennsylvania Championship.

September 25.-Edgewood Country Club, Charleston. W. Va. Open.
September 25.-Longwood Cricket Club, Boston, Mass. Women's Singles, Donbles and Mixed Doubles.
1912.

January 29.-Pinehurst Country Club, Pinehurst, N. C. Annual Midwinter Tournament.

February ro.-Seventh Regiment Tennis Club, New York City. Indoor Championship for Men.

February 24.-Palm Beach (Fla.) Tennis Club. Open. Championship. of Florida.

March 6.-Vedado Tennis Club, Havana, Cuba. Championship of Cuba.
March ro.-Seventh Regiment Tennis Club, New York City. Indoor Championship for Women.

## Directory of Lawn Tennis Clubs Members of the U.S.N.L.T.A.

Agawam Hunt Club, Providence, Rhode Island.
Altoona Cricket Club, Altoona, Pennsylvania.
Amackassin Club, Yonkers, New York.
Apawamis Club, Rye, New lork.
Ardsley Club, Irvington-on-the-Hudson, New York.
lachelors Lawn Tennis Club, Washington, District of Columbia.
Baltimore Country Club, Baltimore, Maryland.
Bay Ilead Yacht Club, Bay Head, New Jersey.
Bedford Park Tennis Club, Bedford Park, New York.
Ledford Springs Tennis Club, Bedford Springs, Pennsylvania.
Belmont Cricket Club, Philadelphia, Pennsylvania.
B.lmont Tennis Club, Belmont, Massachusetts.

Boston Athletic Association, Boston, Massachusetts.
Boston Y. M. C. A. Tennis Club, Boston, Massachusetts.
Brae Burn Country Club, West Newton, Masachusetts.
Brooklawn Country Club, Bridgeport, Connecticut.
Brooklyn Tennis Club, Brooklyn, New York.
Bronxville Athletic Association, Bronxville, New York.
Castle Point Tennis Club, Hoboken, New Jersey.
Catonsville Country Club, Catonsville, Maryland.
Chevy Chase Club, Chevy Chase, Maryland.
Cohasset Golf Club, North Cohasset, Massachusetts.
Columbia University Interscholastic Lawn Tennis Association, New York City.
Cooperstown Country Club, Cooperstown, New York.
Cornell University Interscholastic Lawn Tennis Association, Ithaca, New l'ork.
Coronado Country Club, Coronado, California.
Country Club of Brookline, Boston, Massachusetts.
Country Club of Scranton, Scranton, Pennsylvania.
Country Club of Springfield, Springfield, Massachusetts.
Crawford Tennis Club, Crawford House, White Mountains, New Hampshire.
Crescent Athletic Club, Bay Ridge, Brooklyn, New lork.
Crescent Tennis Club, New Orleans, Louisiana.
Denver Country Club, Denver, Colorado.
letroit Athletic Club, Detroit, Michigan.
Dunwoodie Country Club, Yonkers, N. Y., J. Alexander Delmar, 346. Broadway, New York City.
East End Tennis Club, Cleveland, Ohio.
Edgemere Tennis Club, Long Island, New York.
Ekwanok Country Club, Manchester, Vermont.
Elizabeth Town and Country Club, Elizabeth, New Jersey.
Englewood Field Club, Englewood, New Jersey.
Essex County Club, Manchester, Massachusetts.
Essex County Country Club, Orange, New Jersey.
Excelsior Tennis Club, Bristol, Rhode Island.
Falls Cities Tennis Club, Louisville, Kentucky.
Germantown Cricket Club, Philadelphia, Pennsylvania.
Grand Forks Town and Country Club, Grand Forks, North Dakota.
Greenwich Casino, Greenwich, Connecticut.
Hamilton Grange Tennis Club, New York City.
Harlem Tennis Club, New York City.
Harrisburg Country Club, Harrisburg, Pennsylvania.
Hartford Golf Club, Hartford, Connecticut.
Harvard University Interscholastic Lawn Tennis Association, Cambridge Massachusetts.

Hermitage Golf Club, Richmond, Virginia.
Hollywood Golf Club, West End, New Jersey.
Hudson River Lawn Tennis Association, Tarrytown, New York.
Huntingdon Valley Country Club, Philadelphia, Pennsylvania.
Indoor Tennis Club, West Forty-first Street, New York City.
Intercollegiate Lawn Tennis Association, Haverford, Pennsylvania.
Intermountain Lawn Tennis Association, Salt Lake City, Utah.
Irvington Tennis Club, Portland, Oregon.
Kansas City Athletic Club, Kansas City, Missouri.
Kansas Lawn Tenis Association, Wichita, Kansas.
Kebo Valley Club, Boston, Massachusetts.
Kings County Tennis Club, Brooklyn, New York.
Knickerbocker Field Club, Brooklyn, New York.
Knollwood Country Club, White Plains, New York.
Lawn Tennis Club of Lawrence, Long Island, New York.
Litchfield Club, Litchfield, Connecticut.
Log Cabin Club, Macon, Georgia.
Longwood Cricket Club, Boston, Massachusetts.
Maidstone Club, Easthampton, Long Island, New York.
Manhasset Bay Yacht Club, Manhasset, New York.
Maryland Athletic Club, Baltimore, Maryland.
Meadow Club, Southampton, Long Island, New York.
Meadowbrook Club, Hempstead, Long Island, New York.
Merion Cricket Club, Philadelphia, Pennsylvania.
Mexico Country Club, Mexico City, Mexico
Milton Club, Milton, Massachusetts.
Minnetonka Yacht Club, Deep Haven, Minnesota.
Montclair Athletic Club, Montclair, New Jersey.
Morris County Golf Club, Convent Station, New Jersey.
Morristown Field Club, Morristown, New Jersey.
Mount Anthony Country Club, Bennington Centre, Vermont.
Moyamensing Lawn Tennis Club, Philadelphia, Pennsylvania.
Multnomah Amateur Athletic Club, Portland, Oregon.
Mystic Valley Lawn Tennis Association, Boston, Massachusetts.
Nahant Club, Nahant, Massachusetts.
Nassau Country Club, Glen Cove, New York.
Neighborhood Club, West Newton, Massachusetts.
New England Intercollegiate Lawn Tennis Association, Dartmouth, New Hampshire.
New Haven Lawn Club Association, New Haven, Connecticut.
New Orleans Lawn Tennis Club, New. Orleans, Louisiana.
New York Athletic Club, New York City, New York.
New York Lawn Tennis Club, New York City, New York.
Newport Tennis Club, Newport, Rhode Island.
Newton Centre Squash Tennis Club, Newton Centre, Massachusetts.
Niagara Lawn Tennis Club, Niagara-on-the-Lake, Canada.
Noanett Tennis Club, Jamaica Plain, Boston, Massachusetts.
Norfolk Tennis Club, Norfolk, Connecticut.
Norfolk Lawn Tennis Club, Norfolk, Virginia.
Nyack Country Club, Nyack, New York.
Oakley Country Club, Watertown, Massachusetts.
Ohio Lawn Tennis Association, Cincinnati, Ohio.
Oklahoma State Lawn Tennis Association, Albion, Oklahoma.
Old Pine Golf Club, St. Johnsbury, Vermont.
Oldtown Country Club, Newburyport, Massachusetts.
Omaha Field Club, Omaha, Nebraska.
Orange Lawn Tennis Club, South Orange, New Jersey.
Pacific States Lawn Tennis Association, San Francisco, California.
Palm Beach Tennis Club, Palm Beach, Florida.
Park Club, Buffalo, New York.
Parkersburg Country Club, Parkersburg, West Virginia.

Park Slope Tennis Club, Brooklyn, New York.
Philadelphia Country Club, Bala, Pennsylvania.
Philadelphia Cricket Club, Philadelphia, Pennsylvania.
Pittsburg Field Club, Pittsburg, Pennsylvania.
Pittsburg Golf Club, Pittsburg, Pennsylvania.
Plainfield Country Club, Plainfield, New Jersey.
Point Judith Country Club, Narragansett Pier, Rhode Island.
Potomac Club, Cumberland, Maryland.
Quincy Tennis Club, Quincy, Massachusetts. Ramapo Club, Pompton Lakes, New Jersey.
Ramapo Field Club, Pompton Lakes, New Jersey.
Richmond County Country Club, Staten Island, New York.
Ridgewood Golf Club, Ridgewood, New. Jersey.
Ridgewood Lawn Tennis Club, Columbia, South Carolina.
Rockaway Hunting Club, Far Rockaway, Long Island, New York.
Rochester Lawn Tennis Club, Rochester, New York.
St. Joseph Lawn Tennis Association, St. Joseph, Missouri.
St. Louis Amateur Athletic Club, St. Louis, Missouri.
Sagamore Tennis Club, Sagamore-on-Lake George, New York.
Sans Souci Tennis Club, Gireenville, South Carolina.
Saratoga Golf Club, Saratoga Springs, New York.
Seabright Lawn Tennis and Cricket Club, Seabright, New Jersey.
Seattle Lawn Tennis Club, Seattle, Washington.
Sedgwick Farm Club, Syracuse, New York.
Seventh Regiment Tennis Club, New York City.
Sippican Tennis Club, Boston, Massachusetts.
Siwanoy Tennis Club, New Rochelle, New York.
Skivi Tennis Club, Pompton, New Jersey.
Southern Lawn Tennis Association, Atlanta, Georgia.
Staten Island Ladies' Club, West New Brighton, Staten Island, New York. Swimming Club, Bar Harbor, Maine.
Tacoma Lawn Tennis Club, Tacoma, Washington.
Tennis Association of the University of Illinois, Champaign, Illinois.
Texas Lawn Tennis Association, Dallas, Texas.
Trenton Country Club, Trenton, New Jersey.
Tuxedo Tennis and Racquet Club, Tuxedo, New York.
University of Pennsylvania Interscholastic Lawn Tennis Association, Phil. adelphia, Pennsylvania.
Wannamoisett Country Club, Providence, Rhode Island.
Westchester Country Club, Westchester, New York.
Westchester Lawn Tennis Club, White Plains, New York.
Western Lawn Tennis Association, Chicago, Illinois.
Westmoreland Outing Club, Mount Pleasant, Pennsylvania.
West Side Lawn Tennis Club, New York City.
Wilmington Country Club, Wilmington, Delaware.
Wollaston Tennis Club, Wollaston, Massachusetts.
Worcester Tennis Club, Worcester, Massachusetts.
Yahnundasis Golf Club, New Hartford, New York.
Yale Interscholastic Lawn Tennis Association, New Haven, Connecticut. York Country Club, Jork Harbor, Maine.

# Directory of Players 

Adams, S. J., Pittsburg Field Club, Pittsburg, Pennsylvania.
Adee, G. T., 38 Broad Street, New York City.
Adone, J. B., Dallas, Texas.
Alexander, F. B., 45 Broadway, New York City. Alden, V. E., Norman, Oklahoma.
Allen, J. A., $_{\text {. }} 116$ West 82 d Street, New York City.
Allen, W. S., 272 East' 16 th Street, Brooklyn, New York.
Amend, A., 24 Pine Street, New York City.
Ames, J. O., 121 Power Street, East Side Station, Providence, Rhode Island.
Anderson, F. G., P. O. Box 561, Reading, Pennsylvania.
Armstrong, J., St. Paul, Minnesota.
Avery, H. E., Detroit, Michigan.
Ayer, H. O., Atlanta, Georgia.
Baggs, F. C., 211 West 78 th Street, New York City.
Bailey, J. T., Albion, Oklahoma.
Baldwin, G., 1129 Benton Avenue, Springfield, Missouri.
Baldwin, W. B., 60 Murray Street, New York City.
Ballinger, J. H., The Farragut, Washington, D. C.
Barger-Wallach, Mrs., Bellevue Avenue, Newport, Rhode Island.
Barton, J., Sioux Falls, South Dakota.
Bassford, A., Jr., Hartsdale, New York.
Bates, W. H., Grand Forks, North 1)akota.
Beals, G., Boston A. A., Boston, Massachusetts.
Beckley, R. M, 271 West 125 th Street, New York City.
Beeman, E., 514 Slaughter Building, Dallas, Texas.
Jehr, K. H., 777 Madison avenue, New York City.
Belden, G. H., 1700 Fifth Avenue, South Minneapolis, Minnesota.
Bell A. E., Santa Fe Springs, California.
Bennett, W. Y., 606 West 116 th Street, New York City.
Betts, I. P., Newburg, New York.
Biddle, C., Radnor, Pennsylvania.
Biddle, L., Philadelphia C. C., Chestnut Hill, Philadelphia, Pennsylvania.
Bishop, R., 1075 Boylston Street, Boston, Massachusetts.
Bissell, H., People's Bank, Buffalo, New York.
Bissell, Howard, 950 Delaware Avenue, Buffalo, New York.
Blake, B. S., Auburndale, Massachusetts.
Blatherwick, W. E., Rock Rapids, Michigan.
Bostwick, A. C., 66 Broadway, New York City.
Bostwick, O. M., 236 West 137 th Street, New York City.
Bourne, W. D., 66 Pine Street, New York City.
Braly, H. A., 238 Andrews Boulevard, Los Angeles, California.
Branson, R. L., First National Bank, Mitchell, South Dakota.
Rrewster, H. H., St. Joseph, Missouri.
Brooks, L., Crescent A. C., Brooklyn, N. Y.
Brown, C., Maryland A. C., Baltimore, Maryland.
Browne, N. B., Pacific Electric Building, Los Angeles California.
Bryan, R. T., American Wool Co., 126 Fifth Avenue, New York City.
Bull, C. M., Jr., 48 Wall Street, New York City.
Bumiller, A. W., 1049 Elden Avenue, Los Angeles, California.
Bundy, T. C., Collender Building, Los Angeles, California.
Bunting, C. M., Broad Street Station, Philadelphia, Pennsylvania.
Burt, L. M., 118 West 112 th Street, New York City.
Burton, H. E., U. S. Naval Observatory, Washington, District Columbia.
Burton, W. C., Deephaven, Minnesota.
Burchard, R., 66 Leonard Street, New York City .
Butlin, C. M., 3a Guerrere No. 55, Mexico, D. F.
Byers, E. M:, Sewickley, Pennsylvania.

Cake, F. H. S.. Hotel Normandie. Washington. Distric: Columbia.
Campbell, O. S., Linion Club, New lork City
Carleton, R. H., 30 Pine Street, New York City.
Carpenter, J. K., Jr., 517. Chestnut Street, Philadelphia. Pennsylvania.
Chace, M. G., 30 Alumni Avenue, Providence, Rhode Island.
Chamberlain, H. S., Jr., East Terrace, Chattanooga Tennessee.
Charlock, M. S., 232 Decatur Street, Brooklyn, N. Y'
Chase, W. D., 51 Leonard Strcet, New Jork City.
Child, O. C. A., 260 Bedford Park Boulevard, New York City.
Childs, C. L., 653 Morewood Avenue Pittsburg Pennsylvania.
Church, G. M., Tenatly, New Jersey.
Clarke, M. S., 793 Broadway. New York City.
Clarkson, R., Produce Exchange, New York City
Clothier, W. J.. Wynnewood. I'ennsylvania.
Cockran, H. J., 915 Hillside Avenue Plainfield New Jersey.
Code, J. A., 1705 Oak Street, San Francisco, Calıfornia.
Coc, L. S., Englewood. New Jersey.
Cole, F. W., Hartford. Connecticut.
Cole, Richard Hartford Connecticut
Collier, W. B.. Jr.. N゙avy Yard. Norfolk. Virginia.
Colston, F C.. 1016 St . Paut Street. Baltimore, Maryland.
Colston. J. A C.. 1016 St Paul Street Baltimore. Maryland.
Connell, D. E.. 2970 Decatur Avenue, New lork City.
Connell, II 11.. 325 East 201 s! Street. New York City.
Converse, H., Rochester, N I
Cragin, $\Lambda ., 18$ East Goth Street, New lork City.
Cragin. C., 422 West End Avenue, New York City.
Cragin, W. B., Jr.. 44 Hudson Stseet. New lork City.
Crawford, R. 1. 2011 Bancroft Way, Berkeley, California.
Crozier, R. J., 422 South 2oth Street. Philadelphia, Pennsylvania.
Cushman, J S. 24 W. 23d Street, New lork City
Dabney, A. S., Jr., $4^{11}$ Beacon Street, Boston. Massachusetts.
Dana, R. N. Pawtucket, Rhode Island.
Davis, D. F.. 17 Westmoreland Place, St. Louis, Missouri.
DeCamp, W. A., 2605 Elden Avenue, Cincinnatı, Ohio.
De Mille, W. C., 357 West 118 th Street, New look City.
De Rham, F., Harvard Club. New York City.
Dewhurst, E. B., 1728 Chestnut Street. Philadelphia, Pennsylvania.
Dionne, G. A. L., 331 West 7oth Street, New York City.
Dixon, W. C., 182 Freemason Street, Norfolk, Virginia.
Donohue, T. M., 1373 Telleı Avenue. New York City.
Doyle, C. B.. 1025 Lamont Street, N. W., Washington, District Columbia
Doyle, H. E., Reno Road, Washington District Columbia.
Dreyfus, L., 55 New Street, New York City.
Duncan, J. D. C., Lutherville Maryland.
Dwight, J., Somerset, Boston, Massachusetts
Earle, A. P., W. Swissdale Avenue, Pittsburg. Pennsylvania.
Ellett, E. C.. Memphis, Tennesee
Emerson N. North Yacima, Oregon.
Emerson, T. Clermont Apartments, Cincinnati, Ohio.
Emery, D. 52 Broadway New York City
Ewing, T., ${ }_{1} 56$ Fourth Avenue Pittsburg, Pennsylvania.
Ewing, J. H. 6ıo Spring Street, Portland, Oregon.
Fairbanks i. St. Johnsbury, Vermont.
Farber G. A., P O. Box 212. Baltimore Maryland.
Fenno, M. Miss 45C Beacon Street Boston, Massachusetts.
Finck, R., 21 East 81st Street. New York City.
Finıgan, E. P., ${ }^{158}$ Geary Street, San Francisco, California.
Fischer, E. F. 3? West 92d Street, New York City.

Fitch, L. H., Tarrytown, New York.
Folds, C. W., Northwest National Bank, Minneapolis, Minnesot
Fox, F. K., 793 Broadway, New York City.
Friedman A., 40 Leonard Street. New York City.
Gage, J. E., Utica, New York.
Gardnes. G. P., Harvard University, Cambridge, Massachusetts.
Gardnet, C. R., 103 Park Avenue, New York City.
Garland, C., Maple Avenue, Pittsburg, Pennsylvania.
Garrison, F. P. 44 Atlas Bank Building, Cincinnati, Ohio.
Gates, F. H. Montclair, New Jersey.
Geoghegan, $\mathrm{F}_{0}$ I 861 Mintwood Place, Washington, District Columbia.
Gillette, W. K., Pelham Manor, New York.
Gilman. W S., Des Moines, Iowa.
Gladwin A B., 82 Duane Street. New York City.
Glazebrook, H. McK., Elizabeth New Jersey.
Glazebrook, L. M., 2022 P Street, N. W.., Washington, District Columbia.
Godfrey C. E., P. O. Box 517, Providence, Rhode Island.
Goodwyn F. J., Columbia, South Carolina.
Goss, W A., Acme Mills Co., Portland, Oregon.
Grant B. M., Atlanta, Georgia.
Grant, W. C., 328 Convent Avenue, New York-City.
Griffin, S., Winnipeg, Manitoba, Canada.
Groesbeck, G. S., 601 West 148 th Street, New York City.
Gross, E. T., Union Trust Company Luilding, Providence, Rhode Island.
Guernsey, H W., City Hall, Poughkeepsie, New York.
Gunther E. A., 340 West 51 ist Street, New York City.
Hackett C. C., 1412 H Street, N. W. Waslıngton, District Columbia.
Hackett. H. H., 841 Broadway, New York City.
Hague, F., Glen Ridge, New Jersey.
Hall if M., 449 Convent Avenue New York City.
Hallowell, N. P. . 44 State Street, Boston, Massachusetts.
Hardy, Sumne1, 408 Market Street, San Francisco, California.
Harkei, D. C., Denver. Colorado.
Hatzfeld, L E., 24 Nassau Street, New York City.
Hawk, D1. P. B., University of Illinois, Urbana, Illinois.
Hayes, W. T., 48 Powell Avenue, Chicago, Iillinois.
Hazard, W., 215 Berkeley Place, Brooklyn, N. Y.
Herwick. G. F., 5 East 56th Street, New York City.
Henderson, D. S. 521 McCallas Avenue, Chattanooga, Tennessee.
Heinighe, O. W., 26 E. I 3 th Street, New York City.
Hildreth, P. S. ${ }_{1} 35$ Broadway, New York City.
Hills, R. W., Colorado Building, Washington, District Columbia.
Hinck, O. H., 56 Beaver Street, New York City.
Hinck, A. J., 56 Beaver Street, New York City.
Hinckley, G. C., 36 Manning Street, East Side Station, Providence, Rhode Island
Hobart, C., Murray Hill, New Jersey.
Hoe, A., 504 Grand Street, New York City.
Holmes A. Mamaroneck, New York.
Holden: R. A: Jr., Yale University, New Haven, Connecticut.
Holterhoff, R., Ingalls Building, Cincinnat1, Ohio.
Homans, S., 18 c Broadway New York City.
Hooker. R., Sprıngfield Republican, Springfield, Massachusetts.
Hoskins, A. L. corner Walnut and Fifth Streets, Philadelphia, Pernsylvanıa.
Hotchkiss, I. M. 2985 Clermont Avenue, Berkeley, California.
Hotchkjs. Miss H., 2985 Clermont Avenue, Berkeley, California.
Howard, F. E., Collins Street, Hartford, Connecticut.
Hunt. R. G., I42 Grand Street, Alameda, California.

Ingram，L．，Beaver Falls，Pennsylvania．
Inman，F．C．， 10 Cotton Exchange，New Vork City．
James，R．L．，${ }^{1} 507$ Arch Street，Philadelphia．Pemnsylvania．
Janes，G．，care of London，Liverpool む Globe Insurance Co．，San Fran－ cisco，California．
Jayne，T．N．， 660 Temple Court，Minneapolis，Minnesota．
Johnson，II．C．，Temple Place，Koston，Massachusetts．
Johnson，C．F．，Newton Highlands，Massachusetts．
Johnson，N．G．， 11 Pine Street，New lork City．
Johnson，W．F．，Cynwyd，Pennsylvania．
Johnston，C．L．，Jr．， 232 Ilancock Street，Irooklyn，N．Y．
Jones，II．V．，Kansas City，Missouri．
Jones，A．， 22 Dexter Avenue，Montgomery，Alabama．
Jones，Drummond，St．Louis，Missouri．
Jones，J．D．E．， 454 Angell Street，Providence，Rhode Island．
Kelly，C．C．， 102 West 93d Street，New lork City．
Keenan，J．A．， 24 Broad Street，New lork（ity．
Kerr，J．S．，Fayctte Strect，Nount Washington，Maryland．
Kidde，F．， 56 beaver Street，New lork（ity．
Kirkover，H．I）．， 126 Oakland Place，Buffalo，New Jork．
Knowlton，W．G．， 2040 Scott Strect，San Francisco，California．
Larned，W．A．， 24 Broad Strect．New Jork（ity．
Larned，E．P．，summit，New Jersey．
Lake，II．Y．，Ocean（ity，New Jersey．
Lamb，B．，Towner，North Dakota．
L．eo，E．F：\％ 264 West 139th Street，New lork City．
Leonard，E：W゙．，W＇est Newton，Massachusutts．
Leonard，E．， 232 Summer street，Boston，Massachusetts．
LeRoy，R．， 28 Seventh Avenue，New lork（ity．
Lewis，C．F．，Kinsdale，Illinois．
Lincoln，（i．，Washington Post，Washington，I）istrict Columbia．
Little，R．I）．， 425 East 24 th Street，New lork（ity．
Little，Dr．K．，Cincinnati，Ohio．
Lockwood，F．A．，Norwalk，Connecticut．
Long，M．H．， 21 Buena V＇ista Avenue，San Francisco，California．
Lovering，J．， 53 State Street，Boston，Massachusetts．
Mackey，W．D．， 214 East 2d Street，Sedalia，Missouri．
Mahan，L．E．， 115 Broadway，New York（ity．
Mansfield，F．S．，Waban，Massachusetts．
Martin，H．C．， 22 Thomas Strect，New Jork City．
Mason，T．J．，Brattleboro，Vermont．
Mathey，Dean，Cranford，New Jersey．
NcBurney，W．A．， 704 Jefferson Street，Spokane，Washington．
McCabe，A．D．，Coraopolis，I＇ennsylvania．
McKinney，H．A．， 215 Arlington Avenue，Providence，Rhode Island．
McKittrick，R．，St．Louis，Nissouri．
McLoughlin，M．E．， 727 Clayton Strect，san Francisco，California．
McLean，M．， 127 William Street，New York City．
Mc．Mahon，J．T．， 476 First Street，Brooklyn，N．Y．
McMillan，G．E．， 712 Monroe Street，Springfield，Missouri．
McQuiston，H．M．，Van Vleck，Texas．
Mercer，A．J．，${ }^{15} 500$ Battery Street，Little Rock，Arkansas．
Merrihew，S．W．， 103 Park Avenue，wew York City．
Miles，G．H．，South Orange，New Jersey．
Millett，S．C．，Irving－on－the－Hudson，New York．
Miller，R．J．，Springfield，Massachusetts．
Moen，E．C．， 52 William Street，New York City．
Mollenhauer，H．J．， 73 Livingston Street，Brooklyn，New York．

Montgomery, H. D., Rye, N. Y.
Moore, E. H., 610 West 113 th Street, New York City.
Moorehead, R. D., Pittsburg Field Club, Pittsburg, Pennsylvania.
Moulding, J. W., 34 Clark Street, Chicago, Illinois.
Murchison, K. M., 320 Fifth Avenue, New York City.
Naylor, T., 1622 Park Avenue, Baltimore, Maryland.
Neel, C. B., Kenwood Country Club, Chicago, Illinois.
Neely, J. C., Jr., 4929 Greenwood Avenue, Chicago, Illinois.
Nettleton, G. H., 339 Prospect Street, New Haven, Connecticut.
Niles, N. W., Boston, Massachusetts.
Norton, P., Lancaster, New Jork.
Oldfather, C. H., Kansas (ity, Kansas.
Oliver, A. K., Gazette-Times, Pittsburg, Pennsylvania.
Oliver, F., City Hall, New lork (ity.
Ostendorf, A., 244 East 138 th Street, New Iork City.
Paddock, L. H., Jones Building, Detroit, Michigan.
Palmer, R. H., Ridgewood, New Jersey.
Paret, I. P., 77 Broad Street, New lork City.
Parkes; G. P., Hamilton Grange Tennis Club, Convent Avenue, N. Y. Cits.
Parker, A. J., Jr., ${ }^{1} 43$ Washington Avenue, Albany, New lork.
Patterson, L. O., Greenville, South Carolina.
Payne, F. T., Tacoma, Washington.
Pell, Clarence C., Union Club, New York City.
Pell, T. R., 537 Fifth Avenue, New York City.
Pendergast, E. II. S., 561 Madison Avenue, Elizabeth, New Jersey.
Perry, L., Williamstown, Massachusetts.
Peters, E. J., Shawnee, Oklahoma.
Peters, C. $\overleftrightarrow{S}, 48$ Powell Avenue, Chicago, Illinois.
Peterson, A. E., South Coventry, Connecticut.
Phelps, G. A., 126 Nassau Street, New York City.
Phillips, B. M., 72 d Street and Columbus Avenue, New York City.
Pike, G. W.., 5 Madison Avenue, Springfield, Massachusetts.
Pier, A. S., Boston A. A., Boston, Massachusetts.
Plimpton, C. G., Newton Center, Massachusetts.
Prentice, B. S., 112 Broadway, New lork City.
Presbrey, P. E., P. O. Box 2337, Boston, Massachusetts.
Prescott, O. W., i8t 3 East 65th Street, Cleveland, Ohio.
Purdon, G. H., Tacoma, Washington.
Raleigh, S.. 308 McBride Street, Syracuse, New York.
Rapelyea, E. P., lilmira, N. Y.
Reed, R. R., Pittsburg, Pennsylvania.
Register, H. B., Haverford, Pennsylvania.
Remington, A., Olympia, Washington.
Rendall, H. J., Broomall, Pennsylvania.
Rhodes, D. P., Longwood C. C., Brookline, Massachusetts.
Richey, R. D., Far Rockaway, New York.
Ricker, I. E., 425 East Superior Street, Chicago, Illinois.
Ripley, C. B., Hinsdale, Illinois.
Roberts, D. E., Post Office Building, New York City.
Roberts, Walter. 63 Washington Street, Hartford, Conn.
Robertson, J., Bronxville, New York.
Robinson, W. H., Malden, Massachusetts.
Rogers, C., 1900 Gay Street. Knoxville, Tennessee.
Roper, W. H., Mount Airy, Philadelphia, Pennsylvania.
Rosenbaum, Dr. W., 124 West ir th Street, New York City.
Ross, J. A., 4536 60th Street, Chicago, Illinois.
Rotch, W. B., Milford, New Hampshire.
Russ, S., San Antonio, Texas.
Russell, A. B., 27 West Avenue, South Norwalk, Connecticut.

Russell, S. L., Seattle, Washington.
Ryerson, J. A., 103 Lincoln Park Building, Chicago, Illinois.
Sayers, H. H., Haverford, Pennsylvania.
Sayre, E. S., 217 South Third Street, Philadelphia, Pennsylvania.
Salisbury, R. W., 574 East First South Street, Salt Lake City, Utah.
Seott, L. D., Atlanta, Georgia.
Scott, A. A., Vinita, Oklahoma.
Scott, E. W., Westchester A. C., Westehester, N. Y.
Seaver, R. C., 76 Longwood Avenue, Brookline, Massachusetts.
Shafer, G. C., 145 Riverside Drive, New lork City.
Shafer, E. H., Scranton Savings Bank, Scranton, Pennsylvania.
siverd, P. D., Crafton, Pennsylvania.
Sinsabaugh, S. M., Los Angeles, California.
Smith, King, 439. West End Avenue, New lork City.
Speare, E. R., Newton Center, Massachusetts.
Sprague, A. C., St. Johnsbury, V'ermont.
Steinacher, J. A1.. 695 St. Nicholas Avenue, New York City.
Stephens, T. W.: Wilkensburg, Pennsylvania.
Stevens, R., 1 Newark Street, Hoboken, New Jersey.
Stevens, Neal, Ltica, New York.
Sternfeld, O. M., 120 Broadway, New York City.
Stille, E., 327 Chestnut Street, Philadelphia, Pennsylvania.
Stoker, H. E.. Topeka, Kansas.
Sulloway, F. I., 53 State Street, Boston, Massachusetts.
Swectser, A. S., 132 St. Mary's Street, Boston, Massachusetts.
Symington, S., Calvert Building, Baltimore, Naryland.
Tallant, Hugh, 113 East 19th Strect; New Vork City.
Taylor, Ir. E., Yonkers, New York.
Taylor, H., Dallas, South Dakota.
Tailer, E., Ridgewood, New Jersey.
Terry, J., 197 Collins Street, Hartford, Connecticut.
Thayer, A., Chestnut Hill, Philadelphia, Pennsylvania.
Thomas, G. C., North Broad Street, Elizabeth, New Jersey.
Thornton, N., Atlanta, Georgia.
Tilden, M. Chestnut Ilill, Philadelphia, Pennsylvania.
Tilden, H. M., Germantown, Philadelphia, Pennsylvania.
Tilden, W. M., Chestnut Hill, Philadelphia, Pennsylvania.
Todd. D. R., 325 West 103 d Street, New York City.
Tomlinson, J. C., Jr., 35 Wall Street, New York City.
Tomlinson, T. E., 80 Broad Street, New York City.
Torrance, H. J., Tenafly, New Jersey.
Torrey, E. S., Utica, New York.
Torrey, E. F., Jr., Clinton, New York.
Touchard, G. F., 126 Nassau Street, New York City.
Trask, T. C., 155 West 65th Street, New York City.
Trotter, W. H., 36 North Front Street, Philadelphia, Pennsylvania.
Tyler, W. M., 207 Carlysle Building, Cincinnati, Ohio.
Tyler, J. C., Spokane and Eastern Trust Co., Spokane, Washington.
Variel, R. H. F.. 2237 Michigan Avenue, Los Angeles, California.
Vernon, O. V., St. Joseph, Missouri.
Voshell, S. H., ${ }_{5}$ th Avenue, Brooklyn; New York.
Waddell, S. D., Altoona, Pennsylvania.
Wadsworth, A. B., 180 West 59th Street, New York City.
Wagner, B., 212 East Baltimore Street, Baltimore, Maryland.
Wagner, G.' O., 20 Norwood Avenue, Buffalo, New York.
Waidner, L. H., 169 La Salle Street, Chicago, Illinois.
Walker, J. W., Montgomery, Alabama
Ware, L. E., 38 Wall Street, New York City.

Ward, C., Trust Company, Main Strect, Rochester, New York.
Ward, H., 58 Leonard Street, New York City.
Warren, W., Jr., Dedham, Massachusetts.
Watson, C. F., Jr., South Orange, New Jersey.
Way, A. C., First National Lank, Los Angeles, California.
Wessels, H. W., Litchfield, Connecticut.
West, R. A., 1065 East Jersey Street, Elizabeth, New Jersey. Westfall, S. A., 97 Warren Street, New Westfall, H. L., 97 Warren Street, New York City.
Whitehead, H. G., Norfolk, Virginia.
Whiting, E. E., 2022 P Street, N. W., Washington, District Columbia.
Whitlock, C. E., 100 East Franklin Street, Richmond, Virginia.
Whitman, H. H., Goddard Avenue, Brookline, Massachusetts.
Whitman, M. D., Goddard Avenue, Prookline, Massachusetts.
Wickersham, B. H., 526 Weidler Street, Portland, Oregon.
Wilcox, H. D., Dister-Wileox Co., Providence, Rhode Island.
Wilde, M. C., New Brighton, Pennsylvania.
Wilder, R. G., care Russell \& Blythe, Portland, Oregon.
Wilder, W., Cherokee, Oklahoma.
Willing, J. K., Paoli, Pennsylvania.
Wilson, R., Englewood, Rhode Island.
Winston, G. H., Chamber of Commerce, Richmond, Virginia.
Wood, T. I., 311 Park Hill Avenue, Yonkers, New York.
Woodbury, L. D., 34 Pine Street', New York City.
Wrenn, E., Onwentsia Club, Lake Forest, Illinois.
Wrenn, R. D., 24 Broad Street, New York City.
Wrenn, G. L., Jr., 24 Broad Street, New Vork City.
Wright, 13. C., 344 Washington Street, Boston, Massachusetts.
Wright, I. C., 344 Washington Street, Boston, Massachusetts.
Wright, G., 344 Washington Street, Boston, Massachusetts.
Wyeth, G. L., 26 Broadway, New York City.
Yeates, S. C., Sedalia, Missouri.
Joung, C. H., 1624 Capitol Avenue, Omaha, Nebraska.

## Constitution

ARTICLE $I$.<br>NamE.

This organization shail be known as the "United States Nationat, Lawn Tennis Association."

## IRTICLE II. <br> members.

Section 1. There shall be two classes of members:

1. Clubs.
$2 . ~ A s$ suciations of Clubs.
Sect. 2. An association of clubs shall consist of five or more individual clubs, any one of which may, or may not, be itself a member of the National Issociation. But no club shall otherwise be a member of more than one association.
sEct. 3. livery such assuciation shall represent cither a stated section of the country, or a stated number of schools and colleges, and in each case its stated limits shall necessarily exclude to that extent the stated limits of every other association.

SECT. 4. Propositions for Membership.-Iropositions for membership must be made in writing to the Executive Committee, hereinafter provided for, with the name and address of the applicant, and signed by the secretary of the club or association making the proposal. Where the applicant is an association, the names and addresses of pach of its members shall be given with the application, together with a statement of the limits that it desires to represent ; and thereafter such association, if elected, shall notify the secretary of the National Association of any changes in its membership immediately upon oceurrence.

SECT. 5. The Executire Committee shall have full power to pass upon candidates for membership without a general eleetion, exerpt that no association shall be deemed a member whose limits shall conflict with those of other associations until its admittance be confirmed by a two-thirds' rote at the annual meeting of the National Association, or by the consent of the association upon whose limits it encroaches. The admittance to membership of such an association necessarily decreases the limits of other associations to such an extent as shall preclude a conflict of limits.

SECT. 6. Dues, etct. The annual dues to this Association, in the case of clubs, shall he seven dollars ( $\$ 7$ ), and, in the case of associations of clubs, shall be twentr-five dollars ( $\$ 25$ ), except that, where any association is composed of more than ten clubs, its annual dues shall, in addition, be ten dollars $(\$ 10)$ for every five clubs or fraction thereof members of it so additional. No club or assocation shall be allowed the privileges of a member until its first annual dues have been paid. All dues for the ensuing year shall be payable at the annual meeting.

SECT. 7. Limits of Payments, etc.-Any club or association which shall fail to have paid its annual dues at the expiration of one month after the annual meeting may be debarred from the privileges of a member by the Executive Committee, and, at their discretion, may be dropped from the roll.

Sect. 8. Resignations, ete.-Any member wishing to resign must do so in writing, addressed to the Secretary; and the resignation cannot be accepted until all dues are paid.

## ARTICLE III.

## EXPULSIONS, ETC.

The Executive Committee of this Association, hereinafter provided for, shall have the power to suspend or expel any member which may neglect or refuse a strict and honorable compliance with this Constitution, By-Laws, etc., or which shall, by scandalons conduct, bring reproach or disgrace upon the Association : or which shall, being itself an association, retain as one of its members any club objected to by notice in writing by the Executive Committer, subject to a right of the said member to appeal to the Association, at its next annual meeting, for reinstatement.

## ARTICLE IV.

## OFFICERS.

Sectus 1. The officers of this Issociation shall consist of a President. Vice-President, Secretary, Treasurer and an Executive Committee of eleren members, including the President, the Vice-Iresident, the Secretary, and the Treasurer, who shall be ex-officio members of the Committee. No person can be an officer who is not an active member of a club belonging eithrr directly to this Issociation, or indirectly, as specified in Art. II., Sect. ᄅ̈.

SECT. 2. Time and Mode of Election.-The election of officers shall be by ballot or roll call at the annual meeting in each year. They shall be voted for separately, and receive a majority of all votes cast to entitle them to an election: and they shall continue in office for one year, or until their successors shall be elected.

SECT. 3. Tucancies.-In case a vacancy should oceur in any of the offices, the lexecutive Committee shall elect a member to fill the vacancy for the unexpired term.

Sect. 4. Duties of the President.-It shall be the duty of the President to preside at all meetings, to preserve order, to appoint all committees not otherwise provided for, and to see that the officers and committees perform their respective duties.

Sect. 5. The Vice-President.-The Vice-President shall assist the President in the performance of his duties, and shall exercise all the powers of the Iresident in his absence.

Sect. 6. The Necretary.-The Secretary shall keep a roll of all the members, and from time to time amend and correct the same as circumstances may require. He shall notify new members of their election within two weeks thereafter, and shall give notice of all meetings at least two weeks in advance. He shall conduct all the correspondence of the Association, and keep copies of all letters in a book provided for that purpose. He shall keep the minutes of the proceedings of the Association, and a record of such matters of interest as may occur.

Sect. 7. The Treasurer.-The Treasurer shall keep, in a suitable book provided for that purpose, an account of all moneys received and paid. He shall liquidate all bills against the Association, and shall report in writing the state of the finances when required; and, at the annual meeting. he shall present a written report showing all the receipts and expenditures for the year.

Sect. 8. Executive Committee, etc.- It shall be the duty of the Executive Committee to see that the general provisions of the Constitution and By--Laws of this Association are complied with by members of the same; to hear and decide all questions submitted by members for decision, notice of hearing being given to any other member which may be affected by the question; to construe and enforce all the rules of the Association. All decisions of the Executive Committee shall be complied with forthwith; but an appeal therefrom may be taken by any member of the Association at its next
annual mecting. The Executive Committee and the Secretary shall cause otticial notices, and such other matters of information as they shall deem of interest, to be published in a paper to be appointed annually by the Executive Committee the official bulletin of the Association. All members are to be given notice of said appointment, and thereafter are expected to take notice of official publications therein. The Executive Committee shall have the arrangement and management of any general tournament between the members of this Association.

Each member of the Exccutive Committee must represent a club of which he is an active member, said club belonging either directly to this Association, or indirectly as specified in Art. II., Sect. 2, and being, also, either a different club, or, if a member of an association, then a club of a different association from any club represented by any nther member of the Committer. A majority shall coustitute a quorum.

## ARTICLE V.

MEETINGS, ETC.
SECTION 1. There shall be a stated annual meeting held. on a date to be fixed by the Iresident, between the 1 st and 15 th of February in each year, at a place to be designated at the previous annual meeting, or by the Iresident in the absence of other designation.

Sker. ". Proxies etc.-Members may be represented at meetings by delegates or proxies.
sect. 3. s'pecial Mectings, ete.-Special meetings may be called by the Executive Committee at any time, and shall be called by the Executive Committee at the request of any five members of the Assaciation.

SECT. 4. At all meetings of the Association the representatives of eleven members shall constitute a quorum.

SECT. 5. Each member of the Assoclation shall, at all meetings, be entitled to one rote if it be a member in Class 1, and it shall be entitled to two rotes, and to one additional vote for every five clubs or fraction thereof members of it over ten if it be a member in Class 2 , the vote or votes to be cast by its delegates or proxies.

Each delegate or proxy must be an active member of a club belonging either directly to this Association, or indirectly as specified in Art. II. Sect. 2. and present written credentials properly certified by the member he represents.

## ARTICLE VI.

AMENDMENTS, ETC.
Amendments to this Constitution mar be made at any annual meeting by a vote of at least two-thirds of all the votes cast. By-Laws may be amended at any annual or special meeting under the same provision.

# By-Laws 

## ARTICLE I.

ORDER OF BUSINESS, ETC.
Section 1. The order of business for this Association shall be as follows:

| 1. Roll Call. |  |
| :--- | :--- |
| 2. Reading. of Minutes. |  |
| 3. Secretary's Report. |  |
| 4. | Collection of Dues. |
| 5. | Treasurer's Report. |

6. Reports of Committees.
7. Elections.
8. Miscellaneous Business.
9. Adjournment.

Sect. 2. T'ime of Elceting Officers, etc.-The election of officers at the annual meeting shall take place immediately after the reading of the reports of the various officers and committees.

The officers elected shall immediately enter upon the discharge of their respective duties, and be entitled to the possession of all books, papers, moners, and other property belonging to the Association pertaining to their respective oftices, and in the possession of their predecessors.

SECT. 3. Authority for Rules of Orier.-For the "Rules of Order", and any and all parliamentary rules not herein mentioned, those laid down in "Cushing's Manual" shall be authority.

## ARTICLE II.

## LAW'S OF LAWN TENNIS.

Section 1. All clubs represented in this Association shall be governed by the laws of Lawn Tennis as laid down by this Association.
sect. 2. Laws of Matches, etc.- All matches played by clubs represented in this Association shall be played under all the rules adopted by it.

Sect. 3. None but amateurs shall be allowed to enter for any match or matches played under the auspices of this Assuciation.

SECT. 4. In amateur is one who has never violated any of the following conditions:

1. IIe has never entered a competition open to professionals, nor played for a stake, public or admission money, or entrance fee.
2. He has not competed with or against a professional for a prize.
3. He has not played instructed, pursued. or \&scisted in the pursuit of tennis or other athletic exercise as a means of livelihood, or for gain or any emolument.
4. IIis membership in any tennis or athletic club of any kind was not brought about, or does not continue, because of any mutual understanding, expressed or implied, whereby his continuing a member of any such club would be of any pecuniary benefit to him or his club.
5. If connected with any sporting-goods house, such connection was not bronght about or does not continne because of his proficiency in tennis or any other form of athletic exercise.

The Executire Committee of the Association shall be the tribunal to decide whether a player is a professional or an amateur.

Sect. 5. No player shall be allowed to enter for any match given by this Association unless he is an active member in a club belonging Nither directly to this Association, or indirectly as specified in Article II, Section 2, of the Constitution. But the Executive Committee is empowered, at their discretion, to invite any foreigners to enter for any match given by this Association.

## ARTICLE III.

SUSPENSION OF BY-LAWS.
Any article or section of these By-Laws may be suspended for any one meeting by a two-thirds vote of all cast.

## Laws of Lawn Tennis

## THE COLRT．

1．The Court is 78 feet long and 27 feet wide．It is divided acros． the middle by a net，the ends of which are attached to two posts， $A$ and 13 ，standing 3 feet outside of the court on either side．The height of the net is 3 feet 6 inches at the posts，and 3 feet in the middle．At each end of the court，parallel with the net，and 39 feet

from it，are drawn the base lines I）$E$ and $F G$ ，the ends of which are connected by the side lines JI Fand E （ F ．Halfway between the side lines，and parallel with them．is drawn the half court line I 11， dividing the space on each side of the net into two equal parts，the right and loft courts．On each side of the net，at a distance of 21 fret from it，and parallel with it，are drawn the service lines $K \mathbb{L}$ and M N．

THE BALLS．
2．The Balls shall measure not less than $21 / 2$ inches，nor more than 29－16 inches in diameter；and shall weigh not less than 1 15－16 ounces，nor more than 2 ounces．

TIIE GAME．
3．The choice of sides，and the right to serve in the first game， shall be decided by toss；provided that，if the winner of the toss choose the right to serve，the other player shall have choice of sides， and vice versa，or the winner of the toss may insist upon a choice by his opponent．If one player choose the court，the other may elect not to serve．

4．The players shall stand on opposite sides of the net：the player who first delivers the ball shall be called the server，and the other the striker－out．

5．At the end of the first game the striker－out shall become server． and the server shall become striker－out；and so on alternately in all the subsequent games of the set and following sets．

6．The server shall serve with both feet behind，i．e．，further from the net than the base line and within the limits of the imaginary continuation of the centre service and the side lines．It is not a fault if one only of the server＇s feet do not touch the ground at the moment at which the service is delivered．He shall place both feet upon the ground immediately before serving and shall not take a rum－ ning nor a walking start．He shall deliver the service from the right and left courts alternately，beginning from the right，in each of his service gamcs，even though odds be given or oucd．
7. The ball served must drop between the service line, half-court line, and the side line of the court, diagonally opposite to that from. which it was served.
8. It is a Fault if the ball served drop in the net, or beyond the service line, or ont of court, or in the wrong court; or if the server do not stand as directed by law 6 . If the server, in attempting to serve. miss the ball altogether, it does not count a fault, but if the ball be touched, no matter how slightly, by the racket, a service is thereby delivered, and the laws governing the service at once apply.
9. A fault cannot be taken.
10. After a fault the server shall serve again from the same court from which he served that fault, unless it was a fault because he served from the wrong court.
11. A fault cannot be claimed after the next service is delivered.
12. The server shall not serve until the striker-out is ready. If the latter attempt to return the service, he shall be deemed ready.
13. A service or fault delivered when the striker-out is not ready counts for nothing.
14. The service shall not be volleyed, that is, taken, before it has touched the ground.
15. A ball is in play on leaving the server's racket, except as provided for in law 8, and remains in play till the stroke is decided.
16. It is a good return, although the ball touch the net; but a service, otkerwise gond. which touches the net shall count for nothing.
17. The server wins a stroke if the striker-out volley the service, or if he fail to return the service or the ball in play or if he return the service or the ball in play so that it drops outside of his opponent's court ; or if he otherwise lose a stroke, as provided by law 20.
18. The striker-out wins a stroke if the server serve two consecutive faults; or if he fail to return the ball in play: or if he return the ball in play so that it drops outside of his opponent's court ; or if he otherwise lose a stroke as provided by law 20.
19. A ball falling on a line is regarded as falling in the court bounded by that line.
20. Either olayer loses a stroke if the ball touch him. or anything that he wears or carries, except his racket in the act of striking: or if he touch the ball with his racket more than once: or if he touch the net or any of its supports while the ball is in play; or if he volley the ball before it has passed the net.
21. In case a player is obstructed by any accident, not within his control, the ball shall be considered a "let." But when a permanent fixture of the court is the cause of the accident, the point shall be counted. The benches and chairs placed around the court shall be considered permanent fixtures. If, however, a ball in play strike a permanent fixture of the court (other than the net or posts) before it touches the ground. the point is lost; if after it has touched the ground, the point shall be counted.
22. On either player winning his first stroke, the score is called 15 for that player: on either player winning his second stroke, the score is called 30 for that player : on either player winning his third stroke, the score is called 40 for that player: and the fourth stroke won br either player is scored game for the player, except as below. If both players have won three strokes, the score is called deuce; and the next stroke won by either player is scored adcantage for that nlayer. If the same player win the next stroke, he wins the game: if he lose the next stroke the score returns to deuce. and so on until one player wins the two strokes immediately following the score of dence, when game is scored for that player.
23. The player who first wins six games wins the set; except as belnw: If both plavers win five games the score is called games all:and the next game won by either player is scored advantage game for
that player. If the same player win the next game, he wins the set; if he lose the next game, the score returus to games all: and so on, until either player wins the two games immediately following the score of games all, when he wins the set. But the committee having charge of any tournament may in their discretion modify this rule by the omission of advantage sets.

24 . The players shall change sides at the end of the first, third and every subsequent alternate game of each set and at the end of fach set, unless the number of games in such set be even. It shall, however, be open to the players by mutual consent and notification to the umplre before the opening of the second game of the mateh to change sides instead at the end of every set until the odd and concluding set, in which they shall change sides at the end of the first, third and every subsequent alternate game of such set.
*2.5. In all contests the play shall be continuous from the first sorvice till the match be conchided; provided, bowever, that at the end of the third set either player is cntitled to a rest, which shall not exceed seren minutes, and provided, further, that in case of an unavoidable aceident, not within the control of the contestants, a cessation of play which shall not exceed two minutes may be allowed between points; but this proviso shall be strictly construed, and the privilege never granted for the purpose of allowing a player to recover his strength or wind. The referce in his discretion may at any time postpone the match on account of darkness or eondition of the ground or weather. In any case of postponement, the previous score shall hold good. Where the play has ceased for more than an homr, the player who at the cessation thereof was in the eourt first chosen shall have the choice of courts on the recommencement of plar. He shall stay in the court he chooses for the remainder of the set. The last two sentences of this rule do not apply when the players change every alternate game as provided by law 24 .
26. If a player serve out of his turn, the umpire, as soon as the mistake is discovered, shall direct the player to serve who onght to have served. But all strokes scored before sneh diseovery shall be counted. If a game shall have been completed before such discovery, then the service in the next alternate game shall be delivered by the player who did not serve ont of his turn, and so on in regular rotation.
$\because-7$. The above laws shall apply to the three-handed and fourhanded games, except as below:

## THE THREE-IIANDED AND FOUR-HANDED GAMES.

28. For the three-handed and four-handed games the court shall be 36 feet in width: $4^{1 / 2}$ feet inside the side lines, and parallel with them, are drawn the service slde lines $K$ M and $L$, N. The service lines are not drawn beyond the point at which they meet the service side lines, as shown in the diagram.
29. In the three-handed game, the single player shall serve in every alternate game.
30. In the four-handed game, the pair who have the right to serve in the first game shall decide which partner shall do so and the opposing pair shall decide in like manner for the second game. The partner of the player who served in first game shall serve in the third, and the partner of the player who served in the second game shall serve in the fourth, and the same order shall be maintained in all the subsequent games of the set.
31. At the beginning of the next set, either partner of the pair

[^2]which struck out in the last game of the last set may serve; and the same privilege is given to their opponents in second game of the new set.
32. The players shall take the service alternately throughout the game: a player cannot receive a service delivered to his partner: and the order of service and striking out once established shall not be altered, nor shall the striker-out change courts to receive the service, till the end of the set.
33. It is a fault if the ball serred does not drop between the service line, half-court line, and service side line of the court, diagonally opposite to that from which it was served.

34 . It is a fault if the ball served does not drop as provided in law 33, or if it touch the server's partner or anything he wears or carries.


The Sixths system of IIandicapping.
In the case of received odds:
(a) One-sixth of fifteen is one stroke giren in every six games of a set in the position shown by the annexed table.
(b) Similarly, two-sixths, three-sixths, four-sixths and five-slxths of fifteen are respectively two, three, four and five strokes given in every six games of.a set in the position shown by the table.

|  | 1st <br> Game. | $2 d$ <br> Game. | $3 d$ <br> Game. | 4th <br> Game. | 5th <br> Game. | 6th <br> Game. |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | I-6 of I5 | 0 | I5 | 0 | 0 | 0 |

## Decisions on Doubtful Points

Officially recognized by the U.S.N. L. T. A.

I. A player standing outside the court volleys the ball or catches it in his hand, and claims the stroke because the ball was certainly going out of court.

Decision.-He loses the stroke. It makes no difference where he was standing. The return is presumed good until it strikes the ground outside of the court.
II. A player is struck by the ball served before it has touched the ground, he being outside of the service court. How does it count?

Decision.-The player struck loses the stroke. The service is presumably good until it strikes in the wrong court. A player cannot take the decision upon himself by stopping the ball. If it is going to be a fault, he has only to get out of the way.
III. The service is delirered before the striker-out is ready. He tries to return it and fails. Is he entitled to have it played over again?

Decisinn.-No. If he attempts to return the service he is deemed ready.
-IV. The striker-out calls "not ready," for a second service. The ball strikes beyond the service line, and the striker-out claims that the fact that he was nol: ready makes no difference, since a fault cannot be returned, and, therefore, that two faults have been served.

Decision. - The second service goes for nothing. A player cannot call "Not ready." and then have the service count, or not, as suits his interests.
V. A ball having been played over the net bounds back into the court from which it came. The player reaches over the net and plays it before it fall. Has he a right to do so :

Decision.-Yes, provided he does not touch the net. He has a right to play the ball at any time from the moment it crosses the net into his eourt until it touches the ground a second time.
VI. A ball is played into the net; the player on the other side, thinking that the ball is coming orer, strikes at it and hits the net. Who loses the stroke:

Dccision.-It is simply a question of fact for the umpire to decide. If the player touch the net while the ball was still in play he loses the stroke.
VII. Can a player follow a ball over the net with his racket, provided that he hits the ball on his own side of the net?

Decision.-Tes, the only restrictions are that he shall not volley the ball until it has crossed the net, and that he shall not touch the net or any of its supports.
VIII. A player's racket slips out of his hand and flies into the net. Does he lose the stroke for hitting the net?

Dccisicn.-Yes, if the ball be still in play. It does not matter if the racket be in a player's hand or not.
IX. A player's racket leares his hand, but meets the ball and returns it oyer the net. Is it a good return?

Decision.-Yes. There is no law requiring a racket to be in a player's hand when the ball is returned. It would unquestionably be a good return if the racket were held against the ground by a player's feet, and the ball bounded back off of it.
X. A single match is played with a double net and inside posts. A player touches the net beyond the inside posts, and claims that he
does not lose the stroke because there should be no net more than three feet outside of the court.

Decision.-He loses the stroke. The net where be touches it is part of the supports of the net. He might, perhaps, have objected to the arrangement of the net before the match.
XI. A player returns the ball, and, finding that be cannot stop himself before reaching the net, jumps over it. Is it a good return?

Decision.-Law 4 requires that "the players shall stand on opposite sides of the net," and therefore the player invading his opponent's court loses the stroke.
XII. A ball passes outside the post of the net and strikes in court. Is it a good return?

Dccision.-Yes.
XIII. A ball going out of court hits the top of the posts of the net and bounds into the opposite court. Decision.-It is a good return.
XIV. The service or the ball in play strikes a ball lying in the court. Can it be returned:

Dccision.- Yes, if it is clear to the umpire that the right ball is returned.
NV. The server claims that the striker-out must stand in the court. Is this necessary:

Dccision.-No. The striker-out can stand wherever he pleases on his own side of the net.
XVI. A bystander gets in the way of a plaser who fails to return the ball. May he then claim a let:

Decision.-Yes, if, in the umpire's opinion, he was prevented by an accident beyond his control. For instance, if the ropes or the seats are allowed to be so near to the court that a player is interfered with by them, the stroke should not be played again, because the ropes and seats form part of the arrangements of the ground. If, however. a spectator passes in front of those seats, or places a chair nearer than the original line, and so interferes with a player, the stroke should be played again.
XVII. A player is interfered with as above, and the umpire directs the stroke to be played again. The server had previously served a fault. He claims the right to two services.

Decision.-The fault stands. A let does not annul a previous. fault.
XVIII. A return hits the umpire, or his chair or stand, the player claims that the ball was going into court. Dccision.-Stroke is lost.
XIX. A player receiving fifteen serves from the left court. His opponent claims a fault.

Dccision.-It is a fault. The service starts from the right court under all circumstances.
XX. At fifteen-all the server by mistake serves from the left court, he wins the stroke and serves again (a fault), the mistake is then discovered. Is he entitled to the previous fault? From which court should he serve next?

Decision.-The previous stroke stands. A fault cannot be claimed after the next service, good or not, is delivered. The next service should be from the left court, the score being thirty-fifteen, and the server has served one fault.
NXI. A player serves from the wrong court, he loses the stroke, and then claims that it was a fault.

Decision.-If the stroke was played in his first service it is
simply a fault, but if he serves twice into the wrong court he has served two faults, and lost the stroke.
XXII. The score is five games all, and the umpire directs the players to play an advantage set. The advantage game has been won, when it is discovered that no advantage sets are to be played. What is to be done:

Decision.-The set is won at the eleventh game. It is no part of the umpire's duty to decide on the conditions of the matches.
XXIII. A player serves. He hears the umpire call, but cannot hear what he says. He knows that the only two things that the umpire should call are "fault" and "let," and that in neither case can the ball be in play. He, therefore, does not return it, only to find that the umpire has called "play." Has he any redress". Decision.-No.
XXIV. The umpire calls "fault," and then instantly changes and says "play." The striker-out fails to return the ball, and he claims he was prevented by the umpire, and also that the umpire cannot change his decision.

Iecision.-The umpire should call a let and the service be taken again.
XXV. A ball drops near a llne, the player appeals, and the umpire calls "play." The player misunderstands the call and lets the ball fall. Ife then claims to have the stroke played again. Decision.-The stioke stands.
XXVI. The ball strikes the ground close to a line; the scorer scores the stroke against the striker. On appeal to the linesman, the latter decided that the ball was not out. Which decision stands:

Decision.-The scorer has no right to consider a ball out until the linesman has called to that effect, therefore, the decision of the latter must be accepted. The decision of a linesman affecting his own line is final.
XXVII. A return strikes the cord running along the bottom of the net and bounds over. Is it a good return?

Dccision.-Y'es.
XXVIII. During play a ball is thrown into court, and the ball in play strikes it or a player steps on it. May a let be claimed: Decision.-Yes.
NXIX. The server's first service strikes his partner. Does he lose the stroke or is it a fault? Decision.-A fault.
XXX. A player serves a fault and it is then discovered that it is his partner's service. Does the fault stand:

Decision.-Yes.
XXXI. In a four-handed competition one player does not appear in time to play, and his partner claims to be allowed to play singlehanded against the opposing pair. May he do so:

Decision.-No.

## UNOFFICIAL DECISIONS ON DOUBTFUL POINTS.

(From Lawn Tennis.)
Both of the opponents of the servers in doubles are strikers-out alternately during a game. It is optional with them which shall serve first, but they must serve alternately throughout each set.

A player does not lose a stroke for striking at a ball that ultimately falls outside his court, providing he does not touch it in doing so.

If one partner of a double team strikes at a ball and does not touch it, his partner still has the right to return it. A player or different member of a team may strike at a ball as often as they please; it is still in play until hit.

A served ball is only a "let" if otherwise good, falling in the proper court; a fault cannot be a "let."

The server must stand behind the base-line, within the limits of the side-lines of the court in which he is playing.

There is no limit to the number of "let" balls that may be made on the service, and the server continues serving in the same court until a good service is delivered or two faults are made.

The server must wait until the striker-out is ready for the second service as well as the first, and if the striker-out claims to be not ready and does not make any effort to return the second service, the server cannot claim the point, even though the service was good.

If a player's racket tonches the net after the ball has struck the net, he does not lose the point. The ball is dead and the point has been won and lost as soon as the ball strikes the net, and nothing which happens afterward can affect that point. If the ball knocks the net against the player's racket the same decision holds good; it is simply a question for the referee to decide which touches the net first.

In doubles partners must receive throughout each set on the same sides of the court which they originally select when the set begins. The first server is not required to receive in the right court: he may select either side at the beginning of the set, but must hold this to the end.

A ball served with a sharp cut that bounds back over the net after having struck in the right court is a good service. The polnt counts in favor of the server if the striker-out fails to return it. The latter may reach orer the net to return the ball, but loses the point if he tonches the net in doing so.

A return made from one side of the court that passes outside of the net and posts without going over them is still good if the ball falls in the proper court.

The ball is alwars in play until it has struck the ground outside of the court, or the net. or has touched the ground twice. The player loses a point if the ball touches him or his clothing while still in play, no matter whether he is standing outside of the court when the ball hits him, or not.

An officially reconnized decision has been rendered that makes any support of the net-the posts, the guy-ropes, or the centre fork-a part of the net itself, and any ball hitting one of the supports and still striking the right court is considered a good return (except on the service). The posts are required to stand outside of the court, and yet a ball being returned at a sharp angle from outside might well be going in and still touch one of the posts.

A ball is dead the instant it has touched the ground outside of the court, and the point must be scored at once against the other side, no matter what happens after the ball touches the ground.

Consolation matches in America are always open to any player beaten in his first match actually played. Winning by default before defeat in the first actual match does not disqualify a player from the consolation event.

The services must always come alternately from the right and left courts; if the server delivers the ball from the wrong court it is a fault.

A fault cannot be played, and the striker-out has no option in the matter; it does not make the service good if he returns it.

## Regulations for the Management of Tournaments

1. At Tournaments held by clubs belonging to the U'nited States National Lawn Tennis Association or by clubs belonging to Associations which belong to the National Association or by Associations belonging to said Chational Association, the Laws of Lawn Tennis as adopted for the time being by said National Association and the Regulations hereinafter contained shall be observed.
2. The Officers of the Club holding the Tournament shall have full power over all details concerning said Tournament, but shall be entitled to delegate their power to a Committee. In case no special Committee shall be appointed by the Ollicers of the Club holding the Tournament, the powers and functons hereinafter delegated to the committee shall be rested in and performed by the Ollicers of said Club.
3. A circular shall be issued by the Committee specifying the conditions of the competition, and including names of Tournament Committee and of the Referee.
4. No cheques, orders for money or cash payments in any form shail be given as prizes, and the amount actually paid for each prize shall in no case be below the advertised value of the same, if included in circular. If any challenge cup is offered it shall be deeded to the National Lawn Tennis Association. The, winner of a Challenge Cup shall be entitled to the possossion of the cup until one month previous to the next competition for the cup, possession being conditional on the giving of a bond by the winner satisfactory to the Club. Should the Club give possession without such bond, it shall be liable to the National Association for the value of the cup.
5. The Committee shall elect a Referee, with power to appoint a substitute to be approved by them. The Referee or his duly appointed substitute must be present at all times when play is in progress.
6. The Referee or such other member or members of the Committee as may be selected for the purpose. shall have power to appoint Cmpires and Linesmen, to assign courts and to start matches, and the Referee shall decide any point of law which an Tmpire may profess himself unable to decide, or which may be referred to him on appeal from the decision of an Umpire, and such decision shall be final.
7. The Referee shall, during the Tournament, be ex-officio a member of the Committee.
8. The Committee shall help to keep order on the ground, and shall consult and decide upon any question arising out of the competition, if summoned for that purpose by the Referee or by any two of their number; and they shall have power, when so convened, the misconduct of a competitor having been reported to them by a member of the Committee or an Umpire, to disqualify the offender, and further to order him off the ground, should his misconduct appear to them to justify such action, but before such action shall be taken, an opportunity of offering an explanation shall be afforded to the competitor whose misconduct has been reported to them.
9. It is the duty of an Cimpire-
(a) To ascertain that the net is at the right height before the commencement of play, and to measure and adjust the net during play, if asked to do so, or if. in his opinion, its height has altered.
(b) To call the faults (subject to Regulation 10) and lets unless he delegates such power.
(c) To call the strokes when won, or when he is asked to call them, and to record them upon the Umpire's scoring sheet. (see example below.)
(d) To call the games and the sets at the end of each. or when asked to call them, and to record them on the Umpire's scoring sheet.
(e) To direct the Competitors to change sides, in accordance with Law 24 .
(f) When appealed to during a rally, whether a doubtful ball is "in play" or not, to call "play." aud at the conclusion of the rally to give his decision (subject to Regulation 11) or direct the competitors to play the stroke again.
(g) To decide all doubtful or disputed strokes, and all points of law (subject to liegulations 10 and 11), and to be responsible for the proper conduct of the match.

## Example:

The strokes are soned by means of pencil marks in the spaces beneath the word "STROKES," thus:

| 号 | Initials of Players. |  | Strokes. |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | Game won by |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1 | A. B. <br> C. D . | 1 | 1 | 1 | - |  |  | . | $\cdot$ | $\cdot$ | $\cdots$ |  |  |  |
| 2 | C. D. <br> A. B. |  | 1 |  | 1 | 1 | 1\|| | $1\|\mid$ | ${ }^{1}$ | . | .. |  |  |  |

The scoring Sheet shows that in the first game the score ran, and would have been called, thus: " 15 -love, 30-love, $30-15,40-15,40-30$, game (A.B.)" In the second game: "Love-15, 15 all, $15-30,30$ all, $40-30$, deuce, advantage (C.D.), deuce, advantage (A.B.), deuce, advantage (C.D.), game (C.D.)."

The score of the Server should be called first.
NOTE-At the end of each game the games should be called with the name of the player who is in advance, thus: "2 games to 1, B wins" or, "B leads." If the games are level the score should be called thus, "three games all," or as the case may be. At the end of each set the sets should be called in like manner.
(h) In handicap matches to call the odds at the commencement of each set.
(i) To sign the Umpire's scoring sheets, and to deliver them at the conclusion of the match to such person as the Committee may authorize to receive them.

Provided, that no omission of any of the foregoing duties on the part of an Cmpire shall of itself invalidate a game or match.
10. It is the duty of the Linesman to call faults and to decide strokes relating to the line or lines to whlch he is assigned and to said line or lines only, and such decision shall be final. Should the Linesman be unable to give a decision, the Umpire shall decide or shall direct the stroke to be played again.
11. The decision of an Impire shall be final upon every question of fact, and no competitor may appeal from it: but if an Umpire be in doubt as to a point of law. or if a competitor appeal against his

In scoring bandicap matches, the odds received should be marked by crosses on the right of the lirst perpendicular thick line before the commencement of each game, thus:


Here A. B. is receiving 15 and 3-sixths of 15.
decision on such a point, the Umpire shall submit it to the Referee whose decision shall be final.

NOTE-A question of fact relates to erents that actually happened. A question of law is the construction and application of the laws of the game to be admitted facts.
12. The Referee shall not bet on a match nor shall an Umpire or Linesman on the match to which he is assigned. An infraction of this rule shall be followed by the immediate removal of the offending Referee, Umpire or Linesman on the complaint of any competitor.
13. No competitor may transfer his entry to another player.
14. Competitors shall have a right, by themselves or their deputies, to be present at the draw.
15. The draw shall be conducted in the following manner: Each competitor's name shall be written on a separate card or paper, and these shall be placed in a bowl or hat. drawn out one by one at random, and copied on a list in the order in which they have been drawn.

When odds are owed, they should be marked on the left of the first thick perpendicular line before the commencement of each game, thus:

16. When the number of competitors is $4,8,16,32,64$ or any bigher power of 2 , they shall meet in pairs, in accordance with the system shown by the following diagram:
ist Round.
$\left.\begin{array}{l}A \\ B\end{array}\right\}$

$\left.\begin{array}{l}C \\ D\end{array}\right\}$
E \}
F $\}$
$\left.\begin{array}{l}\mathrm{G} \\ \mathrm{H}\end{array}\right\}$
and should be crossed off one by one when the player owlng wins a stroke, thus:

17. When a number of competitors is not a power of 2 , there shall be byes in the first round. The number of byes shall be equal to the difference between the number of competitors and the next higher power of 2, and the number of pairs that shall meet in the first round shall be equal to the difference between the number of competitors and the next lower power of 2 . The byes, if even in number, shall be divided, as the names are drawn, in equal proportions at the top and bottom of the list, above and below the pairs. If uneven in number, there shall be one more bye at the bottom than at the top. Thus-

SERIES 1.
First Round. From 5 to S Competitors.


With 6, there will be one bye at the top, and 1 bye at the botsom. With 7, 1 bye at the bottom. With 8 , no byes.
series 2.
From 9 to 16 Competitors.
With 9,3 byes at the top, and 4 byes at the bottom.
First Round.


With 10,3 byes at the top, and 3 at the bottom.
With 11, 2 byes at the top, and 3 at the bottom.
With 12,2 byes at the top, and 2 at the bottom.
With 13,1 bye at the top, and 2 at the bottom.
With 14,1 bye at the top, and 1 bye at the bottom.
With 15,1 bye at the bottom.
With 16, no byes.

SERIES 3.

## From 17 to 32 Competitors.

With 17,7 byes at the top, and 8 byes at the bottom.
1st Round. 2nd Round. 3rd Round. 4th Round. Eth Round. A (a bye) . . . . A
$\left.\begin{array}{l}B \text { (a bye) } \ldots . \\ C \text { (a bye) } \ldots\end{array}\right\}$


$\left.\begin{array}{l}\mathrm{J} \text { (a bye) .... J } \\ \mathrm{K}(\text { a bye }) \cdot . . \mathrm{K}\end{array}\right\}$



With 18, 7 byes at the top, and 7 byes at the bottom.
With 19, 6 byes at the top, and 7 byes at the bottom.
With 20, 6 byes at the top, and 6 byes at the bottom.
With 21, 5 byes at the top, and 6 byes at the bottom.
With 22,5 byes at the top, and 5 byes at the bottom.
With 23, 4 byes at the top, and 5 byes at the bottom.
With 24. 4 byes at the top, and 4 byes at the bottom.
With 25, 3 byes at the top, and 4 byes at the bottom.
With 26, 3 byes at the top, and 3 byes at the bottom.
With 27, 2 byes at the top, and 3 byes at the bottom.
With 28,2 byes at the top, and " byes at the bottom.
With 29, 1 bye at the top, and 2 byes at the bottom.
With $3 \theta, 1$ bye at the top, and 1 bye at the bottom.
With 31,1 bye at the bottom.
With 32, no byes.
And so on with larger numbers in the same manner.
18. If a competitor be absent when called on to play, or shall refuse to play (unless he has been formally excused for a definite period by the Referee), or shall have given previous notice to the Referee, or a member of the Committee that he cannot play in his next round, his adversary shall win in that round.
19. In handicap matches the competitors shall be handicapped by the Committee, or by a Handicapper appointed by the Committee.
20. The method of handicapping shall be by classes as follows.

GIVEN ODDS.
Class O-(Scratch).

| Class | 1 | receives | 1-sixth | of 15. |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| - | 2 | " | 2 -sixths | of 15. |
| $\cdots$ | 3 | 6 | 3 -sixths | of 15. |
| - | 4 | * | 4-sixths | of 15. |
| " | 5 | 6 | 万-sixths | of 15 . |
| * | 6 | 6 | 15. |  |
| * | 7 | " | 15 and | 1-sixth of 15. |
| * | 8 | 6 | 1.5 and | 2 -sixths of 15. |
| ، | 1 | ، 6 | 15) and | 3 -sixths of 15. |
| * | 10 | 6 | 15 and | 4 -sixths of 15. |
| ، 6 | 11 | 6 | 15 and | 5 -sixths of 15. |
| " | 12 | ${ }^{6}$ | 30. |  |
| " | 13 | * | 3) and | 1-slxth of 15. |
| 6 | 14 | - | 30) and | 2 -sixths of 15. |
| " | 15) | 6 | 30 and | 3 -sixths of 15. |
| 6 | 16 | '6 | 30 and | 4 -sixths of 15. |
| " | 17 | 6 | 30 and | $\overline{5}$-sixths of 15. |
| 6 | 18 | 6 | 40. |  |

When two players in different classes below scratch meet, the superior player shall start from scratch, and the odds recelved by the inferior player are as shown by the annexed table No. 1. To use the table, find in the diagonal line of figures the number representing the class of the superior player, then travel along the horizontal column until the vertical column is reached which bears at the top the number of the class of the inferior player. The odds specified at the intersection of the two columns are the odds required.

Example.-If class 3 has to meet class 9, start from the figure 3 in the diagonal line of figures, and look horizontally untll the vertical column is reached headed by the figure 9 . The odds given at the point of intersection of the two columns (viz. 15 and $1-6$ of 15 ) are the odds required.

When the difference between the best and the worst players entered in great (say more than 30), it is desirable to handicap the best players at owed odds. The players above scratch (i. e., owing odds) should be classified as follows:

OWED ODDS.

| Class | 1 | owes | 1-sixth | of 15. |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| " | 2 | " | 2 -sixths | of 15. |
| " | 3 | ** | $3-$ sixths | of 15. |
| " | 4 | '6 | 4-sixths | of 15. |
| " | 5 | 6 6 | 5 -sixths | of 15. |
| " | 6 | 6 | 15. |  |
| " | 7 | ، | 15 and | 1-sixth of 15. |
| " | 8 | 6 | 15 and | 2 -sixths of 15. |
| 6 | 9 | 6 | 15 and | 3 -sixths of 15. |
| \% | 10 | 6 | 15 and | 4 -sixths of 15. |
| * 6 | 11 | 6 | 15 and | $5-$-sixths of 15. |
| 6 | 12 | 6 | 30. |  |
| 6 | 13 | 6 | 30) and | 1-sixth of 15. |
| " | 14 | 6 | 30 and | 2 -sixths of 15. |
| 66 | 15 | 6 | 30 and | 3 -sixths of 15. |
| 6 | 16 | * | 30 and | 4-sixths of 15. |
| 6 | 17 | * | 30 and | 5-sixths of 15. |
| $\cdots$ | 13 | . | to. |  |

When the two players in different classes above scratch meet, the inferlor player shall start from seratch, and the odds owed by the superior player are as shown by the annexed table, No. 2 .

This table is to be used in the same way as the former, the class of the superior player being looked for in the horizontal line of fig. ures at the top, and the class of the inferior player in the diagonat line of figures.

Example. - If class 12 (owe 30 ) meet class 7 (owe 15 and 1-6 of 15), the former must owe the latter the odds of $4 \cdot 6$ of 15 .

21 . In Championship matches and handicaps by classes, as above, advantage sets shall be played throughout.
$\because \because 2$. The Committee may. whether appealed to by any competitor or not, postpone the meeting or any match or part of a match if, in their opinion, the state of the weather, or of the light, or the condition of the ground, or other circumstances, render it advisable to do so. In cases of postponement, Law 25 must be strictly observed.

## INSTRUCTIONS FOR HANDICAPPING.

 GIVEN ODDS.One-sixth of fifteen (1-6) is one stroke given by the better to the poorer player on the second, eighth, fourteenth and every subsequent sixth game in each set.

Two-sixths of fifteen (2-6) is one stroke given on the second, fourth, eighth, tenth and corresponding games in each set.

Three-sixths of fifteen (3-6 or one-half) is one stroke given on the secoud, fourth, sixth and every other alternate game on each set.

Four-sixths of fifteen (4-6) is one stroke given on the second, fourth, sixth, eighth, tenth, eleventh, twelfth and corresponding games on each set.

Five-sixths of fifteen (5-6) is one stroke given on the second, third, fourth, fifth, sixth, eighth, ninth, tenth, eleventh, twelfth and corresponding games on each set.

Fifteen (15) is one stroke given on every game of each set.
Fifteen and one-sixth $(15.1)$ is two strokes given on the same games as for one-sixth, and one stroke on each of the others.

Fifteen and two-sixths (15.2) is two strokes given on the same games as for two-sixths, and one stroke on each of the others.

Fifteen and three-sixths $(15.3$ or half-thirty) is two strokes given on the same games as for three-sixths, and one stroke on each of the others.

Fifteen and four-sixths (15.4) is two strokes given on the same games as for four-sixths, and one stroke on each of the others.

Fifteen and five-sixths (15.5) is two strokes on the same games as for fire-sixths and one stroke on each of the others.

Thirty (30) is two strokes on each game.
Thirty and one-sixth (30.1), thirty and two-sixths (30.2), thirty and three-sixths (30.3 or half-forty), thirty and four-sixths (30.4), thlrty and five-sixths (30.5) and forty (40), are three strokes and two given on the corresponding games of each set, as in the fifteen series.

## OWED ODDS.

Owed (or minus) oddsi can also be used in exactly the same quantities, the chief difference being that the better player is required to earn the owed strokes before be begins to score, while, in the case of given odds, the poorer player is given his handicap before play starts. These owed odds may be used either in conjunction with the given odds
When two players, both in receipt of odds, meet, the player receiving the smaller odrls is put back to scratch. The following table shows the point at which the other should then start. The number at the left of the horizontal columns denotes the player who goes back to scratch. those at the head of the vertical columns the player who still receives odds; and the numbers within
to be received by the player whose number stands at the head of the column.

| b of 15 | $\frac{2}{6}$ | 3 <br> 3 <br> 3 <br> 3 | $4{ }_{4}^{4}$ | 5 <br> 6 <br> 6 | 6. | ${ }^{7} 7$ | ${ }_{15}^{8}$ | ${ }_{15.3}^{9}$ | 15. | 15 <br> 15.5 | $\begin{aligned} & 12 \\ & 30 \end{aligned}$ | 13 <br> 30.1 | 14.4 | 15 <br> 303 | 16 30.4 | 17 <br> 30.5 | 18 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1 | $\frac{1}{8}$ of 15 | \% of 15 | ${ }^{2}$ of 15 | d of 15 | $\frac{5}{8}$ of 15 | 15. | 15.1 | 15.2 | 15.3 | 15.4 | 15:5 | 30. | 30.1 | 30.2 | 30.3 | 30.5 | 40. |
|  | 2 | tof 15 | $\frac{1}{6}$ of 15 | $\frac{3}{6}$ of 15 | $\frac{5}{6}$ of 15 | 15. | 15. | 15.1 | 15.2 | 15.4 | 15.5 | 30. | 30.1 | 30.2 | 30.3 | 30.4 | 30.5 |
|  |  | 3 | $\frac{1}{8}$ of 15 | $\frac{2}{3}$ of 15 | $\frac{4}{6}$ of 15 | $\frac{5}{6}$ of 15 | 15. | 15.1 | 15.1 | 15.3 | 15.4 | 30. | 30. | 30.1 | 30.2 | 30.4 | 30.5 |
|  |  |  | 4 | $\frac{1}{6}$ of 15 | $\frac{3}{8}$ of 15 | $\frac{8}{6}$ of 15 | $8{ }^{8}$ | 15. | 15.1 | 15.2 | 15.4 | 15.5 | 30. | 30.1 | 30.2 | 30.8 | 30.5 |
|  |  |  |  | 5 | 在 of 15 | \% of 15 | A of 15 | ${ }^{\text {E }}$ of 15 | 15. | 15.1 | 15.3 | 15.5 | 15.5 | 30. | 30.1 | 30.2 | 30.4 |
|  | , |  |  |  | 6 | $\frac{1}{6}$ of 15 | 2 of 15 | f of 15 | $\frac{5}{6}$ of 15 | 15. | 15.1 | 15.3 | 15.5 | 15.5 | 30. | 30.1 | 30.4 |
|  |  |  |  |  |  | 7 | tof 15 | ${ }^{3}$ of 15 | $\frac{4}{6}$ of 15 | $\frac{5}{8}$ of 15 | 15. | 15.2 | 15.4 | 15.5 | 30. | 30.1 | 30.3 |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 8 | $\frac{1}{1}$ of 15 | $\frac{2}{8}$ of 15 | $\frac{4}{6}$ of 15 | 15. | 15.1 | 15.2 | 154 | 15.5 | 30. | 30.2 |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 9 | $\frac{1}{6}$ of 15 | \% of 15 | ${ }_{6}^{5}$ of 15 | 15. | 15.2 | 15.3 | 15.4 | 30. | 30.1 |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 10 | b of 15 | 8 of 15 | 15. | 15.1 | 15.2 | 15.3 | 15.5 | 30.1 |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 11 | ${ }^{2}$ of 15 | ${ }^{2}$ of 15 | 15. | 15.1 | 15.2 | 1.5 .4 | 30. |
| This table lowing data:- <br> In the case |  | was ca | alculate | ed up | on the | fol- |  |  |  |  | 12 | 2 of 15 | $\frac{4}{6}$ of 15 | $\frac{5}{6}$ of 15 | 15. | 15.2 | 15.5 |
|  |  |  | cived |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 13 | $8{ }^{2}$ of 15 | $3^{3}$ of 15 | \% of 15 | 15.1 | 153 |
| $\mathrm{Th}$ | he case he avera | of rectise va | ceived | odds- | $\text { s . } 2024$ |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 14 | $\frac{1}{8}$ of 15 | 8 of 15 | ${ }_{8}^{5}$ of 15 | 15.2 |
|  |  |  |  | 2-6 " | . 3552 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 15 | $\frac{1}{6}$ of 15 | d of 15 | 15. |
|  | - |  | " | 3-6 "' | ، . 4811 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | ، | ، | " | 4-6 " | " . 6069 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 16 | ${ }^{3}$ of 15 | 15. |
|  |  |  |  | 5-6 " | ' . 7841 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 17 | 2 of 15 |


|  |  |  |  |  | 6 15. | ${ }_{15}^{7}$ | ${ }_{15}^{8}$ | $\stackrel{9}{9}$ | ${ }_{15.4}^{10}$ | 11 15.5 | 12. | 13 30.1 | 14 | 303 | 30.4 | 30.5 | 40. |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| to of 15 | 2 c of 15 | 3 ot 15 | $\frac{4}{6}$ of 15 | $\frac{5}{6}$ of 15 |  |  |  |  | 15.9 | 15.3 | 15.4 | 30. | 30. | 30.1 | 30.2 | 30.3 | 30.4 |
| 1 | $\frac{1}{6}$ of 15 | $\frac{1}{8}$ of 15 | 3 of 15 | $\frac{8}{8}$ of 15 | $\frac{5}{8}$ of 15 | 15. | 15.1 | 15.1 | 15 |  |  | 15.4 | 15.5 | 30. | 30. | 30.1 | 30.2 |
| 1 | 2 | $\frac{1}{6}$ of 15 | $\frac{1}{3}$ of 15 | a of 15 | $\frac{3}{8}$ of 15 | $\frac{5}{8}$ of 15 | 15. | 15. | 15.1 | 15.2 | 15.3 | 15.3 | 15.4 | 15.5 | 30. | 30. | 30.1 |
|  |  | 3 | $\frac{1}{8}$ of 15 | $\frac{1}{3}$ of 15 | ${ }^{2}$ of 15 | 尔 of 15 | 8 of 15 | 15. | 15. | 15.1 | 15.2 | 15.3 | 15.4 |  |  |  |  |
|  |  | 3 | 4 |  |  | $\frac{3}{3}$ of 15 | 1 of 15 | $\frac{5}{8}$ of 15 | 5 | 15. | 15.1 | 15.2 | 15.3 | 154 | 15.5 | 15.5 | 30. |
|  |  |  | 4 | $\frac{1}{8}$ | \% of 15 | \% or 15 | ${ }^{\text {c }}$ |  |  |  | 15. | 15.1 | 15.2 | 15.3 | 15.3 | 15.4 | 15.5 |
|  |  |  |  | 5 | d of 15 | 2 2 of 15 | $\frac{3}{8}$ of 15 | $\frac{1}{8}$ of 15 | 8 of 15 | ${ }^{\text {a }}$ of 15 | 15. | 15. | 15.1 | 15.1 | 15.2 | 15.3 | 15.4 |
|  |  |  |  |  | 6 | $\frac{1}{6}$ of 15 | ${ }^{2} 8$ of 15 | 2 of 15 | $\frac{7}{8}$ of 15 | $\frac{4}{6}$ of 15 | 辱 of 15 | 15. | 15.1 |  |  |  |  |
|  | - |  |  |  |  | 7 | 8 of 15 | b of 15 | 2 of 15 | z of 15 | \% of 15 | $\frac{5}{8}$ of 15 | 15. | 15. | 15.1 | 15.1 | 152 |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 8 | 0 | 18 of 15 | $3^{2}$ of 15 | 88 of 15 | A ${ }^{3}$ of 15 | 8 of 15 | $\frac{5}{5}$ of 15 | 15. | 15. | 15.1 |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 0 | of 15 | 2 of 15 | \% of 15 | \% of 15 | 8 of 15 | 8 of 15 | 15. | 15.1 |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 9 | 0 |  |  |  |  | of 15 | 5 of 15 | 8 of 15 | 15. |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 10 | $\frac{1}{8}$ of 15 | $\frac{1}{8}$ of 15 | \% of 15 | 3 of 15 | p of 13 |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 11 | b of 15 | b of 15 | 3 of 15 | \% of 15 | $\frac{1}{8}$ of 15 | t of 15 | 8 of 15 |
| This table has been calculated upon the following data:- |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 12 | b of 15 | \% of 15 | \% of 15 | 8 of 15 | 8 of 15 | \% of 15 |
| In the case of owed odds- |  |  |  |  | - | , | - |  |  |  |  | 13 | $\frac{1}{5}$ of 15 | \% of 15 | b of 15 | \% of 15 | $\frac{3}{8}$ of 15 |
|  |  | averag | ge valu | ue of 1 | $1-6$ is |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 14 | 0 | $\frac{1}{8}$ of 15 | $\frac{1}{8}$ of 15 | $z_{8}^{\text {a }}$ of 15 |
|  |  | '* |  |  | -6 . |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | * | * | . | - 3 | 3-6 " | . 5188 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 15 | 0 | d of 15 | 8 of 15 |
|  | - | * |  | - 4 | 4-6 "'. | . 6448 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 16 | 0 | $\frac{1}{6}$ of 15 |
|  | " | " |  |  | 5-6 "*. | . 7975 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  | " | Lengt | th of a | game i | is 4.625 | 0 strok | es. |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 17 | t of 15 |

In using this table supply throughout the word "owe" before the odds specified.
or separately. In order that the handicaps may occur as seldom as possible in the same games, when two players meet, one of whom owes odds and the other receives them, the games on which owed strokes are paid differ from those on which the given strokes are taken. Here is the table for owed odds:

One-sixth (1-6) is one stroke owed on the first, seventh, thirteenth and every subsequent sixth game in each set.

Two-sixths (2-6) is one stroke owed on the first, third, serenth, ninth and corresponding games in each set.

Three-sixths (3-6 or one-half) is one stroke owed on the first, third, fifth and every other alternate game in each set.

Four-sixths (4-6) is one stroke owed on the first, third, fifth, sixth and corresponding games in each set.

Five-sixths (5-6) is one stroke owed on the first, third, fourth, fifth, sixth and corresponding games in each set.

Fifteen (15) is one stroke owed on each game.
Fifteen and one-sixth (15.1), fifteen and two-sixths (15.2), fifteen and three-sixths (15.3 or half-thirty). fifteen and four-sixths (15.4), fifteen and five-sixths (15.5), thirty (30), thirty and one-sixth (30.1), thirty and two-sixths (30.2), thirty and three-sixths (30.3 or halfforty), thirty and four-sixths (30.4), thirty and five-sixths (30.5) and forty (40): correspond to the fractions of fifteen, as do the larger handicaps in the table for given odds correspond to the fractions of fifteen in that table.

The simplest method for handicappers to pursue is to sort out the entries for the event they are to handicap into classes according to the relative skill of the players. Then select the class with the greatest number of players in it and place them on scratch, handicapping all of the other players either hehind or in advance of scratch by owed or given odds, so as to make all even at the odds.

In deciding upon each player's handicap, his ability as compared with the scratch men should first be considered, and then as compared with his nearest and furthest livals in the tables of odds. Each player might meet any of the others, and the object of handicapping is to give him an exactly even chance, no matter whom his opponent may be.

It should be remembered that it is easier to owe 15 , or any fraction of it, than it is to give it, and when odds of 30 are given, the poorer player's score has already reached pretty near the end of the game, and the difference between him and the best men must be very great to give the latter an even chance, should they meet.

It is very unwise to give even the poorer players over 30.3 (halfforty), and very seldom is it safe to make the given odds run higher than 30 . If the difference between the best and the poorest players is very great, place the latter as far as necessary behind scratch, but do not advance the former to more than 30 , or 30.3 in the most extreme cases.

## HOW゙ TO CONDUCT A TOURNAMENT.

A tournament committee should first of all be selected, with not less than five men, and as many as possible practical workers who have the time and inclination to closely look after the interests of the club. At its first meeting, the committee should decide upon all details of the meeting. In selecting a date, it is advisable to choose one immediately before or after some established tournament in the neighborhood, for then the entries of those who are to play in the other event can generally be secured.

Application should be made to the national governing body for official sanction for the tournament, stating dates and other particu-
lars. If any championship events are to be on the programme, official recognition must be applied for before these titles can be of any value.

A printed circular should be jssued by the tournament committee, which shoutd state plainly-(1) the name of the club and the location of the courts: ( $\ddot{2}$ ) the date of the meeting and the hours of play; (3) the list of events, and the championship titles (if any) to be competed for, with the prizes offered ior each event: (t) the amount of the entrance fees, aud place and date for closing the list of entries: (5) the name of referee, handiapper and tournament committee; and (6) the time and place of the draw.

The draw should always be matle by the committee in public and due notice of the time and place be given to the competitors, so that they may be prosent "r represomed when the draw is made. The draw should always be matle by the bagnall-Wilde system. ( See "Tournament liegulations.")

No money or is eqnivalent in any form should be offered as prizes, for this would disgralify the phyers from foture competition as amaterurs. lrizes should be silver, golle, cot glass, wbjeets of art or wher sourenirs of this kind that can be marked or engraved as trophies. It is preferable to have them marked before the townament, althongh lawn tennis prizes are froquently bought with the privilege of exchames and theremore not rherabed until atter the tommament

The committee should select a referee, either from among their number or outside of it. If handiraps are to be hold. the referee may also be the handicapper. or a sub-committee may be appointed for this purpose. Should the referen he alwa a competitors, a substitute should also be appointed to act for him while he is playing.

It is important to have pn migh balls, and only the best quality of tourmament balls should be used for natches. It is the custom at most tournaments to allow thren new balls with each mateh, but in playing on dirt courts, the same balls can sometimes be made to do service twice. Inless there are hack-shops close behind the courts, it is also well to have some small boys hamdy to act as ball-boys and "shack" the balls ior the players.

The lines of a tomrnament conrt should be marked ont fresh every day and if easily wbliteraterd, also betwern matches on the same day. The courts should always he laid north and south so that one player will not get more than his share of the glaring sumlight in his eyes. There should never be a tree within shadow disiance of a tournament court pither, particularly on the sonth side of the currt.

Facilities must be provided for tho players to dress, and it is a cood plan to appoint one member of the committee to attend to these accommodations, and to entertain the visiting players and sec that their wants are attended to.

The draw should always be posted in a conspicnons place on the grounds, where the spectators as well as the players can inspect it. If possible a programme for each day's play shoild be made out in advance, and due notice of all the matches of the day be posted up by the draw each day. The courts should be numbered, and a certain court and hour set for each match. One member of the commttee ought also to be assigned to getting umpires for the matches and seeing that they are properly started.

It is customary to exhibit the prizes for a tournament at the club grounds during the tournament, and it generally proves an attractive feature to have them displayed on a table on the grounds, all duly labeled so that spectators can see which events they are to be given for. A refreshment tent is also an attractive feature at a tournament and the prizes are then displayed under this tent on the same or a nearby table with the punchbowl. Lemonade, or tea, crackers, cakes and sometimes small sandwiches are generally served to the players and spectators under such refreshment tent.

## How to Build and Keep a Court

Nothing is more important for the full enjoyment of lawn tennis than a satisfactory court, and none of the other accessories of the game offers a wider variety. Many important considerations come up eren after the kind of court to be built, and the cost, have been decided upon. No matter how much is to be spent on the ground, nor what the surface is to be, the most important things to consider first are space, light and drainage.

The back-stop nettings should never be nearer than fifteen feet to the lines, and if good players are expected to use the court, particularly if tournament matches are to take place on it, the space behind the base-line should be 21 feet at each end. At the sides at least 6 feet, if possible 12 feet should be allowed beyond the side-lines for doubles of each court. A well-appointed court for tournament play should be centered in an unobstructed space of not less than $60 \times 120$ feet. Wire back-stop netting 10 or 12 feet high should surround it at these distances from the lines. If there are two or more courts together, there should be at least 12 feet between their side lines, and one netting can surround all.

In selecting a site for a court. a spot should be chosen where there Is always plenty of sunlight, and where at no time of the day does any shadow cross the ground on which the court is to be laid. Green or black is a preferable background to play against, but any dark and even color will do. A court should never be laid out with any very light background within a short distance at either end, or close at either side. Nor should a site be selected with a badly mixed or 'moving background. Shade trees are useful near a court, only if their shadow is a solid one, not constantly checkered by flecks of sunlight glittering through moving branches, which constantly confuse the players. Never should they be allowed near enough to cast any shadows on the playing surface.

One more cardinal point should be remembered. The court should invariably be laid out north and south-never east and west. If this warning is disregarded, the player at one end or the other will be hopelessly blinded by the sun.

The question of drainage is one of the most important considerations in selecting a site of this kind. On the natmral facilities depends largely the cost of laying out a good court. If the natural soil be sandy and well drained, or if it is on high ground which slopes away near by, artificial drain pipes will not have to be put in, and this saves much of the cost, but if it be thick clay that holds moisture long, or on low ground with neighboring slopes that drain toward it, the court will be useless for many hours after each rainfall unless artificial drain-pipes are put in.

After the site has been selected, it must be decided whether a grass or "dirt" court is to be built. If the natural sod is luxuriant and the soil favorable for its growth, or if the court is not to be used enough to wear off the grass, a turf court will generally be found preferable, but if the ground is to be constantly in use, the sod will wear off and become "bald" unless there is space enough on the lawn to shift the court frequently.

When good turf cannot be had or will not stand the wear, a substitute must be found, and sand or dirt courts are most often used. On well-drained land, one can sometimes cut away the top surface, level the ground and roll it until well hardened and the court is ready for use, but more preparation is necessary to build a permanent court that will not be constantly losing its proper level.

For such a court the earth should be cut away to a depth of one feot if no drains are required. After leveling it carefully with a spirit-
level, to be sure that the grade is right, a layer of six Inches of broken stone should first be laid and pounded down hard. Ordinary trap-rock used for macadamizing roads is perhaps the best for this purpose, but any broken stone, ranging in sizes from a walnut to an egg, will answer the purpose. This should be covered with a three-inch layer of coarse
gravel or fine broken stone, which should be thoroughly pounded and watered for several days before being covered. Hefore any surface is put on the court, the greatest care should be taken to see that the foundation is perfectly level. Any holes or depressions that appear from rolling and pounding should be filled in before it is covered.

Every well built court should be graded either from end to end or from side to side to an extent of not over two inches, which will suffice to drain off rain water. If artificial drainage is necessary to keep the court dry, drain pipes can be laid in the foundations of the court about three feet outside the side and end lines, care being taken whereby the pipps will tilt, following the grade of the court as far as possible, thus allowing the water to run off. The best drain pipes are six-inch stone sewer pipe, cut in halves, or stone gutters used on tiled roofs, which should be sunk in the ground immediately unter the foundation of broken rock and filled with pebbles or tine cracked stone.

The covering for a gravel or sand court should be not less than three nor more than six inches in thlckness, and of sandy loam and clay mixed. The proportions depend on the quality of the clay. If it is yery binding and sticky, one part of sand to four of clay are preferable, but for the average ingredients they should be mixed abont eight parts of clay to one part of sand. When the court is finished, it it is found to be too soft but dry, more clay should be added; while it it drains poorly and stays muddy ton long after rain, or its surface is ton stlcky for the player's feet, more sand should be added on the surface. When a court is finally covered, it should be thoroughly watered and rolled alternately twice every day for two weeks before it is played on at all, and any depressions or ineven spots corrected as fast as they appear from the settling. After the first heavy rain storm it should be gone over and releveled most carefully, for then it is most likely to develop new faults.

The fine seashore sand will seldom be found satlsfactory for the surface of a court, for it works loose too quickly under the players feet, and can only be made to bind when mixed with a larger proportion of clay, which will make the drainage more difficult, as water percolates vey slowly through clay. If the soll upon which a court is being built is rery rich and worms promise to work through to the surface above and injure the court, it is well to lay a layer of fine cinders, those from a railroad engine preferred, between the foundation and the sandy surface layer. These cinders effectually prevent worms from coming through to the surface. It is also well to use coarse sifted ashes mixed with the stones in the drain-pipes.

The construction of a grass court is less difficult, but varies much more in process. If cost need not be considered, it should be bullt by an expert. and will be laid on deep-laid foundations; if it is desired to build an economical court on an avallable lawn which is fairly level, the cost will not be heavy. The sod should first be carefully removed in squares of about eighteen inches, from a space at least 50 by 100 feet, cutting down to a depth of about six inches. The ground should then be turned with a spade to a depih of eighteen inches or two feet, and after all stones have been removed, and the earth carefully raked over and leveled, it should be packed and rolled with a beary roller. It cannot have too much leveling and rolling. and the rolling should be kept up for several days with plenty of soaking by rain or hose-pipe. Any inequalities which the beavy pres-
sure of the roller produces should be filled in or cut down before the sods are relaid.

After the ground has been rolled sufficiently the sods should be replaced. In doing this it is important to get the edges close together, so that no seams or open cracks can be found. These sods should be relaid in the afternoon and well drenched with water. The next day the ground should be rolled again : and this should be followed by alternate drenching and rolling for several days. Even when the ground finally appears firm and level. the court should not be played upon until new blades of grass appear in considerable numbers. If depressions appear, the sod at that spot should be lifted, fresh earth inserted to the proper grade and the sod replaced, watered and rolled till level and flat again.

Bad spots are often found where the grass is thin or where malignant weeds obstruct its growth, and in this case fresh sods should be bought or cut elsewhere and substituted. Sometimes large patches of ground must be renewed in this way. but it will be found much less expensive if all the turf is bad to sow the new court down with lawn seeds, and seeds will often help out thin spots in the grass if the court is not to be used too soon after the sowing. It is better to make a grass court in the fall whether it is to be sown with seed or sodded. The winter storms will then settle it thoroughly, and after a little releveling in the spring it will be ready for use.

Grass seeds should be sown between the middle of March and the first of May, or better yet, in the autumn, between the middle of August and the first of October. It takes about 20 pecks of good lann seed to cover a space 60 by 120 feet. The sowing should be gone over twice, the second time at right angles to the first. Clover seeds should be ayoided, as this crass does not wear well, and guano should not be used for fertilizing, for it tends to bring up coarse blades in patches.

As soon as the young grass is high enor gh to be topped, a scythe or kickleshould be used, being at first better than the mowing machine. After the new grass is well hardened, however, the latter should be constantly in use never less than once a week, and in moist warm weather nearly every day. With every precaution weeds are sure to appear, but these can generally be held in check by coustant mowing. The more formidable weeds, however, must be cut with a knife one by one about an inch below the surface, and care being taken to remove as much of the root as possible. A pinch of salt dropped on the cut root will generally stop the growth. When the turf becomes worn in spots a small shift in the lines of the court will relieve the pressure and enable the grass to grow again: at the end of the season all of the bare patches should be resown.

There are several other kinds of courts sometimes built when turf cannot be had. Cinders, clay, concrete. cement and asphalt are also sometimes used, while board courts are built undercover for winter use.

Concrete and cement are open to many objections. They are very hard on the eyes and legs, and often make the player's feet sore; the surface generally wears out the balls and shoes quickly, and it is also liable to crack with frost. Cinder courts are cheap and easy to construct, but the surface is so gritty that it burns the feet of the players and soon uses up the balls. They are also very dirty. Asphalt courts are expensive and much affected by heat and cold, sometimes even cracking with the frost.

In Australia courts have been made of cracked blue-stone, while a cheaper substitute has been found in England in what is called a brick rubble court.

Once the court is finally built and ready for use, it must be properly marked out. In every case, unless it be of grass, and the lines are
to be constantly shifted to prevent bare spots, net-posts should be permanently sunk in the ground. They should be not less than two feet under the ground nor forty feet apart.

A good dirt court should be swept, watered, rolled and freshly marked out after every eight or ten sets of play, and oftener in very dry weather. Instantly a depression is discovered it should be filled in and rolled down before playing is continued, for it is almost as dangerons for the players is the court to continue with it uneven. A court should never be rolled in the condition the players leave it after play. A player's heel raises a little lump; if the roller goes over this before it is swept down even again, a hard ridge results and the hall will bound unevenly from it. It should be swept over first, until all the lumps are leveled down, before the roller is allowed on its surface.

One of the best sweepers is made of a heary joist of wood with a dozen thicknesses of old jute bagging or coarse cloth frayed out at the bottom edges, fastened to its bottom and trailing on behind it. This should be drawn over the court with a handle or rope several times. If it is pushed, the groundsman's feet will leave tracks after it; if be grees ahead the sweeper will erase them. Before the lines are marked out fresh, the old ones should always be srept off with a broom, but if the broom is constantly used along the lines in a parallel direction, it will gradually wear away litlle grooves in the conrt where the lines are and the balls will bound improperly from them. The sweeping shonld be done lightly across the court, at right angles with the lines.

A grass court cannot have ton much care. It is advisable to wet it thoroughly several times a week and roll it as often. It should be watered at night, cut in the morning, and rolled after cuttins and before watering. The best way to repair a bare strip of ground is to lay fresh turf. and this should be done in the fall or as early as possible in the spring. Good tough turf, laid in February or early in March, will be fit for use lyy the dirst of June. In the early spring grass roots both in new turf and old may be greatly benefited by a good dressing of manure well worked in, but regular manuring should also be done in the fall.

W'orm casts are rery bad for gond tennis turf. Particularly in fertile ground or after a storm, the little mounds will appear on the sod. and if the roller passes over them or they are trodden down little hard lumps are formed which spoil the surface of the court. The turf shonld always be swept before rolling, and in rich soil every morning. This scatters the mounds effectually. Where it is necessary to get rid of the worms, lime water shonld be sprinkled on the ground. They will then come to the surface and can be swept away.

When a horse mowing machine is used it is well to have the borse's boofs corered with soft pads to prevent their cutting into the turf and leaving prints that affect the bound of the ball. The groundsman at work on good lawn tennis courts, particularly when the turf is soft, and always on a sand court, should be required to wear rubbersoled shoes witwout heels.

## How to Lay Out a Tennis Court



As a double court practically includes every line to be found in a single court, it is best to take first the measure for the latter. Having determined the position of your net, plant in the ground, in the line chosen, two pegs, 27 feet apart (at the points $A$ and $B$ in the diagram). Then take two measures and attach their respective ends to the pegs $A$ and $B$. On the first, which will measure the diagonal of the court, take a length of 47 feet 5 inches; on the other 39 feet; pull both taut in such directions that at these distances they meet in a point $C$. This will gire one corner of the court. At that point $F$, 21 feet from $B$, put in a peg to mark the end of the service-line. The other corner, $D$, and the other end of the service-line $G$, may be found by interchanging the measures and repeating the process. The same measurements on the other side of the net will complete the exterior boundaries of the court. By prolonging the base-line 4 feet 6 inches in each direction, and joining the four new points thus obtained, we can make the side-lines of a double court. It only remains to mark the central line. This is done by joining the middle points of the service-lines. If a double court alone be required, the interior side-lines need not be prolonged to meet the base-lines. Remember that in all cases the net-posts must stand at a distanco of three fect from the side-lines.


Diagram of Single and Double Court.
For a court where a single or double game can be played, the size is 78 feet in length by 36 in width, $41 / 2$ feet inside the side lines, and parallel with them are drawn the service lines. See diagram above.

# Splding Pertece <br> Spalding onarl" Hackett and Alexander Model Racket No. 0GM 



Handles 5 and $5^{1}$ inches in circumference. Stringing of clearest and absolutely best quality lambs' gut. Each racket is enclosed in a special quality mackintosh cover.

> No. DGM. Spalding "Perfect Oval" Hackett and each, 0.00

## Spalding Meddal Oval Model Racket No. GME Patentco januahy 3,1908

 HIS racket is made exactly the same as ourGold Medal models No. A and No. B except as to stringing and shape of the head, which is made oval to meet the demand for that shape.

The stringing is double style, similar to that in the Gold Medal Model No. B.

Handles 5 and $5^{1} ;$ inches in circumference. Stringing of clearest and absolutely best quality lambs' gut. Each racket is enclosed in a special quality mackintosh cover.
No. GME.
Racket.
Spalding Gold Medal Oval Model each, $\$ 8.00$

## ACCEPT NO THF CPI DINA ( TRADF.MADK CUARANTIES SUBSTIUTE THE SPALDING



## The Spalding <br> Tennis Rackets

THE good points in the following rackets can be vouched for by some of the most successful of last season' players. In all of them quality of material and workmanship is superb and perfect balance assured Each frame made of finest white ash, highly polshed; combed Spanish cedar handle, leather capped. Model GX. Gold Medal shape. Taped shoulders; strung with best lambs' gut. This racket is equal to the best of any other make. Each, $\$ \mathbf{5 . 0 0}$ Model DH. Hand made throughout and strung with special quality lambs' gut. Modeled after style racket used exclusively by some of the greatest players in the world. Extra stringing in central portion of racket.

Each, $\mathbf{\$ 5 . 0 0}$
No. 11. The Tournament. Taped shoulders; strung with good quality lambs' gut. A very popular model.

Each, $\$ 4.00$
No. 8. The Slocum. Oval shape, good quality frame, strung with special Oriental gut. A very superior racket at a moderate price. Extra stringing in central portion of racket. Each, \$3.50
 $\infty$ GUARANTEE $\varnothing$
We guarantee Lawn Tennis Rackets for a period of 30 days from date of purchase by the user The Guarantee Tag attached to each Spalding Lawn Tennis Racket reads as follows: If this Racket proves defective in workmanship or material within 30 days from date of purchase, please return, transportation charges prepaid, to any Spalding Store, and the defect will be rectified Imperfectly strung Rackets will be restrung, and in the event of a broken frame due to workmanship or defective material, the Racket will be replaced.

## NOTICE

This Guarantee does not apply to Rackets weighing less than 13 ounces.

We urge that at the conclusion of play this Racket be rubbed dry, and when not in use be covered with a Waterproof Cover, placed in a Racket Press, and the gut occasionally varnished.

## Keep Your Racket in a Dry Place, Otherwise The Guarantee is Void.

## ACCEPT NO THE SPALDNG: TRADE-MADK CUARANIEES substivite The spalding



## The Spalding Tennis Rackets

## GUARANTEE

We guarantee Lawn Tennis Rackets for a peried of 30 days from date of purchase by the user. The Guarantee Tag attached to each Spalding Lawn
 Tennis Racket reads as follows: If this Racket proves defective in warkmanship or material within 30 days from date of purchase, please return, transportation charges prepaid, to any Spalding Store, and the defect will be rectified. Imperfectly strung Rackets will be restrung, and in the event of a broken frame due to workmanship or defective material, the Racket will be replaced.

## NOTICE

This Guarantee does not apply to Rackets weighing less than 13 ounces.

We urge that at the conclusion of play this Racket be rubbed dry, and when not in use be covered with a Waterproof Cover, placed in a Racket Press, and the gut occasionally varnished.

## Keep Your Racket in a Dry Place, Otherwise The Guarantee is Vold.

No. 7. The Oval. Oval shape, good quality white ash frame, strung with special Oriental gut. Made with extra stringing in central portion of racket. . Each, \$2.60

$$
5=00609
$$

THE following rackets are all excellent quality. Frames of the finest selected white ash, highly polished, with combed Spanish cedar handle, leather capped; stringing of good quality Oriental gut.
No. 5. The Lakeside.
Each, $\mathbf{\$ 3 . 0 0}$
No. 4. The Greenwood. . . . " 2.00
No. 3. The Geneva.
1.50

## Spalding Junior Champion Racket

Made particularly for juvenile use, with small grip and in weights from 10 to 12 ounces inclusive, only. Frame of selected white ash, highly polished Oriental gut stringing. A good playing racket for boys and girls.

No. OJ. Each, \$2.00

# Spalding Championship Tennis Ball Perrecte 



0N the record made by the Spalding Championship Tennis Ball so far we are willing to base our claims for superiority, and wherever the ball is used, either in a tournament or regular play, we are certain our judgment will be confirmed. Absolutely best in every particular of manufacture and made by people who have been in our employ, many of them, for twenty years and over, we place the Spalding Championship Tennis Ball before the most critical clientele in the athletic world with perfect confidence that it will give absolute satisfaction.
No. 00. Per dozen, $\$ 5.00$
Three balls only,
$\$ 1.25$
One or two balls. Each, . 45

Wright \& Ditson Championship Tennis Ball No. 5. So well known that comment as to its qualities is unnecessary. Per dozen, \$5.50 On orders for NOT less than 1 gross. Per gross, \$60.00

## Tournament Tennis Ball

No. O. In the manufacture of the Spalding Championship Ball only those which are absolutely per-
 fect in every particular are allowed to pass, and the "culls" or "throw-outs" are stamped simply Tournament and do not bear the Spalding Trade-Mark. These balls will answer for practice or for children's use but should not be used for match play.

## ACGEPT NO THE SPALDING SUBSTIUEE QUALITY



No. O. Brown and mixed colored canvas cover, full size.
No. 1. Soft felt cover, full size.
No. GY. Good quality mackintosh material, and same exactly as we furnish with our Gold Medal Rackets. No. 14. Canvas cover, neatly bound, with extra pocket to hold halls, 1.00 No. 5. Stiff leather, for one racket.
No. 7. Stiff leather, for two rackets.



No. 12. Made of special quality leather and with compartments to hold rackets, balls and suit.


Fubber Handle Cover
No. 3. For covering racket handles to secure a better grip. Made of pure gum rubber, corrugated. Will give excellent satisfaction. Each, 50c.

## Rubber Adhesive Tape for Racket Handle Grip

No. A. Made especially for this purpose, 1 inch wide. Piece 4 feet long in individual box. . Each, 10 c.

## Varnish to Preserve Stringing

Apply immediately after playing, as it takes a little time to dry thoroughly. Two-ounce bottle of special quality varnish, complete with good brush in box.

Per bottle, 25 c .

## (spalding Lawn Tennis Score Books

Official Lawn Tennis Score Book, paper cover, 16 sets. 10 c. Extra Tennis Score Cards, 4 sets. Per dozen, $10 c$.

Each, \$1.00
Each, 5.00


No. 5

## Rackets Restrung



We make a specialty of restringing rackets of every known make. The work is done by our most scientific stringers, and none but first quality gut is used. When sending rackets to us to be restrung be sure to prepay charges on the package and mark with your name and address. Write us underseparate cover full particulars regarding restringing.
No. 1. Oriental C $\pm$, White only.
Each, \$1.00
No. 2. Lambs' Gut, White only.
Each, \$1. 60
No. 3. Best Lambs' Gut. White
Each, \$2.60 No. 4. Special Expert Stringing, White only. Each, \$3.60

## Racket Presses

The most effective press in use to-day. Rackets should be kept in one of them when not in use to prevent warping, especially when they have been exposed to moisture or used at the seashore. No. IR. For one racket. \$1.00 No. 5 R. Superior quality. Made of finely polished walnut, with brass fittings. Suitable for either one or two rackets.

Each, \$2.60

## Spalding "Club" Racket Press

Inculuable for Clubs Conducting Tournaments.
No. C-P. This is a most substantial affair and is arranged for any number of rackets up to 24 . The proper thing for clubs where it is necessary to keep a number of rackets in proper shape all the time.

Each, \$25.00

## Spalding Hand Made Nets

 We recommend most strongly our hand made nets. Quality of material and workmanship is absolutely the best, and they are well worth many times the additional cosi over the ordinary machine made styles on account of their greater durability. It posts are placed just 42 or 36 feet apart, nets will fit exactly when drawn tautSpalding Patent Double Center Nets (Hand Made) Patented December A. ${ }^{1888}$
 outlast two or more ordinary nets.

No. 3D. 42 ft . $\times 3 \mathrm{ft}$., double center 13 ft . No, 2D. 36 ft , x 3 ft ., double center 11 ft .
$\left.\begin{array}{c}\text { EACH } \\ \$ 6.50 \\ 6.00\end{array}\right] \begin{aligned} & \text { No. 3C. } \\ & \text { No. 2C. }\end{aligned}$
White, 15 Thread, Double Court.
EACH 42 ft . $\times 3 \mathrm{ft}$., double center 13 ft . $\mathbf{8 6 . 0 0}$ 36 ft . x 3 ft ., double center 11 ft .

## Spalding Canvas Bound Nets NOT Double Center <br> Top bound with heavy 2-inch canvas strip.

No. 3B. Double Court, hand made, $42 \mathrm{ft} . \times 3 \mathrm{ft}$., 21 thread, white. . . . . Each, $\$ 4.60$ No. 2B. Double Court, hand made, $36 \mathrm{ft} . \times 3 \mathrm{ft}$., 21 thread, white.

## Spalding Machine Made Nets



Top and bottom bound with heavy cotton rope-White, No. 1. Single Court, 27 ft ., 12 thread. Each, $\mathbf{\$ 1 . 0 0}$ No. 2. Double Court, 36 ft ., 15 thread. " 1.25 No. 3. Double Court, 42 ft ., 15 thread. " 1.50

Cat Shows Canvas Boand Net with No. C. Posts and No. 2-0 Center Strap Top bound with heavy 2-inch canvas strip-White. No.2A. Double Court, 36 ft ., 15 thread. Each, \$1.78 No. 3A. Double Court, 42 ft., 15 thread. " 2.00

# AGEFPTMO THE SPALDING <br> TRADEMARK GUARANTIES QUALITY 

## SPALDING BLACK

Spalding Club Nets-Black Twine (Hand Made) Nets are dyed with fast coloring matter and by a special process which adds to their durability. Bound at top with a doubled band of 8 oz . white duck, 2 in . wide and equipped with heavy tarred manila ropes top and bottom. No. 2-O. $36 \mathrm{ft} . \times 3^{1}, \mathrm{ft}$., 21 thread, double center
11 ft. , black twine, hand made Each, $\mathbf{\$ 7 . 5 0}$ No. 3-0. $42 \mathrm{ft} . \times 3^{1} ; \mathrm{ft}$., 21 thread, double center 13 ft ., black twine, hand made Each, $\mathbf{\$ 8 . 0 0}$ No. 4-0. $36 \mathrm{ft} . \mathrm{x}^{{ }^{1}}{ }^{1} \mathrm{ft}$., 30 thread. single center, black twine, hand made.

Each, $\$ 7.50$ No. 5-0. $42 \mathrm{ft} .3^{2}{ }^{2} \mathrm{ft}$., 30 thread, single center, black twine, hand made.

Each, \$8.00

## Galvanized Steel Cable for Top Cords

Full length $\frac{1}{4}$-inch galvanized steel cable, five strands of seven wires each, twisted tightly. With metal loop at each end and manila rope ends to fasten to post.

Each, \$3.50

## Spalding Tarred Nets

Tarred nets are hand made, bound with 10 oz . duck at top: furnished with gal vanized wirc cable instead of ordinary rope top cord. Full measurement as listed below No. $\mathbf{6 - 0} .33 \mathrm{ft} . \times 3{ }^{1}, \mathrm{ft}$., 21 thread. Each, $\mathbf{\$ 9 . 0 0}$ No. 7-0. $42 \mathrm{ft} .6 \mathrm{in} . \times 3{ }^{1}$, ft .21 thread. " $\mathbf{1 0 . 0 0}$

## Spalding Twine Nets for Backstops

No. 4. Machine Made, White, 50 feet long, 7 feet
high, 9 thread. Each, $\$ 2.50$
No. 5. Machine Made, White, 50 fect long, 8 feet high. 12 thread.

Each, $\$ \mathbf{3 . 5 0}$ No. 5X. Tarred, Machine Made, 50 feet long, 8 feet high, 12 thread.

Each. $\$ 4.00$

## Spalding Wood Backstop Post

No. BS. Backstop Post only; wooden. Each. \$1. 25

## Spalding Guy Ropes and Pegs

No. 3. For use with Backstop Posts ; cotton ropes,
fancy pegs.. For one pair of Posts. Per set, 85c.

## SPALDING

## ${ }^{66}$ ANCHORED ${ }^{\prime \prime}$

Method of fastening uprights in ground is similar to that employed with our No. AP Tennis Posts, shown on Page 56. This style of construction we consider the most practical of any for first-class tennis equipme:...


Equipment No. 41
No. 4 1. 376 ft ., 8 ft . high, posts 8 ft . apart, including corner posts and gates, Shipping weight, 3650 lbs.

For greater or less quantity than 376 fl ., add or dedact at the rate of 63 cents per lool.
No. 40 1. Same as No. 41, but 10 ft . high, posts 8 ft . apart. Shipping weight, 4050 lbs.
$\$ 325.00$
376 k ol 10 nt . high, add or deduct at the rate ol 73c. per lool.
Prices for these sete of "Anchored" Back Stop eanipment are on hoard cars Ne
 charge for which is $\$ 8.00$ per day and expenses (traveling and board) for iwo men-man and helper.
A. G. SPALDING \& BROS.

STORES IN ALL LARGE CITIES

## Spalding "Championship" Tennis Posts

This style post is in use on the courts of the most prominent clubs in this country.

The uprights are of heavy 2 -inch japanned steel tubing. pointed at lower end so as to go 24 inches into ground and equipped with wheel at top. Ratchet for tightening net has a particularly strong leverage. Iron hooks and cleats for fastening ropes.

By means of triple claw clutches, made of heavy wrought iron, there can be no shifting or shaking once

Fitted with Patented "Aulo-Loc"

## Tightening

 Ratchet
## Spalding "Auto-Loc" Tennis Posts

We recommend these posts especially for private courts or wherever it is desirable to remove posts immediately after play is finished. The "AutoLoc" tightening ratchet is a patented device which permits the closest adjustment in tightening and regulating the height of the net, and locks automatically and instantly as soon as released.

The spade-shaped iron sockets with which these posts are equipped are driven into the ground in the proper position for the posts, which are fitted with iron bases terminating in square iron extensions which fit exactly the sockets in the spade bases and keep the posts absolutely. rigid when placed in position. When posts are removed from sockets there is no projecting obstruction, and we furnish a block of wood to be inserted in the hole in each socket as soon as the posts are taken up. We also furnish hooks with handles for removing sockets from ground whenever it may be necessary to do so.

No. AL. Per pair, \$18.00
posts are placed in position, and the tighter the net is drawn the more rigid the posts become.
No. A. Per pair, $\mathbf{\$ 2 0 . 0 0}$


## Spalding

 "Anchored" Steel Tennis PostsFurnished with the most reliable and rigid method of fastening upright posts permanently that has ever been brought to our attention. Pośts are made of galvanized steel tubing, $2^{2}$ inches in diameter, and are driven into ground where they are held securely in place by anchor stakes driven through sockets on the sides of the posts.
One post in each pair is fitted with a special ratchet for tightening the top rope and with cleat for bottom rope, the other post being fitted with two cleats. No. AP. Per pair, $\$ \mathbf{\$} \mathbf{0 . 0 0}$

## The Spalding

## "Club" Tennis Posts

Our "Club" Tennis Posts are made of best quality $2 \frac{3}{4}$-inch square ash, nicely polished and varnished, equipped with japanned braces so as to make posts absolutely rigid, and when set in position the posts extend 30 inches into the ground.

We call particular attention to the extra heavy brass ratchet with which we equip this style post. It is made after an English design, and is the same as supplied by our London House to some of the best clubs in Great Britain.
No. B. Per pair, $\boldsymbol{\$} \mathbf{0 . 0 0}$

A properly equipped Lawn Tennis Court needs properly made Posts, something more than two sticks of wood

## Spalding "Casino" Tennis Posts

No. C. Extra heavy square wood posts, painted red and nicely varnished and striped. Pulley wheel at top of each post to take top cord of net, and reel of improved pattern, to tighten net, attached to one post. Extra heavy japanned iron brackets to steady posts, which extend 30 inches into the ground when set up.

Per pair, \$7.50
> \& Spalding Tennis Poles
> No. E. Finely .polished, solid, spiked tennis poles. Packed complete with guy ropes and pegs. Per pair, $\mathbf{\$ 2 . 0 0}$ No. F. Good quality solid tennispoles. Packedcomplete with guy ropes and pegs. Per pair, \$1.00 Indoor Tennis Poles No. G. Made with iron base and spike to fit into iron plates in the floor. Complete with plates, tees. guy ropes and everything necessary for setting up.
> No. E Per pair, $\mathbf{\$ 2 . 5 0}$

## Spalding Tennis Posts

No. D. Square posts of wood, handsomely painted in red, with black striping; japanned iron bracket braces to steady posts, which are inserted 24 inches into the ground. No guy ropes are necessary with these tennis posts

Per pair, $\$ \mathbf{4 . 0 0}$

## Spalding "Side Line" Tennis Posts

No. SL. By using these posts it is possible on a court laid out with posts and net for "doubles" to put the net at the proper height for a single court game, and that without taking down net or removing regular double court posts.

Guy Ropes and Pegs for Tennis Nets
 No. 1. Cotton ropes, plain pegs. Set, $25 c$.

No. 3. Cotton ropes, fancy pegs.
Per set. 65 c .
(No. 3 will answer for Backstop Nets.)

Pulleys and Axles
No. O. Japanned pulleys, complete with axles, for top of tennis posts.
 automatically at place set.

Reels for Tennis Posts No. L. "Auto-Loc" Patented Reel for Tennis Posts. Made with automatic locking ratchet device, which makes it possible to adjust height of net exactly and locks No. N. Regulation style, japanned finish, wooden handle.

Each, $\$ 1.50$

## Canvas Center Straps



No. 2-0. This device for holding center of net at regulation height, three feet, is vastly superior to the ordinary center iron; does not chafe the net, and cannot possibly cause the ball to glance off and strike out of court. Each, \$1.00
No. 3-0. Tournament Pattern, same as No. 2-0, only fitted with a turn-buckle, with which height of net can be adjusted to a hair. Very desirable for tournament or match games.

Each, \$1.25
Iron Center Forks
No. 2. Good quality, iron fork.
Each, $\$ 1.00$

# ACGEPT NO THE SPALDING TRADE•MARK <br> GUARANTEES QUALITY <br> <br> Spalding <br> <br> Spalding <br> Lawn Tennis Goods 



Columbia
Tennis Marker
-0*Dion
For Grass Courts only.

- 0erbier

No. I. Makes a clean even ribbon line, with contact in full
view. Each, \$5.00
No. X. Simple but
effective. Flow of
liquid under instant
control from handle.
Makes an even line
of uniform width. No
ribbon; liquid flows
directly on wheel.
Each, $\mathbf{\$ 6 . 0 0}$
Spalding Dry Tennis Markers For Dirt Courts only. No. 3. No mixing of material. Uses marble dust and slaked lime, etc. Made substantially of iron, nicely japanned. The best dry tennis marker made, $\$ \mathbf{2 . 0 0}$ No. 2. Same as No. 3, but smaller size and lighter material.

Each, \$1.00




## Spalding Portable Marking Tapes

No. 3. For Single Court, 100 staples and pins. Set, $\mathbf{\$ 3 . 5 0}$
No. 4. For Double Court, 200 staples and 14 pins. Set, \$4.00
No. 6. For Double Court, extra quality canvas, complete with 200 staples and 14 pins.

Per set, $\mathbf{\$ 6 . 0 0}$ No. S. Extra Staples.

Per 100

Spalding Marking Plates
For permanently marking the angles of a court. Made of malleable iron and painted white. A set consists of eight corner and two T pieces.
No. 1. With separate pins. Set, \$1.00 No. 2. With wedge pins attached.

Per set, \$1.50

## Spalding Tether Tennis Game

No. 1. Tether Tennis Ball and Cord (regular tennis ball with twine knitted cover). No. O. Tether Tennis Ball and Cord, light filled ball with canvas cover and loop. Made specially for playground use. Each, \$1.00 No. 2. Tether Pole, 14 feet. No. TP. Tether Pole, 12 feet above ground. Galvanized steel pole.

Made specially for playground use.
Each, \$10.00 No. 5. Marking Ropes for circle and dividing line, with staples.


Per set, \$1.00

## Spalding "Patent Angle" Steel Measuring Tapes



Especially adapted for laying out tennis courts and all kinds of athletic fields. With this tape one person can easily secure accurate right angles, yet the tape is equal to any other for straight measuring also. Complete directions showing how to obtain accurate right angles furnished with every tape. Enclosed in hard leather case, flush handles. all mountings nickel-plated. Accuracy guaranteed.
No. A. 50 feet.
Each, $\mathbf{\$ 4 . 0 0} \mid$ No. B. 100 feet.
Each, \$6.75

## ACGEPT NO THE SPALDING SUBSTIUEE <br> TRADE-MARK GUARRNTIES duality Spalding Lawn Tennis and Outing Shoes



These shoes are particularly suitable for Lawn Tennis, Yachting and general Onting purposes.

No. WB. Low cut. White buck, of English tanning. Will not turn yellow. Best quality white rubber soles. Hand sewed and strictly bench made. Per pair, \$8.00

No. AH. High cut, russet leather, with best red rubber flat sole. Hand sewed and of absolutely best grade material throughout. Per pair, \$6.60

No. A. Low cut, russet leather, with best red rubber flat sole. Hand sewed. Quality same as No. AH.

Per pair, $\mathbf{\$ 5 . 0 0}$
and our No. AB Special Basket Special Cricket Shoe,
as very suitable indeed for use as Laun Tennis and Outing Shoes.

Ball Shoe,


No. CH. High cut, best white canvas, fine quality white rubber flat sole. Hand sewed. Pair, \$4.60

No. C. Low cut, best white canvas, fine quality white rubber flat sole.

Per pair, $\$ \mathbf{3 . 5 0}$

No. D. Low cut, white canvas, red rubber flat sole.

Per pair, \$1.60

Spalding Sweat Band Useful for players who are obliged to wear eye glasses and who are troubled in play with perspiration dropping on them and blurring the vision. Used by some of the most prominent players. No. I . Each. 60c.

##  chasul ime staing

## SPALDING



## Spalding Squash Tennis Rackets

The heads of these rackets have been changed slightly to a more rounded shape. Frames of the finest selected white ash, bound at shoulders, finished with rounded edges, high polish.
No. 19. Strung with special oriental gut, patent non-slipping handle Each, $\mathbf{\$ 3 . 5 0}$ No. 20. Strung with best white lambs' gut, calfskin grip. Each, $\mathbf{\$ 5 . 0 0}$

## Spalding Squash Tennis Balls

Are finest quality material and workmanship throughout. It will pay you to try them if you are not already familiar with their good points. The idea of the (overspun) cover, knitted on the ball and with no seams to rip, is original with us and is a valuable improvement.
No. O. Spalding Championship Overspun Squash Tennis Balls (Patented.) White or Green covering. Dz., \$7.20



Spalding Superior Squash Shoe
No. BG. Low cut with special fine white buck uppers, and pure Para rubber flat sole, hand sewed and superior quality throughout. Best and most satisfactory Squash Shoe made. Per pair, \$7.50


## Spalding Squash Tennis Shoe

No. AG. Low cut, mauve buck leather uppers, reinforced with red leather; and with pure Para rubber flat sole, provided with a suction arrangement on the bottom to secure a good footing. Absolutely high grade throughout.

Per pair, $\mathbf{\$ 5 . 0 0}$
Our special catalogue of Outing Clothing, showing most complete IIne of Flannel, Tweed, Serge and Pongee Suits in Sack and Norfolk styles, sent upon appllcation to any address.

# The Spalding "Cork Center" Official National League Ball was used World Series between the Chicago "Cubs" and the Philadelphia "Athletics." The opinions of the Managers of the opposing teams are given on this page. The Spading "Official National League". Ball SPADING TRIUMPH 

chicago, sovamber 3, sion

Pniledelphis, worembat 2, 1210.
soars. A. O. spicing a Bros..
126 Hash st., yaw York.
dabliemen
to the rect furled series between the philasaiphia Anortean League Club and the Chicago rational league club I eton to alate that the offtesal watonal League sale use in the tares ghana played 10 chicago were of except ronal quality, and If further clad to abate Anat in ty beat ball oxpertance I have avar sean a better bate ball than the bole used in tho tries gases stove reformed to

Yours truly, mar Philedelphsa aberlem League club


Monera a O sparing a Bros..
140 adan Ave., Chicago, 112

Gentlemen
In the vorla*a Cnaeptonamid series between the Chicago National League club and the philadelphia American league club, inres games of when ware played on the cnloago grounds, I fsh to advias you that the orriolal Man sones League bola furnianad by you and used in tboae three gama sore m every ear meas aetlafachery, and alas to aby that during wy base ball oil parlance 1 have never played alt better base ball than those used the the chicago series

## voura very truly

Hun $<$ OR avo
Manager chsoago Matzonat League club
The Spading "Cork Center" has not only improved the ball-it has also improved the game. Base ball played with the Spalding "Cork Center" Ball is as far in advance of the game played with an ordinary rubber center ball as the game played with the Spalding Official National League Ball of 1909 and before was in advance of the original game with the home made ball composed of a slice from a rubber shoe, some yarn and sewed on by a patient mother after her of leather bought from the village cobbler and deftly wrapped

Base Ball today is no haphazard amusement, it is a scientific pastime, a sport of almost geometric exactitude. It commands the best that is in men of national prominence, and gives in return the plaudits of millions who testify by their presence and enthusiasm to the wonderful hold which this most remarkable game has upon the feelings of the great American Public.

Anything which results in making the game more interesting to the spectators is good for the game itself. providing it does not interfere with the development of the sport as an athletic pastime. The Spaldings "Cork Center" Ball makes the game faster-we have the testimony of hundreds of players to this effectit makes it more accurate and even, the ball holding its life right through the game -not getting soggy or dead in the last innings - "you can make a home run in the ninth inning as easily as in the first." as Roger Bresnahan says.

It is well for the youth of America to learn the lesson that while the cheapest things are very seldom the best things, the best are always the cheapest in the end. The price of the genuine Spalding "Official National League" Ball is \$1.25 each -no more and no less. The market abounds with so-called "League Balls," all listed at $\$ 1.25$ each, for the sole purpose of deceiving the purchaser and enabling the "just as good" dealer to work the discount scheme on the boy who is not posted.

This is the reason why bright boys always insist upon the Spalding Ball and decline to accept any substitute. To many parents, a ball is a ball; but to the American lad who knows, only a Spalding Ball is the genuine and Official Ball of the game, and substitution of "something equally as good" does not go with him, for he has learned that to become a good ball player and get the greatest pleasure out of the game, he must use the same ball that all the leading professional players use-and this is the Spalding "Official National League" Ball.

## TO THOSE WHO PLAY BASE BALL

Every modification we have ever adopted in the construction of our Official League Ball has been decided upon after exhaustive experiments, always with the sole purpose of improving the qualities of the ball. The last improvement was In the core itself. The result is that the 1911 Spalding official League Ball is the best type of ball we have ever turned out. It is more durable, more uniform in resistance, and holds Its spherical shape better than any type of base ball made heretofore by anybody. I consider the 1911 Spalding Omilal League Ball nearer perfection than any base ball ever made. I personally Investigated this improvement when It was first proposed in 1908. I then had an exhaustive series of experiments and tests made to determine whether the core could be improved. I was present at these experiments and found that unquestionably the 1911 type of ball was a great improvement from the standpoint of the ball player as well as the durability of the ball itself. I therefore authprize the following statement:
THE SPADING 1911 OffiCIal league ball is the best base ball that has ever been manufactured and sold by anybody.


# ACGEPT NO THE SPALDING SUBSTIE <br> TRADF. MAPK GUARANTEES 

## SPALDING "Official National League" Ball (AEG. U. S. PAT, OFF.)

## CORK CENTER



No. $1\left\{\begin{array}{l}\text { Each, }-\quad-\$ 1.25 \\ \text { Per Dozen, } \$ 15.00\end{array}\right.$

## Official Ball of the Game for over Thirty Years

This ball has the Spalding "Patented" Cork Center, and it is made throughout in the best possible manner and of highest quality material obtainable.

Adopted by the National League in 1878, and the only ball used in Championship games since that time. Each ball wrapped in tinfoil, packed in a separate box, and sealed in accordance with the latest League regulations. Warranted to last a full game when used under ordinary conditions.

The Spalding "National Association" Ball at $\$ 1.00$ each is the highest grade Pure Para Rubber Center ball made.

## SPALDING'S NEW ATHLETIC GOODS CATALOGUE

T
HE following selection of items from Spalding s latest Catalogue will give an idea of the great variety of ATHLETIC GOODS manufactured by A. G. SPALDING \& BROS. SEND FOR A FREE COPY. (See list of Spalding Stores on inside front cover of this book.)


## Standard Policy

A Standard Quality must be inseparably linked to a Standard Policy.
Without a definite and Standard Mercantile Policy, it is impossible for a manufacturer to long maintain a Standard Quality.

To market his goods through the jobber, a manufacturer must provide a profit for the jobber as well as the retail dealer. To meet these conditions of Dual Profits, the manufacturer is obliged to set a proportionately high list price on his goods to the consumer.

To enable the glib salesman, when booking his orders, to figure out attractive profits to both the jobber and retailer, these high list prices are absolutely essential; but their real purpose will have been served when the manufacturer has secured his order from the jobber, and the jobber has secured his order from the retailer.

However, these deceptive high list prices are not fair to the consumer, who does not, and, in reality, is not ever expected to pay these fancy list prices.

When the season opens for the sale of such goods, with their misleading but alluring high list prices, the retailer begins to realize his responsibilities, and grapples with the situation as best he can, by offering "special discounts," which vary with local trade conditions.

Under this system of merchandising, the profits to both the manufacturer and the jobber are assured; but as there is no stability maintained in the prices to the consumer, the keen competition amongst the local dealers invariably leads to a demoralized cutting of prices by which the profits of the retailer are practically eliminated.

This demoralization always reacts on the manufacturer. The jobber insists on lower, and still lower, prices. The manufacturer in his turn, meets this demand for the lowering of prices by the only way open to him, viz.: the cheapening and degrading of the quality of his product.

The foregoing conditions became so intolerable that, ten years ago, in 1899, A. G. Spalding Bros. determined to rectify this demoralization in the Athletic Goods Trade, and inaugurated what has since become known as "The Spalding Policy."

The "Spalding Policy" eliminates the jobber entirely, so far as Spalding Goods are concerned,' and the retail dealer secures his supply of Spalding Athletic Goods direct from the manufacturer under a restricted retail price arrangement by which the retail dealer is assured a fair, legitimate and certain profit on all Spalding Athletic Goods, and the consumer is assured a Standard Quality and is protected from imposition.

The "Spalding Policy" is decidedly for the interest and protection of the users of Athletic Goods, and acts in two ways:

First-The user is assured of genuine Official Standard Athletic Goods, and the same fixed prices to everybody.
SECOND-As manufacturers, we can proceed with confidence in purchasing at the proper time, the very best raw materials required in the manufacture of our various goods, well ahead of their respective seasons, and this enables us to provide the necessary quantity and absolutely maintain the Spalding Standard of Quality.
All retail dealers handling Spalding Athletic Goods are required to supply consumers at our regular printed catalogue prices-neither more nor less-the same prices that similar goods are sold for in our New York, Chicago and other stores.

All Spalding dealers, as well as users of Spalding Athletic Goods, are treated exactly alike, and no special rebates or discriminations are allowed to anyone.

Positively, nobody; not even officers, managers, salesmen or other employes of A. G. Spalding \& Bros., or any of their relatives or versonal friends, can buy Spalding Athletic Goods at a discount from the regular catalogue prices.

This, briefly, is the "Spalding Policy," which has already been in successful operation for the past ten years, and will be indefinitely continued.

In other words, "The Spalding Policy" is a "square deal " for everybody.

> A. G. SPALDING \&'BROS.

## Standard Quality

An article that is universally given the appellation "Standard" is thereby :onceded to be the Criterion, to which are compared all other things of a similar nature. For instance, the Gold Dollar of the United States is the Standard unit of currency, recause it must legally contain a specific proportion of pure gold, and the fact of its reing Genuine is guaranteed by the Government Stamp thereon. As a protection to he users of this currency against counterfeiting and other tricks, considerable money s expended in maintaining a Secret Service Bureau of Experts. Under the law, citizen nanufacturers must depend to a great extent upon Trade-Marks and similar devices o protect themselves against counterfeit products-without the aid of "Government Jetectives" or "Public Opinion" to assist them.

Consequently the "Consumer's Protection" against misrepresentation and "inSerior quality" rests entirely upon the integrity and responsibility of the "Manufactarer."
A. G. Spalding \& Bros. have, by their rigorous attention to "Quality," for thirtyinree years, caused their Trade-Mark to become known throughout the world as a Iuarantee of Quality as dependable in their field as the U . S . Currency is in its field.

The necessity of upholding the guarantee of the Spalding Trade-Mark and mainaining the Standard Quality of their Athletic Goods, is, therefore, as obvious as is the zecessity of the Government in maintaining a Standard Currency.

Thus each consumer is not only insuring himself bat also protecting other consumers when he assists a Reliable Manufacturer in upholding his Trade-Mark and all hat it stands for. Therefore, we urge all users of our Athletic Goods to assist us in naintaining the Spalding Standard of Excellence, by insisting that our Trade-Mark be slainly stamped on all athletic goods which they buy, because without this precaution sur best efforts towards maintaining Standard Quality and preventing fraudulent ubstitution will be ineffectual.

Manufacturers of Standard Articles invariably suffer the reputation of being ligh-priced, and this sentiment is fostered and emphasized by makers of "inferior roods," with whom low prices are the main consideration.

A manufacturer of recognized Standard Goods, with a reputation to uphold and a ruarantee to protect, must necessarily have higher prices than a manufacturer of cheap roods, whose idea of and basis for a claim for Standard Quality depends principally ipon the eloquence of the salesman.

We know from experience that there is no quicksand more unstable than poverty a quality - and we avoid this quicksand by Standard Quality.




[^0]:    T. R. PELL,

    No. 8 in 1910 Ranking,

[^1]:    A. H. SCRIBNER,

    Runner-up in Doubles with M. H. Long in National Clay Court Championship, Omaha Field Club, 1910.

[^2]:    * All matches in which women take part in tournaments held under the auspices of the United States National Lawn Tenis Association shall be the best two in three sets, with a rest not exceeding seven minutes after the second ret.

